U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY
OFFICE OF ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND RENEWABLE ENERGY
NEPA DETERMINATION

RECIPIENT: University of Florida  STATE: FL

PROJECT TITLE: Carbon Dioxide Shuttling Thermochemical Storage Using Strontium Carbonate

Funding Opportunity Announcement Number  Procurement Instrument Number  NEPA Control Number  CID Number
DE-FOA-0000605  DE-EE0006854  GFO-0006854-001

Based on my review of the information concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (authorized under DOE Order 451.1A), I have made the following determination:

CX, EA, EIS APPENDIX AND NUMBER:
Description:

A9 Information gathering, analysis, and dissemination
Information gathering (including, but not limited to, literature surveys, inventories, site visits, and audits); data analysis (including, but not limited to, computer modeling), document preparation (including, but not limited to, conceptual design, feasibility studies, and analytical energy supply and demand studies), and information dissemination (including, but not limited to, document publication and distribution, and classroom training and informational programs), but not including site characterization or environmental monitoring. (See also B3.1 of appendix B to this subpart.)

B3.6 Small-scale research and development, laboratory operations, and pilot projects
Siting, construction, modification, operation, and decommissioning of facilities for small scale research and development projects; conventional laboratory operations (such as preparation of chemical standards and sample analysis); and small-scale pilot projects (generally less than 2 years) frequently conducted to verify a concept before demonstration actions, provided that construction or modification would be within or contiguous to a previously disturbed or developed area (where active utilities and currently used roads are readily accessible). Not included in this category are demonstration actions, meaning actions that are undertaken at a scale to show whether a technology would be viable on a larger scale and suitable for commercial deployment.

Rationale for determination:
The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) is proposing to provide federal funding to the University of Florida to investigate the effectiveness of thermochemical energy storage (TCES) using the reversible reaction of strontium carbonate to strontium oxide. DOE funding would be used to advance the proposed TCES system through testing, modeling, thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) and marketing.

The project is divided into two Budget Periods. This NEPA determination applies to Budget Period 1 activities only. A Go/No Go decision will be made between Budget Periods 1 and 2. At that time, the recipient will be required to submit an application for Budget Period 2 activities, including further NEPA documentation.

During Budget Period 1, the viability of the TCES system would be thoroughly demonstrated during tasks 1.1-1.6.

- Task 1.1: Longevity tests would be performed.
- Task 1.2: Experiments would be conducted in tube furnaces.
- Task 1.3: TGA and Solar TGA studies would be performed and a model would be derived.
- Task 1.4: A micro-scale Computer Tomography would be used for pore-level simulation of fluid flow, heat transfer and chemical reaction in solid and fluid phases.
- Task 1.5: A flow sheet would be developed using process modeling software.
- Task 1.6: Formulation of a summary business plan would begin.

The experiments would occur primarily in tube furnaces and thermogravimeters in two laboratories at the University of Florida located in Gainesville, Florida, 32611. This project would use the hazardous compound strontium oxide. The University of Florida has completed an R&D questionnaire addressing the protocols for laboratory safety, risk management, chemical handling and waste disposal. All materials would be managed in accordance with federal, state and local environmental regulations. No modifications to the existing facilities would be required for the proposed project and no new permits, licenses, or authorizations would be required. The project activities would pose no risk to the public or project workers and decommissioning will not be necessary. Solid waste such as spent solid reactants would be stored in a closed satellite collection vessel and then disposed of by the University of Florida Environmental Health and Safety personnel.

Based on review of the project information and the above analysis, DOE has determined the research and development under Budget Period 1 (all tasks) would not have a significant individual or cumulative impact to human
health and/or environment. DOE has determined the proposed project is consistent with actions contained in DOE
categorical exclusion A9 "information gathering, analysis and dissemination" and B3.6 "small-scale research and
development, laboratory operations, and pilot projects" and is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

NEPA PROVISION
DOE has made a conditional NEPA determination for this award, and funding for certain tasks under this award is contingent upon
the final NEPA determination.

Insert the following language in the award:

You are restricted from taking any action using federal funds, which would have an adverse affect on the environment
or limit the choice of reasonable alternatives prior to DOE/NNSA providing either a NEPA clearance or a final NEPA
decision regarding the project.

Prohibited actions include:
Budget Period 2 activities.
This restriction does not preclude you from:
Budget Period 1 activities.
If you move forward with activities that are not authorized for federal funding by the DOE Contracting Officer in advance of the
final NEPA decision, you are doing so at risk of not receiving federal funding and such costs may not be recognized as allowable
cost share.

Note to Specialist:

May Mock 04/02/2014

This NEPA determination requires a tailored NEPA provision.

SIGNATURE OF THIS MEMORANDUM CONSTITUTES A RECORD OF THIS DECISION.
NEPA Compliance Officer Signature: ________________________________ Date: 4/4/2014

FIELD OFFICE MANAGER DETERMINATION

☐ Field Office Manager review required

NCO REQUESTS THE FIELD OFFICE MANAGER REVIEW FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON:

☐ Proposed action fits within a categorical exclusion but involves a high profile or controversial issue that warrants Field Office
Manager's attention.

☐ Proposed action falls within an EA or EIS category and therefore requires Field Office Manager's review and determination.

BASED ON MY REVIEW I CONCUR WITH THE DETERMINATION OF THE NCO:

Field Office Manager's Signature: ________________________________ Date: __________________

Field Office Manager