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BSST Program Overview

Timeline
Program Start Date: Oct '04
Program End Date: June 2011
Percent Complete: 90%

Barriers
Complex manufacture of TE engines and the TEG subsystem
Environmental withstanding of TE engines and assemblies in an underfloor exhaust system
Vehicle TEG system on-cost

Targets
FE Improvement: 10%

Budget
Total Project spend: $10,856,667
DOE Share: $6,700,859
Contractor Share: $4,155,808 (38%)
FY09 & FY10 Funding Received: $1,114,484

Partners
Project Lead: BSST/Amerigon
OEM Partners: BMW & Ford
Tier 1 Partner: Faurecia
University/Fed'I Lab Partners: Caltech, JPL, NREL

2011 DOE OVT Review
## BSST 2011 Program Milestones

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Milestone</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>May 3</td>
<td>Complete TEG S/N 1 build and test at BSST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>June 1</td>
<td>Complete BMW X6 TEG system installation, begin vehicle level evaluation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 1</td>
<td>Complete TEG S/N 2 build and test at BSST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>July 11</td>
<td>Begin Dyno test with TEG S/N 2 at NREL using BMW engine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aug 1</td>
<td>Complete TEG S/N 2 Dyno testing, install in Ford vehicle. Begin vehicle level evaluation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Approach: Improve the manufacturability of TE engines and the TEG subsystem

In Phase 4, a full scale Cylindrical TEG was built and tested. While the power produced was significantly higher than the planar configuration TEG, and the performance repeatable, this TEG underperformed by 60% (model prediction) due to poor interfaces.

In Phase 5, an approach was taken that involved redesign and improved tooling to improve thermal and electrical interfaces so that the power produced would be closer to the model prediction.
Achievements: Phase 4 TEG Measured Performance

Analysis of Results

- Bench test results demonstrated excellent electrical isolation between TE assemblies and heat exchanger, coolant circuit and housing.
- Analysis indicated inadequate heat transfer (both hot and cold sides) and higher than predicted electrical circuit resistance.
- Phase 5 TEG slated for Q1 2011 vehicle installations includes a number of validated countermeasures.

TEG Performance
(gas inlet temp = 586.8°C, gas flow = 28.4 g/s)
(water inlet temp = 25.3°C, water flow = 343.7 g/s)

![TEG Performance Graph]

power (W) vs. current (A)
Interfacial Resistance Analysis

TEG Performance as a Function of TE Electrical Interfacial Resistance
(Thot, inlet = 580°C, mdot_hot = 39 g/s)
(Tcold, inlet = 27°C, mdot_cold = 20 lpm)
The registration of engines with respect to the hot side rings is enhanced through several process and assembly tooling improvements:

- Careful machining of the gas heat exchanger to achieve a consistent diameter. This enables uniform axial ring positioning so that TE engines mate properly with the heat exchanger contact surface. The Phase 4 TEG had total indicator runout of 1.5 mm, the Phase 5 TEG TIR has measured 0.05 mm.
- The TE elements are soldered to the hot shunts, and make contact with the ring over an uninterrupted surface through 360°. This makes the position of the TE elements less sensitive to the relative XY plane position of the cold/hot shunt interface.
- In addition, the number of elements/shunt has been reduced from 4 to 2 to reduce thermal shear stresses and parasitic thermal losses.

The compression of the TE elements shunts is made uniform and consistent over the wide operating temperature range through redesign of the cold shunt to accommodate a new lower spring rate flexure. In addition, a spacer ring is added between the hot shunts to maintain uniform spacing and compression.
Thermal Interface, Hot Side Analysis & Countermeasures

Heat transfer between the hot side rings and SS tube was poorer than expected. The thermal resistance across the interface was higher than anticipated due to several factors:

- The fit between the rings and SS tube was such that the adhesive was porous and cracked, increasing thermal resistance. This was due to inadequate braze fixtures and tube uniformity.
- Early experiments with thermal interface materials provided a solution that had excellent electrical resistance but provided significant room for improvement in thermal conductivity.

Countermeasures include:

- Tight dimensional control of the gas heat exchanger finished OD (previously described).
- Replacement of the Phase 4 interface material system with an improved material system for Phase 5.
Thermal Interface, Cold Side Analysis & Countermeasures

Heat transfer between the cold shunt and aluminum tube was inadequate. The thermal resistance across the interface was higher than anticipated due to several factors:

- Misalignment of the hot rings with the SS tube prevented alignment of the cold shunts. The resultant fit of the aluminum tube was too tight to permit adequate thermal grease application at the cold shunt/tube interface.
- The design of the shunt required heat transfer from groups of 4 n and p TE couples across a large area inducing further parasitic thermal losses.

Countermeasures include:

- Improved dimensional control of the gas heat exchanger as previously described. This will enable effective greasing at the aluminum tube/cold shunt interface. A grease filling hole has been added to promote uniform application of thermal grease.
- Pre-installation of the aluminum tubes with the cold shunt sleeves to provide improved alignment.
Final Assembly Fixture

Assembly fixture role and benefits

• Positions the heat exchanger vertically and allows the stacking of the hot rings, the TE engine crowns and the spacers.
• Compresses uniformly the TE elements.
• Assures a precise positioning of cooling tube and TE engines.
• Completes and lock up the compressed assembly steps of the TEG’s active core.
The current approach is to use a split tube ("Y") to bypass the TEG in high exhaust gas mass flow conditions:

- Avoid excessive pressure drop which degrades fuel efficiency
- Protect temperature sensitive TE material

Proposed solution: Incorporate the bypass within a cylindrical “coaxial” form factor to reduce weight and subsystem volume
Accomplishment: Development of a Manufacturable TEG Subsystem

Manufacturing improvements to the Phase 4 cylindrical TEG for Phase 5 vehicle installations included:

• Fixing TE elements to the rings for hot, medium and low temp sections,
• Reducing cold shunt pellet interface from 4 to 2
• Truing the gas heat exchanger tube surface and match-machining ID of hot rings
• Reducing liquid tube bellow diameters to improve cold side heat transfer
• Improving the interfacial barrier material between the hot rings and SS gas tube
Accomplishment: 500 watt High Temperature TEG Build/Test Results

To be presented at the May 13 review
Accomplishment: Simplifying the Vehicle TEG System

A solenoid operated bypass valve was designed and built by Faurecia.

The valve operates proportionally to vary flow according to closed loop algorithmic control.

Phase 5 TEGs and vehicle installations will include this valve.
Collaborations

OEM led vehicle system engineering and architecture is performed by BMW and Ford

Tier 1 level underfloor exhaust system design/build and vehicle installation is led by Faurecia

The Jet Propulsion Laboratory and CalTech are contributing TE material synthesis and characterization support

NREL is managing the instrumentation and test set up for Dynamometer testing of the system with BMW’s in line 6 cylinder ICE.
Collaborations

In a separately funded, parallel effort to the DOE program Amerigon/BSST has invested in the development of advanced power generation TE materials through collaborations with Ohio State University and Northwestern.

An Amerigon/BSST wholly owned subsidiary, ZT Plus, has been established to lead the pilot production of advanced TE materials including those developed at OSU and Northwestern Universities.
Future Work

Phase 5 objectives include:

- Dynamometer testing of a high temperature TEG at NREL
- TEG installation and evaluation in BMW X6 and Ford Fusion vehicles
  - Exhaust system design and integration of the TEG subsystem by Faurecia
  - Vehicle performance testing by BMW and Ford
- Commercialization preparation including TE material and waste heat recovery key subsystem production roadmaps
  - TE material source needs to be made production ready
  - TE engine scale up for production needs to be performed

Additional work is required to scale up TE materials and engines for production and to ready vehicle systems for the deployment of the technology.
Effective January 1, 2011, Dr. Lon Bell has retired from BSST and will no longer serve in the role as Amerigon/BSST's PI.

Dr. Douglas Crane will succeed Lon as Principle Investigator for the current and (pending) future TEG programs.
Summary

Relevance

• Exhaust gas waste heat conversion to electric power reduces fuel consumption and is aligned with the increasing electrification of vehicles.

Approach/Strategy for Deployment

• An approach focused on optimizing vehicle level system performance while reducing the amount of TE material used to facilitate commercialization has been followed. The approach is based upon a proprietary TEG design and construction that tightly integrates TE materials and substrates with gas and liquid heat exchangers in a novel, cylindrical form factor.

Technical Accomplishments and Progress

• A cylindrical TEG design was adopted in Phase 4 to improve manufacturability and the performance of key interfaces. Thermal cycling has been performed on low, medium and high temperature TE engines and assemblies that demonstrates robustness. The vehicle level TEG system has been simplified by means of a coaxial bypass within the cylindrical TEG.

Collaborations and Coordination with Other Institutions

• Faurecia, a Tier 1 global leader in exhaust systems, has joined BMW, Ford and BSST and is leading the TEG subsystem integration into the exhaust system. In parallel, Amerigon/BSST, through a self funded collaboration with OSU and Northwestern, has developed a pilot production facility, ZT Plus, for the manufacture of advanced TE material.

Proposed Future Work/Proposed Future Activities

• In Phase 5, TEG systems will be integrated into the exhaust systems of BMW X6 and Ford Fusion vehicles. Dyno testing will be performed at NREL to further analyze system performance.
The following documents have been published since the last report (Q2 2010):


- 2010 International Conference on Thermoelectrics, Shanghai, China, “An introduction to system level steady-state and transient modeling and optimization of high power density thermoelectric generator devices made of segmented thermoelectric elements”, Douglas T. Crane


Technical Back-up Slides
Summary: Thermoelectric Waste Heat Recovery.

FE improvements for a 535i.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Insulation</th>
<th>ZT</th>
<th>NEDC</th>
<th>FTP</th>
<th>US-Combined</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>after Flange</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>after Flange</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>after Flange</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>4.2%</td>
<td>5.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pretube</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pretube</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pretube</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pretube</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pretube</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.5%</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>3.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pretube</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Insulation</th>
<th>ZT</th>
<th>50 kph</th>
<th>80 kph</th>
<th>100 kph</th>
<th>130 kph</th>
<th>160 kph</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>after Flange</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>after Flange</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>4.1%</td>
<td>3.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>after Flange</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.0%</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>5.2%</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pretube</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>0.9%</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>2.8%</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pretube</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>1.4%</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pretube</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
<td>2.7%</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pretube</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>1.1%</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
<td>4.4%</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pretube</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>3.7%</td>
<td>3.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pretube</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>2.2%</td>
<td>3.1%</td>
<td>4.7%</td>
<td>4.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

New cooling system integration shows higher benefits during engine warm-up but higher coolant temperatures during constant speeds.

FE results includes the influence of increased weight and exhaust backpressure

**FE results for higher values of ZT do not reflect TEG optimization. For ZT = 2 BSST anticipates FE savings to reach 10%**
Approach: Validate Robustness of Thermal and Electrical Interfaces

Test TE engines using different interfacial materials at the couple, engine and fractional TEG assembly levels.

Thermal cycling using electric heaters to precisely control thermal flux, room controlled temperature liquid baths on the cold side.

Three cycles used based on the TEG’s temperature profile in the direction of gas flow.