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Goal Statement

• 3 billion people worldwide use open fire/inefficient cookstoves
  - 4 million premature deaths/year from indoor cookstove smoke
  - significant carbon black and other pollutant emissions with environmental consequences

• Low-cost, clean cookstoves are engineering challenge
  - advanced modeling and design for clean combustion
  - combustors experience highly corrosive conditions

• Virtually no cookstove relevant corrosion and materials selection guidance is available

This project 1) develops corrosion test methods, 2) generates corrosion data for wide range of alloys, 3) identifies alloy/coating paths for > 40% improved corrosion resistance
Quad Chart Overview

**Timeline**
- Begin: Oct 1, 2013
- End: Sept 30, 2015
- 75% Percent complete

**Budget**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Total Costs FY 10 – FY 12</th>
<th>FY 13 Costs</th>
<th>FY 14 Costs ($k)</th>
<th>Total Planned Funding (FY 15-Project End Date ($k)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>DOE Funded</strong></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>278k</td>
<td>321k</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Project Cost Share (Comp.)</strong>*</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9k</td>
<td>9k</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Barriers**
- Barriers addressed
  - Corrosion data under cookstove relevant conditions not available
  - Cookstove relevant corrosion test methods not established
  - Alloy/coating candidates for cookstove combustor must be very low cost to permit widespread adoption

**Partners**
- Partners
  - **Colorado State University** (CSU) (subcontractor, 25%)
  - **Enviropit International** (cost share partner)
- Coatings for test from Stony Brook U. and NETL
- Input for relevant alloys from Burn Design Lab and BioLite Stove
Project Overview- Metal Combustor Corrosion

Example Test Stove Combustion Chambers

Corrosion Resistant = Years of Use  Excessive Corrosion = Won’t be Used

• Metal alloy combustors lightweight, robust, permit range of designs
• Challenging conditions → High temperature & burning biomass produces mixtures of corrosive $H_2O$, salt, ash, and sulfur species
• Efficiency and emission benefits of clean cookstoves not realized if they fail after a few months of use
• Develop corrosion test methods, data, and mitigation strategies
Technical Approach

• Develop and compare in-situ cookstove and lab furnace corrosion test methods
  • Can lab furnace test yield relevant findings? (also compare with previous cookstove field exposures)

• Establish corrosion rates and mechanism(s) for candidate commercial alloys (publish data for access by all)
  • OEMs use findings to guide metal combustor alloy selection
  • OEMs use findings as basis for corrosion lifetime modeling

• Assess future alloy and coating directions for improved corrosion resistance
  • 40% reduced corrosion rate target
  • Cost is key design property criteria (varies with OEM, targeted use/region)

• Develop combustion model for insight into biomass fuel effects
  • Impacts temperature and corrosive species generated
  • Evaluate in light of sustainability indicators and relevant biomass
Management Approach

• Critical Success Factors
  - wide public dissemination of alloy corrosion test data for OEMs
  - ID low-cost alloy/coating strategies to reduce corrosion

• Challenges
  - Materials must be very low cost to permit widespread adoption
  - How to simulate cookstove combustor environment in lab

• Teaming Strategy
  - CSU and Envirofit have extensive field experience (permits us to compare lab corrosion to field-observed corrosion mechanisms)
  - Envirofit has extensive cookstove commercialization experience (650,000 stoves in 45 countries): previously identified CSU-Envirofit-ORNL Combustor Alloy in Use (2014 patent)
Barriers Addressed

- Lack of relevant corrosion data for candidate combustor alloys

- Tradeoff between cost, cookstove lifetimes, and improved operations
  - Lower emission/better efficiency designs → higher temperatures & more corrosion
  - Low cost materials corrode and fail → not used
  - High cost materials are not affordable → not used

- Cookstove OEMs typically have insufficient resources to identify or develop suitable cookstove combustor materials
  - Leverage extensive ORNL expertise in high-temperature energy system materials to select or design corrosion-resistant, low-cost alloys/coatings for improved cookstoves
“Rough” Cookstove Lifetime Parameters

- 5 h usage per day $\rightarrow$ 10,000 h hot lifetime needed for $\sim$5 years use
- 1000 h In-Situ Cookstove test $\rightarrow$ 10-20% of Desired Lifetime: enough to extrapolate for lifetime estimates of metal combustors (test samples both faces exposed to biomass corrosion, actual combustor 1-face only)
- Corrosion rates in biomass cookstoves VERY biomass fuel dependent

Evaluate multiple candidate alloys at high temperatures with model salted wood
Cookstove Test: Burn Model Salted Wood to Promote Corrosiveness

• Soak Acacia wood trim in salt water
• 1000 \( \mu \text{g/g} \) salt level targeted based on analysis of field-encountered corrosive biomass

• Monitoring of approximate salt absorption by conductivity meter
• Salted wood dried for use in stove
• Batches analyzed for salt content to ensure repeatable and relevant results
Utilizing Two Corrosion Test Methods

In-Situ Cookstove Test

Top View: Test Samples In Cookstove

- Better method but time intensive/costly
- Model salted wood to simulate corrosive biomass, ~600-650°C average temperature

Lab Furnace Test

Top View: Test Samples

Test Temp: 600 & 800°C, 10 vol.% H₂O, Salt

- Lab furnace test: cheaper/faster, sufficiently realistic?
- 100 h cycles, simulate biomass by add 10 vol. % H₂O, salt sample face every cycle

1000 h total: assess corrosion by sample mass change and sectioning
Wide Range of Alloys/Coatings in Test (Cr, Ni, Mo Drive Cost but Help Corrosion)

- **Austenitic Stainless Steels**
  - 201: Fe-18Cr-7Mn-5Ni (0.6x cost 316L)
  - 316L: Fe-17Cr-10Ni-2Mo (∼$2/lb range)
  - 310S: Fe-25Cr-20Ni (2x cost 316L)

- **Ferritic Stainless and Related**
  - 446: Fe-25Cr (comparable cost 316L)
  - FeCrAlY: Fe-20Cr-5Al-0.05Y (1.5-3x cost 316L)

- **Fe-Cr-X model developmental alloys** (X = Al, Si)

- **Alumina-Forming Austenitics (AFA)**: Fe-14Cr-(12-25)Ni-(2.5-4)Al
  - ORNL developed, commercialization initiated, 1.5 – 5x cost 316L

- **Coatings** (evaluated on FeCrAlY)
  - Pre-oxidized to form Al₂O₃
  - CeO₂ washcoat developed by National Energy Technology Lab
  - Thermal spray ZrO₂ + cermets (with Stony Brook University)

- **Claddings/Coatings**: Pure Ni (evaluated as solid Ni piece)
Manage High Temperature Corrosion by Forming Slow-Growing Oxide on Metal Alloy

- Corrosion can completely consume a metal component—can’t stop corrosion, just manage it for intended lifetime
- Want diffusion-limited growth of dense, continuous, and adherent oxide layer: typically use $\text{Cr}_2\text{O}_3$ or $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ above 600°C
FeCrAlY and 310S Lowest Mass Change of Commercial Alloys From In-Situ Cookstove Testing

In-Situ Cookstove Test 500-850°C range, 600-650°C average

- High mass change = BAD
- Low mass change = MIGHT be good, cross-section to assess

• Significant mass loss (oxide scale flake off) for 201, 316L, and 446
  - salted wood successfully yielding corrosive conditions
  - samples running to 1000 h, duplicates pulled for sectioning at 100, 500, 1000 h

• FeCrAl ± Y and 310S are benchmark, state-of-the-art alloys for cookstoves
Lab Furnace & In-Situ Cookstove Tests Comparable (similar attack)

Electron Microscopy Cross-Sections of 316L (17Cr-10Ni) Stainless Steel

- 100 h 600°C Furnace Test
- 500 h 600°C Furnace Test
- 1000 h 600°C Furnace Test

- 100 h Cookstove Test
- 500 h Cookstove Test

- Corrosion features similar
- Corrosion rate higher in cookstove test than 600°C furnace test; 800°C test started
- Corrosion rates basis to estimate combustor lifetime
Similar Attack of 310 (20Ni-25Cr) Between CSU Cookstove Tests, ORNL 600°C Furnace, and Field-Evaluated Combustor Chamber Exposure

100 h In-Situ Cookstove

100 h 600°C Lab Furnace

1000 h 600°C Lab Furnace

500 h In-Situ Cookstove

Field-Evaluated Test Chamber
Electron Microscopy/Elemental Mapping to Understand Corrosion Attack Mechanism

Cross-Section/Elemental Maps Show Multiple Species Attack of FeCrAl Type Metal Combustor After Field Use (prior analysis)

- Findings used to guide material selection or development for reduced corrosion
- Similar characterization in progress on in-situ cookstove and lab furnace samples
Pre-Oxidized FeCrAlY Shows Reduced Corrosion in 600°C Lab Furnace Test

Electron Microscopy Cross-Sections of FeCrAlY Alloy

Electron Microscopy Cross-Sections of Pre-Oxidized FeCrAlY Alloy

• Pre-oxidation forms protective 1-2 micron Al₂O₃ surface layer before salt attack
• Insights for attack mechanism and possible coating strategies
• Limited time/temperature effect before lose protection (commercially viable?)
Pure Nickel Shows Outstanding Resistance to Salt in 600°C Lab Furnace Test

Electron Microscopy Cross-Section of 500 h Pure Nickel

Test Sample Surface Pictures

- Ni oxidation may be too fast > 700°C+ (800°C test in progress, ok thus far)
- In-situ cookstove test started: good early results (concern is Ni susceptible to sulfur species attack, S level varies with biomass source)
- Ni costly but may be of interest as clad/coat if continues to show promise
Ongoing Plans For Corrosion Testing

• Complete 1000 h in-situ cookstove test data with model control salted wood

• Complete 1000 h lab furnace testing at 800°C (600°C already completed)
  • up to ~15 alloys/coatings under study (cross-section and analyze)

• Too early to give definitive feedback on which alloys/coatings doing best (showed only a portion of results to date)
  • hints that pure Ni may resist salt attack well
  • internal attack + flaking oxide for many alloys → both mass change and cross-section analysis to properly assess corrosion

• Anticipate submission of open literature publication(s) on corrosion findings by Sept 2015.
Predictive Computational Model of Biomass Combustion to Support Corrosion Studies

• Objectives
  • Evaluate combustion performance of multiple biomass fuel chemistries
  • Provide insight for cookstove combustion chamber environment
  • Model temperatures and chemistries (key corrosion variables)

• Approach
  • Envirofit G-3300 rocket-type cook stove as model test bed
  • Leverage available experimental and temperature data

Computational Domain of Simplified Combustion Chamber Geometry:
Colors represent vertical location, not temperature.
Implementation in Progress

• Framework: **MFI**X (**M**ultiphase **F**low with **I**nterphase **X**changes), open-source, DOE-sponsored code initially developed for coal gasification starting in the late 1980s
  • Solves continuity, momentum and energy equations with programmable chemistry coupling on a structured grid (cut-cell Cartesian available)
  • Radiative heat transport treatment can be traditional view-factor approach or discrete ordinate method with participating media

• Chemistry: gas-phase combustion of biomass gasification products, inclusion of solids pyrolysis leveraging on-going Computational Pyrolysis Consortium in late implementations
  • Output: thermochemical profile in stove, particularly in chimney; flame front not resolved

• End product: publicly available simulation setup to assess how biomass fuel impacts temperatures and corrosive species
Examine Sustainability Criteria Developed for Bioenergy for Application to Cookstoves

- Greenhouse gas emissions
- Productivity
- Biological diversity
- Air quality
- Soil quality
- Water quality and quantity
- Social well being
- Social acceptability
- Resource conservation
- External trade
- Energy security
- Profitability

McBride et al. (2011) *Ecological Indicators* 11:1277-1289


Small support task: qualitative assessment of potential to extend this approach to improved cookstoves (ICS) and assessment of biomass cookstove fuels
Looking at the ICS supply chain in terms of sustainability indicators

Focus of this study

Co-Uses: heat, light curing, food...

Household needs

Cookstove efficiency

Environmental
- Soil quality
- Water
- Greenhouse gases
- Biodiversity
- Air quality
- Productivity

Categories without major effects

Socioeconomic
- Profitability
- Social well being
- External trade
- Energy security
- Resource conservation
- Social acceptability
Continued Examples of Criteria and Indicators Applied to Improved Cookstoves

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Environment</td>
<td>Indicator 12. CO₂ equivalent emissions (CO₂ and N₂O)</td>
<td>kgC&lt;sub&gt;eq&lt;/sub&gt;/GJ</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Indicator 15. Tropospheric ozone</td>
<td>ppb</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Indicator 16. Carbon monoxide</td>
<td>ppm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Indicator 17. Total particulate matter less than 2.5μm diameter (PM&lt;sub&gt;2.5&lt;/sub&gt;)</td>
<td>μg/m&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Indicator 18. Total particulate matter less than 10μm diameter (PM&lt;sub&gt;10&lt;/sub&gt;)</td>
<td>μg/m&lt;sup&gt;3&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Public data from field trials on improved cookstoves considered to complete “red-yellow-green” sustainability appraisal

Positive Impact
Positive or Negative
Negative Impact
Examples of Criteria and Indicators Applied to Improved Cookstoves

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Social well-being</td>
<td>Employment</td>
<td>Number of full time equivalent (FTE) jobs</td>
<td>Positive Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Household income</td>
<td>Dollars per day</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Work days lost due to injury</td>
<td>Average number of work days lost per</td>
<td>Negative Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resource</td>
<td>Depletion of non-renewable energy resources</td>
<td>MT (amount of petroleum extracted per year)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>conservation</td>
<td>Fossil Energy Return on Investment (fossil EROI)</td>
<td>MJ (ratio of amount of fossil energy inputs to amount of useful energy output)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Relevance

Envirofit G-3300 Wood-Burning Cookstove

- Envirofit-CSU-ORNL 2012 FLC Tech. Transfer Award
- 2014 CSU-Envirofit-ORNL Patent

• 2007-2011 ORNL Interaction with Envirofit and CSU led to identification and specification of low-cost, corrosion-resistant metal combustor alloy
  - now utilized across multiple Envirofit cookstove platforms: ~650,000 stoves sold

• Current project will publish corrosion test methods, data, and mitigation approaches to achieve next generation cookstove combustor materials
  - better corrosion resistance → higher-temps./increased efficiencies/less emission
  - better corrosion resistance → more corrosive fuels for wider cookstove usage
Future Work

• Project ends Sept 2015

• Key Milestone is open publication of corrosion findings
  - 1000 h in-situ cookstove test, 1000 h lab test (600°C, 800°C)
  - 15 commercial and developmental alloys/coatings assessed

• Complete supporting combustion model to predict biomass fuel temperature and species. Complete assessment of sustainability indicator approach to clean cookstoves.
Summary: Multi-Pronged Project Focused on Metal Combustor Corrosion

- Developing corrosion test methods relevant for improved cookstoves
- Evaluating commercial, developmental, and model alloys and coatings for corrosion resistance
- Developing combustion model to aide assessment of biomass fuels for temperature and chemical species effects relevant to corrosion
- Assessing potential for DOE developed sustainability indicators to be applied to clean cookstoves
Additional Slides
Acknowledgements

1 Mike Brady, 2 Kelly Banta, 2 John Mizia, 1 Charles Finney, 1 Keith Kline, 2 A. Lelek, 2 Morgan DeFoort, 3 Nathan Lorenz, 1 Jim Keiser, and 1 Tim Theiss

1. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN
2. Engines and Energy Conversion Lab, Colorado State University
Responses to Previous Reviewers’ Comments

• Project started FY14, not previously reviewed

• Go/No-Go Decision Points

  - FY14: combustion modeling approach modified from lumped-zone steady-state model to a high-dimensional numerical implementation to permit greater focus on the biomass pyrolysis, combustion and radiative heat-transfer models and leverage efforts of the Computational Pyrolysis Consortium for cookstoves

  - FY15: Additional developmental alloys and coatings were added to the corrosion test matrix based on assessment of corrosion resistance of the initial alloy and coating test set after 500 h lab testing. Goal is to identify path to > 40% reduction in corrosion rate
Publications, Patents, Presentations, Awards, and Commercialization

• “Corrosion Considerations for Metallic Combustors in Low-Cost, Clean Biomass Cookstoves”, presented at ETHOS 2015, January 24-25, 2015, Kirkland, WA USA

• “Combustion Materials Durability Relationships for Improved Low-Cost Clean Cookstoves”, presented at DOE BETO Cookstove Program Review, January 23, 2015, Seattle, WA USA

• Journal paper submission(s) planned for Sept 2015

1000 $\mu$g/g Target Wood Salt Level Based on Highly Corrosive Haitian Charcoal

- Measurement - Total halogen content: $\frac{\mu g \text{ halogen}}{g \text{ fuel}}$
- Ideal test fuel same halogen content as known highly corrosive fuel: e.g. Haitian charcoals (many fuels have high halogen levels)
- Target wood salt value: $\sim 1000 \ \mu g/g$

Charcoal Stove Fuel Grate (2 Mos. Use)

Haitian Briquette Charcoal
1390 $\mu$g/g Salt

Haitian Mangrove Lump Charcoal
760 $\mu$g/g Salt
Exposure in Cookstoves

- Before burning, coupons are cleaned, measured, weighed, and photographed.
- Every 50 hours, coupons are removed then weighed and photographed to track progress.
- 2 stoves, 15 coupons per stove.

Coupons in Fixture

Fixture in Stove
Comparison Between In-Situ Cookstove and 600°C Lab Furnace Mass Change Data

- Significant mass loss (material loss) for 201, 316L, and 446 in cookstove
- 201 very poor at 600°C lab furnace test, 316L borderline
- High mass loss/gain = BAD; low mass loss/gain = MIGHT be good, section to assess
500 h Cookstove Test Alloy Cross-Sections Show Internal Attack in FeCrAlY and 310S

- Internal attack = oxide (sulfide, etc) protruding into metal: tolerable if slow grow
- Corrosion faster for 310S and FeCrAlY than suggested by mass gain data