

**The Quality of Management and of  
the Science and Engineering at the  
NNSA National Security  
Laboratories**

**Report of the  
National Research Council  
Committee to Review the Quality of the Management and of  
the Science and Engineering Research at the Department of  
Energy's National Security Laboratories –**

# Tasking

## FY2010 National Defense Authorization Act, P.L. 111-84

### *PHASE II*

- *Began  
March 2012*
- *Report  
September  
10, 2013*

- (1) The quality of the scientific research being conducted at the laboratory, including research with respect to weapons science, nonproliferation, energy, and basic science.
- (2) The quality of the engineering being conducted at the laboratory.
- (3) The criteria used to assess the quality of scientific research and engineering being conducted at the laboratory.
- (4) The relationship between the quality of the science and engineering at the laboratory and the contract for managing and operating the laboratory.
- (5) The management of work conducted by the laboratory for entities other than the Department of Energy, including academic institutions and other Federal agencies, and interactions between the laboratory and such entities.

### *PHASE I*

- *Began Jan  
2011*
- *Report  
delivered  
February 15,  
2012*

# Study Committees

## Phase 2

## Phase 1

*CHARLES V. SHANK; C. KUMAR N. PATEL*

W. WARNER BURKE  
 CHARLES CURTIS  
 SALLIE KELLER  
 JOHN H. MARBURGER, III  
 JAMES McGRODDY  
 MAXINE SAVITZ  
 MICHAEL TURNER

JOHN F. AHEARNE  
 JILL DAHLBURG  
 RAYMOND JEANLOZ  
 ROBERT ROSNER  
 ROBERT SELDEN

CHRISTINA BACK  
 PHILLIP COLELLA  
 ROGER FALCONE  
 YOGENDRA GUPTA  
 WICK HAXTON  
 MICHAEL HOPKINS  
 JOHN KAMMERDIENER  
 WILLIAM MARTIN  
 MARGARET MURNANE  
 ROBERT NICKELL  
 KENNETH PEDDICORD  
 PAUL PEERCY  
 ANTHONY ROLLETT  
 KENNETH SHEA  
 FRANCIS SULLIVAN  
 GARY WAS  
 KATHERINE YELICK

*NRC staff:*  
*Alan Shaw*  
*Scott Weidman*  
*Dick Rowberg*  
*Jim McGee*

Phase 1 committee  
 chosen for S&E  
 management  
 experience

Phase 2 committee  
 chosen for S&E subject  
 matter expertise

## Phase 1: Basic Observations

**There are serious management issues that can affect the quality of science and engineering and therefore the ability of the labs to accomplish their missions**

- Loss of trust between NNSA and the Labs
- Excessive operational oversight
- Partnership between the labs and the NNSA inherent to the FFRDC concept has declined

*These re-emerged in phase 2 as the committee examined factors affecting quality of S&E work*

**The change in contractors at LANL and LLNL are not the primary cause of these problems**

## Phase 2

### Four Basic Questions

- Are the laboratory mission needs being addressed today?
- Are the laboratories recruiting and training the next generation of staff?
- Are the tools and facilities at the laboratories adequate to meet mission needs?
- Is the working environment sufficient to attract and retain high quality staff?

## Overall Observation—Phase 2

**In many areas, the S&E is of very high quality . S&E quality in these four areas of fundamental importance to the nuclear mission is currently healthy and vibrant.**

However, Deterioration of the work environment for scientists and engineers can limit the quality of their work, and thus the nation's ability to benefit fully from the laboratories' potential

- Concerns include:
  - Decreasing opportunities to conduct needed experiments
  - Difficulties maintaining smaller experimental facilities
  - Deteriorating infrastructure
  - Perceived increasing burden of rules, regulations, operational formality, constraints and restrictions, and administrative burdens

## Maintaining the S&E Workforce

- Special concerns for *computation, modeling and simulation*
  - uncertainty concerning staff's ability to make good use of future high-performance computing systems
  - particular concern in core computer science areas, such as computer architecture, systems software, programming models, tools and the algorithms
  - signs of difficulty in recruiting and retention
    - Among laboratory scientists and engineers, computer-science researchers are the most mobile; easily find challenging and lucrative employment in industry; less likely to come to the labs and more likely to leave mid-career than S&Es working in other disciplines