Effect of Ambient Pressure on Diesel Spray Axial Velocity and Internal Structure
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Good Understanding of Spray Structure is Important in Diesel Combustion

- Performance and emissions of diesel engines are closely tied to the spray from the injector
  - Excessive penetration → wall wetting → UHC emissions
  - Poor spray pattern → poor fuel-air mixing → increased emissions

- Two of the most important variables that effect spray behavior are injection pressure and ambient density
  - Penetration speed increased by higher injection pressure and lower ambient density
  - Cone angle seems to increase as ambient density increases
Current Spray Diagnostic Techniques Are Inadequate

- Most spray measurements are based on optical measurements
  - Adequate for measuring penetration speed
  - Can’t probe internal spray structure in dense regions
  - Often not quantitative, due to strong scattering effects
- There are important parameters these optical techniques can’t show
  - Mass distribution of fuel in the spray
  - Fuel velocity away from the leading edge
- Need a nonintrusive, quantitative technique to measure sprays
X-Rays Give a Quantitative Determination of Fuel Distribution

\[ I = I_0 e^{-M \mu_M} \]

\[ M = \frac{\ln(I_0 / I)}{\mu_M} \]

- \( I_0 \): Incident x-ray intensity
- \( I \): Measured x-ray intensity
- \( \mu_M \): Fuel absorption coefficient
- \( M \): Projected mass density, mass/area
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Radiography Has Good Spatial and Time Resolution

- Resulting data: 2-D fuel mass distribution as a function of time
- Measurement range
  - 0.2 – 6.0 mm axial
  - -1.4 – 1.2 mm transverse
  - 2 ms of data
- Time step 3.68 μs
  - 0.07 CAD at 3000 rpm
Experiment Details

- Light-duty diesel common-rail injector: solenoid driven
- Axial single hole
  - Non-hydroground: r/D = 0.2
  - Orifice diameter 207 μm
  - L/D = 4.7
- Injection parameters
  - Injection pressure: 500 and 1000 bar
  - Injection duration: 1000 μs
  - Ambient gas: N₂ at room temperature
  - Ambient pressure: 5 bar and 20 bar
  - Liquid: Diesel calibration fluid with cerium additive
Spray Evolution for Different Ambient Densities, 1000 bar Injection Pressure
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Penetration Results

- Penetration of leading edge vs. time
- Increased ambient density reduces penetration speed
  - Not as much as accepted correlations indicate
- Lower injection pressure reduces penetration speed
  - More than expected
- Dynamics of injector opening are important to early penetration results
Previous Cone Angle Results

- Cone angle measurements help explain how the fuel mixes with the ambient gas
- Typically found by examining optical spray images
- X-ray radiography gives much more detail than optical measurements on the internal mass distribution
  - Based on a well-defined, quantitative measure of the fuel distribution
  - Can record cone angle as a function of time
Use of Radiography to Find Cone Angle
Cone Angle Changes Significantly with Time

- Define cone angle based on the mass distributions across the spray
- Time period of few hundred µs for cone angle to reach steady state
- Much smaller than typical optical cone angles
  - Examining spray core
  - Optical measurements show spray periphery
- Injection pressure has little effect on steady state cone angle
- Increased chamber pressure increases cone angle
Spray Axial Velocity Determination

- Axial velocity of spray in dense regions of the spray is not well known
- Axial velocity affects:
  - Penetration speed
  - Shear with ambient gas
  - Initialize spray breakup models
- Radiography can be used to determine the mass-averaged axial velocity of the spray for limited time spans near the beginning of the spray event
  - Due to quantitative measurement of mass as a function of time
  - Velocity measured as a function of both x and t
  - Limited to time just after the start of injection
Spray Axial Velocity Increases Over Several Microseconds

- Spray velocity vs. time near the nozzle exit (x = 0.2 mm)
- Both cases have same commanded injection timing and injection pressure (1000 bar)
- Seeing the transient in the spray velocity as the needle opens
- Same slope of injection velocity vs. t, but offset by 5-7 µs
- Bernoulli velocity = 474 m/s, so the needle is probably not yet fully open in either case
Spray Axial Velocity Is Strongly Affected by Ambient Density

- Spray velocity vs. x 33 µs after SOI
- Spray moves more slowly as distance from nozzle increases
  - Reduction in spray velocity due to aerodynamic interactions
  - Fluid at spray tip was injected at a slower velocity and so should move more slowly
- Difference in the two curves suggests that aerodynamic interactions slow the spray down more quickly with axial distance at higher ambient density
Future Work

- Continue to increase ambient pressure (density) of measurements
  - Further measurements at 30 bar ambient pressure in the near future
  - Near the ambient density in-cylinder near TDC
- Perform measurements on multi-hole nozzles
  - More representative of applied nozzle geometries
  - More parameters to explore: spray angle, VCO vs. minisac
- Further refine the velocity determination
  - Improve signal/noise of measurements
  - Attempt to incorporate mechanical ROI measurements with the x-ray density measurements
Future Work (cont.)

- Measurements on a heavy-duty HEUI injector
  - Full 6-hole production nozzle
  - Measurements in progress
- Measurements with biofuels
  - Completed initial measurements with a biodiesel blend fuel
- Dedicated transportation applications x-ray facility under construction
  - Limited access to x-ray source in the past
  - Dedicated facility will increase access to x-ray source
**Future Work: Spray Axial Velocity Determination**

\[ m_{cv} (x > x_0, t) = - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \rho(x_0, y, z, t) \cdot \nabla (x_0, y, z, t) \cdot \hat{n} \cdot dz \cdot dy \]

\[ V_{ma} (x_0, t) = \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \rho(x_0, y, z, t) \cdot V(x_0, y, z, t) \cdot dz \cdot dy}{\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \rho(x_0, y, z, t) \cdot dz \cdot dy} \]