

**RECEIVED**  
*By Docket Room at*

**From:** [REDACTED]  
**To:** [FERGAS](#)  
**Cc:** [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** FEDocket No 14-179-LNG  
**Date:** Monday, February 09, 2015 4:06:16 PM

---

Dear Mr Paul Scheihing and other relevant recipients,

I am writing in regards to Department of Energy FEDocket No 14-179-LNG.

You have been given a very weighty decision-making power with ramifications almost beyond measure. I write to call your attention to not only the relatively narrow and parochial matter of an immediate energy supply but to urge you to consider heavily the broader range of factors over a very broad spread of time. I will try to call just some of the related issues for your consideration.

Production of all fossil fuels, have all been revealed, through extensive, repeated and well-qualified sources to be drastically harmful in an entire range of pathways\*, to human health, property, food production and especially to the planet's current path of rapid climate change.

In addition, when we openly acknowledge that the fundamental supply of fossil fuels--oil, coal and gas are both finite and rapidly dwindling, we must ask --"What in the name of sanity would lead our planet in that rapidly destructive and phenomenally costly path when the infinite supply of benign sources of power are very rapidly being shown to be a safe, and endlessly, plentifully available?"

There is a multi-facet climate catastrophe already well underway. Why would any thinking, principled person or responsible entity every support allocating precious resources (citizens' taxes from the 99%) for a fossil fuels pathway with its many, documented destructive aspects both immediate and long term?

For examples, we are already seeing dire increase in the ocean's level of CO2, threatening, among other matters, the entire planetary food chain as its fundamental beginning, plankton is already being markedly reduced;

change in the ocean's temperature leading to an altered Gulf Stream function that has been responsible for the entire more livable climate of Northern Europe;

and the melting of the Artic ice at an exponentially accelerated rate.

Is life as we know it going to go down the tubes, with untold, unimaginable ultimate human misery, because our nation cannot muster the kind of fiery initiative carried out after Pearl Harbor to almost overnight rally all of industry from peace-time production to war-time manufacture. It is possible to make similar drastic, rapid transition, already well underway toward a planet saving, culture saving, people-saving move to non-fossil fuels for our energy.

Additionally, studies indicate that if the currently serving pipelines had been properly maintained, as the 99% has always done in their own bailiwick, at the personal level, then the estimated leakage from such mismanagement would remove any suggestion of energy shortage.

For a large multitude of reasons beyond those mentioned, please, in our planet's name, reject this request to distribute 292 billion cubic feet per year of gas for 20 years!

Ruth Weizenbaum  
[REDACTED]  
Leverett, MA 01054

[REDACTED]

