# **Composites Technology for Hydrogen Pipelines**



#### Barton Smith, Barbara Frame, Larry Anovitz and Cliff Eberle

Oak Ridge National Laboratory

Pipeline Working Group Meeting Aiken, South Carolina September 25-26, 2007



WSRC-STI-2007-00481

# **SRNL Support for FRP Piping Project**



#### We Put Science To Work

George Rawls Thad Adams SRNL Materials Science and Technology

Pipeline Working Group—FRP Piping Project

September 25, 2007



#### **Composites Technology for Hydrogen Pipelines**



Fiber-reinforced polymer pipe has excellent burst and collapse pressure ratings, large tensile and compression strengths, and superior chemical and corrosion resistance. Long lengths can be spooled for delivery, and a few workers can install thousands of feet of pipeline per day.

Fiber optic sensors, copper wires and power cables can be embedded a composite pipeline, enabling it to function as a smart structure.

#### **Technical Approach:**

- Evaluate H<sub>2</sub> compatibility of pipeline materials
- Identify advantages and challenges of various manufacturing methods
- Identify polymeric liners with acceptably low hydrogen permeability
- Evaluate options for pipeline joining technologies
- Implement composite pipeline codes & standards
- Determine requirements for structural health monitoring and real-time measurements of  $H_2$ parameters

Project Overview: Investigate application of composite, fiber-reinforced polymer pipeline technology for hydrogen transmission and distribution.

#### **Technical Targets (2017):**

- \$490k/mile capital cost for transmission pipelines
- \$190k/mile capital cost for distribution pipelines •
- Hydrogen delivery cost below \$1.00/gge •
- High reliability •
- Low hydrogen permeation •

#### Impact:

 Composite pipeline technology has the potential to reduce installation costs, improve reliability and provide safer operation of hydrogen pipelines.

#### Points of contact:

Barton Smith 865-574-2196, smithdb@ornl.gov Steven Pawel 865-574-5138, pawelsj@ornl.gov



## **Partners & Collaborators**

- Fiberspar LinePipe, LLC Houston, TX
- PolyFlow, Inc. Oaks, PA
- SRNL
- University of Tennessee Knoxville, TN
- Pipeline Working Group

Pipeline liner materials provided by

- Fiberspar PE100
- Lincoln Composites PE
- Ticona (Celanese) PPS
- Arkema PA11, PVDF



## **Composite Pipeline Installations** (Oil and gas gathering lines)





Photos provided courtesy of PolyFlow, Inc.



 Task 1: Evaluation of composite pipelines and materials with respect to hydrogen delivery

Task 2: Evaluation of liner materials

 Task 3: Evaluation of composite pipeline joining and integrated sensor technologies



- Task 1: Evaluation of composite pipelines and materials with respect to hydrogen delivery
  - Hydrogen compatibility testing
  - Hydrogen pipeline leakage measurements
  - Blowdown testing
  - Potential stress-corrosion cracking in composite construction
  - Long-term stress rupture tests
  - High-pressure cyclic fatigue tests
  - Joint attachment/joint sealing under cyclic loading
  - Third-party damage issues!

#### Task 2: Evaluation of liner materials

- Continue diffusion and permeation measurements of pipeline liner materials at 5 to 60 °C and at pressures from just above 1 atmosphere to the anticipated operating pressure in the pipelines
- Build additional diffusion and permeation facility just for polymers with additional capabilities
  - Temperatures -40 to 150 °C
  - Pressure differentials up to 15,000 psi (345 bar)
  - Ability to assess effect of contaminants on diffusion/permeation values
  - Downstream purity measurements via mass spectroscopy



- Task 3: Evaluation of pipeline joining and integrated sensor technologies
  - Assess methods for joining FRP pipelines during emplacement, joining FRP pipelines to pipelines of other materials, and repairing FRP pipelines
  - Assess needs for structural health monitoring, leakage and gas property sensing
  - Coordinate pipeline sensor needs with sensors
    R&D in Safety, Codes and Standards program



# **Hydrogen Compatibility Testing**

- ORNL, Fiberspar, SRNL devised a screening procedure to assess effects of H<sub>2</sub> exposure on samples of commercially available FRP pipeline and constituent materials
  - Immersion in 1000 psi H<sub>2</sub>
  - Accelerated aging (60°C)
  - 1 mo, (1 wk,) 1 yr exposure times





# SRNL Support for FRP Piping Project Progress



SRNL

Tensile SamplesDMA Samples

- Hydrogen Exposure Test Matrix
  - Initial 1-month Exposures
    - 2-FRP Pipe Section for Hydrostatic Burst
    - 2-FRP Pipe Sections for Radius Bend Test
    - Glass Fiber, Resin, HDPE Liner Samples
  - 1-year Exposures
    - 2-FRP Pipe Section for Hydrostatic Burst
    - 2-FRP Pipe Sections for Radius Bend Test
    - Glass Fiber, Resin, HDPE Liner Samples
- Control Sample Thermal Exposures
  - 1-month and 1-year exposures



#### SKNL Support for FKP Piping Project Progres



SRNL Hydrogen Exposure Station

- No E**x**isting Large Chamber Hydrogen E**x**posure Systems
- Developed a Design and Procure Large Section Hydrogen Exposu
   Vessels
  - Swagelok Manufactured
  - Rated for 1,400psig @
  - Accommodates up to 4-ft FRP Sections
- Installed in New SRNL Hydrogen Technology Research Laboratory
- 1-month Exposure Testing Being Initiated





# **Hydrogen Compatibility Testing**

- Post-exposure, perform standard test procedures to detect gross structural degradation
  - Hydrostatic burst pressure tests to assess overall integrity of the specimens
  - Compression tests to determine ultimate compressive strength of the laminates and determine adverse effects on the polymer matrix
  - Bend testing to assess integrity of the laminate
  - Test for conformance with API 15HR, ASTM D2996, ASTM D2517 specifications





# **Hydrogen Compatibility Testing**

- Post-exposure: test constituent materials
  - Tensile tests and dynamic mechanical analysis of pipeline liner material & composite matrix resin specimens to measure changes in polymer properties
  - Tensile tests of glass filaments to measure changes in fiber reinforcement properties



# Capital cost estimate for FRP hydrogen transmission pipelines

- Compare present-day FRP pipeline costs with capital cost target
- Use Hydrogen Delivery Scenario Model (HDSAM version 1.0, 4/1/06) to calculate delivery criteria
  - Model inputs and assumptions:
    - City populations: 200,000 and 1,000,000 people
    - Market penetration: 50% light-duty HFC vehicles
    - Distance from centralized production to city: 62 mi
    - P<sub>1</sub> = 1000 psi, P<sub>2</sub> = 700 psi
- FRP pipeline
  - Commercial, off-the-shelf linepipe for oil & gas market
  - 4.5-inch ID, 1500 PSI rating, PE liner



#### **Capital cost estimate for FRP hydrogen transmission pipelines**

• Calculation of pipeline quantity and size (via manipulation of Panhandle B equation)

City Size	Peak H <sub>2</sub> Demand (kg/d)	Daily H <sub>2</sub> Demand (kg/d)	4.5-inch ID Pipelines Required	ID Required for Single Pipeline (inches)
200,000	58,600	41,000	4	7.25
1,000,000	293,000	205,000	17	13.75



Photo provided courtesy of Fiberspar LinePipe, LLC



#### **Capital cost estimate for FRP hydrogen transmission pipelines**

- Present-day cost for 4.5-in ID, 1500-psi FRP pipeline (pipeline, connectors, transportation, installation) is approximately \$80k per mile
- Installation of four 4.5-in ID pipelines would require an investment of \$331k to \$346k per mile, excluding ROW and permitting costs

City Size	FRP Pipelines Installed (\$k/mi)	Estimated ROW & Permitting (\$k/mi)	Total Capital Investment (\$k/mi)	2017 Cost Target (\$k/mi)	16-inch ID Steel Pipeline (\$k/mi)
200,000	331 – 346	250	581 – 596	490	636



- Tabulate hydrogen diffusivities and permeabilities of liner materials
  - Measure diffusivities and permeabilities in samples of extruded liner materials (*e.g.* PE, HDPE, PEX, PA, PPS, PVDF)
- Use this information to propose path forward for liner development
  - Evaluate applicability of existing modifications and treatments for reducing permeability in liner materials
  - Use the RD&D Plan, H2A model and other resources to quantify acceptable leak specifications



#### **Permeation Coefficients**



for the Department of Energy

#### **HDPE – Permeation Coefficient**



#### PE-100 – Solubility Coefficient at 25 °C



#### PE-100 – Diffusion Coefficient at 25 °C



PE-100 – Permeation Coefficient at 25 °C



# **Predicted H<sub>2</sub> Leak Rate in FRP Pipeline**

- Fiberspar FS LP 4-1/2 1500 linepipe
  - PE-3408/PE-100 barrier tube
  - 0.526-cm tube wall thickness
  - 10.1 cm tube ID
- Hydrogen leak rate through tube wall

$$\frac{dQ}{dt} = \frac{PA}{l} (p_0 - p_1)$$

*P* = permeability coefficient for hydrogen in HDPE, *A* = tube's surface area per unit pipeline length, *t* = tube wall thickness,  $p_0, p_1$  = hydrogen pressures inside, outside tube



# **Predicted H<sub>2</sub> Leak Rate in FRP Pipeline**

#### Parameter values

- $P = 4 \times 10^{-12} \text{ mol/cm} \cdot \text{s} \cdot \text{bar at } 25 \text{ }^{\circ}\text{C} \text{ and } 1500 \text{ psi}$
- $A = 3173 \text{ cm}^2 \text{ per meter of pipeline}$
- l = 0.526 cm
- *p*<sub>0</sub>= 103 bar
- $p_1$ = 1 bar
- Hydrogen leak rate dQ/dt = 2.5×10<sup>-6</sup> mol/s per meter of pipeline = 5.0×10<sup>-9</sup> kg/s per meter of pipeline

Leak rate through a 36-in diameter, 0.5-in thick steel pipeline at 25 °C and 5000 psi is ~4×10<sup>-7</sup> mol/s per meter (about 20 times better than HDPE)



# **Predicted H<sub>2</sub> Leakage in FRP Pipeline**

- From HDSAM Daily H<sub>2</sub> delivery per pipeline 41,000 kg/d + 4 = 10,250 kg/d = 0.12 kg/s
- Leakage (loss) due to permeation through pipeline liner

5.0×10<sup>-9</sup> kg/s per meter × 100 km = 5×10<sup>-4</sup> kg/s

- Leakage as a percentage of delivery 5×10<sup>-4</sup> / 0.12 × 100% = 0.4%
- When delivery is high (at or near pipeline capacity) the loss due to pipeline leakage will be low





for the Department of Energy

# SRNL Support for FRP Piping Project Progress

- FRP Test Protocol Evaluations
  - FRP Pipe Fabricated to API 15HR and ASTM D2996 Code
- ASTM D2996 Requires:
  - D638--Test Method for Tensile Properties of Plastics
  - D1598--Test Method for Time-to-Failure of Plastic Pipe Under Constant Internal Pressure
  - D1599--Test Method for Resistance to Short-Time Hydraulic Pressure of Plastic Pipe, Tubing, and Fittings
  - D2105--Test Method for Longitudinal Tensile Properties of "Fiberglass" (Glass-Fiber-Reinforced Thermosetting-Resin) Pipe and Tube
  - D2143--Test Method for Cyclic Pressure Strength of Reinforced, Thermosetting Plastic Pipe
  - D2412--Test Method for Determination of External Loading Characteristics of Plastic Pipe by Parallel-Plate Loading
- Fiberspar Tests for Product Quality
- SRNL
- Radius Bend, Compression, Burst

- Parallels to Metallic Pipe Test
  - Tensile—ASTM E8
  - Fracture—К<sub>ін</sub>, К<sub>іс</sub>/Ј<sub>іс</sub>--АSTM 1821/399/1681
  - Fatigue—ASTM E647
- Parallels to Composite Vessels
  - Burst
  - Pressure Cycling
  - Drop
  - Penetration (gunfire)
- Issue is How to Evaluate in Hydrogen and also how to use data for Engineering Design Purposes??
- New Tests/Codified Tests for Composite Fiber Reinforced Pipe in Hydrogen??



# SRNL Support for FRP Piping Project Path Forward FY08



FY08 Planned Tasks

- DOT Gap Analysis Report Identifies 4 Major Needs for Composite FRP Piping
  - Lack of Design Specifications
  - Qualified Joints/Joining
  - Permeation
  - Robustness to External Damage
- SRNL Focus on 2 of these Issues
  - Permeation of Materials of Construction
  - Leak Test of Existing Joint Design
- Permeation of Liner and Resin/Materials
  - Low Pressure < 760Torr</p>
  - Temperature to  $\cong$ 150°C
- Joint Leak Test
  - Fiberspar—Metal Coupling Design
  - FuturePipe—Flange Joint
  - Bell jar Leak Rate Detection System 10<sup>-9</sup> cc/sec



#### **Blowdown Testing of Polymer Lined FRP Pipelines**

- Guidance: API 15S Qualification of Spoolable Reinforced Plastic Line Pipe
- Fill specimen with hydrogen to pressure rating, heat specimen to temperature rating, and hold these conditions until pipeline structure is saturated with gas
- Following hold period, de-pressurize specimen at a rate not less than 1000 psi/min
- Examine specimen liner for evidence of blistering or collapse
- FRP pipelines are qualified using CO<sub>2</sub>



#### Questions

