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Funding and Duration

- Project start date: March 2004
- Project end date (projected): Sept 2007
- DOE Funding
  - FY2004: $150,000
  - FY2005: $200,000
Project Objectives

- To gain basic understanding of hydrogen permeation behavior (absorption, diffusion, trapping, etc.) and its impact on hydrogen embrittlement of pipeline steels under high gaseous pressures relevant to hydrogen gas transmission pipeline
- To develop suitable welding technology for H₂ pipeline construction and repair
- To develop technical basis and guidelines to ensure the integrity and safety of H₂ pipelines
Approach

- High-pressure H$_2$ permeation and mechanical tests using ORNL’s internally heated pressure vessel (IHPV)
  - Hydrogen permeation (solubility and diffusivity) and embrittlement behavior as function of pressure and temperature
  - Effects of steel composition, microstructure, and surface condition (including coating)
  - Effects of welding: weld microstructure, residual stress, and geometrical discontinuities
  - A database for common pipeline steels
- Low Pressure H$_2$ permeation testing using SRNL’s permeation test facility
  - Hydrogen permeation (solubility and diffusivity) to verify Sievert’s law behavior
  - Effects of coating, surface activators, and temperature
- A risk assessment based approach to ensure the integrity and safety of H$_2$ pipelines
- Investigation of modern, hydrogen-cracking resistant, high-strength pipeline steels for hydrogen delivery and storage
- Development of welding/joining technology for H$_2$ pipelines
  - Weld microstructure management
  - Weld residual stress management
  - Hydrogen management
Our research so far has focused on permeation behavior of pipeline steels

- Lack of hydrogen permeation data for pipeline steels under high-pressure gaseous hydrogen conditions in the open literature

- Progress to date
  - Developed high-pressure $H_2$ permeation testing apparatus and testing procedure
  - Selection of pipeline steels and weld material
  - Initial $H_2$ permeation data at both low and high pressure levels
Pipeline steels X52 and X65 and a weld metal with high-aluminum content were selected for current research.

- **API Grade X52 steel - 1950 production**
  - 20 inch dia - 0.312 inch thick
  - Fe, 0.3%C, 1.16%Mn (wt.%)

- **API Grade X65 steel - 1990 production**
  - 16 inch dia - 0.500 inch thick
  - Fe, 0.18%C, 1.36%Mn (wt.%)

- **Weld metal**
  - Self-Shielded Flux Cored Arc Weld
  - Fe, 0.22%C, 0.53%Mn, 1.77%Al (wt.%)
High-pressure $\text{H}_2$ testing apparatus

- ORNL has a internally-heated pressure vessel (IHPV) commissioned for high-pressure gaseous hydrogen experiments.
- This vessel has been upgraded for high-pressure hydrogen permeation and mechanical testing.
- The entire facility is designed and engineered for safety.
IHPV high-pressure H$_2$ testing apparatus

- High hydrogen pressures can be achieved from room temperature to 1000°C
  - Vessel rated for operation at pressures up to 150,000 psi
- Pressure measurements accurate to 0.1 psi
- Temperature measurements accurate to 0.1°C.
- A large internal “working cavity”
In parallel with the high pressure tests, we also conducted low-pressure permeation experiments.

Simple conflat flange design made of the testing steel.

SRNL’s low pressure apparatus: up to 1000 torr (19 psia) & 500°C
Permeation Test Basics

- $\text{H}_2$ at the upstream side (charging side) is maintained at pre-determined pressure level
- Atomic hydrogen diffuses through the testing sample and collects at the downstream side into a constant volume chamber
- $\text{H}_2$ pressure increase in the CV chamber is recorded as function of time
Typical permeation curve under high $\text{H}_2$ pressure

X52 steel (P1-7-18-05), $\text{H}_2$ charging condition: 545 psi, 170°C
No measurable background leak, temperature is very stable
Determination of “effective” diffusivity and concentration from permeation test

- Basic assumption:
  - Diffusivity is independent of H concentration
- “Effective” diffusivity determined from the accumulated pressure vs time curve using the asymptotic slope method
  \[ D_{\text{eff}} = \frac{l^2}{6t_{\text{lag}}} \]
- Atomic hydrogen concentration at the upstream side (max concentration) determined from the steady state permeation rate and diffusivity:
  \[ C_{\text{max}} = J_{\text{ss}} \frac{l}{D_{\text{eff}}} \]
- Data analysis procedure will be further refined in FY06 to deal with the surface effects and concentration dependent diffusivity issues

\[ J_{\text{ss}} = D_{\text{eff}} \frac{C_{\text{max}} - C_0}{l} \]
Permeability

- Permeability: the rate of hydrogen flux passing through the material – A good direct index of the \( H_2 \) leak rate though the pipe

\[
J = P \frac{\sqrt{\Delta p_{H_2}}}{l}
\]

- In this study, permeability is determined after the flux reaches steady-state

\[
P = J_{ss} \frac{l}{\sqrt{\Delta p_{H_2}}} \left( \frac{psi^{1/2}}{cm \ sec} \right)
\]

- The amount of hydrogen is converted to pressure in a 1 cm\(^3\) volume at 25°C
High-Pressure Permeation Measurement

- X52 steel
- Surface condition:
  - Upstream side: EDM cut surface
  - Downstream side: hand-polished with grit 600 sandpaper
- One temperature (~170°C) and two pressure levels (~540, and 1020 psi)
- One sample charged several times
  - Degassing at testing temperature for >4x saturation time
  - Diffusible hydrogen is sufficiently removed, but trapped hydrogen (if any) will remain in the sample
Permeation Curves for X52 under High H2 Pressure

![Graph showing permeation curves under high H2 pressure.](chart)

- First run
- Second run
- Third run
- Fourth run
- Fifth run
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Time (min)

1000 psi

540 psi
Initial transients varied considerably

![Graph showing accumulated pressure over time for different runs.](image)

- **First run**
- **Second run**
- **Third run**
- **Fourth run**
- **Fifth run**

- **1000 psi**
- **540 psi**
Effective Diffusivity & Permeability

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Condition</th>
<th>First run</th>
<th>Second run</th>
<th>Third run</th>
<th>Fourth run</th>
<th>Fifth run</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Temperature, (°C)</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness, (cm)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upstream pressure, psi</td>
<td>499</td>
<td>533</td>
<td>544</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>1022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permeability (psi$^{1/2}$/sec/cm)</td>
<td>4.364E-06</td>
<td>4.273E-05</td>
<td>4.112E-05</td>
<td>4.233E-05</td>
<td>4.685E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tlag, (min)</td>
<td>-333.9</td>
<td>121.0</td>
<td>464.7</td>
<td>26.1</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffusivity, (cm²/sec)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>5.74E-08</td>
<td>1.49E-08</td>
<td>2.66E-07</td>
<td>1.95E-06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- First run (virgin sample) had negative $t_{lag}$
- Considerable variation in effective diffusivity
  - For same condition testing (between 2$^{nd}$ to 4$^{th}$ run): one order of magnitude
  - Effect of initial transient
  - High pressure level, higher diffusivity
- Permeability: very consistent
  - Pressure is standardized for 1cm³ volume at 25°C
- Causes of initial transient variation are unclear
High-pressure testing sample: no noticeable surface color change

Upstream side: EDM cut surface

Downstream side: hand-polished with 600 grit sandpaper
Microstructural changes are not significant after exposure to many days of high-pressure H2 at 170°C

After repeated H2 charge

Before H2 charge

Microhardness: 181Hv

Microhardness: 189Hv
Low pressure permeation testing

- To investigate the effects of surface condition
  - Different surface coatings

- To separate surface and hydrogen trap effects by using two different sample thickness
Low Pressure Permeation Test Results
150°C 700 Torr

- X52 Bare
- X65 Pd 1 mm
- X65 Ox 1 mm
- X65 Bare 1 mm
- X65 0.5 mm

Pressure (Torr) vs. Time (min)
## Data Compilation: Both Labs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample ID</th>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>Pressure (psi)</th>
<th>Surface</th>
<th>Thickness (cm)</th>
<th>Diffusivity (cm²/s)</th>
<th>Permeability (psi⁰.⁵/cm/s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P1-2</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>Lt grind</td>
<td>0.0508</td>
<td>2.78E-09</td>
<td>4.03E-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1-2</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>Tested</td>
<td>0.0508</td>
<td>2.73E-08</td>
<td>4.02E-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1-2</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>Tested</td>
<td>0.0508</td>
<td>1.46E-08</td>
<td>1.08E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1-2</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>Tested</td>
<td>0.0508</td>
<td>4.42E-07</td>
<td>7.93E-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2-2</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>Tested</td>
<td>0.0508</td>
<td>7.95E-09</td>
<td>5.24E-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2-2</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>Tested</td>
<td>0.0508</td>
<td>9.39E-08</td>
<td>1.18E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2-2</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>Tested</td>
<td>0.0508</td>
<td>9.92E-07</td>
<td>1.67E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2-2</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>Tested</td>
<td>0.0508</td>
<td>4.82E-07</td>
<td>2.36E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2-3</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>Lt grind</td>
<td>0.0508</td>
<td>2.75E-08</td>
<td>1.25E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2-3</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>Tested</td>
<td>0.0508</td>
<td>5.43E-08</td>
<td>1.47E-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2-5</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>Pd Coated</td>
<td>0.1016</td>
<td>6.68E-06</td>
<td>2.96E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2-5</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>6.75</td>
<td>Tested</td>
<td>0.1016</td>
<td>8.67E-05</td>
<td>2.41E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2-5</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>Tested</td>
<td>0.1016</td>
<td>2.47E-04</td>
<td>2.43E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2-7</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>200C/4 hours</td>
<td>0.1016</td>
<td>1.08E-05</td>
<td>9.00E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2-7</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>Tested</td>
<td>0.1016</td>
<td>1.32E-03</td>
<td>1.53E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1-ORNL</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>499.1</td>
<td>Lt grind</td>
<td>0.0508</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.36E-06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1-ORNL</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>533.2</td>
<td>Tested</td>
<td>0.0508</td>
<td>5.74E-08</td>
<td>4.27E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1-ORNL</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>544.3</td>
<td>Tested</td>
<td>0.0508</td>
<td>1.49E-08</td>
<td>4.11E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1-ORNL</td>
<td>169</td>
<td>549.8</td>
<td>Tested</td>
<td>0.0508</td>
<td>2.66E-07</td>
<td>4.23E-05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1-ORNL</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>1022.2</td>
<td>Tested</td>
<td>0.0508</td>
<td>1.95E-06</td>
<td>4.68E-05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Surface condition variations due to excessive temperature excursion at the beginning of testing

Low pressure side (cleanliness of two bare samples and gold tint of oxidized)

Hydrogen source side (gold in oxidized, metallic gray in P1-x, and violet for P2-6)
Diffusivity data obtained in this program

![Graph showing diffusivity data](chart.png)

**Legend:**
- X52-1
- X65-2
- X65-3
- X65-5, Pd coated
- X65-7, Oxidized
- X52, High H2

**Axes:**
- **Y-axis:** Diffusivity, cm²/s
- **X-axis:** Temp, C

**Annotations:**
- 1000psi
- 500psi
Comparison with diffusivity data in the open literature

- Measured diffusivity under gaseous H₂ charge is generally lower than these in literature - obtained electrochemically

- Perhaps due to differences in surface conditions
Permeability Results

Permeability, $\text{psi}^{1/2}/\text{cm/s}$ vs. Temp, C

- X52-1
- X65-2
- X65-3
- X65-5, Pd coated
- X65-7, Oxidized
- X52, High H2

First run

Four Experiments
Estimated leak rate in steel pipelines

- Assuming: 1 mile long, 36” dia, ½” thick steel pipe at 5000 psi (gas volume = 1.3x10⁷ SCF @ 25°C, 1 atm) for one day

\[
\text{Leak Rate} \left( \frac{\text{SCF}}{\text{day} \cdot \text{mile}} \right) = JAt = \frac{P \sqrt{\Delta p}}{l} \pi DLt \frac{0.0328^3}{14.8}
\]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Upper bound</th>
<th>Lower bound</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Permeability (psi^{1/2}/cm/s)</td>
<td>4.50E-05</td>
<td>1.00E-07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leak rate, SCF/day/mile</td>
<td>2.40E+04</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leak rate, psi/day</td>
<td>9.470</td>
<td>0.021</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Upper bound: 170°C, highest permeability
- Lower bound: extrapolation to 25°C
Summary

• High-pressure hydrogen permeation system and experimental procedures have been developed

• Initial H₂ permeation measurement completed
  – Surface conditions appeared to strongly influence diffusivity
  – Reasons for variations in diffusivity need to be investigated

• Permeability
  – Leak through steel pipe wall has been estimated based on measured permeation data
Future Plans

- **FY06**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Milestone</th>
<th>Completion Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Complete hydrogen permeation study on the effects of hydrogen pressure for two pipeline steels</td>
<td>4/06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete hydrogen permeation study on the effects of base metal microstructure for two pipeline steels</td>
<td>6/06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete characterization of microstructure of steels with and without hydrogen charging</td>
<td>6/06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete characterization of microstructure changes in simulated weld and HAZ of pipeline steels</td>
<td>9/06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finalize testing apparatus design for mechanical property degradation</td>
<td>3/06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obtain initial experimental results on hydrogen induced property degradation of different pipeline steels</td>
<td>9/06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **FY07 and beyond**
  - Complete evaluation of hydrogen embrittlement and permeation behavior of selected high-strength steels and their welds
  - Develop a H2 permeation and mechanical properties database for both existing pipeline steels and next-generation high-strength steels under gaseous high-pressure hydrogen environment.
Questions?
Hydrogen cracking has been a safety concern for welding construction of steel pipelines

- Three factors: Susceptible microstructure, Tri-axial state of stress & Presence of diffusible hydrogen

Figure 12. Factors influencing HIC in weldments (Timmins 1997).
New challenges for hydrogen pipelines

• Inside of the pipe will be always exposed to high pressure of hydrogen
Special Sample Holder Design to Eliminate Background Lead during High-Pressure Permeation Test