Return Condensate to the Boiler

When steam transfers its heat in a manufacturing process, heat exchanger, or heating coil, it reverts to a liquid phase called condensate. An attractive method of improving your power plant’s energy efficiency is to increase the condensate return to the boiler.

Returning hot condensate to the boiler makes sense for several reasons. As more condensate is returned, less make-up water is required, saving fuel, makeup water, and chemicals and treatment costs. Less condensate discharged into a sewer system reduces disposal costs. Return of high purity condensate also reduces energy losses due to boiler blowdown. Significant fuel savings occur as most returned condensate is relatively hot (130°F to 225°F), reducing the amount of cold makeup water (50°F to 60°F) that must be heated.

A simple calculation indicates that energy in the condensate can be more than 10% of the total steam energy content of a typical system. The graph shows the heat remaining in the condensate at various condensate temperatures, for a steam system operating at 100 pounds per-square-inch-gauge (psig), with makeup water at 55°F.

Let:

- \( h_c \) = enthalpy of condensate at 180°F = 148 Btu/lb
- \( h_m \) = enthalpy of makeup water at 55°F = 23 Btu/lb
- \( h_s \) = enthalpy of steam at 100 psig = 1,189 Btu/lb

Heat remaining in condensate (%):

\[
\frac{(h_c - h_m)}{(h_s - h_m)} \times 100
\]

\[
= \frac{(148 - 23)}{(1,189 - 23)} \times 100 = 11.0\%
\]

Example

Consider a steam system that returns an additional 10,000 pounds per hour (lb/hr) of condensate at 180°F after distribution modifications. Assume this system operates 8,000 hours annually with an average boiler efficiency of 80%, and makeup water temperature of 55°F. The water and sewage costs for the plant are
$0.002 per gallon ($/gal), and the water treatment cost is $0.002/gal. The fuel cost is $8.00 per million Btu ($8.00/MMBtu). Assuming a 12% flash steam loss, calculate overall savings.

Annual Water, Sewage, & Chemicals Savings
\[
= (1 - \text{Flash Steam Fraction}) \times (\text{Condensate Load, lb/hr}) \times \text{Annual Operating Hours} \times (\frac{\text{Total Water Costs, $/gal}}{\text{Water Density, lb/gal}})
\]
\[
= (1 - 0.12) \times 10,000 \times 8,000 \times \frac{0.004}{8.34}
\]
\[
= $33,760
\]

Annual Fuel Savings
\[
= (1 - \text{Flash Steam Fraction}) \times (\text{Condensate Load, lb/hr}) \times \text{Annual Operating Hours} \times (\text{Makeup Water Temperature Rise, °F}) \times (\frac{\text{Fuel Cost, $/MMBtu}}{(\text{Boiler Efficiency} \times 10^6 \text{ Btu/MMBtu})})
\]
\[
= (1 - 0.12) \times 10,000 \times 8,000 \times (180 - 55) \times \frac{8.00 \times 1}{(0.80 \times 10^6)}
\]
\[
= $88,000
\]

Total Annual Savings Due to Return of an Additional 10,000 lb/hr of Condensate
\[
= $33,760 + $88,000
\]
\[
= $121,760
\]

Condensate Recovery Produces Savings
A large specialty paper plant reduced its boiler makeup water rate from about 35% of steam production to between 14% and 20% by returning additional condensate. Annual savings added up to more than $300,000.

Adapted from an Energy TIPS fact sheet that was originally published by the Industrial Energy Extension Service of Georgia Tech.

---

*When saturated condensate is reduced to some lower pressure, some condensate flashes off to steam again. This amount is the flash steam loss.