

## K. Sample Rating Summary Sheet

Modified to accompany to EERE Peer Review Guide (2004) from THE PEER REVIEW PROCESS HANDBOOK, An Implementation Manual Based on the Superconductivity Program Experience, EERE, September 2002  
 Ratings never stand alone. They should always be accompanied by the valuable narrative comments of reviewers (see Section 6.1 in the Guide).

Lab Presenter	Project A						Project B					
	Q	R	M	other	O	Total <sup>1</sup>	Q	R	M	other	O	Total <sup>1</sup>
<b>REVIEWERS</b>												
Reviewer 1	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX
Reviewer 2	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX
Reviewer 3	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX
Reviewer 4	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX						NR
Reviewer 5	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX
Reviewer 6	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX
Reviewer 7	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX
Reviewer 8	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX						NR
Reviewer 9	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX
Reviewer 10	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX
<b>Average Total</b>	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX	XX
<b>High Rating</b>						XX						XX
<b>Low Rating</b>						XX						XX

Criteria: Q = Quality/Productivity/Accomplishments R = Relevance, M = Management, O = Overall

<sup>1</sup> Total may be a weighted average.