

Plainsandeastern

From: J D Dyer <arkjddyer@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, June 08, 2015 7:18 AM
To: Plainsandeastern
Subject: Review of section 1222 application by CLEP

Subject: the Non-NEPA Section 1222 review for Clean Line Energy Partners, LLC's proposed Plains & Eastern HVDC line.

Dr. Moniz,

- 1) The Comment period does not give landowners adequate time to review Clean Line's application in its entirety, and I am requesting an extension to the comment period. A project of this scope requires a comment period that allows the general public ample time to analyze its merits and comment fully.
- 2) I received no explanation of the process and criteria with which the Department of Energy will review and make a determination on this project in a formal setting, as was done with the Draft EIS. I sent NUMEROUS email requests to DOE employee Angela Colamaria requesting this information. Her only response was to tell me to look in the FAQ page of the Plains and Eastern website. The requested information was not in the FAQ, and she refused to answer further inquiries. I would be happy to supply you with copies of the relevant emails.
- 3) The landowners need public hearings to comment on this application, which is even more important than the EIS. Please schedule public hearings as soon as possible and provide wide notice to the public.
- 4) Much of the Part-2 Application is held from public view making assessment of the merits of the application difficult if not impossible. For information that may be sensitive to the Applicant, the DOE should allow a select group of qualified landowners, or their representatives, that claim to be adversely impacted by the project, and who have signed non-disclosure agreements, full access to all materials relevant to the Part-2 Application.
- 5) Currently, there is no "Attorney Ad Litem" or similar legal representation appointed to represent the landowners who find themselves unable to fully cope with the legal and technical aspects of the Application. The DOE should fund an "Ad Litem" attorney to represent those who find themselves in the path of the transmission line. To refuse to do so would demonstrate an inherent bias by the DOE in favor the Applicant.