US Department of Energy webinar

Biofuels for the Environment and Communities

Virginia Dale Oak Ridge National Laboratory

> Earth Day April 22, 2015

http://www.ornl.gov/sci/ees/cbes/

Acknowledgements:

DOE BETO - Kristen Johnson & Alison Goss Eng; LM Baskaran, MR Davis, B. Dale, B Davison, ME Downing, LM Eaton, RA Efroymson, C Garten, RL Graham, NA Griffiths, M Hilliard, H Jager, K Kline, PN Leiby, M Langholtz, A McBride, R Middleton, PJ Mulholland, GA Oladosu, ES Parish, RD Perlack, P Schweizer, A Sorokine, J Storey, LL Wright





Discussion Topics

- Assessment of sustainability costs and benefits requires
 - Common understanding of "sustainability"
 - Measurable indicators
- Landscape design for sustainable bioenergy is a path forward that
 - Engages stakeholders
 - Uses adaptive management



William Bruce Cameron: "Not everything that can be counted counts, and not everything than can be counted should be counted."



Overall Approach



From the Multi-Year Program Plan DOE's Bioenergy Technologies Office



Focusing on Bioenergy Sustainability Brings Together Disparate Perspectives





Many Initiatives are Exploring Indicators for Assessing Sustainability of Bioenergy



• Implementation is limited by indicators being too

✓ Numerous

Costly

BUT

- ✓ Broad
- Difficult to measure
- Some indicators focus on management practices although
 - Knowledge is limited about which practices are "sustainable"



Categories for Indicators of Environmental and Socioeconomic Sustainability



McBride et al. (2011) *Ecological Indicators* 11:1277-1289. Dale et al. (2013) *Ecological Indicators 26:87-102.*

Recognize that measures and interpretations are <u>context</u> specific

Efroymson et al. (2013) Environmental Management 51:291-306.



Categories of Environmental Sustainability Indicators

Environment	Indicator	Units
Soil quality	1. Total organic carbon (TOC)	Mg/ha
	2. Total nitrogen (N)	Mg/ha
	3. Extractable phosphorus (P)	Mg/ha
	4. Bulk density	g/cm ³
Water quality and quantity	5. Nitrate concentration in streams (and export)	concentration: mg/L; export: kg/ha/yr
	6. Total phosphorus (P) concentration in streams (and export)	concentration: mg/L; export: kg/ha/yr
	7. Suspended sediment concentration in streams (and export)	concentration: mg/L; export: kg/ha/yr
	8. Herbicide concentration in streams (and export)	concentration: mg/L; export: kg/ha/yr
	9. storm flow	L/s
	10. Minimum base flow	L/s
	11. Consumptive water use (incorporates base flow)	feedstock production: m³/ha/day; biorefinery: m³/day

McBride et al. (2011) *Ecological Indicators* 11:1277-1289.

Environment	Indicator	Units
Greenhouse gases	12. CO_2 equivalent emissions (CO_2 and N_2O)	kgC _{eq} /GJ
Biodiversity	13. Presence of taxa of special concern	Presence
	14. Habitat area of taxa of special concern	ha
Air quality	15. Tropospheric ozone	ppb
	16. Carbon monoxide	ppm
	17. Total particulate matter less than 2.5µm diameter (PM _{2.5})	µg/m³
	18. Total particulate matter less than 10µm diameter (PM ₁₀)	µg/m³
Productivity	19. Aboveground net primary productivity (ANPP) / Yield	gC/m²/year





Categories of Socioeconomic Sustainability Indicators

Ten minimum practical measures

Category	Indicator	Units	Category	Indicator	Units	
Social well- being	Employment Household income	Number of full time equivalent (FTE) jobs Dollars per day	Resource conservation Social acceptability	Depletion of non- renewable energy	MT (amount of petroleum extracted per year)	
	Work days lost due to injury	Average number of work days lost per worker per year Percent change in food		Fossil Energy Return on Investment	MJ (ratio of amount of fossil energy inputs to amount of useful energy	
Energy security	Energy security	price volatility Dollars /gallon biofuel		Public opinion	Percent favorable opinion	
	Fuel price volatility	Standard deviation of monthly percentage price changes over one year		Iransparency	Percent of indicators for which timely and relevant performance data are reported	
External trade	Terms of trade	Ratio (price of exports/price of imports)		Effective stakeholder participation	Number of documented responses to stakeholder concerns and suggestions reported on an annual basis	
	Trade volume	Dollars (net exports or balance of payments)		Risk of	Annual probability of	
Profitability	Return on investment (ROI)	Percent (net investment/ initial investment)		catastrophe	catastrophic event	
	Net present value (NPV) ²	Dollars (present value of benefits minus present value of costs)	Dale et al. (2013) Ecological Indicators 26:87-102.			





(Example shown is biofuel, but concepts are applicable to bioenergy as well)

Dale et al. (2013) Environmental Management 51: 279-290.



Biofuel Supply Chain in View of Indicators



Adapting Suite to Particular Contexts

- Indicator set is a starting point for sake of efficiency and standardization
 - Particular systems may require addition of other indicators
 - Budget may require subtraction of some indicators
 - Some indicators more important for different supply chain steps
- Protocols must be context-specific





Framework for Selecting Indicators



Sustainability benefits of switchgrass (a "model" perennial crop)

Note: Specific crops are appropriate for different conditions





Assessing Multiple Effects of Bioenergy Choices

An optimization model identifies "ideal" sustainability conditions for using switchgrass for bioenergy in east Tennessee

Spatial optimization model

- Identifies where to locate plantings of bioenergy crops given feedstock needs for Vonore refinery
- Considering
 - Farm profit
 - Water quality constraints

Parish et al. (2012) Biofuels, Bioprod. Bioref. 6:58-72.



Balancing Objectives: Location of plantings may improve water quality & increase profits while achieving feedstock-production goal





Balancing Objectives: Location of plantings may improve water quality & increase profits while achieving feedstock-production goal



1.3% of the total area (3,546 ha of 272,750 ha)



Using Multi-Attribute Decision Support System (MADSS): to compare sustainability of 3 scenarios in east Tennessee Leverages data from SE Partnership for Integrated Biomass Supply Systems (IBSS)



Case Study of MADSS Applied to East TN: Determines relative contributions of three "pillars" to overall sustainability

Key to chart

Environmental sustainability

Social / sustainability

Economic sustainability

Parish et al. (In review) Ecosphere.



Case Study in East TN: Rates environmental & socioeconomic sustainability

Key to chart



Parish et al. (In review) Ecosphere.

Environmental categories

Socioeconomic categories





Consider Indicators within System as an Opportunity to Design Landscapes that add Value





Landscape Design Approach



Management of Biofuels can Support Goals

THE STATUS QUO

INHERENTLY UNSUSTAINABLE POORLY MANAGED

Use of Unsustainable Land Management Practices and/or Conversion of Perennial **Ecosystems to Intensive Agriculture**

SUSTAINABLY MANAGED

BIOFUELS



Dale Bruce et al. (2014) Environmental Science & Technology 48: 7200-7203.



Recommended Practices

• Avoid negative effects

- Identify & conserve priority biodiversity areas
- Apply location-specific management of biofuel feedstock production systems.
- Attend to site selection and environmental effects in the
 - Selection and location of the feedstock
 - Transport of feedstock to the refinery
 - Refinery processing
 - Final transport and dissemination of bioenergy.
- Monitor, assess & report on key measures of sustainability
- Attend to what is "doable"
- Communicate opportunities and concerns to the stakeholders and get their feedback
- Employ adaptive management





Thank you!



Center for BioEnergy Sustainability

http://www.ornl.gov/sci/ees/cbes/



