

National Renewable Energy Laboratory

**Innovation for Our Energy Future** 

# Technoeconomic Boundary Analysis of Biological Pathways to Hydrogen Production

March 27, 2008 – August 31, 2009

B.D. James, G.N. Baum, J. Perez, and K.N. Baum *Directed Technologies, Inc. Arlington, Virginia*  Subcontract Report NREL/SR-560-46674 September 2009



# Technoeconomic Boundary Analysis of Biological Pathways to Hydrogen Production

Subcontract Report NREL/SR-560-46674 September 2009

March 27, 2008 – August 31, 2009

B.D. James, G.N. Baum, J. Perez, and K.N. Baum *Directed Technologies, Inc. Arlington, Virginia* 

NREL Technical Monitor: Ali Jalalzadeh-Azar Prepared under Subcontract No. AFH-8-88601-01

#### National Renewable Energy Laboratory 1617 Cole Boulevard, Golden, Colorado 80401-3393 303-275-3000 • www.nrel.gov

NREL is a national laboratory of the U.S. Department of Energy Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy Operated by the Alliance for Sustainable Energy, LLC

Contract No. DE-AC36-08-GO28308



This publication was reproduced from the best available copy Submitted by the subcontractor and received no editorial review at NREL

#### NOTICE

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States government. Neither the United States government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States government or any agency thereof.

Available electronically at http://www.osti.gov/bridge

Available for a processing fee to U.S. Department of Energy and its contractors, in paper, from: U.S. Department of Energy Office of Scientific and Technical Information P.O. Box 62 Oak Ridge, TN 37831-0062 phone: 865.576.8401 fax: 865.576.5728 email: mailto:reports@adonis.osti.gov

Available for sale to the public, in paper, from: U.S. Department of Commerce National Technical Information Service 5285 Port Royal Road Springfield, VA 22161 phone: 800.553.6847 fax: 703.605.6900 email: <u>orders@ntis.fedworld.gov</u> online ordering: <u>http://www.ntis.gov/ordering.htm</u>



Printed on paper containing at least 50% wastepaper, including 20% postconsumer waste

# Preface

The work described in this report was performed under contract to the National Renewable Energy Lab (NREL), under the technical guidance of members of the Biological Hydrogen Working Group.

The project considered multiple pathways for the biological production of gaseous hydrogen, including photobiological  $H_2$  production from a variety of genetically engineered algae and bacteria, dark fermentation of waste photobiological organisms, dark fermentation of lignocellulosic biomass, and the microbial electrolysis of fermentative waste. Additionally, the integration of multiple systems for added hydrogen production and reduced cost was considered. This study was based on the best possible designs using data currently available, and is not inclusive of all potential designs.

Within the photobiological analysis, five different organisms were examined including:

- A truncated antennae Chlamydomonas mutant with an oxygen-tolerant hydrogenase
- A truncated antennae Cyanobacteria mutant with an oxygen-tolerant hydrogenase
- A sulfate-permease Chlamydomonas mutant with a truncated antennae
- An immobilized, sulfur-deprived Chlamydomonas mutant with a truncated antennae
- A truncated antennae Purple Non-Sulfur (PNS) photosynthetic bacterial mutant

For each organism, hydrogen production characteristics were defined, individual reactors conceptually designed, and levelized hydrogen costs calculated. In keeping with a technoeconomic boundary analysis, organism performance was based on the authors projection of future genetically modified organisms rather than current laboratory experimental measurements. Assumptions were carefully stated and compared to current demonstrated performance levels to allow the reader to assess the reasonableness of the future performance levels. Additionally, the hydrogen cost derived from photobiological systems achieving a near term energy efficiency were also computed to assist in bounding the expected future price of hydrogen.

For the fermentative systems, three main systems were examined:

- H<sub>2</sub> production using dark fermentation of photobiological systems algal waste
- H<sub>2</sub> production using dark fermentation of lignocellulosic feedstock (corn stover)
- H<sub>2</sub> production from a Microbial Electrolysis Cell (MEC) using fermentation waste as a feedstock.

While MEC systems produce hydrogen via electrolysis rather than fermentation, we group them with the fermentation systems for convenience as they are linked via a wasteproduct/feedstock relationship. The design and performance of the MEC plant draws heavily from the concepts and laboratory work conducted at Penn State University. The design of the lignocellulosic fermentation plant was based largely on a detailed NREL report analyzing the performance and cost of ethanol production from corn stover. Fermentation for ethanol production and fermentation for hydrogen production share many characteristics. Consequently, the current project work product was greatly enhanced by making use of the analogous analysis. For each system, a plant design was selected, its capital cost and performance were estimated, and resulting levelized hydrogen cost computed. The DOE H2A cost estimation spreadsheet tool was used to estimate these levelized hydrogen costs so as to allow easy and transparent comparisons to other production options.

Finally, we integrated the most logical combinations of the above enumerated systems based on synergies in plant design, seeking to realize hydrogen cost reduction through combined utilization of reactor components and potential use of waste products as feedstock. Hydrogen cost from the integrated systems is then compared to hydrogen cost from the stand-alone systems.

Project results were reported in four sequentially prepared reports. This project final report consists of these four reports plus a comprehensive discussion section, all integrated under a common cover. Thus the report consists of five sections:

- Part I: Photobiological H<sub>2</sub> Production Systems
- Part II: Algae Fermentative H<sub>2</sub> Production Systems
- Part III: Lignocellulosic Fermentative H<sub>2</sub> Production Systems & Microbial Electrolysis Cell Systems
- Part IV: Integrated Systems
- Part V: Discussion of Project Results

Since the individual reports were done sequentially, Part V of the report contains a summary of all contract work, including recommendations for future actions. The reader is advised to look to Part V for an overall project summary and listing of conclusions.

# Acknowledgements

The authors of this report would like to acknowledge the contributions of the Biological Hydrogen Working Group, whose members provided invaluable technical and/or programmatic guidance throughout the analysis project.

Working Group members included:

Dr. Ali Jalalzadeh-Azar, Senior Engineer II and Technical Monitor, NREL

Dr. Maria L. Ghirardi, Principal Scientist, NREL

Ms. Pin-Ching Maness, Principal Scientist, NREL

Dr. Michael Seibert, Research Fellow, NREL

Dr. Anastasios Melis, Professor, UC Berkeley

Dr. George Sverdrup, Laboratory Program Manager, NREL

Ms. Roxanne Garland, Technology Development Manager, US DOE, EER&E

Additionally, other members of the hydrogen production community contributed to this analysis through their expert guidance. They are:

Dr. G. Charles Dismukes, Professor, Princeton University

Dr. Bruce E. Logan, Professor, The Pennsylvania State University

The work described in this report was performed under contract to the National Renewable Energy Lab (NREL) with sponsorship provided by the US Department of Energy, Office of Fuel Cell Technologies, DOE Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy.

# Contents

PREF	ACE	III
PAR	I: PHOTOBIOLOGICAL H <sub>2</sub> PRODUCTION SYSTEMS	1
1.	BASIC SCIENCE	2
1.	1 Solar Assumptions	2
1.	2 Bed Depth, Algal Concentration, & H <sub>2</sub> Production Rate Assumptions	4
2.	PHOTOBIOLOGICAL SYSTEMS - BIOLOGICAL AND SYSTEM PARAMETERS	13
2	1 Nutrients	17
2.	2 O <sub>2</sub> -TOLERANT HYDROGENASE (B-1 & B-2)	23
2.	3 SULFATE-PERMEASE GREEN ALGAE (B-3)	25
2.	4 IMMOBILIZED SULFATE-DEPRIVED GREEN ALGAE (B-4)	27
2.	5 Purple non-sulfur bacteria (B-5)	30
3.	ENGINEERING PARAMETERS	31
3.	1 PHOTO BIO REACTOR BED (PRIMARY SYSTEM)	32
3.	2 Organism Feed Subassembly	42
3.	3 RECYCLE SUBASSEMBLY	46
3.	4 GAS CAPTURE SUBASSEMBLY	49
3.	5 CONTROL SYSTEM SUBASSEMBLY	57
4.	BILL OF MATERIALS FOR PATHWAYS	62
4.	1 B-1 PATHWAY PRODUCTION PLANT	62
4.	2 B-2 Pathway Production Plant	64
4.	3 B-3 Pathway Production Plant	66
4.	4 B-4 Immobilized Photobiological System	68
4.	5 B-5 Pathway Production Plant	71
5.	CAPITAL COST ASSUMPTIONS AND CALCULATIONS	73
5.	1 CAPITAL COST ASSUMPTIONS	73
5.	2 CALCULATIONS EXPLAINED	76
6.	LEVELIZED COSTS ASSUMPTIONS & CALCULATIONS (H2A)	80
6.	1 Standard H2A parameters	81
6.	2 Pathway Common Parameters	83
6.	3 Pathway Specific Parameters	84
6.	4 Results for Levelized Hydrogen Costs	88
6.	5 NEAR TERM PERFORMANCE RESULTS	89
7.	DISCUSSION OF RESULTS	90
7.	1 CAPITAL COSTS	90
7.	2 Fixed O&M (Plant Labor)	92
7.	3 VARIABLE COSTS	93
7.	4 FEEDSTOCK COSTS	93
7.	5 REACTOR FOOTPRINT	94
8.	PHOTOBIOLOGICAL SYSTEM CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	94
9.	PART 1 APPENDIX A: KEY TERMS AND DEFINITIONS	96
10.	PART 1 APPENDIX B: MASS BALANCE	98

11.       INTRODUCTION       100         12.       THEORETICAL AND PRACTICAL FERMENTATION REACTIONS       101         13.       ALGAE FERMENTATION TESTS       102         13.1       FERMENTATION SYSTEM DIAGRAM       105         14.1       FERMENTATION SYSTEM DIAGRAM       105         14.1       FERMENTATION SYSTEM DIAGRAM       107         15.2       CAPITAL COST OF THE FERMENTATION SYSTEM       107         15.1       TANK COST       108         16.       FERMENTATION OUTPUTS FOR C-1 THROUGH C-5       108         17.       ISTANDARO H2A PARAMETERS       109         17.1       STANDARO H2A PARAMETERS       109         17.2       PATHWAY COMON PARAMETERS       112         17.4       LEVELIZED COSTS       114         18.       COST RESULTS FOR THE FERMENTATIVE SYSTEMS       114         19.       PROCESSING OF FERMENTATIVE SYSTEMS       114         19.       PROCESSING OF FERMENTATIVE SYSTEMS       114         19.       PROCESSING OF FERMENTATIVE SYSTEM CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS       117         20.       ALGAE FERMENTATION SYSTEM CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS       117         21.       LIGUNCELLULOSIC FERMENTATIVE PARAMETERS       120         21.1	PARTII: A	ALGAE FERMENTATIVE H2 PRODUCTION SYSTEMS	
12. THEORETICAL AND PRACTICAL FERMENTATION REACTIONS.       101         13. ALGAE FERMENTATION TESTS.       102         13.1 FERMENTOR FEEDSTOCK.       104         14. BASIC FERMENTATION SYSTEM DIAGRAM.       105         14.1 FERMENTATION OPERATION SCHEME       106         14.2 FERMENTATION ODERATION SCHEME       106         15.1 TANK COST       107         15.1 TANK COST       108         16. FERMENTATION OUTPUTS FOR C-1 THROUGH C-5       108         17. LEVELIZED COSTS ASSUMPTIONS & CALCULATIONS (H2A)       109         17.1 STANDARD H2A PARAMETERS       109         17.1 STANDARD H2A PARAMETERS       111         17.3 PATHWAY COMINON PARAMETERS       112         17.4 LEVELIZED COSTS       114         19. PROCESSING OF FERMENTATIVE SYSTEM SONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS       117         19. PROCESSING OF FERMENTATIVE H <sub>2</sub> PRODUCTION SADE RECOMMENDATIONS       117         19. PROCESSING OF FERMENTATIVE H <sub>2</sub> PRODUCTION SYSTEMS       118         20. ALGAE FERMENTATION SYSTEM CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS       117         19. PROCESSING OF FERMENTATIVE H <sub>2</sub> PRODUCTION SYSTEMS       118         21. LIGNOCELLULOSIC FERMENTATIVE H <sub>2</sub> PRODUCTION SYSTEMS       118         21. LIGNOCELLULOSIC FERMENTATIVE PARAMETERS       120         21.3 LUGNOCELLULOSIC FERMENTATIVE PARAME	11. INT	RODUCTION	100
13. ALGAE FERMENTATION TESTS.       102         13.1 FERMENTOR FEEDSTOCK.       104         14. BASIC FERMENTATION SYSTEM DIAGRAM.       105         14.1 FERMENTATION OPERATION SCHEME.       106         14.2 FERMENTOR SUBASSEMELY.       107         15. CAPITAL COST OF THE FERMENTATION SYSTEM.       107         15.1 TANK COST       108         16. FERMENTATION OUTPUTS FOR C-1 THROUGH C-5       108         17. LEVELIZED COSTS ASSUMPTIONS & CALCULATIONS (H2A)       109         17.1 STANDARD H2A PARAMETERS       109         17.2 PATHWAY COMMON PARAMETERS       111         17.3 PATHWAY SPECIFIC PARAMETERS       114         18. COST RESULTS FOR THE FERMENTATIVE SYSTEMS       114         19. PROCESSING OF FERMENTOR LIQUID OUTPUTS       115         20. ALGAE FERMENTATION SYSTEM CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS       117         PART III: LIGNOCELLULOSIC FERMENTATIVE H <sub>2</sub> PRODUCTION SYSTEMS       118         21.1 FERMENTATION REACTIONS       121         21.2 ASSUMPTIONS I SUASSEMBLY       122         21.3 LIGNOCELLULOSIC FERMENTATIVE H <sub>2</sub> PRODUCTION SYSTEMS       118         21.1 IGNOCELLULOSIC FERMENTATIVE PARAMETERS       122         21.2 ALGAE FERMENTATION SUASSEMBLY       121         21.3 LIGNOCELLULOSIC FERMENTATIVE PARAMETERS       122	12. THE	ORETICAL AND PRACTICAL FERMENTATION REACTIONS	
13.1       FERMENTOR FEEDSTOCK       104         14.       BASIC FERMENTATION SYSTEM DIAGRAM       105         14.1       FERMENTATION OPERATION SCHEME       106         14.2       FERMENTOR SUBASSEMELY       107         15.       CAPITAL COST OF THE FERMENTATION SYSTEM       107         15.1       TANK COST       108         16. FERMENTATION OUTPUTS FOR C-1 THROUGH C-5       108         17.1       STANDARD H2A PARAMETERS       109         17.2       PATHWAY COMMON PARAMETERS       111         17.3       PATHWAY SPECIFIC PARAMETERS       112         17.4       LEVELIZED COSTS       114         18.       COST RESULTS FOR THE FERMENTATIVE SYSTEMS       114         19.       PATHWAY SPECIFIC PARAMETERS       112         17.4       LEVELIZED COSTS       114         18.       COST RESULTS FOR THE FERMENTATIVE SYSTEMS       114         19.       PROCESSING OF FERMENTATIVE SYSTEMS       114         19.       PROCESSING OF FERMENTATIVE H2 PRODUCTION SYSTEMS       115         20.       ALGAE FERMENTATION SUBSEMENTATIVE H2 PRODUCTION SYSTEMS       118         21.       LIGNOCELLULOSIC FERMENTATIVE PARAMETERS       121         21.1       FERMENTATION SUBASSEMBLY	13. ALG	AE FERMENTATION TESTS	102
14. BASIC FERMENTATION SYSTEM DIAGRAM       105         14.1       FERMENTATION OPERATION SCHEME       106         14.2       FERMENTOR SUBASSEMBLY       107         15. CAPITAL COST OF THE FERMENTATION SYSTEM       107         15.1       TANK COST       108         16. FERMENTATION OUTPUTS FOR C-1 THROUGH C-5       108         17. LEVELIZED COSTS ASSUMPTIONS & CALCULATIONS (H2A)       109         17.1       STANDARD H2A PARAMETERS       109         17.2       PATHWAY COMMON PARAMETERS       111         17.3       PATHWAY COMMON PARAMETERS       112         17.4       LEVELIZED COSTS       114         18. COST RESULTS FOR THE FERMENTATIVE SYSTEMS       114         19. PROCESSING OF FERMENTOR LIQUID OUTPUTS       115         20. ALGAE FERMENTATION SYSTEM CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS       117         PART III: LIGNOCELLULOSIC FERMENTATIVE H2 PRODUCTION SYSTEMS       118         8. MICROBIAL ELECTROLYSIS SYSTEMS       118         21.1       FERMENTATION REACTIONS       120         21.2       ASSUMPTIONS       121         21.3       LIGNOCELLULOSIC FERMENTATIVE PARAMETERS       122         21.4       FERMENTATION SUBASSEMBLY       124         21.5       CORN STOVER PREF SUBASSEMBLY	13.1	Fermentor Feedstock	
14.1       FERMENTATION OPERATION SCHEME       106         14.2       FERMENTOR SUBASSEMBLY       107         15.       CAPITAL COST OF THE FERMENTATION SYSTEM       107         15.1       TANK COST       108         16. FERMENTATION OUTPUTS FOR C-1 THROUGH C-5       108         17. LEVELIZED COSTS ASSUMPTIONS & CALCULATIONS (H2A)       109         17.1       STANDARD H2A PARAMETERS       109         17.2       PATHWAY COMON PARAMETERS       111         17.3       PATHWAY COMON PARAMETERS       112         17.4       LEVELIZED COSTS       114         19.       PATEWAY COMON PARAMETERS       112         17.4       LEVELIZED COSTS       114         19.       PROCESSING OF FERMENTATIVE SYSTEMS       114         19.       PROCESSING OF FERMENTATIVE SYSTEMS       114         19.       PROCESSING OF FERMENTATIVE H2 PRODUCTION SYSTEMS       117         20.       ALGAE FERMENTATION SYSTEM CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS       117         21.       LIGNOCELLULOSIC FERMENTATIVE H2 PRODUCTION SYSTEMS       118         21.       LIGNOCELLULOSIC HYDROGEN PRODUCTION       119         21.1       FERMENTATION REACTONS       120         21.2       ASSUMPTIONS       121	14. BAS	IC FERMENTATION SYSTEM DIAGRAM	
14.2       FERMENTOR SUBASSEMBLY       107         15. CAPITAL COST OF THE FERMENTATION SYSTEM       107         15.1       TANK COST       108         16. FERMENTATION OUTPUTS FOR C-1 THROUGH C-5       108         17. LEVELIZED COSTS ASSUMPTIONS & CALCULATIONS (H2A)       109         17.1       STANDARD H2A PARAMETERS       109         17.2       PATHWAY COMMON PARAMETERS       111         17.3       PATHWAY COLORICE PARAMETERS       112         17.4       LEVELIZED COSTS       114         18. COST RESULTS FOR THE FERMENTATIVE SYSTEMS       114         19. PROCESSING OF FERMENTOR LIQUID OUTPUTS       115         20. ALGAE FERMENTATION SYSTEM CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS       117         PART III: LIGNOCELLULOSIC FERMENTATIVE H2 PRODUCTION SYSTEMS       118         & MICROBIAL ELECTROLYSIS SYSTEMS       118         21.1       LIGNOCELLULOSIC FERMENTATIVE PARAMETERS       120         21.2       ASSUMPTION REACTIONS       120         21.3       LIGNOCELLULOSIC FERMENTATIVE PARAMETERS       121         21.4       ENGINEERING PARAMETERS       123         21.5       CORN STOVER PREP SUBASSEMBLY       123         21.4       ENGINEERING PARAMETERS       124         21.5       CORN S	14.1	FERMENTATION OPERATION SCHEME	
15. CAPITAL COST OF THE FERMENTATION SYSTEM       107         15.1       TANK COST       108         16. FERMENTATION OUTPUTS FOR C-1 THROUGH C-5       108         17. LEVELIZED COSTS ASSUMPTIONS & CALCULATIONS (H2A)       109         17.1       STANDARD H2A PARAMETERS       109         17.2       PATHWAY COMMON PARAMETERS       111         17.3       PATHWAY COERCIC PARAMETERS       111         17.4       LEVELIZED COSTS       114         18. COST RESULTS FOR THE FERMENTATIVE SYSTEMS       114         19. PROCESSING OF FERMENTOR LIQUID OUTPUTS       115         20. ALGAE FERMENTATION SYSTEM CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS       117         PART III: LIGNOCELLULOSIC FERMENTATIVE H <sub>2</sub> PRODUCTION SYSTEMS       118         & MICROBIAL ELECTROLYSIS SYSTEMS       118         21.1       FERMENTATION REACTIONS       120         21.2       ASSUMPTIONS       121         21.3       LIGNOCELLULOSIC FERMENTATIVE PARAMETERS       122         21.4       ENGINEERING PARAMETERS       123         21.5       CORN STOVER PREP SUBASSEMBLY       124         21.6       PRETRATING NUBASSEMBLY       124         21.7       FERMENTATIVE PARAMETERS       123         21.8       SEED PRODUCTION SUBASSEMBLY </th <th>14.2</th> <th>FERMENTOR SUBASSEMBLY</th> <th></th>	14.2	FERMENTOR SUBASSEMBLY	
15.1       TANK COST       108         16.       FERMENTATION OUTPUTS FOR C-1 THROUGH C-5       108         17.       LEVELIZED COSTS ASSUMPTIONS & CALCULATIONS (H2A)       109         17.1       STANDARD H2A PARAMETERS       109         17.2       PATHWAY COMMON PARAMETERS       111         17.3       PATHWAY SPECIFIC PARAMETERS       111         17.4       LEVELIZED COSTS       114         18.       COST RESULTS FOR THE FERMENTATIVE SYSTEMS       114         19.       PROCESSING OF FERMENTATIVE SYSTEMS       114         19.       PROCESSING OF FERMENTATIVE SYSTEMS       115         20.       ALGAE FERMENTATION SYSTEM CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS       117         PART III: LIGNOCELLULOSIC FERMENTATIVE H2 PRODUCTION SYSTEMS       118         21.       LIGNOCELLULOSIC FERMENTATIVE PARAMETERS       120         21.1       FERMENTATION REACTIONS       120         21.2       ASSUMPTIONS       121         21.3       LIGNOCELLULOSIC FERMENTATIVE PARAMETERS       122         21.4       ENGINEERING PARAMETERS       122         21.4       ENGINEERING PARAMETERS       122         21.5       CORN STOVER PREP SUBASSEMBLY       121         21.6       DENTITION SUBASSEMBL	15. CAF	ITAL COST OF THE FERMENTATION SYSTEM	
16. FERMENTATION OUTPUTS FOR C-1 THROUGH C-5       108         17. LEVELIZED COSTS ASSUMPTIONS & CALCULATIONS (H2A)       109         17.1       STANDARD H2A PARAMETERS       109         17.2       PATHWAY COMMON PARAMETERS       111         17.3       PATHWAY SPECIFIC PARAMETERS       112         17.4       LEVELIZED COSTS       114         18. COST RESULTS FOR THE FERMENTATIVE SYSTEMS       114         19. PROCESSING OF FERMENTOR LIQUID OUTPUTS       115         20. ALGAE FERMENTATION SYSTEM CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS       117         PART III: LIGNOCELLULOSIC FERMENTATIVE H2 PRODUCTION SYSTEMS       118         8 MICROBIAL ELECTROLYSIS SYSTEMS       118         21. LIGNOCELLULOSIC FERMENTATIVE PARAMETERS       120         21.1       FERMENTATION REACTIONS       120         21.2       ASSUMPTIONS       121         21.3       LIGNOCELLULOSIC FERMENTATIVE PARAMETERS       122         21.4       ENGINEERING PARAMETERS       122         21.4       ENGINEERING PARAMETERS       123         21.5       CORN STOVER PREP SUBASSEMBLY       124         21.6       PRETEACTION SUBASSEMBLY       130         21.7       FERMENTATION SUBASSEMBLY       130         21.8       SEED PRODUCTION	15.1	Талк Соѕт	
17.       LEVELIZED COSTS ASSUMPTIONS & CALCULATIONS (H2A)       109         17.1       STANDARD H2A PARAMETERS       109         17.2       PATHWAY COMMON PARAMETERS       111         17.3       PATHWAY SPECIFIC PARAMETERS       112         17.4       LEVELIZED COSTS       114         18.       COST RESULTS FOR THE FERMENTATIVE SYSTEMS       114         19.       PROCESSING OF FERMENTOR LIQUID OUTPUTS       115         20.       ALGAE FERMENTATION SYSTEM CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS       117         PART III:       LIGNOCELLULOSIC FERMENTATIVE H2 PRODUCTION SYSTEMS       118         8       MICROBIAL ELECTROLYSIS SYSTEMS       118         21.       LIGNOCELLULOSIC HYDROGEN PRODUCTION       119         21.1       FERMENTATION REACTIONS       120         21.2       ASSUMPTIONS       121         21.3       LIGNOCELLULOSIC FERMENTATIVE PARAMETERS       122         21.4       ENGINEERING PARAMETERS       122         21.4       ENGINEERING PARAMETERS       123         21.5       CORN STOVER PREF SUBASSEMBLY       124         21.6       PRETREATMENT/HYDROLYSIS SUBASSEMBLY       130         21.7       FERMENTATION SUBASSEMBLY       132         21.9	16. FER	MENTATION OUTPUTS FOR C-1 THROUGH C-5	
17.1       STANDARD H2A PARAMETERS       109         17.2       PATHWAY COMMON PARAMETERS       111         17.3       PATHWAY SPECIFIC PARAMETERS       112         17.4       LEVELIZED COSTS       114         18.       COST RESULTS FOR THE FERMENTATIVE SYSTEMS       114         19.       PROCESSING OF FERMENTOR LIQUID OUTPUTS       115         20.       ALGAE FERMENTATION SYSTEM CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS       117         PART III:       LIGNOCELLULOSIC FERMENTATIVE H2 PRODUCTION SYSTEMS       118         21.       LIGNOCELLULOSIC FERMENTATIVE H2 PRODUCTION       119         21.1       FERMENTATION REACTIONS       120         21.2       ASSUMPTIONS       121         21.3       LIGNOCELLULOSIC FERMENTATIVE PARAMETERS       122         21.4       ENGINEERING PARAMETERS       122         21.4       ENGINEERING PARAMETERS       122         21.4       ENGINEERING PARAMETERS       123         21.5       CORN STOVER PREF SUBASSEMBLY       124         21.6       PRETREATMENT/HYDROLYSIS SUBASSEMBLY       127         21.7       FEREMENTATION SUBASSEMBLY       132         21.8       SEED PRODUCTION SUBASSEMBLY       132         21.9       STORAGE SUBASSEMB	17. LEV	ELIZED COSTS ASSUMPTIONS & CALCULATIONS (H2A)	
17.2       PATHWAY COMMON PARAMETERS       111         17.3       PATHWAY SPECIFIC PARAMETERS       112         17.4       LEVELIZED COSTS       114         18. COST RESULTS FOR THE FERMENTATIVE SYSTEMS       114         19. PROCESSING OF FERMENTOR LIQUID OUTPUTS       115         20. ALGAE FERMENTATION SYSTEM CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS       117         PART III: LIGNOCELLULOSIC FERMENTATIVE H <sub>2</sub> PRODUCTION SYSTEMS       118         & MICROBIAL ELECTROLYSIS SYSTEMS       118         21. LIGNOCELLULOSIC HYDROGEN PRODUCTION       119         21.1       FERMENTATION REACTIONS       120         21.2       ASSUMPTIONS       121         21.3       LIGNOCELLULOSIC HYDROGEN PRODUCTION       120         21.4       ENGINEERING PARAMETERS       122         21.4       ENGINEERING PARAMETERS       123         21.5       CORN STOVER PREP SUBASSEMBLY       124         21.6       PRETREATMENT/HYDROLYSIS SUBASSEMBLY       124         21.6       PRETREATMENT SUBASSEMBLY       130         21.8       SEED PRODUCTION SUBASSEMBLY       132         21.9       STORAGE SUBASSEMBLY       134         21.10       WASTEWATER TREATMENT SUBASSEMBLY       134         21.10       WASTEWAT	17.1	Standard H2A parameters	
17.3       PATHWAY SPECIFIC PARAMETERS       112         17.4       LEVELIZED COSTS       114         18.       COST RESULTS FOR THE FERMENTATIVE SYSTEMS       114         19.       PROCESSING OF FERMENTOR LIQUID OUTPUTS       115         20.       ALGAE FERMENTATION SYSTEM CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS       117         PART III:       LIGNOCELLULOSIC FERMENTATIVE H <sub>2</sub> PRODUCTION SYSTEMS       118         & MICROBIAL ELECTROLYSIS SYSTEMS       118         21.       LIGNOCELLULOSIC HYDROGEN PRODUCTION       119         21.1       FERMENTATION REACTIONS       120         21.2       ASSUMPTIONS       121         21.3       LIGNOCELLULOSIC FERMENTATIVE PARAMETERS       122         21.4       ENGINEERING PARAMETERS       122         21.5       CORN STOVER PREP SUBASSEMBLY       123         21.5       CORN STOVER PREP SUBASSEMBLY       124         21.6       PRETREATMENT/HYDROLYSIS SUBASSEMBLY       130         21.8       SEED PRODUCTION SUBASSEMBLY       132         21.9       STORAGE SUBASSEMBLY       136         21.10       MATERNALS       136         21.11       GAS COMPRESSION AND SEPARATION SUBASSEMBLY       136         21.12       BILL OF MATERNALS	17.2	Pathway Common Parameters	
17.4       LEVELIZED COSTS.       114         18.       COST RESULTS FOR THE FERMENTATIVE SYSTEMS.       114         19.       PROCESSING OF FERMENTOR LIQUID OUTPUTS       115         20.       ALGAE FERMENTATION SYSTEM CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS       117         PART III:       LIGNOCELLULOSIC FERMENTATIVE H2 PRODUCTION SYSTEMS.       118         & MICROBIAL ELECTROLYSIS SYSTEMS       118         21.       LIGNOCELLULOSIC HYDROGEN PRODUCTION.       119         21.1       FERMENTATION REACTIONS.       120         21.2       ASSUMPTIONS       121         21.3       LIGNOCELLULOSIC FERMENTATIVE PARAMETERS.       122         21.4       ENNIMEERINO PARAMETERS.       123         21.5       CORN STOVER PREP SUBASSEMBLY.       124         21.6       PRETREATMENT/HYDROTYSIS SUBASSEMBLY.       127         21.7       FERMENTATION SUBASSEMBLY.       132         21.9       STORAGE SUBASSEMBLY.       132         21.9       STORAGE SUBASSEMBLY.       136         21.10       WASTEWATER TREATMENT SUBASSEMBLY       136         21.11       GAS COMPRESSION AND SEPARATION SUBASSEMBLY       136         21.12       BILL OF MATERIALS.       141         22.       MICROBIAL ELECT	17.3	PATHWAY SPECIFIC PARAMETERS	
18. COST RESULTS FOR THE FERMENTATIVE SYSTEMS.11419. PROCESSING OF FERMENTOR LIQUID OUTPUTS11520. ALGAE FERMENTATION SYSTEM CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS117PART III: LIGNOCELLULOSIC FERMENTATIVE H2 PRODUCTION SYSTEMS.118& MICROBIAL ELECTROLYSIS SYSTEMS11821. LIGNOCELLULOSIC HYDROGEN PRODUCTION11921.1 FERMENTATION REACTIONS12021.2 ASSUMPTIONS12121.3 LIGNOCELLULOSIC FERMENTATIVE PARAMETERS12221.4 ENGINEERING PARAMETERS12321.5 CORN STOVER PREP SUBASSEMBLY12421.6 PRETREATMENT/HYDROLYSIS SUBASSEMBLY12721.7 FERMENTATION SUBASSEMBLY13021.8 SEED PRODUCTION SUBASSEMBLY13221.9 STORAGE SUBASSEMBLY13621.10 WASTEWATER TREATMENT SUBASSEMBLY13621.11 GAS COMPRESSION AND SEPARATION SUBASSEMBLY13621.12 BILL OF MATERIALS14422.1 THEORETICAL REACTION AND ASSUMPTIONS14422.1 THEORETICAL REACTION AND ASSUMPTIONS14422.4 BILL OF MATERIALS14423.4 MEC OPERATING PARAMETERS14424.3 MEC SYSTEM DESCRIPTION14425.4 BILL OF MATERIALS15623.1 VARIABLE COSTS15823.1 VARIABLE COSTS158	17.4	LEVELIZED COSTS	
19. PROCESSING OF FERMENTOR LIQUID OUTPUTS11520. ALGAE FERMENTATION SYSTEM CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS117PART III: LIGNOCELLULOSIC FERMENTATIVE H2 PRODUCTION SYSTEMS118& MICROBIAL ELECTROLYSIS SYSTEMS11821. LIGNOCELLULOSIC HYDROGEN PRODUCTION11921.1 FERMENTATION REACTIONS12021.2 ASSUMPTIONS12121.3 LIGNOCELLULOSIC FERMENTATIVE PARAMETERS12221.4 ENGINEERING PARAMETERS12321.5 CORN STOVER PREP SUBASSEMBLY12421.6 PRETREATMENT/HVDROLYSIS SUBASSEMBLY13021.8 SEED PRODUCTION SUBASSEMBLY13221.9 STORAGE SUBASSEMBLY13421.10 WASTEWATER TREATMENT SUBASSEMBLY13621.11 GAS COMPRESSION AND SEPARATION SUBASSEMBLY13921.12 BILL OF MATERIALS14422.1 THEORETICAL REACTION AND ASSUMPTIONS14422.1 THEORETICAL REACTION AND ASSUMPTIONS14422.4 BILL OF MATERIALS14423 MEC OPERATING PARAMETERS14424.3 MEC OPERATING PARAMETERS14425.4 OCST ASSUMPTIONS AND CALCULATIONS14624.4 BILL OF MATERIALS15623.1 VARIABLE COSTS15823.1 VARIABLE COSTS158	18. COS	T RESULTS FOR THE FERMENTATIVE SYSTEMS	
20. ALGAE FERMENTATION SYSTEM CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS117PART III: LIGNOCELLULOSIC FERMENTATIVE H2 PRODUCTION SYSTEMS118& MICROBIAL ELECTROLYSIS SYSTEMS11821. LIGNOCELLULOSIC HYDROGEN PRODUCTION11921.1 FERMENTATION REACTIONS12021.2 ASSUMPTIONS12121.3 LIGNOCELLULOSIC FERMENTATIVE PARAMETERS12221.4 ENGINEERING PARAMETERS12321.5 CORN STOVER PREP SUBASSEMBLY12421.6 PRETREATMENT/HYDROLYSIS SUBASSEMBLY12721.7 FERMENTATION SUBASSEMBLY13021.8 SEED PRODUCTION SUBASSEMBLY13221.9 STORAGE SUBASSEMBLY13421.10 WASTEWATER TREATMENT SUBASSEMBLY13621.11 GAS COMPRESSION AND SEPARATION SUBASSEMBLY13621.12 BILL OF MATERIALS14422.1 THEORETICAL REACTION AND ASSUMPTIONS14422.4 BILL OF MATERIALS14422.4 BILL OF MATERIALS14622.4 BILL OF MATERIALS15623. COST ASSUMPTIONS AND CALCULATIONS15823.1 VARIABLE COSTS158	19. PRC	CESSING OF FERMENTOR LIQUID OUTPUTS	
PART III: LIGNOCELLULOSIC FERMENTATIVE H2 PRODUCTION SYSTEMS.118& MICROBIAL ELECTROLYSIS SYSTEMS.11821. LIGNOCELLULOSIC HYDROGEN PRODUCTION11921.1 FERMENTATION REACTIONS.12021.2 ASSUMPTIONS12121.3 LIGNOCELLULOSIC FERMENTATIVE PARAMETERS.12221.4 ENGINEERING PARAMETERS.12321.5 CORN STOVER PREP SUBASSEMBLY.12421.6 PRETREATMENT/HYDROLYSIS SUBASSEMBLY.12721.7 FERMENTATION SUBASSEMBLY.13021.8 SEED PRODUCTION SUBASSEMBLY.13221.9 STORAGE SUBASSEMBLY.13421.10 WASTEWATER TREATMENT SUBASSEMBLY.13621.11 GAS COMPRESSION AND SEPARATION SUBASSEMBLY.13621.12 BILL OF MATERIALS.14422.1 THEORETICAL REACTION AND ASSUMPTIONS14422.1 THEORETICAL REACTION AND ASSUMPTIONS14422.4 BILL OF MATERIALS.14423. MEC OPERATING PARAMETERS.15623. COST ASSUMPTIONS AND CALCULATIONS15823.1 VARIABLE COSTS158	20. ALG	AE FERMENTATION SYSTEM CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
& MICROBIAL ELECTROLYSIS SYSTEMS       118         21. LIGNOCELLULOSIC HYDROGEN PRODUCTION       119         21.1 FERMENTATION REACTIONS       120         21.2 ASSUMPTIONS       121         21.3 LIGNOCELLULOSIC FERMENTATIVE PARAMETERS       122         21.4 ENGINEERING PARAMETERS       123         21.5 CORN STOVER PREP SUBASSEMBLY       124         21.6 PRETREATMENT/HYDROLYSIS SUBASSEMBLY       127         21.7 FERMENTATION SUBASSEMBLY       127         21.7 FERMENTATION SUBASSEMBLY       130         21.8 SEED PRODUCTION SUBASSEMBLY       132         21.9 STORAGE SUBASSEMBLY       132         21.9 STORAGE SUBASSEMBLY       136         21.11 GAS COMPRESSION AND SEPARATION SUBASSEMBLY       136         21.12 BILL OF MATERIALS       141         22. MICROBIAL ELECTROLYIS CELL (MEC) HYDROGEN PRODUCTION       144         22.1 THEORETICAL REACTION AND ASSUMPTIONS       144         22.2 MEC OPERATING PARAMETERS       144         22.3 MEC SYSTEM DESCRIPTION       146         22.4 BILL OF MATERIALS       156         23. COST ASSUMPTIONS AND CALCULATIONS       158         23.1 VARIABLE COSTS       158	PART III:	LIGNOCELLULOSIC FERMENTATIVE H2 PRODUCTION SYSTEMS	
21.       LIGNOCELLULOSIC HYDROGEN PRODUCTION       119         21.1       FERMENTATION REACTIONS       120         21.2       ASSUMPTIONS       121         21.3       LIGNOCELLULOSIC FERMENTATIVE PARAMETERS       122         21.4       ENGINEERING PARAMETERS       123         21.5       CORN STOVER PREP SUBASSEMBLY       124         21.6       PRETREATMENT/HYDROLYSIS SUBASSEMBLY       124         21.7       FERMENTATION SUBASSEMBLY       127         21.7       FERMENTATION SUBASSEMBLY       123         21.8       SEED PRODUCTION SUBASSEMBLY       130         21.8       SEED PRODUCTION SUBASSEMBLY       132         21.9       STORAGE SUBASSEMBLY       132         21.9       STORAGE SUBASSEMBLY       133         21.10       WASTEWATER TREATMENT SUBASSEMBLY       136         21.11       GAS COMPRESSION AND SEPARATION SUBASSEMBLY       136         21.11       GAS COMPRESSION AND SEPARATION SUBASSEMBLY       139         21.12       BILL OF MATERIALS       141         22.       MICROBIAL ELECTROLYIS CELL (MEC) HYDROGEN PRODUCTION       144         22.1       THEORETICAL REACTION AND ASSUMPTIONS       144         22.3       MEC OPERATING PARAMETERS	& MICRO	- BIAL ELECTROLYSIS SYSTEMS	
21.1       FERMENTATION REACTIONS.       120         21.2       ASSUMPTIONS       121         21.3       LIGNOCELLULOSIC FERMENTATIVE PARAMETERS       122         21.4       ENGINEERING PARAMETERS.       123         21.5       CORN STOVER PREP SUBASSEMBLY       124         21.6       PRETREATMENT/HYDROLYSIS SUBASSEMBLY.       127         21.7       FERMENTATION SUBASSEMBLY.       127         21.7       FERMENTATION SUBASSEMBLY.       130         21.8       SEED PRODUCTION SUBASSEMBLY.       130         21.8       SEED PRODUCTION SUBASSEMBLY       132         21.9       STORAGE SUBASSEMBLY       132         21.9       STORAGE SUBASSEMBLY       136         21.10       WASTEWATER TREATMENT SUBASSEMBLY       136         21.11       GAS COMPRESSION AND SEPARATION SUBASSEMBLY       139         21.12       BILL OF MATERIALS       141         22.       MICROBIAL ELECTROLYIS CELL (MEC) HYDROGEN PRODUCTION       144         22.1       THEORETICAL REACTION AND ASSUMPTIONS       144         22.2       MEC OPERATING PARAMETERS       144         22.4       BILL OF MATERIALS       156         23.       COST ASSUMPTIONS AND CALCULATIONS       158 <th>21. LIG</th> <th>NOCELLULOSIC HYDROGEN PRODUCTION</th> <th></th>	21. LIG	NOCELLULOSIC HYDROGEN PRODUCTION	
21.1       FERMENTATION REACTIONS       120         21.2       ASSUMPTIONS       121         21.3       LIGNOCELLULOSIC FERMENTATIVE PARAMETERS       122         21.4       ENGINEERING PARAMETERS       122         21.4       ENGINEERING PARAMETERS       123         21.5       CORN STOVER PREP SUBASSEMBLY       123         21.6       PRETREATMENT/HYDROLYSIS SUBASSEMBLY       124         21.6       PRETREATMENT/HYDROLYSIS SUBASSEMBLY       127         21.7       FERMENTATION SUBASSEMBLY       123         21.8       SEED PRODUCTION SUBASSEMBLY       130         21.8       SEED PRODUCTION SUBASSEMBLY       132         21.9       STORAGE SUBASSEMBLY       134         21.10       WASTEWATER TREATMENT SUBASSEMBLY       136         21.11       GAS COMPRESSION AND SEPARATION SUBASSEMBLY       139         21.12       BILL OF MATERIALS       141         22.       MICROBIAL ELECTROLYIS CELL (MEC) HYDROGEN PRODUCTION       144         22.1       THEORETICAL REACTION AND ASSUMPTIONS       144         22.1       THEORETICAL REACTION AND ASSUMPTIONS       144         22.3       MEC OPERATING PARAMETERS       144         22.4       BILL OF MATERIALS       156<	21.1		120
21.2ASSUMPTIONS12121.3LIGNOCELLULOSIC FERMENTATIVE PARAMETERS12221.4ENGINEERING PARAMETERS12321.5CORN STOVER PREP SUBASSEMBLY12421.6PRETREATMENT/HYDROLYSIS SUBASSEMBLY12721.7FERMENTATION SUBASSEMBLY13021.8SEED PRODUCTION SUBASSEMBLY13221.9STORAGE SUBASSEMBLY13421.10WASTEWATER TREATMENT SUBASSEMBLY13621.11GAS COMPRESSION AND SEPARATION SUBASSEMBLY13921.12BILL OF MATERIALS14122.MICROBIAL ELECTROLYIS CELL (MEC) HYDROGEN PRODUCTION14422.1THEORETICAL REACTION AND ASSUMPTIONS14422.2MEC OPERATING PARAMETERS14422.3MEC SYSTEM DESCRIPTION14622.4BILL OF MATERIALS15623.COST ASSUMPTIONS AND CALCULATIONS15823.1VARIABLE COSTS158	21.1		
21.5LIGNOCELLOUSIC PERMENTATIVE PARAMETERS12221.4ENGINEERING PARAMETERS12321.5CORN STOVER PREP SUBASSEMBLY12421.6PRETREATMENT/HYDROLYSIS SUBASSEMBLY12721.7FERMENTATION SUBASSEMBLY13021.8SEED PRODUCTION SUBASSEMBLY13221.9STORAGE SUBASSEMBLY13421.10WASTEWATER TREATMENT SUBASSEMBLY13621.11GAS COMPRESSION AND SEPARATION SUBASSEMBLY13921.12BILL OF MATERIALS14122.MICROBIAL ELECTROLYIS CELL (MEC) HYDROGEN PRODUCTION14422.1THEORETICAL REACTION AND ASSUMPTIONS14422.2MEC OPERATING PARAMETERS14422.3MEC SYSTEM DESCRIPTION14622.4BILL OF MATERIALS15623.COST ASSUMPTIONS AND CALCULATIONS15823.1VARIABLE COSTS158	21.2		
21.4ENGINEERING FARAMETERS.12321.5CORN STOVER PREP SUBASSEMBLY.12421.6PRETREATMENT/HYDROLYSIS SUBASSEMBLY.12721.7FERMENTATION SUBASSEMBLY.13021.8SEED PRODUCTION SUBASSEMBLY.13221.9STORAGE SUBASSEMBLY13421.10WASTEWATER TREATMENT SUBASSEMBLY13621.11GAS COMPRESSION AND SEPARATION SUBASSEMBLY13921.12BILL OF MATERIALS.14122.MICROBIAL ELECTROLYIS CELL (MEC) HYDROGEN PRODUCTION14422.1THEORETICAL REACTION AND ASSUMPTIONS14422.2MEC OPERATING PARAMETERS14422.3MEC SYSTEM DESCRIPTION14622.4BILL OF MATERIALS.15623.COST ASSUMPTIONS AND CALCULATIONS15823.1VARIABLE COSTS158	21.5	LIGNOCELLULUSIC FERMENTATIVE PARAMETERS	
211.5CONNOTOTICNTICLY SUBASSEMBLY.12721.6PRETREATMENT/HYDROLYSIS SUBASSEMBLY.12721.7FERMENTATION SUBASSEMBLY.13021.8SEED PRODUCTION SUBASSEMBLY13221.9STORAGE SUBASSEMBLY13421.10WASTEWATER TREATMENT SUBASSEMBLY13621.11GAS COMPRESSION AND SEPARATION SUBASSEMBLY13921.12BILL OF MATERIALS.14122.MICROBIAL ELECTROLYIS CELL (MEC) HYDROGEN PRODUCTION.14422.1THEORETICAL REACTION AND ASSUMPTIONS14422.2MEC OPERATING PARAMETERS14422.3MEC SYSTEM DESCRIPTION14622.4BILL OF MATERIALS.15623.COST ASSUMPTIONS AND CALCULATIONS15823.1VARIABLE COSTS158	21.4	CORN STOVER PRED SURASSEMBLY	173
21.7FERMENTATION SUBASSEMBLY13021.8SEED PRODUCTION SUBASSEMBLY13221.9STORAGE SUBASSEMBLY13421.10WASTEWATER TREATMENT SUBASSEMBLY13621.11GAS COMPRESSION AND SEPARATION SUBASSEMBLY13921.12BILL OF MATERIALS14122.MICROBIAL ELECTROLYIS CELL (MEC) HYDROGEN PRODUCTION14422.1THEORETICAL REACTION AND ASSUMPTIONS14422.2MEC OPERATING PARAMETERS14422.3MEC SYSTEM DESCRIPTION14622.4BILL OF MATERIALS15623.COST ASSUMPTIONS AND CALCULATIONS15823.1VARIABLE COSTS158	21.5		
21.8       SEED PRODUCTION SUBASSEMBLY       132         21.9       STORAGE SUBASSEMBLY       134         21.10       WASTEWATER TREATMENT SUBASSEMBLY       136         21.11       GAS COMPRESSION AND SEPARATION SUBASSEMBLY       139         21.12       BILL OF MATERIALS       141         22.       MICROBIAL ELECTROLYIS CELL (MEC) HYDROGEN PRODUCTION       144         22.1       THEORETICAL REACTION AND ASSUMPTIONS       144         22.2       MEC OPERATING PARAMETERS       144         22.3       MEC SYSTEM DESCRIPTION       146         22.4       BILL OF MATERIALS       156         23.       COST ASSUMPTIONS AND CALCULATIONS       158         23.1       VARIABLE COSTS       158	21.0	ERELREATIVIENT/ FITURITIEN TO ATRANSFILLER	
21.9       STORAGE SUBASSEMBLY       134         21.10       WASTEWATER TREATMENT SUBASSEMBLY       136         21.11       GAS COMPRESSION AND SEPARATION SUBASSEMBLY       139         21.12       BILL OF MATERIALS       141 <b>22.</b> MICROBIAL ELECTROLYIS CELL (MEC) HYDROGEN PRODUCTION       144         22.1       THEORETICAL REACTION AND ASSUMPTIONS       144         22.2       MEC OPERATING PARAMETERS       144         22.3       MEC System Description       146         22.4       BILL OF MATERIALS       156 <b>23.</b> COST ASSUMPTIONS AND CALCULATIONS       158         23.1       VARIABLE COSTS       158	21.7	FRETREATMENT/TTUROLISIS SUBASSEMBLY	
21.10WASTEWATER TREATMENT SUBASSEMBLY13621.11GAS COMPRESSION AND SEPARATION SUBASSEMBLY13921.12BILL OF MATERIALS14122.MICROBIAL ELECTROLYIS CELL (MEC) HYDROGEN PRODUCTION14422.1THEORETICAL REACTION AND ASSUMPTIONS14422.2MEC OPERATING PARAMETERS14422.3MEC System Description14622.4BILL OF MATERIALS15623.COST ASSUMPTIONS AND CALCULATIONS15823.1VARIABLE COSTS158	21.7 21.8	FRETREATMENT/THUROLISIS SUBASSEIVIBLY	
21.11GAS COMPRESSION AND SEPARATION SUBASSEMBLY13921.12BILL OF MATERIALS14122.MICROBIAL ELECTROLYIS CELL (MEC) HYDROGEN PRODUCTION14422.1THEORETICAL REACTION AND ASSUMPTIONS14422.2MEC OPERATING PARAMETERS14422.3MEC System Description14622.4BILL OF MATERIALS15623.COST ASSUMPTIONS AND CALCULATIONS15823.1VARIABLE COSTS158	21.7 21.8 21.9	FRETREATMENT/THTOROLISIS SUBASSEMBLY FERMENTATION SUBASSEMBLY SEED PRODUCTION SUBASSEMBLY STORAGE SUBASSEMBLY	
21.12BILL OF MATERIALS.14122.MICROBIAL ELECTROLYIS CELL (MEC) HYDROGEN PRODUCTION.14422.1THEORETICAL REACTION AND ASSUMPTIONS14422.2MEC OPERATING PARAMETERS14422.3MEC SYSTEM DESCRIPTION14622.4BILL OF MATERIALS.15623.COST ASSUMPTIONS AND CALCULATIONS15823.1VARIABLE COSTS158	21.7 21.8 21.9 21.10	FRETREATMENT/THDROLISIS SUBASSEMBLY FERMENTATION SUBASSEMBLY SEED PRODUCTION SUBASSEMBLY STORAGE SUBASSEMBLY WASTEWATER TREATMENT SUBASSEMBLY	123 124 127 130 132 134 136
22. MICROBIAL ELECTROLYIS CELL (MEC) HYDROGEN PRODUCTION.14422.1THEORETICAL REACTION AND ASSUMPTIONS14422.2MEC OPERATING PARAMETERS14422.3MEC SYSTEM DESCRIPTION14622.4BILL OF MATERIALS.15623. COST ASSUMPTIONS AND CALCULATIONS15823.1VARIABLE COSTS158	21.7 21.8 21.9 21.10 21.11	FRETREATMENT/THUROLISIS SUBASSEIVIBLY FERMENTATION SUBASSEMBLY SEED PRODUCTION SUBASSEMBLY STORAGE SUBASSEMBLY WASTEWATER TREATMENT SUBASSEMBLY GAS COMPRESSION AND SEPARATION SUBASSEMBLY	123 124 127 130 132 134 136 139
22.1       Theoretical Reaction and Assumptions       144         22.2       MEC Operating Parameters       144         22.3       MEC System Description       146         22.4       Bill of Materials       156         23.       COST ASSUMPTIONS AND CALCULATIONS       158         23.1       Variable Costs       158	21.7 21.8 21.9 21.10 21.11 21.12	FRETREATMENT/THDROLISIS SUBASSEMBLY FERMENTATION SUBASSEMBLY SEED PRODUCTION SUBASSEMBLY STORAGE SUBASSEMBLY WASTEWATER TREATMENT SUBASSEMBLY GAS COMPRESSION AND SEPARATION SUBASSEMBLY BILL OF MATERIALS	123 124 127 130 132 134 136 139 123
22.1       MEC APERATING PARAMETERS       144         22.3       MEC System Description       146         22.4       Bill of Materials       156         23.       COST ASSUMPTIONS AND CALCULATIONS       158         23.1       Variable Costs       158	21.7 21.8 21.9 21.10 21.11 21.12 <b>22. MIC</b>	FRETREATMENT/THDROLISIS SUBASSEMBLY FERMENTATION SUBASSEMBLY SEED PRODUCTION SUBASSEMBLY STORAGE SUBASSEMBLY WASTEWATER TREATMENT SUBASSEMBLY GAS COMPRESSION AND SEPARATION SUBASSEMBLY BILL OF MATERIALS ROBIAL ELECTROLYIS CELL (MEC) HYDROGEN PRODUCTION	123 124 127 130 132 134 136 139 141 141
22.3       MEC OF EXAMPLETO ADJAGETED 144         22.3       MEC System Description	21.7 21.8 21.9 21.10 21.11 21.12 <b>22. MIC</b> 22.1	FRETREATMENT/THDROLISIS SUBASSEMBLY FERMENTATION SUBASSEMBLY SEED PRODUCTION SUBASSEMBLY STORAGE SUBASSEMBLY WASTEWATER TREATMENT SUBASSEMBLY GAS COMPRESSION AND SEPARATION SUBASSEMBLY BILL OF MATERIALS FROBIAL ELECTROLYIS CELL (MEC) HYDROGEN PRODUCTION THEORETICAL REACTION AND ASSUMPTIONS	123 124 127 130 132 134 134 136 139 141 144
22.4       Bill OF MATERIALS	21.7 21.8 21.9 21.10 21.11 21.12 <b>22. MIC</b> 22.1 22.2	FRETREATMENT/THDROLISIS SUBASSEMBLY FERMENTATION SUBASSEMBLY SEED PRODUCTION SUBASSEMBLY STORAGE SUBASSEMBLY WASTEWATER TREATMENT SUBASSEMBLY GAS COMPRESSION AND SEPARATION SUBASSEMBLY BILL OF MATERIALS ROBIAL ELECTROLYIS CELL (MEC) HYDROGEN PRODUCTION THEORETICAL REACTION AND ASSUMPTIONS MEC OPERATING PARAMETERS	123 124 127 130 132 134 134 136 139 141 141 144 144
23. COST ASSUMPTIONS AND CALCULATIONS         158           23.1         VARIABLE COSTS         158	21.7 21.8 21.9 21.10 21.11 21.12 <b>22. MIC</b> 22.1 22.2 22 3	FRETREATMENT/THDROLISIS SUBASSEMBLY FERMENTATION SUBASSEMBLY SEED PRODUCTION SUBASSEMBLY STORAGE SUBASSEMBLY WASTEWATER TREATMENT SUBASSEMBLY GAS COMPRESSION AND SEPARATION SUBASSEMBLY BILL OF MATERIALS FROBIAL ELECTROLYIS CELL (MEC) HYDROGEN PRODUCTION THEORETICAL REACTION AND ASSUMPTIONS MEC OPERATING PARAMETERS MEC SYSTEM DESCRIPTION	123 124 127 130 132 134 136 139 141 141 144 144 144
23.1 VARIABLE COSTS	21.7 21.8 21.9 21.10 21.11 21.12 <b>22. MIC</b> 22.1 22.2 22.3 22.4	FRETREATMENT/THDROLISIS SUBASSEMBLY FERMENTATION SUBASSEMBLY SEED PRODUCTION SUBASSEMBLY STORAGE SUBASSEMBLY WASTEWATER TREATMENT SUBASSEMBLY GAS COMPRESSION AND SEPARATION SUBASSEMBLY BILL OF MATERIALS <b>ROBIAL ELECTROLYIS CELL (MEC) HYDROGEN PRODUCTION</b> THEORETICAL REACTION AND ASSUMPTIONS MEC OPERATING PARAMETERS MEC SYSTEM DESCRIPTION BILL OF MATERIALS	123 124 127 130 132 134 136 139 141 141 144 144 144 146 156
	21.7 21.8 21.9 21.10 21.11 21.12 <b>22.</b> MIC 22.1 22.2 22.3 22.4 <b>23.</b> COS	FRETREATMENT/THDROLISIS SUBASSEMBLY FERMENTATION SUBASSEMBLY. SEED PRODUCTION SUBASSEMBLY STORAGE SUBASSEMBLY WASTEWATER TREATMENT SUBASSEMBLY GAS COMPRESSION AND SEPARATION SUBASSEMBLY BILL OF MATERIALS. <b>ROBIAL ELECTROLYIS CELL (MEC) HYDROGEN PRODUCTION</b> THEORETICAL REACTION AND ASSUMPTIONS MEC OPERATING PARAMETERS MEC OPERATING PARAMETERS MEC SYSTEM DESCRIPTION BILL OF MATERIALS. T ASSUMPTIONS AND CALCULATIONS	123 124 127 130 132 134 136 139 141 141 144 144 144 144 156 158
24. HYDROGEN PRODUCTION COSTS FOR FERMENTOR AND MEC 163	21.7 21.8 21.9 21.10 21.11 21.12 <b>22. MIC</b> 22.1 22.2 22.3 22.4 <b>23. COS</b> 23.1	FRETREATMENT/THDROLISIS SUBASSEMBLY FERMENTATION SUBASSEMBLY. SEED PRODUCTION SUBASSEMBLY STORAGE SUBASSEMBLY WASTEWATER TREATMENT SUBASSEMBLY GAS COMPRESSION AND SEPARATION SUBASSEMBLY BILL OF MATERIALS. <b>ROBIAL ELECTROLYIS CELL (MEC) HYDROGEN PRODUCTION</b> . THEORETICAL REACTION AND ASSUMPTIONS MEC OPERATING PARAMETERS MEC SYSTEM DESCRIPTION BILL OF MATERIALS. <b>T ASSUMPTIONS AND CALCULATIONS</b>	123 124 127 130 132 134 136 139 141 141 144 144 144 144 156 156 158

25.	LIGNOCELLULOSE FERMENATION AND MEC SYSTEM CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMM	IENDATIONS 164
2: 2:	5.1       LIGNOCELLULOSE FERMENTATION SYSTEM         5.2       MICROBIAL ELECTROLYSIS CELL (MEC) SYSTEM	164 165
26.	PART III APPENDIX A: FERMENTOR MASS/HEAT BALANCE	
27.	PART III APPENDIX B: MEC MASS/HEAT BALANCE	
PAR	T IV: INTEGRATED SYSTEMS	169
28.	SYSTEMS INTEGRATION	
23 23 23	<ul> <li>8.1 Stacked Photobiological Pathway</li> <li>8.2 Photobiological-Fermentor Integration</li> <li>8.3 Lignocellulosic Fermentation/MEC Integration</li> </ul>	
29.	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION FOR INTEGRATED SYSTEMS	
30.	INTEGRATED SYSTEM CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	180
50.		
31.	PART IV APPENDIX A: INTEGRATED FERMENTOR/MEC MASS/HEAT BALANCE	
31. PAR	PART IV APPENDIX A: INTEGRATED FERMENTOR/MEC MASS/HEAT BALANCE T V: DISCUSSION OF PROJECT RESULTS	
31. PAR <sup>-</sup> 32.	PART IV APPENDIX A: INTEGRATED FERMENTOR/MEC MASS/HEAT BALANCE T V: DISCUSSION OF PROJECT RESULTS SUMMARY OF RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS	
<b>31.</b> <b>PAR</b> <b>32.</b> 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33	PART IV APPENDIX A: INTEGRATED FERMENTOR/MEC MASS/HEAT BALANCE         T V: DISCUSSION OF PROJECT RESULTS         SUMMARY OF RESULTS AND CONCLUSIONS         2.1       PHOTOSYNTHESIS SYSTEMS.         2.2       ALGAE FERMENTATION SYSTEMS         2.3       LIGNOCELLULOSE FERMENTATION SYSTEM         2.4       MICROBIAL ELECTROLYSIS CELL (MEC) SYSTEM         2.5       INTEGRATED STACKED PHOTOSYNTHESIS SYSTEM         2.6       INTEGRATED PHOTOSYNTHESIS AND FERMENTOR SYSTEM         2.7       INTEGRATED LIGNOCELLULOSIC FERMENTOR AND MEC         2.8       GAS PROCESSING         2.9       HYDROGEN PRODUCTION COST COMPARISONS	180 181 181 184 185 185 185 185 186 187 188 188 188 188 189 189 189 189

# <u>Figures</u>

Figure 1-1. Hourly Irradiance	3
Figure 1-2. Daily Insolation Variation over a Year	3
Figure 1-3. ETR vs. Actinic Light	6
Figure 1-4. Calculation of Peak ETR	8
Figure 1-5. Biological Production Limits – detail	9
Figure 1-6. Biological Production Limits – total potential	9
Figure 1-7. Hydrogen Production Efficiency - Wild-Type (WT)	.10
Figure 1-8. Hydrogen Production Efficiency – Mutant 1 (MT)	.10
Figure 1-9. Hydrogen Production Efficiency – Mutant 2 (M2T)	.10
Figure 1-10. Hydrogen Production - Wild-Type (WT)	.11
Figure 1-11. Hydrogen Production – Mutant 1 (MT)	.11
Figure 1-12. Hydrogen Production – Mutant 2 (M2T)	.11
Figure 1-13. Hourly Hydrogen Production Variation – M2T	.12
Figure 2-1. Biological Parameters of Photobiological Systems	.14
Figure 2-2. TAP Medium Costs	.18
Figure 2-3. Fertilizer prices per ton since 2000.	.18
Figure 2-4. Nutrient Rates Computed	.19
Figure 2-5. Sample Fertilizer Costs	.20
Figure 2-6. Acetic acid Consumption by PNS	.21
Figure 2-7. Carbon Dioxide Solubility in Water	.23
Figure 2-8. Oxygen-Tolerant Hydrogenase Process	.25
Figure 2-9. Sulfate Permease Process	.27
Figure 2-10. Immobilized Sulfur Deprived Process	.29
Figure 2-11. Purple Non-Sulfur Bacteria Process	.31
Figure 3-1. Simplified Photobiological Hydrogen Production Plant	.32
Figure 3-2. Strengths and Weaknesses of Single Bed Reactors	.33
Figure 3-3. Conceptual Design: Single Bed Reactors	.33
Figure 3-4. Strengths and Weaknesses of Dual Bed Reactors	.34
Figure 3-5. Conceptual Design of Dual Bed Reactors	.34
Figure 3-6. Strengths and Weaknesses of Chemostat Bed Reactors	.35
Figure 3-7. Conceptual Design of Chemostat Bed Reactors	.35
Figure 3-9. Conceptual Design of Chemostat II Bed Reactors	.36
Figure 3-10. Reactor Bed Design	.38
Figure 3-11. Reactor Bed Components	.38
Figure 3-12. Polyethylene Hydrogen Losses	.39
Figure 3-13. Film-Frame interface point	.40
Figure 3-14. Paddlewheel Electrical Requirements	.40
Figure 3-15. Capital Costs of Reactor Bed Components	.42
Figure 3-16. Organism Feed Components	.43
Figure 3-17. Organism Feed Subassembly Design	.43
Figure 3-18. Capital Cost of Organism Feed Components	.45
Figure 3-19. Recycle Components.	.46
Figure 3-20. Recycle Subassembly Design	.46

Figure 3-21. Types of Centrifuges	47
Figure 3-22. Drum Filter Diagram	.48
Figure 3-23. Capital Costs of Recycle Components	.49
Figure 3-24. Gas Capture Components	.49
Figure 3-25. Gas Capture Subassembly Design	. 50
Figure 3-26. Possible PSA Parameters	. 53
Figure 3-27. Nano-porous Membranes	. 55
Figure 3-28. Capital Cost of Gas Capture Components	. 56
Figure 3-29. Control System Components	. 58
Figure 3-30. Control System Subassembly Design	. 59
Figure 3-31. Control System Wiring and Conduit Quantities for B-1, B-2	.60
Figure 3-32. Capital Costs of Control System Components	.60
Figure 4-1. Production Plant Design for Oxygen-Tolerant Hydrogenase (Chlamy)	.63
Figure 4-2. Bill of Materials for B-1 Pathway	.64
Figure 4-3. Production Plant Design for Oxygen-Tolerant Hydrogenase (Cyanobacteria)	.65
Figure 4-4. Bill of Materials for B-2 Pathway	.66
Figure 4-5. Production Plant Design for Sulfate Permease (Chlamy)	.67
Figure 4-6. Bill of Materials for B-3 Pathway	.68
Figure 4-7. Production Plant Design for Immobilized Sulfur Deprived	.70
Figure 4-8. Bill of Materials for B-4 Pathway	71
Figure 4-9. Production Plant Design for Purple Non-Sulfur	. 72
Figure 4-10. Bill of Materials for B-5 Pathway	73
Figure 5-1. Piping sizes for B-1 through B-5	.75
Figure 5-2. Electricity Consumption per Pathway	.78
Figure 5-3. Davis-Bacon Wage Determinations and Blue Book Rental Costs	79
Figure 5-4. Excavation Cost Estimate for B-1 System using California Costs	.80
Figure 6-1. H2A Default Values and Assumptions used for all Biohydrogen Pathways	. 82
Figure 6-2. Parameters Common to all Pathways	.83
Figure 6-3. Pathway Specific Parameters	.84
Figure 6-4. Land Required for each Pathway	.85
Figure 6-5. Plant Staff Requirements for 1 tonne H <sub>2</sub> /day plant	.86
Figure 6-6. Utilities Usage	.86
Figure 6-7. Feedstock Usage	.87
Figure 6-8. LDPE Film Replacement Costs	.87
Figure 6-9. Levelized costs for B-1 through B-5 Pathways	.88
Figure 6-10. Comparison of Levelized Cost Components	. 89
Figure 6-11. Near Term STH Efficiency Assumptions	. 89
Figure 6-12. Levelized H <sub>2</sub> Costs based on Near Term STH Efficiency	.90
Figure 6-13. Comparison of Levelized Cost Components for Near Term Efficiencies	.90
Figure 7-1. Capital Cost Increases from Increased Plant Size	.91
Figure 7-2. Labor Assumptions for Different Plant Sizes	92
Figure 7-3. Labor Cost Reductions from Increased Plant Size	93
Figure 7-4. Normalized Hydrogen Production	94
Figure 8-1. Capital Cost Allocations by Subassembly	.95
Figure 11-1. Fermentation Process within a Biological System	101
Figure 12-1. Potential Fermentative Feedstock Materials	102
Figure 13-1. NREL Fermentation Experimental Data	103

Figure 14-1. Fermentation System Design	106
Figure 15-1: BOM for Fermentor Systems C-1 and C-2 (sized for the organism w	aste-
stream of a 10TPD B-1 or B-2 Photobiological Hydrogen production system)	108
Figure 15-2: BOM for 10 TPD Fermentor System C-5 (sized for the PNS waste-stream	ı of a
10TPD B-5 Photobiological Hydrogen production system)	108
Figure 16-1. Fermentative Hydrogen Ouput (from 10TPD Photobiological System)	109
Figure 17-1. H2A Default Values and Assumptions used for all Fermentative Pathways.	110
Figure 17-2. Parameters Common to all Pathways	111
Figure 17-3. Pathway Specific Parameters	
Figure 17-4. Land Required for each Pathway	
Figure 17-5. Plant Staff Requirements for Fermentor plant	113
Figure 17-6. Electricity Usage	113
Figure 18-1: H2A Model Projected Hydrogen Cost per kg from Fermentor Systems	C-1.
C-2, and C-5	114
Figure 19-1: Fermentor Outputs	
Figure 19-2: MEC Flows	
Figure 19-3. C-1/C-2 Fermentation + MEC	
Figure 19-4. C-5 Fermentation	
Figure 21-1. Potential Fermentative Feedstock Materials	
Figure 21-2. Lignocellulosic Biomass	.119
Figure 21-3. Parameters for Fermentation System	.122
Figure 21-4. Simplified Lignocellulosic Hydrogen Production Plant	124
Figure 21-5. Corn Stover Prep Components	124
Figure 21-6. Corn Stover Prep Subassembly Design	126
Figure 21-7. Capital Cost of Corn Stover Prep Subassembly	
Figure 21-8. Pretreatment/Hydrolysis Components	128
Figure 21-9. Pretreatment/Hydrolysis Subassembly Design	129
Figure 21-11. Fermentation Components	130
Figure 21-12. Fermentation Subassembly Design	131
Figure 21-13. Capital Costs of Fermentation Subassembly	132
Figure 21-14. Seed Production Components	132
Figure 21-15. Seed Production Subassembly Design	133
Figure 21-16. Capital Costs of Seed Production Subassembly	134
Figure 21-18. Storage Subassembly Design	135
Figure 21-19. Capital Costs of Storage Subassembly	136
Figure 21-20. Wastewater Treatment Subassembly Components	137
Figure 21-21. Wastewater Treatment Subassembly Design	138
Figure 21-22. Capital Cost of Wastewater Treatment Subassembly	139
Figure 21-23. Gas Compression and Separation Components	140
Figure 21-24. Gas Compression and Separation Subassembly Design	141
Figure 21-25. Capital Cost of Gas Compression and Separation Subassembly	141
Figure 21-26. Lignocellulosic Fermentation Flow Chart	142
Figure 21-27. Bill of Materials Lignocellulosic Fermentation	143
Figure 22-1. Parameters for MEC System	145
Figure 22-2. Simplified MEC Hydrogen Production Unit	146
Figure 22-3. MEC Unit Field Layout	147
Figure 22-4. MEC Components	148

Figure 22-5. MEC Cell	148
Figure 22-6: MEC Operating Performance – Pt Cathode	149
Figure 22-7. MEC Operating Performance - Brush Cathode	150
Figure 22-8. MEC Cost Optimization	151
Figure 22-9: MEC Test Unit Top view with Half-Brush Cathode and Anode	152
Figure 22-10. Capital Costs of MEC Subassembly	153
Figure 22-11. Storage Components	153
Figure 22-12. Storage Subassembly Design	154
Figure 22-13. Capital Costs of Storage Subassembly	154
Figure 22-14. Gas Compression and Separation Components	155
Figure 22-15. Gas Compression and Separation Subassembly Design	156
Figure 22-16. Capital Cost of Gas Compression and Separation Subassembly	156
Figure 22-17. MEC System Flow Chart	157
Figure 22-18. MEC Stand-Alone Bill of Materials	157
Figure 23-2. Power Requirements MEC System	160
Figure 23-3. Labor Breakdown - Fermentor	160
Figure 23-4. Additional Consumables Costs – Fermentation system	161
Figure 23-5. Water Use	162
Figure 23-6. Total Variable Costs for Fermentor	162
Figure 23-7. Total Variable Costs for MEC	162
Figure 24-1: Total Hydrogen Cost	163
Figure 24-2. Comparison of Levelized Cost Components	163
Figure 24-3. Fermentor Liquid-Organic Output Value	164
Figure 28-1. Potential Biological Integration Methods	170
Figure 28-2. Process Diagram – Integrated Photobiological Systems	172
Figure 28-3. Tiered Reactor Bed Conceptual Design	172
Figure 28-4. Bill of Materials for B-3/B-5 Stacked Beds	173
Figure 28-5. Photobio-Fermentor Process Diagram	174
Figure 28-6. BOM for B-5/C-5 Integrated System	175
Figure 28-7. Process Diagram for Fermentation-MEC Integration	176
Figure 28-8. Bill of Materials for Integrated Fermentation-MEC	177
Figure 28-9. Fermentor/MEC Mass Balance Flow Chart	178
Figure 29-2. Comparison of Integrated System Costs	179
Figure 32-1. Photobiological System STH Energy Efficiency	186
Figure 32-2. H <sub>2</sub> Production Costs	190

# Part I: Photobiological H<sub>2</sub> Production Systems

#### Introduction

Directed Technologies Inc. (DTI) is under contract to the National Renewable Energy Lab (NREL) to conduct a techno-economic evaluation of photobiological hydrogen production systems. This report documents the biological and engineering characteristics of five algal and bacterial hydrogen production systems selected by DOE and NREL for evaluation. Those characteristics are divided into three areas, namely, basic science, biology, and engineering. Since basic science assumptions are strongly correlated with biological parameters, they are provided first. Hydrogen production capabilities are a function of the reactor bed design so those details are provided in the engineering section of this report. Because product hydrogen product is combined with other gases, the characteristics of a gas separation system will be given additional attention. The last section of this Task B report utilizes the information collected to estimate a final result, given as a cost per unit of produced hydrogen.

### 1. Basic Science

## 1.1 Solar Assumptions

Solar insolation is a key factor in determining algal growth and  $H_2$  production rates. Selecting a geographical location provides ambient temperatures, hours of exposure, and amount of irradiance. For the purpose of this study we have based our solar input assumptions on the solar irradiance properties of the Phoenix, AZ area. Hourly solar irradiance (W/m<sup>2</sup> striking the horizontal reactor bed) for this area is shown in Figure 1-1. The NREL SOLPOS model is used for solar position angles and intensity<sup>1</sup>. This model calculates extra terrestrial radiation (ETR) by the hour and day throughout the year. The total clearness index, Kt, is multiplied by the ETR to obtain the terrestrial irradiance on the horizontal surface. Kt values for the relevant months in the Phoenix area are obtained from the NASA Atmospheric Data Center / Surface meteorology and Solar Energy (SSE) database<sup>2</sup>. The 3 curves plotted in Figure 1-1 show the solar peak at June 21, the autumnal equinox at September 21, and the solar minimum at December 21. These curves also show the seasonal peak radiation which determines the peak potential H<sub>2</sub> production for those periods. The peak June irradiance at 964W/m<sup>2</sup> is slightly below the nominal peak value of 1,000 W/m<sup>2</sup> due to the sun's maximum elevation angle being 80 degrees above the horizon.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> NREL MIDS SOLPOS (Solar Position and Intensity) model may be found at http://rredc.nrel.gov/solar/codesandalgorithms/solpos/.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> NASA Atmospheric Data Center, Langley ASDC User Services, Surface meteorology and Solar Energy (SSE) data base (release 6.0).



The daily insolation energy (kW-hr/m<sup>2</sup>/day) on a horizontal surface throughout the year for the Phoenix area was obtained from the NASA SSE database, and is plotted in Figure 1-2. These plotted values are averages for the respective months. The yearly mean value of 5.5kW-hr/m<sup>2</sup>/day is also shown.



Figure 1-2. Daily Insolation Variation over a Year

Note that the effects of clouds are implicitly included in these calculations, as the monthly data points are for the average day including the average amount of clouds. The normalized cell growth and hydrogen production parameters used in the following analyses have assumed an average sunlight of 5.5kW-hr/m<sup>2</sup>/day to generate a usable hydrogen production rate of 1,000kg/day averaged over the year.

**1.2** Bed Depth, Algal Concentration, &  $H_2$  Production Rate Assumptions The depth of the reactor bed and algal concentration are matched to ensure full photon capture by the organisms. If organism concentration is too dilute for a given total depth, light will penetrate to the bottom of the bed and photons will be "wasted". Should the organism be too concentrated, photons will be fully absorbed in only the upper layers of the bed potentially "starving" the organisms at the lower depths of photons and adversely affecting their health<sup>3</sup>. The organism concentration and bed depth are also important because they impact specifications for other system components such as pumps and valves, type of solids separation equipment, and type of mixing equipment.

Choice of bed depth and concentration depends on the mode of growth, batch versus continuous dilution. For batch mode, the ideal fixed-volume bioreactor to use for achieving constant light transmission throughout a growing population of dispersed cells would have to allow for continuous decrease in path length as the population grows to higher cell densities. Alternatively, a continuous dilution bioreactor of fixed volume could operate with a fixed cell density after reaching steady-state growth and thus would have constant absorbance. If the latter growth mode is not used and replaced with batch culture growth mode then the bed depth must be chosen so that the absorbance after reaching stationary phase, e.g., at beginning of the photo-hydrogen production stage, produces the maximum rate of hydrogen production.

## 1.2.1 Beers Law Photon Absorption Model

The rate of photon absorption and thus the maximum hydrogen production at a given bed depth increment can be determined by Beer's Law. At each depth increment,  $\Delta d$ , one calculates the number of photons absorbed and then multiplies that by the specific rate of H<sub>2</sub> production in molecules per photon (this is equivalent to the light saturation curve for photo-hydrogen production which is an experimental measurement distinct for each cell type or mutant).

Beer's law states that:

A = b\*C\* $\epsilon$  where A = Absorbance (unitless) b = depth into liquid in cm C = cell concentration in g<sub>drywt</sub>/L  $\epsilon$  = absorption coefficient in L/cm/g<sub>drywt</sub>

Absorbance is defined as the negative logarithm of the ratio of exiting light intensity to entering (incident) light intensity:

A = -log(I/Io)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Proper bed mixing can mitigate or potentially eliminate the effects of shallow light penetration.

From this definition, I/Io (light intensity I, at a given bed depth, b) is derived from:

$$I/Io = 10^{-\epsilon bC}$$

To determine the required bed depth and cell concentration to maximize photon capture by the organisms, we integrate the above equation over the depth of the bed. Note that for this approach and Beer's law to be valid, we need to make multiple assumptions:

- 1. The cell volume and pigment content per cell must not change appreciably during the lifecycle of the sample. While most cells increase pigment content and volume as they age and, therefore, do not strictly obey Beer's law, we assume average rather than instantaneous cellular characteristics for purposes of the calculation. As shall be discussed later in the report, this assumption is most valid for the Chemostat II system, where a constant cell concentration is maintained.
- 2. The cells must not be allowed to aggregate, as commonly occurs without adequate mixing, resulting in biofilm formation. Consequently, this analysis is most applicable to planktonic (or free-floating) cells. With the exception of the B-4 System (see p. 25), all systems considered are free-floating cells in an actively mixed medium. This analysis is not relevant to the B-4 immobilized system.
- 3. The cell density must be sufficiently low that cell "shading" does not occur. In the present configuration shading is minimized by dilution: all systems, with the exception of B-3 and B-4 for which this analysis does not apply due to the necessity of higher cell concentration as a feedstock for oxidative respiration, maintain a cell concentration of less than  $0.2g_{drywt}/L$ .
- 4. Corrections for the light lost from the bioreactor arising from light scattering of cells (turbidity) are generally estimated to be low of order: 10% for the cell concentrations considered in this report and for a normal incident angle. Generally, visible light scattering by cells of the size of Chlamydomonas (10 microns) is predominantly forward scattering and thus most of the scattered light is retained in the bioreactor. Thus, no correction for light scattering is included in the analysis.

# 1.2.2 Light Saturation

While Beer's Law relates photon absorption to cell and bed characteristics, there is an additional limitation on  $H_2$  production due to saturation of photon/electron conversion capability within the cells. There is a charge transfer limitation (alternately called a saturation limit) within the photosynthetic pathway that limits the rate at which photons/electrons can be processed by the PSII reaction. This consequently limits utilization of incident photons, limiting the rate of the PSI reaction and  $H_2$  production, and thus lowering the Solar to Hydrogen (STH) energy conversion efficiency. At moderate-to-high light intensity, this saturation limit is greatly exceeded by wild type organisms and, to a lesser extent, by current truncated antenna mutants. Two analyses of the saturation limit effects are discussed in this and the following section.

To estimate this charge transfer limitation, we examine the measured quantum efficiency for electron transport by PSII as a function of Actinic Light Photon Flux Density (PFD) for whole cells of the green alga Chlorella. Figure 1-3 displays electron transfer rate (ETR) vs. actinic light for two methods of ETR calculation<sup>4</sup>. Actinic light generally refers to portion of light that causes a chemical change. In this specific case, light refers to the light within the PAR range. These data come from experiments and are qualitatively representative of data in the literature for other algae including *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*.



Figure 1-3. ETR vs. Actinic Light

Based on the theory of light absorption and following well-known Poisson statistics<sup>5</sup> we postulate that the relationship between ETR and light intensity will follow the relationship,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Unpublished data from Gennady Ananeyev, Princeton University.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> "Light Saturation Curves and Quantum Yields in Reaction Centers from Photosynthetic Bacteria", Blankenship et al. Journal of Biophysics, Volume 45 February 1984 455-461.

 $Y/Y_{max} = 1 - e^{-\sigma \Phi E}$ 

where;

Ymax = Incident light intensity

Y = Absorbed light intensity

 $\sigma$  = optical cross section in cm<sup>2</sup>

- $\Phi$  = quantum yield, probability of charge separation/photon
- E = Incident intensity in photons/cm<sup>2</sup>/sec

We next analytically determine the  $\sigma\Phi$  value ( $\sigma\Phi = 0.053346$ ) that results in a curve fit to the experimental data. As shown in Figure 1-4, a reasonably good curve fit was obtained. While this form of the data and analytic model indicate that ETR peaks at a light intensity of approximately  $60 \text{W/m}^2$ , it does not show the peak ETR. Consequently, to determine the peak ETR, we postulate that there are no charge transfer limitations at very low light levels and thus an extrapolation of the linear portion of the curve will yield an indication of the maximum electron transfer. By extrapolating the linear portion of the curve to its intersection with the maximum electron transfer (Y/Y<sub>max</sub>=1), we estimate that the cells would be able to process photons at up to  $19W/m^2$  light intensity if they were unencumbered by rate limits. This allows us to compute the peak charge separation rate. Thus we now have a measure of both the peak  $H_2$  production rate and shape of the light intensity vs.  $H_2$ production rate. The light saturation curve can be used together with Beer's law to determine the rate of charge separation that occurs at every point within the bioreactor. This same approach can be used to calculate the H<sub>2</sub> production rate which is assumed to be a fixed fraction of the charge separation rate. Thereby the H<sub>2</sub> production rate can be obtained at each depth increment within the bioreactor and the total absorption summed from all such depth increments throughout the bioreactor to obtain the overall  $H_2$  production throughout the reactor. The result is a substantial decrease in H<sub>2</sub> production rate due to light saturation effects of typical current organisms.



#### 1.2.3 Alternative Model for Photon Absorption Saturation

An extensive analysis of saturation effects on hydrogen production was carried out for NREL by Wade Amos in NREL/MP 560-35539<sup>6</sup>. In this report, the hydrogen production rate in successive 0.2 mm layers of algae was predicted as a function of depth in the pond, the solar intensity, and the algae antenna saturation characteristics. For reduced antenna mutants, the analysis predicted major reduction in hydrogen production rate at depths shallower than 4 cm due to photon saturation. The photon saturation criterion assumed by Amos was that the maximum electron current able to be transferred to an external electron acceptor is 1 mole of electrons per gram (dry cell weight) of cells per day.

As an expansion of the Amos saturation analyses, we investigated the saturation effects using a hypothetical mutant (M2T) that had average absorption coefficient ( $\varepsilon$ ) reduced by a factor of 5 relative to wild-type (WT). Figure 1-5, based on the Figure 15 of NREL/MP 560-35593, plots the rate of hydrogen production per m<sup>2</sup> per second for each 0.2mm increment of bed depth, for three varieties of algae. The thin green curve represents the rate of hydrogen production per depth layer of wild-type *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* which has an average  $\varepsilon$  of 5.0, if all absorbed photons were successfully converted to hydrogen. The thick black line plots the analogous production curve for the Amos report Mutant-Type (MT) *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* with a reduced antenna, which has an average  $\varepsilon$  of 2.07. The blue line plots the analogous production curve for a hypothetical improved Mutant-2-Type (M2T) *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* with an average  $\varepsilon$  of 1.0. Figure 1-6 shows the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Amos, Wade, "Updated Cost Analysis of Photobiological Hydrogen Production from Chlamydomonas reinhardtii Green algae", NREL/MP 560-35593, January 2004 at page 7 (Gosset, 1995).

full extent of these curves. If all of the PAR photons were absorbed and converted to  $H_2$  at the rate of 4 photons/one  $H_2$  molecule, the hydrogen production would equal the integration of the area under each of these absorption curves and the STH energy efficiency would be 12.2% (assuming the Lower Heating Value (LHV) of  $H_2 = 33.33$  kWh/kg).



**Figure 1-5. Biological Production Limits – detail** 





The thick green horizontal line on these curves denotes the algae saturation limit for hydrogen production based on the epsilon assumptions discussed above. Thus, with increasing depth, the actual  $H_2$  production rate first follows the saturation thick green line for light intensities exceeding the saturation threshold and then follows the appropriate absorption curve predicted by Beer's Law. The ratio of the area below each set of two limiting curves to the solar photon flux represents the actual fraction of photons captured for  $H_2$  production, and thus the process efficiency.

Shown in Figure 1-7, Figure 1-8 and Figure 1-9 are curves of the  $H_2$  production efficiency for the wild-type and two mutant types as solar intensity is increased up to the max intensity of 1000W/m<sup>2</sup>. As can be seen, 100% photon utilization only takes place at low output for WT and at levels below 21 W/m<sup>2</sup> for MT and 45 W/m<sup>2</sup> for M2T. The higher efficiency of the Mutant-2-Type (M2T) *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* results from the increased photon utilization throughout the pond depth.



Figure 1-7. Hydrogen Production Efficiency - Wild-Type (WT)

Figure 1-8. Hydrogen Production Efficiency – Mutant 1 (MT)



Figure 1-9. Hydrogen Production Efficiency – Mutant 2 (M2T)



Figure 1-10, Figure 1-11 and Figure 1-12 show resultant hydrogen production rate for both wild-type and mutant types as solar irradiance is increased, with the early linear part of the curve reflecting full conversion. At full conversion intensities, the saturation limit is not exceeded and photon utilization can be 100% (i.e. 100% of the photons absorbed are able to be processed by the PSII and PSI reactions.) Output levels off as irradiance increases, with the Mutant-2-Type having significantly higher overall production levels.



Figure 1-10. Hydrogen Production - Wild-Type (WT)

Figure 1-11. Hydrogen Production – Mutant 1 (MT)



Figure 1-12. Hydrogen Production – Mutant 2 (M2T)



To illustrate the combined effects of cell saturation and seasonal and diurnal variation in light intensity, hourly hydrogen production curves were plotted in Figure 1-13 for June 21, September 21, and December 21. Integrating under the curve gives total daily  $H_2$  production and allows an average STH energy conversion efficiency to be calculated. These values are shown in Figure 1-13.



In summary, the above curves show  $H_2$  production rate for a hypothetical M2T mutant antenna algae strain at the following conditions:

- An organism that obeys Beer's law
- *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* at cell concentration = 0.2 g/L and a bed depth = 10 cm
- Mass absorption coefficient  $\varepsilon = 1 \text{ L/cm/g}_{drywt}$  representing a 5:1 reduced antenna mutant relative to wild type
- Maximum charge transfer saturation limitation of 1 mole electrons per gram cell dry weight of cells per day
- Linear dependence of the H<sub>2</sub> production rate on light intensities below those needed to produce electron transfer at the maximum charge transfer limit
- Solar diurnal and yearly fluctuations as described in Section 2.1
- All photons absorbed by the cell are assumed to impact the chlorophyll antenna
- All photons successfully entering the PSII/PSI chain productively produce H<sub>2</sub> (i.e., no photons go toward growth, heat or fluorescence)

Integrating over the course of the year, we estimate that the resulting annual average STH energy conversion efficiency is 3.1%.

## 1.2.4 Absorption Parameters Used in This Study

The maximum STH efficiency is highly dependent on the saturation limit assumption. While the limitation mechanism is well understood, the upper saturation limit for future optimized organisms is poorly defined. The saturation model discussed in the prior sections is based on current organism properties. However, this study is focused on potential future production using developmental mutants of algae and bacteria which will not have the stringent saturation limits of current algae and bacteria. Consequently for this analysis the saturation limit was not imposed for the following reasons:

- 1. We wish to parametrically assess the cost of hydrogen resulting from multiple organism systems and configuration. Consequently, we want to establish a true "upper bound" efficiency to gauge the potential of biohydrogen.
- 2. We are interested in modeling hypothetical mutant strains that have optimized hydrogen generation capability and their exact production characteristics are not known.
- 3. Mutation of the chlorophyll antenna size is expected to be one approach to optimizing STH efficiency and the limits of antenna truncation are not known.
- 4. The removal of the saturation limit leads the STH conversion efficiency to be constant with respect to light intensity. This in turn means the STH efficiency is constant throughout the year and greatly simplifies the reactor bed sizing analysis (because it allows us to merely sum total incident photons rather than carefully assessing the incident photon variations over the course of the day and year).

Assumed STH conversion efficiencies for each of the examined biological systems are detailed in the next section.

## 2. <u>Photobiological Systems - Biological and System Parameters</u>

"Certain algae and cyanobacteria photoproduce hydrogen for short times as a way to get rid of excess energy."<sup>7</sup> Recognizing the potential of using these biological systems as a source of energy, scientists have sought out ways to extend and control the duration of hydrogen production from these organisms.

Our analysis focuses on the hydrogen production potential of 5 photobiological systems. These are;

- 1. green algae that co-produces  $H_2$  and  $O_2$  gases and possesses an  $O_2$ -tolerant hydrogenase,
- 2. cyanobacteria that co-produces  $H_2$  and  $O_2$  gases and possesses an  $O_2$ -tolerant hydrogenase<sup>8</sup>,
- 3. sulfate-permease algal mutants that produce only  $H_2$  gas due to the effects of a mutated sulfate permease gene on the chloroplast,
- 4. immobilized sulfate-deprived green algae that produce H<sub>2</sub> for extended periods of time, and
- 5. purple non-sulfur (PNS) bacteria that produce  $H_2$  gas in light.

The baseline conditions that have been used for each of the pathways are summarized in Figure 2-1. The Reactor Bed Mode of Operation refers to the process that is used to grow algae and produce hydrogen. This mode of operation results in different solar to hydrogen (STH) efficiencies for a given organism. The Reactor Bed Mode of Operation is described in more detail in the Engineering Parameters section of this report.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Photobiological Production of Hydrogen (Fact Sheet). FS-560-42285. NREL. Colorado. November 2007. <u>http://www.nrel.gov/docs/fy08osti/42285.pdf</u>.

 $<sup>\</sup>frac{8}{8}$  This strain of cyanobacteria does not currently exist and needs to be genetically engineered.

IIgu	ie 2 ii Diologie	ai i ai ainetei ș oi	Thotobiologie	ai Systems	
	B-1: Algae O <sub>2</sub> -tolerant Hydrogenase	B-2: Cyanobacteria O <sub>2</sub> -tolerant Hydrogenase	B-3: Algae Sulfate Permease	B-4: Immobilized Algae, Sulfur deprived	B-5: PNS Bacteria
Organism <sup>9</sup>	C. reinhardtii	Synechocystis Hydrogenase <sup>10</sup>	C. reinhardtii	C. reinhardtii cc124	Rhodobacter sphaeroides RV <sup>11</sup>
Antennae Type	LHC (Light Harvesting Complex) deletion Mutant	Phycobilin deletion Mutant	LHC deletion Mutant	LHC deletion Mutant	LHC - II deletion Mutant
Reactor Bed Mode of Chemostat II <sup>12</sup> Chemostat II Operation.		Chemostat II	Single-Bed	Dual-Bed, Single-Bed <sup>13</sup>	Chemostat II
		Cell Growth Co	onditions		
Water	Fresh	Fresh	Fresh	Fresh	Fresh
Temperature (°C)	25-35	25-35	25-35	25-35	25-35
Final Concentration in solution	Final Concentration $0.2$ $0.2$ in solution $^{14}$ $(g/L - Dry Wt)$ $(g/L - Dry Wt)$		4.91 <sup>15</sup> (g/L – Dry Wt)	2.8 (g/L – Dry Wt)	0.2 (g/L – Dry Wt)
Inorganic nutrients	Fertiliz	er containing: Potassi	um, Phosphorous, Ni	trogen and trace eler	nents
Daily Rates g/g organism		K: 3.071 x10 <sup>-6</sup> ,	P: 4.913 x10 <sup>-5</sup> , N:	6.142 x10 <sup>-6</sup>	
Daily Rates g/m <sup>2</sup>		K: 6.14 x10 <sup>-5</sup>	<sup>5</sup> P: 9.83 x10 <sup>-4</sup> , N: 1	23 x10 <sup>-4</sup>	
Organic nutrient	None	None	None	None	Acetic Acid
Daily Rate g/g organism	Daily Rate g/g organism N/A N		N/A	N/A	0.624
Daily Rate g/m <sup>2</sup>	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	12.5
Daily CO <sub>2</sub> Req <sup>16</sup> g CO <sub>2</sub> /g dry mass	0.73	0.73	0.73	0.73	None
Growth duration	2 day	s initial	4 days	1 day	2 days initial

#### Figure 2-1, Biological Parameters of Photobiological Systems

<sup>9</sup> We assume an engineered antenna for all organisms. B-1 and B-2 also possess an O<sub>2</sub> tolerant hydrogenase, thus creating a "double mutant". While without precedent in the literature, multiple mutations are necessary to achieve high STH conversion efficiencies. <sup>10</sup> Based on Synechocystis PCC6803 mutant work of Pin-Ching Maness (NREL)

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Analysis does not rely on a specific strain of PNS proteobacterium. Multiple examples of possible organisms are cited in "Photobiological hydrogen production: photochemical efficiency and bioreactor design," Akkerman et. al., Intl. Journal of Hydrogen Energy 27 (2002) 1195-1208.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> Chemostat II is a chemostat with simultaneous growth and  $H_2$  production, described in Section 4.1.4

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> As discussed in Section 3.4, this system utilizes a two day production period, followed by a two day growth period in a single bed. At the end of 180 days of production, the algae grown in a second bed is transferred to the production bed, replacing the spent algae. In this way, it is both a dual-bed and single-bed system

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> Peak cell concentration in growth bed at end of growth phase, and the target starting concentration for  $H_2$ production. For the Chemostat II systems (B-1, B-2, and B-5), this concentration refers to the final concentration at the end of the initial grown phase i.e. the growth phase used to create the initial colony prior to chemostat operation.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Concentrations higher than 0.2 are needed for B3 and B4 in order to ensure that enough cell mass is accumulated for respiration to keep the system anaerobic

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Four times the sustainment amount used for production conditions in this table. Based on private communication with A. Melis. (University of California-Berkeley)

	B-1	B-2	B-3	B-4	B-5				
Hydrogen Production Conditions									
Water	Fresh	Fresh	Fresh	Fresh	Fresh				
Temperature (°C)	25-35	25-35	25-35	25-35	25-35				
Cell Concentration	0.2	0.2	0.85	1.81 <sup>17</sup>	0.2				
in solution	(g/L – Dry Wt)	(g/L – Dry Wt)	(g/L – Dry Wt)	(g/L – Dry Wt)	(g/L – Dry Wt)				
Nutrients	Potassium, Phosp	Fertilizer containing horous, and Nitrogen and	d trace elements	Fertilizer containing Potassium, and	Fertilizer containing Potassium,				
				Nitrogen and trace elements + Low	Phosphorous, and trace				
				Level of Sulfur	elements + Acetic Acid				
Daily Rates		K: 7.677 x10 <sup>-7</sup>		K: 7.677 x10 <sup>-7</sup>	K: 3.071 x10 <sup>-6</sup>				
g/g organism		P: 1.228 x10 <sup>-5</sup>		N: 1.535 x10 <sup>-6</sup>	P: 4.913 x10 <sup>-5</sup>				
		N: 1.535 x10 <sup>-6</sup>		S: very low levels	Ac acid: 2.1				
Daily Rates		K: 1.54 x10 <sup>-5</sup>	K: 1.54 x10 <sup>-5</sup>	K: 6.14 x10 <sup>-5</sup>					
g/m <sup>2</sup>		P: 2.46E x10 <sup>-4</sup>		N: 3.07 x10 <sup>-5</sup>	P: 9.83 x10 <sup>-4</sup>				
		N: 3.07 x10 <sup>-5</sup>		S: very low levels	Ac acid: 42.15				
Daily CO <sub>2</sub> Req g CO <sub>2</sub> /g dry mass	0.16 <sup>18</sup>	0.16 <sup>18</sup>	None	None	None				
Oxygen Tolerance	Oxygen Tolerance Aerobic/O <sub>2</sub> Aerobic/O <sub>2</sub> tolerant Anaerobic		Anaerobic	Anaerobic <sup>19</sup>	Anaerobic				
Deprivations from media	None	None	Phosphate deprived, Sulfur limited	Nitrogen deprived					
Production Cycle Duration	Semi-infinite, continuous	Semi-infinite, continuous	3 days H <sub>2</sub> production, 4 days growth	3 days H <sub>2</sub> production, 1 day growth for ~180 days total <sup>20</sup>	Semi-infinite, continuous				
Gases Produced	$H_2$ , $O_2$	H <sub>2</sub> , O <sub>2</sub>	H <sub>2</sub> , CO <sub>2</sub>	H <sub>2</sub> , CO <sub>2</sub>	$H_2$ , $CO_2$				

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> "...the immobilization technique allowed us to increase the cell density about 130-fold compared to a suspension culture" Kosourov, Sergey N. and M. Seibert. <u>Hydrogen Photoproduction by Nutrient-Deprived</u> Chlamydomonas reinhardtii Cells Immobilized within Thin Alginate Films under Aerobic and Anaerobic Conditions. NREL. Colorado. 16 June 2008. p 8. (NREL)  $^{18}$  Calculated based on the molar ratios of photosynthesis and the rate of photosynthesis required to support 3%

light conversion into biomass.

Operation in aerobic conditions is a possibility but is not postulated for this analysis. "Since this was a critical observation, we further investigated whether alginate-entrapped cells could produce  $H_2$  in the presence of air.... We in fact observed  $H_2$  gas production throughout most of the experiment. However, the final  $H_2$ yields were lower than the yields obtained under an argon atmosphere." Kosourov, Sergey N. and M. Seibert. <u>Hydrogen Photoproduction by Nutrient-Deprived Chlamydomonas reinhardtii Cells Immobilized within Thin</u> <u>Alginate Films under Aerobic and Anaerobic Conditions</u>. NREL, Colorado, 16 June 2008. p 10. <sup>20</sup> Alternating cycles of 2-days growth/2-days H<sub>2</sub> production with a total film lifetime of 180 days.

	B-1	B-2	B-3	B-4	B-5			
Theoretical & Assumed H <sub>2</sub> Production Parameters								
Assumed PAR <sup>21</sup>	44% 44%		44%	44%	71%			
Photons/H <sub>2</sub> mol	4	4	4	4	11-15			
Theoretical Product	2 ו	$mol H_2^{22}$	2 r	nol H <sub>2</sub>	2 mol H <sub>2</sub>			
Ratio	1	mol O <sub>2</sub>	1 m	nol CO <sub>2</sub>	1 mol CO <sub>2</sub>			
Experimental	H <sub>2</sub> production r	not yet demonstrated	100	$1\% H_2^{23}$	0.95 mol H <sub>2</sub>			
Product Gas Ratio					0.05 mol CO <sub>2</sub>			
Assumed Product	2	mol H <sub>2</sub>	2 mol H <sub>2</sub>	2 mol H <sub>2</sub>	2 mol H <sub>2</sub>			
Gas Ratio	1	mol O <sub>2</sub>	0.86 mol CO <sub>2</sub>	0.6 mol CO <sub>2</sub>	0.05 mol CO <sub>2</sub>			
STH <sup>24</sup> Efficiency	12.2%	12.2%	12.2%	3%	6.5%			
(Max Theoretical)								
Solar energy for	3%	3%	N/A	N/A	3%			
Coincident Cell								
Growth <sup>23</sup>								
STH Efficiency	9.2%	9.2%	5.2% (average	2.25% (average	3.5%			
(Assumed Upper			over growth &	over growth &				
Bound)			production)	production)				
STH Efficiency (Near	2%	2%	1.3%	1.5%	1.5%			
Term Estimate)								
Experimental STH	H <sub>2</sub> production r	not yet demonstrated	H <sub>2</sub> not	0.8% average	2.5% <sup>26</sup>			
Efficiency			demonstrated					
Bed Depth (cm)	10	10	10	10 <sup>27</sup>	10			
		Reactor Para	meters					
Dete (hell (de.) <sup>28</sup>	1 1 1 1	1 111	1 170	1.170	1 1 1 1			
H <sub>2</sub> Rate (kgH <sub>2</sub> /day)	1,111	1,111	1,176	1,176	1,111			
Number of	20	20	38	90 Production	54			
Raceways				2 Growth				
Raceway LxW:	1090'x40'	1090'x40'	1090'x40'	1060'x40'	1090'x40'			
Raceway D	x0.33'	x0.33′	x0.33'	x0.33'	x 0.33'			
Reactor Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	80,968	80,968	151,754	352,070	216,228			

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> PAR: photosynthetically active radiation

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> Email from Pin-Ching Maness. (NREL) 10 October 2008. "...the gas output should be 1 mol O<sub>2</sub> and 2 mol H<sub>2</sub>, with no CO<sub>2</sub> at all."

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> While  $CO_2$  must be produced by the reaction, it is dissolved in the aqueous media. Consequently no  $CO_2$  is experimentally observed in the headspace gas. However, in a potential future system, excess  $CO_2$  is expected to exceed the saturation limit and collect as a gas in the reactor bed headspace.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> STH Efficiency = Solar to Hydrogen conversion efficiency = ratio of hydrogen net energy produced (lower heating value) to total solar energy incident on reactor bed.

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> This only applies to Chemostat II systems (section 4.1.4), where there is no separate growth stage.
 <sup>26</sup> Akkerman, Ida, Marcel Janssen, Jorge Rocha, Rene H. Wijffels. <u>Photobiological hydrogen production:</u> photochemical efficiency and bioreactor design. Intl. Journal of Hydrogen Energy. Vol. 27, p 1195-1208, 2002.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> Thinness of film substrate makes this depth unnecessary for photon capture, but it is still necessary for system temperature modulation.

Beds are sized to meet 1000 kgH<sub>2</sub>/day out of PSA. PSA recovery is determined by input hydrogen + contaminant gases for all beds, some of which are in growth phase. Calculated by PSA spreadsheet model and confirmed by gas separation experts at UOP LLC. H<sub>2</sub> recovery for B1, B2, and B5 estimated at 90%. H<sub>2</sub> recovery for B3 and B4 estimated at 85%.

### 2.1 Nutrients

A wild algal colony reaches a final concentration in the photoautotrophic growth stage of >1 g/L if allowed to grow to stationary phase. Thus, each system must be diluted through algae filtering to achieve a cell density of 0.2 g/L. The bed depth is chosen so that, following transition to the hydrogen production mode, the absorbance achieves the highest yield of hydrogen at this density. For the chemostat systems, a final cell concentration of 0.2g dry weight/L (WT cells) was recommended by NREL before transition to hydrogen production mode<sup>29</sup>. This concentration can be achieved either by dilution of the stationary phase culture or by earlier commencement of transition to hydrogen producing conditions.

The concentration of algae is important because it determines how several other items are sized in our analysis. It impacts the amount of nutrients and input gases required. Later in the engineering parameters section we will analyze how it can affect pump and valve sizes as well.

### 2.1.1 Chlamydomonas reinhardtii Nutrients

In most laboratory environments, Chlamydomonas nutrient requirements are fulfilled by the tris-acetate-phosphate (TAP) medium with Hunter Trace Elements or other custom mixes of common lab chemicals. While ideal for a laboratory where these chemicals are readily available and only used in small quantities, on a production scale the costs are extraordinarily expensive. Even in large quantities and at technical grade, the manufacturing that goes into laboratory quality chemicals substantially increases the price. A cost breakdown of the TAP medium can be seen in Figure 2-2. Prices are based on quotes from Spectrum Chemical Corporation and Eastern Chemical Corporation.

 $<sup>^{29}</sup>$  What we desire is an appropriate matching of organism density and bed depth so that full photon capture is achieved. Full photon capture will lead to maximum hydrogen production. Amos suggests that 0.2g/L is a feasible and practical organism density. Consequently, we have adopted that density and have adjusted bed depth based on the specific organism absorption properties to achieve full photon capture.

Figure 2-2. TAT Wieurum Costs								
Compound	Symbol	Price	Quantity	Concentration	Price	Cost/ Liter		
		TAP Me	dium			-		
Tris	(HOCH2)3CNH2	\$13,480.00	907 kg	2.43 g/L	\$14.86/kg	0.03612		
Ammonium Chloride	NH4Cl	\$102.00	23 kg	.4 g/L	\$4.5/kg	0.00180		
Magnesium Sulfate, Heptahydrate	MgSO4.7H2O	\$2,160.00	500 kg	.1 g/L	\$4.32/kg	0.00043		
Calcium Chloride, Dihydrate	CaCl2.2H2O	\$583.00	50 kg	.05 g/L	\$11.66/kg	0.00058		
Potassium Phosphate, Dibasic	K2HPO4	\$216.00	23 kg	.108 g/L	\$9.52/kg	0.00103		
Potassium Phosphate Monobasic	KH2PO4	\$3,300.00	225 kg	.056 g/L	\$14.67/kg	0.00082		
Acetic Acid	СНЗСООН	\$558.00	208 L	1. ml/L	\$2.68/L	0.00268		
		Hunter Trace	e Elements					
EDTA Disodium Salt	Na2EDTA	\$1,402.74	12 kg	50. g/L	\$116.9/kg	0.00584		
Zinc Sulfate, Heptahydrate	ZnSO4.7H2O	\$709.80	12 kg	22. g/L	\$59.15/kg	0.00130		
Boric Acid	H3BO3	\$531.54	12 kg	11.4 g/L	\$44.3/kg	0.00050		
Manganese Chloride, 4- Hydrate	MnCl2.4H2O	\$1,227.78	12 kg	5.06 g/L	\$102.32/kg	0.00052		
Ferrous Sulfate, Heptahydrate	FeSO4.7H2O	\$865.44	12 kg	4.99 g/L	\$72.12/kg	0.00036		
Cobalt Chloride, Hexahydrate	CoCl2.6H2O	\$2,683.50	12 kg	1.61 g/L	\$223.63/kg	0.00036		
Cupric Sulfate, Pentahydrate	CuSO4.5H2O	\$716.82	12 kg	1.57 g/L	\$59.74/kg	0.00009		
Ammonium Molybdate, Tetrahydrate	(NH4)6Mo7O24.4H2O	\$2,509.13	12 kg	1.1 g/L	\$209.09/kg	0.00023		
Total Cost TAP Medium/ Liter						0.0435		
Total Cost Hunter Elements/Liter						0.0092		
Total Cost/ Liter Solution						0.05267		
Total Cost/ Reactor (B1)						\$427,037.50		
Total Cost/ Year (assuming 12 reactor changes per yr)						\$5,124,450,00		

Figure 2 2 TAD Medium Costs

Due to the prohibitive cost of the TAP medium, we investigated alternative nutrient sources. Fish aquaculture provides an excellent case study for large-scale algae production since algae is the primary food source for most fish, and an effective nutrient source is integral to the success of the culture. The standard source of nutrients for algal based aquaculture is liquid or solid farm fertilizer. The most effective fertilizers are ones that contain all three of the major fertilizer nutrients: Potassium, Phosphorous, and Nitrogen. Commercial fertilizers also come with a wide variety of available trace elements that can be added in any number of custom blends. The prices for commercial fertilizers that would be adequate for effective algae growth are substantially cheaper than those of the TAP medium or other similar media. Information from the US Dept. of Agriculture (USDA) indicates the cost of fertilizers ranges generally between \$150 and \$550 per ton, depending on the type of fertilizer and the quantity being purchased. Some of the more common fertilizers and their prices over the past seven years can be seen Figure 2-3.

Year	Month	Anhydrous ammonia	Nitrogen solutions (30%)	Urea 45-46% nitrogen	Ammonium nitrate	Sulfate of ammonium	Super-phosphate 44-46% phosphate	Diammonium phosphate (18-46-0)	Potassium chloride 60% potassium
2000	Apr.	\$227	\$131	\$200	\$194	\$167	\$233	\$240	\$165
2001	Apr.	\$399	\$189	\$280	\$260	\$192	\$236	\$244	\$170
2002	Apr.	\$250	\$127	\$191	\$195	\$187	\$221	\$227	\$164
2003	Apr.	\$373	\$161	\$261	\$243	\$195	\$243	\$250	\$165
2004	Apr.	\$379	\$178	\$276	\$263	\$205	\$266	\$276	\$181
2005	Apr	\$416	\$215	\$332	\$292	\$244	\$299	\$303	\$245
2006	Apr	\$521	\$232	\$362	\$366	\$266	\$324	\$337	\$273
2007	Apr	\$523	\$277	\$453	\$382	\$288	\$418	\$442	\$280

Figure 2-3. Fertilizer prices per ton since 2000.

According to US Patent #5,567,221 from OMS Investments Inc., the suggested aquaculture algae nutrient rates for the three major fertilizer nutrients is 100 pounds/acre per production cycle for nitrogen, 800 pounds/acre per production cycle for phosphorous, and 50 pounds/acre per production cycle for potassium. Average production cycles vary depending on the species of fish from as much as 18 months to as little as 6 months. If we average that

range to a year, and estimate the algal concentration for these fertilizer quantities we can compute the necessary fertilizer for our application.

The biodiesels industry indicates concentrations of 1g/L to 10 g/L of algae in solution are normally achieved. Taking the average we assume that the nutrient rates suggested by the OMS patent are based on an algae concentration of 5g/L, compared to our colony concentration of 0.2g/L. The bed depth in the OMS patent is 1m compared to our pond depth of 0.1m. The rate of nutrients of interest is g Nutrient/g algae/m<sup>2</sup>/day. In order to compute this we first convert the rate given to g/m<sup>2</sup>/day using the conversion factors below.

<b>Conversion Factors</b>		
4046.9	m²/acre	
365	days/yr	
453.592	g/lb	

	Nitrogen	Potassium	Phosphorus
lb/acre/yr	100	50	800
g/m²/day	0.031	0.015	0.246

Then using the concentration values and bed depths we can compute the g algae/ $m^2$  for the reference and for our plant.

<b>Conversion Factors</b>		
Volume	1000	L/m <sup>3</sup>
Bed Depth (Aqua)	1	m
Bed Depth (Photobio)	0.10	m
	Aquaculture	Photobio
g/L	5	0.2
g/m²	5000	20

Lastly, we compute the daily mass ratio of nutrients to algae in the aquaculture then convert to an areal density given the Photobiological algae concentration. This leads us to the values provided in the Biological Parameters table above (Figure 2-1) for colony growth. Naturally, this amount would be less at the start of the colony. However, given the extremely small level of nutrients and the short growth time, we took this nutrient rate as a constant value during the growth phase. During  $H_2$  production the nutrient rates decrease as specified by other sources.

	Nutrient>	Nitrogen	Potassium	Phosphorus
Aquaculture	g Nutrient/m <sup>2</sup> /day	0.031	0.015	0.246
Aquaculture/Photobio	g Nutrient/g algae/day	6.142E-06	3.071E-06	4.913E-05
Photobio	g Nutrient/m <sup>2</sup> /day	1.228E-04	6.142E-05	9.827E-04

**Figure 2-4. Nutrient Rates Computed** 

If we average the production cycle range to a year with rates computed, the cost of nutrients drops significantly when compared with the TAP medium. An example of possible nutrient cost for the B-1 system using three different fertilizers, each rich in one of the nutrients, is shown in Figure 2-5. The costs were calculated using the Nitrogen solutions, Super Phosphate, and Potassium Chloride prices from the USDA. While costs may vary and more accurate testing of the adequate fertilizer mix and the appropriate rate still need to be done, these costs are indicative of the cost for using fertilizer as a nutrient source.

Nutrient	Cost/Lb	Lb/Acre/Yr.	Cost/Acre/Yr.	Cost/1 TPD Module/Year
Nitrogen	\$0.14	0.4	\$0.06	\$0.80
Potassium	\$0.14	0.2	\$0.03	\$0.40
Phosphorous	\$0.21	3.2	\$0.67	\$9.63
Total	\$0.49	3.8	\$0.76	\$14.65

**Figure 2-5. Sample Fertilizer Costs** 

It should be noted that this price and nutrient breakdown are merely representative. In hydrogen production mode, a fertilizer without phosphorous would be used for the B-4 system, while in the B-5 system, a fertilizer that is nitrogen deprived would be ideal. Additionally, small amounts of sulfur would be added to the B-4 system in order to maintain the cell during long hydrogen production periods. Since the cost of nutrients is insignificant, more accurate costing of each nutrient system was not necessary for this report.

#### 2.1.2 Bacteria Nutrients

Cyanobacteria (blue-green algae) are a diverse branch of prokaryotic oxygenic photoautotrophs that, like algae, oxidize water as electron donor and fix CO<sub>2</sub> using light. They produce energy during the night by consumption of energy reserves, mainly glycogen, under aerobic conditions (respiration) or anaerobically (fermentation). They differ from algae in using a phycobilin pigment system for antenna. There are many thousands of different genera and strains with vastly different requirements for water, salinity, pH, temperature and nutrients. The native cyanobacterial strain serving as background for the genetic alterations considered above in B-2 is *Synechocystis* PCC6803. This unicellular strain grows in freshwater media similar to that used with *C. reinhardtii*. In our analysis, Cyanobacteria nutrients and nutrient rates are the same as for the *C. reinhardtii*.

#### 2.1.3 PNS Bacteria Nutrients

Purple Non-Sulfur (PNS) photosynthetic bacteria are very different organisms than algae and cyanobacteria and have different nutrient requirements. In previous studies concerning PNS, the primary source of nutrition was a combination of laboratory chemicals; however, organic acids such as acetic acid combined with agricultural fertilizer containing the three major macronutrients are hypothesized for mass production. Acetic acid consumption during hydrogen production of PNS bacteria has been demonstrated experimentally<sup>30</sup>. Based on the assumed PNS hydrogen production reaction<sup>31</sup> of  $C_2H_4O_2 + 2H_2O + Light$  Energy => 2 CO<sub>2</sub> + 4 H<sub>2</sub>, 4 moles of hydrogen gas are formed for each mole of acetic acid. Using this ratio, along with the ratio of acetic acid to PNS cell mass (modeled as  $C_5H_8O_2$ ) needed for the assumed 3% of photons dedicated to growth, we can calculate the overall acetic acid consumption rate shown in Figure 2-6.

Figure 2-6. Acetic acid Consumption by PNS			
PNS Acetic Acid Consumption (Based on Theoretical Mass Balance)			
Molar Ratio of Acetic Acid to PNS Mass (Section 3.5)		3	
Molecular Weight of Acetic Acid	g/mol	60.032	
Molecular Weight of PNS Mass	g/mol	100.064	
Mass of PNS Grown (3% of photons)	kg/day	468	
Acetic Acid Needed for Growth	kg/day	842	
Molar Ratio of Acetic Acid to $H_2$		0.25	
Molecular Weight of H <sub>2</sub>	g/mol	2.016	
H <sub>2</sub> Produced	kg/day	1111	
Acetic Acid Needed for H <sub>2</sub> Production	kg/day	8272	
Total Acetic Acid Needed	kg/day	9113	

According to data from the Chemical Journal (Korea) the international market price for acetic acid is  $0.595/kg^{32}$ . As an alternative, in an integrated system, the waste product of a fermentor can potentially be used as an organic acid feedstock for the PNS bacteria.

#### 2.1.4 pH of Solution

The pH of the solution in the reactor beds should be maintained near 7 to ensure optimal organism growth. In systems B-3, B-4 and B-5, there will be trapped  $CO_2$  released by the organisms in the process water. In the B-3 and B-4 systems this  $CO_2$  is needed as a feedstock for the algae during the growth stage to return the cell concentration to a level high enough to support the respiration needed to keep the system anaerobic during hydrogen production. Approaches to maintaining targeted pH levels have not been considered in detail within this report. Further investigation of the effects of pH should be considered in future work.

#### 2.1.5 Carbon Dioxide

In addition to fertilizer and acetate, these organisms utilize carbon dioxide to make both structural biomass and energy reserves. The gas rate requirements have been determined using the stoichiometric ratios of photosynthesis. Additional  $CO_2$  will only need to be added to the B-1 and B-2 systems to support the minimal growth required to keep the system alive. Systems B-3 and B-4 will be producing all of the  $CO_2$  necessary for the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> Barbosa, Maria, Johannes Tramper Jorge Rocha, Rene H. Wijffels. <u>Acetate as a carbon source for hydrogen production by photosynthetic bacteria</u>. Journal of Biotechnology. Vol 85, p 25-33. 2000.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> Akkerman, Ida, Marcel Janssen, Jorge Rocha, Rene H. Wijffels. <u>Photobiological hydrogen production:</u> <u>photochemical efficiency and bioreactor design</u>. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy. Vol 27, p 1195-1208. 2002.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> Lee, Sang Y. <u>Plastic Bacteria? Progress and prospects for polyhydroxyalkanoate production in bacteria</u>. Trends in Biotechnology Vol 14, p 431-438. 1996.

system, and the B-5 system will utilize acetate as its carbon source. The amount of carbon dioxide required was checked against the amount soluble in water. The amount of CO<sub>2</sub> used should be well below saturation to avoid excess CO<sub>2</sub> bubbling out into the H<sub>2</sub> product gas. Using the CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, we obtained the solubility of CO<sub>2</sub> in water. We will maintain a CO<sub>2</sub> partial pressure that will assure adequate CO<sub>2</sub> supply. In the B-3 and B-4 systems the CO<sub>2</sub> produced by the algae will be far above the solubility threshold, and the gaseous CO<sub>2</sub> produced will be filtered out through PSA and added to the system after the dissolved level of CO<sub>2</sub> drops through algae consumption. Since each system consists of numerous beds, their growth and production cycles will be appropriately staggered to ensure that there are always beds in need of the CO<sub>2</sub> that is being filtered by the PSA. A constant slip stream of water will be removed and run through a CO<sub>2</sub> saturation vessel and returned to raceways that are low on CO<sub>2</sub>. The only addition of CO<sub>2</sub> necessary for B-3 and B-4 is the initial amount needed at the initial colony growth. B-5 has no CO<sub>2</sub> needs.

The B-1 and B-2 organism needs for normal growth conditions are 31.66mg/L/day and for reduced growth conditions are 146.57 mg/L/day<sup>18</sup>. Figure 2-7Figure 2-7. Carbon Dioxide Solubility in Water shows that these  $CO_2$  concentrations are well below the maximum solubility of  $CO_2$  in water at one atmosphere and that the  $CO_2$  input will remain in solution.

The CO<sub>2</sub> needs for sustainment for the entire system amounts to only  $257kgCO_2/day$  (17,560ft<sup>3</sup>/day at 1atm). The CO<sub>2</sub> can be readily provided by maintaining a CO<sub>2</sub> partial pressure of 0.5psia. This partial pressure will also minimize the CO<sub>2</sub> dilution of the product H<sub>2</sub> in the headspace of the reactor.



#### Figure 2-7. Carbon Dioxide Solubility in Water

#### 2.2 *O*<sub>2</sub>-tolerant Hydrogenase (B-1 & B-2)

The B-1 and B-2 systems utilize organism mutants that are O<sub>2</sub>-tolerant, with B-1 using the algae strain C. *reinhardtii* and the B-2 system using the cyanobacterium *Synechocystis* PCC6803. The O<sub>2</sub>-tolerant hydrogenase pathway in both organisms is comprised of theoretical mutants with truncated Ch1 antennae (Light Harvesting Complex, or LHC, mutants) to minimize excess absorption of solar energy beyond what is needed for maximal H<sub>2</sub> production. Two research groups have reported mutants in C. *reinhardtii* that have reduced Ch1 content associated with truncated antenna complexes.<sup>33,34</sup> In both cases, the cells exhibit a reduced optical cross section and a higher growth rate at full solar light intensity. Factors of two have been reported. However, in both cases, reports on hydrogen production from these mutants have not been published, since research is ongoing.

A number of oxygen tolerant hydrogenases have been identified in bacteria as potential enzymes in algal hosts; bioengineering has yet to produce a mutant that exhibits stable  $H_2$  output over extended periods.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> Melis, A. (2007) <u>Photosynthetic H-2 metabolism in Chlamydomonas reinhardtii (unicellular green algae)</u>, *Planta 226*, 1075-1086.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> Mussgnug, J. H., Thomas-Hall, S., Rupprecht, J., Foo, A., Klassen, V., McDowall, A., Schenk, P. M., Kruse, O., and Hankamer, B. (2007) <u>Engineering photosynthetic light capture: impacts on improved solar energy to biomass conversion</u>, *Plant Biotechnology Journal 5*, 802-814.
The current best solar-to-hydrogen (STH) energy conversion efficiency of non-tolerant C. *reinhardtii* is ca. 0.8% for immobilized cells<sup>35</sup>. The theoretical prediction for algae assuming 100% flux of photoelectrons going solely into H<sub>2</sub> production and without any loss or competition with other processes predicts an STH of ~12.2%. However, a certain amount of energy must be diverted for homeostasis, the regulation of the general health and functioning of the cell, regardless of the outside environment. Allowing for energy losses required for homeostasis, but assuming no competition with other pathways for utilization of light energy, our analysis assumes that hypothetical mutant B-1 algae will produce hydrogen at 9.2% STH efficiency, while growing at approximately <sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> of the normal growth-only doubling rate (i.e. the doubling rate of algae that is not producing H<sub>2</sub>). Because the growth rate during production is assumed to be <sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>, the carbon dioxide and nutrients during this condition is also assumed to be <sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub>.

For the B-2 system, Cyanobacteria do not produce significant levels of hydrogen upon illumination, even those strains which possess a native hydrogenase. However, hydrogenase-containing strains can produce hydrogen upon incubation under dark anaerobic conditions which induces autofermentation. In order to produce significant levels of photohydrogen with cyanobacteria, an engineered strain will need to be derived in which the native [NiFe]-hydrogenase is replaced with one capable of utilizing the same photoreductant produced in algae, ferredoxin. Though deemed possible, no such bacteria have been reported to date in the literature. Therefore, extrapolations made herein are based on predictions provided by the NREL staff. The algae assumptions of a theoretically possible 12.2% STH for the B-1 pathway are also applied to the B-2 pathway.

Pathways B-1 and B-2 are expected to produce hydrogen indefinitely unless the colony is spoiled by external factors. Since these mutants are still in a research stage, our analysis will assume that the amount of energy spent on hydrogen production can be regulated somewhat by controlling CO<sub>2</sub> or ferredoxins (controlling how much energy goes to cell maintenance and cell growth).<sup>36</sup> Although the organism is different for photobiological system B-1 and B-2, we assume their growth rates and calculated parameters to be identical in Figure 2-1, as the systems are future projections of yet undeveloped strains. Cyanobacteria may have an advantage to algae as their native hydrogenase is less sensitive to oxygen<sup>7</sup>. However, as noted above they produce H<sub>2</sub> most efficiently in the dark and would require an algal-like hydrogenase if photohydrogen was the primary goal. As more data become available for these systems, the STH performance can be modified to assess the impact on hydrogen production capabilities and system costs.

Photobiological Systems B-1 and B-2 are modeled by the following reactions:

$H_2$ Production:	$2 H_2O + Light Energy \rightarrow 2H_2 + O_2$
Respiration:	$6O_2 + C_6H_{12}O_6 \rightarrow 6CO_2 + 6H_2O$
Photosynthesis/Growth:	$6CO_2 + 6H_2O + Light Energy \rightarrow C_6H_{12}O_6 + 6O_2$

The inputs and outputs of the system are depicted in Figure 2-8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> Kosourov, Sergey N. and Michael Seibert. <u>Hydrogen Photoproduction by Nutrient-Deprived</u> <u>Chlamydomonas reinhardtii Cell Immobilized within Thin Alginate Films under Aerobic and Anaerobic</u> <u>Conditions</u>. Basic Sciences Center, NREL, Golden Colorado. 16 June 2008.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> Telecom. <u>09JUL08 Mtg Minutes</u>. Technoeconomic Analysis of Bio-Hydrogen Program. NREL Contract # AFH-8-88601-01. Revision 10 July 2008.



Figure 2-8. Oxygen-Tolerant Hydrogenase Process

The product gases from this system are hydrogen and oxygen. In certain ratios, those gases can be a highly combustive mixture. The gas mixture would be located above the reactor bed. The engineering analysis portion of this report addresses ways to separate this mixture to obtain the pure product hydrogen.

#### 2.3 Sulfate-permease Green Algae (B-3)

Like the B-1 pathway the sulfate-permease pathway utilizes algae mutants with truncated antennae. However, the algae in this pathway lack the mutated O<sub>2</sub>-tolerant hydrogenase of B-1. Hydrogen production is controlled through genetic mutation of the chloroplast sulfur uptake mechanism, reducing the rate of oxygenic photosynthesis. Sulfur is necessary for the regeneration of proteins damaged by photo-oxidation. Over time, the build-up of damaged photosynthetic proteins in the chloroplast will lead to a reduction in oxygen evolution. As the rate of oxygen evolution falls below the rate of oxidative respiration, the system will become anaerobic, leading to the induction of the hydrogenase pathway and continuous hydrogen production. The level of respiration needed to eliminate the high levels of oxygen produced by the water splitting hydrogen production reaction will necessitate a large amount of starch that must be produced by the cells prior to hydrogen production. Therefore, this organism is operated in a cyclic manner, with: (1) a growth/regeneration leading to starch buildup and (2) a hydrogen production phase which is anaerobic. In order to achieve the required level of starch mass, the starting concentration of the cells at the end of the hydrogen production phase will be 0.85g/l and will increase rapidly over the course of the regeneration phase to ensure enough mass exists to keep the system anaerobic when subsequently producing the necessary volume of hydrogen gas. Over time, however, the lack of starch production and the loss of cell mass due to respiration keeping the system

anaerobic will inhibit cellular function, necessitating the regeneration phase where the system is bubbled with  $CO_2$  and air. For phase transition, adding small amounts of air to the system will shut down the hydrogenase enzyme, ceasing  $H_2$  production and directing photosynthesis towards algae mass production.

During the production phase, the carbon dioxide production from respiration will rapidly saturate the process water and be released into the headspace. This  $CO_2$  will be removed from of the product gasses using a PSA and then returned to other beds in the system that are in growth phase, and thus need  $CO_2$  (the individual raceways will be staggered so that not all of them will be in the same phase of the cycle at once). Data has indicated that a system like this can engage in continuous hydrogen production for 80-100 hours. Experimental data are lacking for hydrogen production rates since research is ongoing and data has yet to be published. Theoretically, while in hydrogen production mode, the sulfate permease mutant could convert 100% of photoelectrons into H<sub>2</sub> production without any loss or competition with other processes. However, the maximal 12.2% STH efficiency of the photohydrogen production must be averaged over both phases, and thus is reduced by a factor of 3/7, given the need for a 3-day hydrogen production period followed by a 4-day recovery and regeneration period.

Photobiological System B-3 is modeled by the following reactions:

$2 H_2O + Light Energy \rightarrow 2H_2 + O_2$
$6O_2 + C_6H_{12}O_6 \rightarrow 6CO_2 + 6H_2O$
$6CO_2 + 6H_2O + Light Energy \rightarrow C_6H_{12}O_6 + 6O_2$
$6O_2 + C_6H_{12}O_6 \rightarrow 6CO_2 + 6H_2O$

The inputs and outputs of the system for both production and growth phase are depicted in Figure 2-9.



## 2.4 Immobilized sulfate-deprived green algae (B-4)

In this photobiological pathway the basic system concept utilizes mutant, truncated-antenna *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* algae. It involves use of a designated bed for algal growth followed by immobilization of the algae by attachment to a thin film in the growth bed. The film with immobilized algae is transferred to a production bed for the  $H_2$  production mode, which is initiated by sulfur deprivation. Sulfur deprivation leads to the gradual and reversible reduction of  $O_2$  evolution in algae. As the rate of  $O_2$  evolution falls below the rate of oxidative respiration, the system goes anaerobic, leading to  $H_2$  production. The benefit of thin film immobilization is a substantial increase in duration of the  $H_2$  production mode as compared to non-immobilized sulfate-deprived algae systems due to the reduced energy requirements of the cells for movement and increased cell density. Unlike the B-1 and B-2 pathways, the immobilized system has been successfully tested in a laboratory environment.

Data for the immobilized, sulfate-deprived green algae are based on an NREL study by Kosourov and Seibert<sup>37</sup>. The NREL study utilized a thin alginate film as the immobilization substrate; however other types of films have also been used in analogous applications. For example, nitrogen-fixing blue-green algae have been attached using their heterocyst cells to cellulose sheets and to spunbond and meltblown polypropylene web sheets for use in stimulating agricultural plant growth<sup>38</sup>. In order to improve the affinity of the algae to the polypropylene film, the film surface energy will probably need to be increased to a level of 40 dynes/cm using corona treatment, or an alternative material having higher inherent surface energy, such as polyester can be used. With any man-made polymer, it is ultraimportant to steam clean or otherwise treat the material to eliminate the manufacturing process substances that would be deleterious to the algae.

In other research work, cyanobacteria have been immobilized on polyurethane foam sheets (as well as alginate) for hydrogen production purposes at King's College in London<sup>39</sup>. As noted therein, polyurethane foam was also used to immobilize the algae Chlorella vulgaris.

In this report, we have chosen to use meltblown polypropylene web sheets for minimum cost immobilization. The Kosourov report uses an alginate/plastic screen configuration. This alginate has advantages if the immobilized algae are later used in a fermentation process, since the alginate film will also ferment. However, the higher cost of the screen along with the cost associated with impregnating the screen with alginate increases the levelized hydrogen cost of the B-4 system. Conversations with NREL have also indicated that on substrates other than alginate, hydrogen production periods of up to six months can be maintained with the addition of minimal amounts of sulfur<sup>40</sup>. This increase in hydrogen production time will reduce the labor requirement for film replacement.

As with the B-3 system, there will be a hydrogen production phase followed by a growth/regeneration phase in which the concentration and mass of algae will increase enough to allow adequate starch for the respiration necessary to keep the system anaerobic during the production phase. This growth/regeneration phase will be triggered by the addition of sulfur to the nutrient medium. Sulfur deprivation will then be used to trigger the hydrogen production phase. At the end of a two day hydrogen production phase, the concentration of algae will be at 1.81/L, the concentration needed for the necessary mass growth to allow enough respiration for anaerobic hydrogen production. Also like the B-3 system, the beds will be staggered to allow excess CO<sub>2</sub> separated through PSA to be added to a slip stream of water removed from raceways during the regeneration phase. After 180 days of cycling between growth and production, the algae films will need replacement from mats being created in the two designated growth beds.

<sup>37</sup> Kosourov, Sergev N. and Michael Seibert. Hydrogen Photoproduction by Nutrient-Deprived Chlamydomonas reinhardtii Cell Immobilized within Thin Alginate Films under Aerobic and Anaerobic Conditions. Basic Sciences Center, NREL, Golden Colorado. 16 June 2008. <sup>38</sup> U.S. Patent 4,879,232, Nov 7, 1989, "Multilayered Structure Containing Immobilized Blue-Green Algae".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>39</sup> Muallem, Bruce, and Hall, "Photoproduction of H<sub>2</sub> and NADPH<sub>2</sub> by Polyurethane Immobilized Cyanobacteria", King's College, London.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>40</sup> Private communication with Dr. Mike Seibert of NREL, 29 May 2009.

Theoretically, the algae can be separated from the binder and rejuvenated but, since the algae growth costs are low, it is not worth the complexity of the separation system. Figure 2-10 shows the growth and production modes of this pathway.



Figure 2-10. Immobilized Sulfur Deprived Process

In this system we assume the same growth rate as other Chlamydomonas systems. To ensure that the growth beds are fully utilized, we will use two algae film/growth beds to produce algae for the 68 production beds. The  $H_2$  production cycles of each bed will be staggered appropriately, so that each day the growth beds will be utilized to replenish a spent production bed.

Compared to  $O_2$ -tolerant algal systems (B-1, B-2), cellular maintenance energy requirements are substantially lower due to the immobilization of the algae on thin films. The theoretical STH efficiency is 3%; however, the laboratory tests have only achieved 0.8% STH efficiency<sup>37</sup>. Since this is an actual data point, it is not reasonable to compare it to other systems that only have theoretical values. For comparison purposes we will use the STH efficiency of the assumed reactor operation of 2.25%, due to the one day of production for every three days of growth.

Photobiological System B-4 is modeled by the following reactions:

$2 H_2O + Light Energy \rightarrow 2H_2 + O_2$
$6O_2 + C_6H_{12}O_6 \rightarrow 6CO_2 + 6H_2O$
$6CO_2 + 6H_2O + Light Energy \rightarrow C_6H_{12}O_6 + 6O_2$
$6O_2 + C_6H_{12}O_6 \rightarrow 6CO_2 + 6H_2O$

#### 2.5 *Purple non-sulfur bacteria (B-5)*

Unlike the carbon-fixing organisms in the previous systems, these bacteria are photoheterotrophic, nitrogen-fixing organisms. Under anaerobic conditions and using simple organic acids or glycerol as an electron donor, these bacteria produce hydrogen gas from the light driven, ATP-dependent reduction of protons to H<sub>2</sub> in the absence of nitrogen<sup>41</sup>. In this mode they require removal of N<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>2</sub> and require a supply of organic acids. The photosynthetically active radiation, or PAR, for purple bacteria is 400 – 950 nm, compared to 400 – 700 nm for green algae. However, the PNS organism requires 11 to 15 photons (depending on wavelength) per hydrogen molecule rather than the 4 photons required in the algal systems. As a result of the wavelength energy span and the required photon ratio, the maximum solar-to-hydrogen conversion efficiency is 6.5%. Due to the 3% of photons needed for cell maintenance, the assumed reactor STH is 3.5%.

Since the PAR wavelength of PNS is shifted relative to algae, there is an opportunity to capture a fuller spectrum of light energy by "stacking" an algae system on top of a PNS system. This concept will be further described in Part IV (System Integration).

Photobiological System B-5 is modeled by the following reactions:

H <sub>2</sub> Production Reaction:	$C_2H_4O_2 + 2H_2O + Light Energy \rightarrow 2CO_2 + 4H_2$
Fermentation Reaction <sup>42</sup> :	$2C_{6}H_{12}O_{6} + 2H_{2}O \rightarrow 5C_{2}H_{4}O_{2} + 2CO_{2} + 4H_{2}$
Growth Reaction <sup>43</sup> :	$3C_2H_4O_2 \Rightarrow (C_5H_8O_2)_n + CO_2 + 2H_2O$

The inputs and outputs of the system are depicted in Figure 2-11.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>41</sup> Akkerman, Ida, Marcel Janssen, Jorge Rocha, Rene H. Wijffels. <u>Photobiological hydrogen production:</u> <u>photochemical efficiency and bioreactor design</u>. International Journal of Hydrogen Energy. Vol 27, p 1195-1208. 2002.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>42</sup> The fermentation reaction produces additional hydrogen as well as organic acids (here modeled as acetate). However, it doesn't produce these in appreciable quantities and is thus ignored in this analysis.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>43</sup> The actual PNS growth reaction is complex and involves ammonia (NH<sub>3</sub>) and multiple intermediaries. For simplicity and clarity, we base our analysis on the displayed simplified net reaction. This photosynthesic growth reaction produces a variety of organic products, including the glucose utilized in fermentation. Ashing analysis (from Van Gemerden H. 1968. Utilization of reducing power in growing cultures of Chromatium. Arch. Mikrobiol. 64:111) shows that the molecular ratio is represented as  $(C_5H_8O_2)_n$ .



## 3. Engineering Parameters

The production plant has been divided into the Photobiological Reactor Bed and 4 auxiliary or balance of plant (BOP) subassemblies, namely,

- Organism Feed Subassembly,
- Recycle Subassembly,
- Gas Capture Subassembly, and
- Control System Subassembly

The interfaces between the primary system and its BOP subassemblies are shown in Figure 3-1. Each subassembly is depicted in a different color. The Photo Bio Reactor Bed can take on several forms. The subassemblies have several components that will be identified in later sections of this document.



Figure 3-1. Simplified Photobiological Hydrogen Production Plant

A modular design philosophy was used in the design of the production plant. Each function that needs to be performed in the plant is treated as an independent subassembly and is sized appropriately so that multiple systems can be arranged in parallel within a production plant. The subassemblies are designed such that a single module produces 1,000kgH<sub>2</sub>/day at the boundary limits of the production facility. As will be discussed in the results section, the baseline cost estimates correspond to a 10 tonne/day plant consisting of ten 1,000kgH<sub>2</sub>/day modules.

#### 3.1 *Photo Bio Reactor Bed (Primary System)*

Several designs for reactors beds have been developed to enhance performance of these photobiological systems. Each system has both strengths and weaknesses. Our study reviewed four different forms for reactor beds. Each form was then applied to a specific organism to determine which was more or less suitable for the different algae and bacteria. The reactor bed form was selected based on the author's initial judgment of cost effectiveness and because an analysis of all five pathways with all bed form options was beyond the scope of the project.

## 3.1.1 Single Reactor Bed

The simplest form for a bed is the single reactor. In this bed there is one pond where all photobiological processes occur. The processes occur sequentially, not simultaneously. Thus, if algae are in the growth phase they cannot produce hydrogen and vice versa. The strengths and weaknesses of this system are listed in Figure 3-2.

Single Bed Reactor Design	
Strengths	Weaknesses
Organisms are working at their most	Batch process
efficient point during each process.	
Single pond; less area, lower cost	Time split between production and growth
	not most efficient.
	Requires more area for a given hydrogen
	production

#### Figure 3-2. Strengths and Weaknesses of Single Bed Reactors

A conceptual design of the single reactor bed is shown in Figure 3-3. The blue box represents the reactor bed. The dotted line separates the different processes that occur in the same bed but at different times. The organisms transition from one photobiological process to another by a manipulation of the inputs and climate conditions. Sunlight is required during both photobiological phases.

#### Figure 3-3. Conceptual Design: Single Bed Reactors



Duration: Phase 2 = Phase 1

# Single Bed Reactor

#### 3.1.2 Dual Reactor Bed

The next form for a bed is the dual reactor. In this reactor there are two ponds; one where all photobiological growth occurs and another where photobiological hydrogen production occurs. Because there are separate beds for each process, growth and production can occur simultaneously. The strengths and weaknesses of this system are listed in Figure 3–4.

Dual Bed Reactor Design	
Strengths	Weaknesses
Simultaneous growth and production	Cost of two reactor beds
Growth and production bed sizes can be optimized for maximum utilization	Batch process
No mixing of nutrients or gases from production and growth stages	Large flow pumps required under intermittent operation (transfer process)

#### Figure 3-4. Strengths and Weaknesses of Dual Bed Reactors

A conceptual design of the dual reactor bed is shown in Figure 3-5. The blue boxes represent the reactor beds. The organisms are transferred from the growth reactor bed to the production bed when the colony reaches a given concentration. Although growth and production occur simultaneously this is still essentially a batch process. Sunlight is required during both photobiological phases. This dual bed concept is useful when growth and production conditions are different, such as when growth can occur aerobically but production cannot.

#### Figure 3-5. Conceptual Design of Dual Bed Reactors



Water + Organism

## Batch

# **Dual Bed Reactor**

#### 3.1.3 Chemostat Reactor Bed

A chemostat system requires two reactor beds. Like the dual bed reactor, there is one pond where all photobiological growth occurs and another where photobiological hydrogen production occurs. Because there are separate beds for each process, growth and production occurs simultaneously. A chemostat system seeks to maintain a constant volume in the reactor bed. This is accomplished by a continuous slip stream of volume being removed while simultaneously being replenished from the growth reactor bed. The volume of slip stream is computed such that the hydrogen production is constant. Because of the constant volume criteria, chemostat reactors continuously grow algae and produce hydrogen (as long as sunlight is available). The strengths and weaknesses of this system are listed in Figure 3-6.

## Figure 3-6. Strengths and Weaknesses of Chemostat Bed Reactors

Chemostat Bed Reactor Design	
Strengths	Weaknesses
Simultaneous and continuous growth and production	Large slip stream volumes of up to 25% /day
Isolation of growth and production products	No means of selectively separating algae such that slip stream contains waste algae
Smaller pumps required and operate continuously (their preferred mode)	

A conceptual design of the chemostat reactor bed is shown in Figure 3-7. Conceptually, the chemostat form looks identical to the dual reactor bed however the water + organism flow between the two reactors is continuous rather than intermittent. Sunlight is required during both photobiological phases.

#### Figure 3-7. Conceptual Design of Chemostat Bed Reactors



Water + Organism

Continuous

## **Chemostat Bed Reactor**

## 3.1.4 Chemostat II Reactor Bed

The Chemostat II's chief characteristic is a single reactor bed that, after the initial growth of the colony, is used simultaneously for both growth and production. The system operates similarly to a chemostat system however it does so in a single reactor bed. During initial growth no production occurs. The bed is filled with the appropriate nutrients required for algae colony to achieve a target algal concentration. Afterwards, climate conditions are adjusted such that algae grow at a <sup>1</sup>/<sub>4</sub> of their normal rate and maintain cell functions while producing hydrogen.

In  $H_2$  production mode, the organisms use a limited amount of their energy to complete cell maintenance functions and the remainder of the energy is spent producing hydrogen. By simultaneously doing growth and production, the Chemostat II system allows for continuous hydrogen production without having to stop and replenish algae. The strengths and weaknesses of this system are listed in Figure 3-8.

Chemostat II Bed Reactor Design	
Strengths	Weaknesses
Single pond; less area, lower cost	Continuous supply of nutrient may result in diluted product stream
Lower nutrient requirement	

### Figure 3-8. Strengths and Weakness of Chemostat II Bed Reactors

A conceptual design of the Chemostat II reactor bed is shown in Figure 3-9. The Chemostat II looks similar to the single bed reactor, however growth and production occur simultaneously and continuously. Sunlight is required during both the growth and reduced growth with production processes.

#### Figure 3-9. Conceptual Design of Chemostat II Bed Reactors



Duration: Phase 2 >> Phase 1

# Chemostat II Bed Reactor

For this system to be feasible the number of days spent growing the culture must be insignificant when compared to the number of hydrogen production days. The colony concentration will increase slightly every hour and therefore a slip stream of the algal solution will be removed from the bed on a continuous basis. As that stream is being drawn, the reactor bed will be dosed with additional water and nutrients to maintain the colony at constant concentration, size, and pH levels.

#### 3.1.5 Reactor Bed Embodiment

The reactors forms were mapped against the biological parameters of each photobiological system and the best form was selected for each process. The forms selected are listed in Figure 2-1. A complete bill of materials for each pathway is provided in section 4 of this report. Here is included a lengthy description of the physical embodiment of these reactor beds. The components of the reactor bed are described and materials selected for the bed are listed in this section.

A reactor bed could be open or closed to the environment. While an open pond would provide direct access to sunlight, it also leaves our algae colony susceptible to contamination and provides no means of collecting the production hydrogen. A closed pond with a translucent cover allows light to penetrate while protecting the algae from weather conditions (wind, temperature) that may harm them. It also contains any gases produced by the algae. For these reasons all reactor beds in this analysis will be of the closed pond variety.

The reactor bed serves 4 basic functions: fluid containment, product gas containment, access to sunlight, and algal mixing (to avoid stratification). The bed can be a single monolithic structure, such as a pond, or several smaller beds, such as raceways, connected by a piping system. Since typical reactor bed areas are quite large ( $\sim 20-87$  acres for a 1,000kgH<sub>2</sub>/day), a modular concept was chosen where the reactor bed is comprised of smaller raceways. This facilitates ease of access, ease of maintenance, isolation of any contaminants, and economies of scale in equipment purchases. The reactor bed is sketched in Figure 3-10 and the components are listed in Figure 3-11.

The reactor bed we have chosen is comprised of several raceways, each measuring between 1060' and 1090' in length, 40' in width, and 10cm in depth. Raceways are designed in an oval shape so that a system of paddlewheels creates a flow in the bed to evenly disperse nutrients and prevent cell coagulation. Each raceway has inlet and outlet ports for the slurry and a separate outlet port for the product gases. Raceway widths are limited by the translucent film dimensions. The film is attached to a frame to maintain a gas-tight seal in the system. Driveways are interspersed between the raceways to provide vehicular access. The quantity of raceways is driven by daily hydrogen production rate and STH of the organism. For our systems there will be between 20 and 90 raceways.

In concept B-4, immobilized organisms are grown on an inert mat which floats upon the surface of the raceway. Circulation of the water/nutrient mixture via paddlewheels is not needed nor is it feasible. Consequently, an oval reactor bed is not needed, and a "runway" configuration is instead used. A "runway" is merely a single side of the oval raceway, without the paddlewheels and without fluid connection between the two sides.



#### Figure 3-10. Reactor Bed Design

FRONT VIEW



Reactor Bed Components
Transparent Film
Pond Lining
Pond Edging
Paddlewheel & Motor
Gas Valves
Gas Ports (Flanges)
Water Valves
Water Ports (Flanges)

## 3.1.5.1 Films, Edging, and Lining

In the Front View one can see that the bed itself is not made with any solid materials but rather shaped from earthen berms and lined with a suitable pond liner material that will keep the system gas tight. Pond liner material is available in roll sizes of 50' x 100' on www.justliners.com. To achieve the necessary pond dimensions the material will be heat-sealed to itself, which is a common practice when creating any kind of retention pond.

Above the raceways a low density polyethylene (LDPE) transparent film (6 mm) is used to allow sunlight in and keep the product hydrogen gas from escaping. A presentation from Daniel Blake at NREL concerning Hydrogen reactor development indicates that the hydrogen permeability coefficient for polyethylene is fairly low (near 200 cm<sup>3</sup>•mm/m<sup>2</sup>•atm•day)<sup>44</sup>. Based on our calculations, show in Figure 3-12, the volume of hydrogen lost through the film is negligible.

Figure 3-12. Polyethylene Hydrogen Losses					
Polyethylene Hydrogen Losses					
	Units	B1/B2	B3	B4	B5
Hydrogen Permeability Coefficient	cm <sup>3</sup> •mm/m <sup>2</sup> • atm•day	200	200	200	200
Total Area	m²	80,968	151,035	352,070	216,228
Film Thickness	mm	6	6	6	6
Partial Pressure Differential	atm	0.67	1.00	1.00	0.95
kg/cm <sup>3</sup> Hydrogen		0.0000009	0.00000009	0.00000009	0.0000009
H <sub>2</sub> lost	kg/day	0.16	0.45	1.06	0.61
% H <sub>2</sub> lost from system	%	0.016%	0.045%	0.106%	0.061%

According to conversations with Berry Plastics, the LDPE film allows 90% of available light through. It is available in rolls 56' wide and more than 1000' long and, therefore, a single continuous roll is able to stretch over an entire raceway<sup>45</sup>. The film attaches to a frame structure along the edges of the raceway so that a gas tight compartment is formed. Notice that the film is not taught over the top of the berms, but rather is slack. This is to allow for variations in hydrogen production rate which is expected with varying solar insolation. The number of raceways for a given reactor bed will depend on hydrogen production rate. Thus far it appears that the limiting factor is the width of the transparent roll sizes. We do not want to bond multiple sheets of LDPE as it will only provide additional leakage points for our product gas.

The Pond Edging envisioned would be a mechanical fixture that would seal the transparent film to the pond lining as shown in Figure 3-13.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>44</sup> Blake, Daniel M. "Hydrogen Reactor Development and Design for Photofermentation and Photolytic Processes". NREL Project PD19. May 23-26, 2005.

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>45</sup> Agriculture Films by Berry Plastics.
<u>http://www.covalenceplastics.com/site/content/agricultural/agricultural.asp#gh</u>. Date Accessed 18 July 2008.



## 3.1.5.2 Paddlewheel Mixers

Two paddlewheels and a center berm are located in each raceway to provide circulation of the algae solution for proper mixing. The paddlewheel mixer would look similar to a water wheel at a mill. Our analysis for the amount of mixing necessary is based on typical mixing parameters for algal growth in the biodiesel industry. Using that data and resizing to our raceway configuration, we obtained comparable parameters which are listed in Figure 3-14. Paddlewheels with higher flow rates of up to 60cm/s and larger may be necessary to assist in the thermal control of the pond.<sup>46</sup> The B-4 system consists of immobilized mats of algae, and, therefore, will not need paddlewheels for mixing. The nutrients will be provided through a perforated PVC tube that travels the length of the raceway to ensure adequate nutrient dispersal.

Figure 5-14. I addrewneer Electrical Requirements				
Biodiesel Equipment for Algal Growth				
Algae Concentration	1%	volume of pond		
Flowrate	20	cm/s		
Pond Size	1000	m <sup>2</sup>		
Paddle Wheel (single)	1.23	kWh/day		
Source: http://www.bioking.lv/algae_cultivated_in_raceway_ponds.html				

## Figure 3-14. Paddlewheel Electrical Requirements

Reactor Raceway			
Algae Concentration	0.02	volume of pond	
Flowrate	20	cm/s	
Pond Size	4050	m <sup>2</sup>	
Size Factor	4.05		
Paddle Wheel (two)	2.46	kWh/day	

## 3.1.5.3 *Ports and Valves*

In the Top View of Figure 3-10 one can see that the bed is made up of several raceways, each with an inlet and two outlet ports. At each of these ports are manual valves to isolate individual raceways or regulate the flow to or from each raceway. From our research it is possible for the daily water level drop due to evaporation to be as much as 6.2mm for a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>46</sup> R. Vitale. Waterwheel Factory Inc. Telephone Interview. 08 October 2008.

1,000m<sup>2</sup> pond.<sup>47</sup> This would translate into makeup water requirements of 28.8m<sup>3</sup>/day in our raceway without including the slip stream flow rates that are part of the Chemostat II and Chemostat reactor bed forms. In this subassembly we only consider the costs of the flanges and valves. All piping runs are accounted for in the subassemblies they support.

## 3.1.5.4 *Capital Costs*

The materials selected and unit costs of the components are listed in Figure 3-15. The plant is anticipated to operate for 20 years. However, the transparent film is susceptible to UV damage which causes the film to haze and thereby become non-transparent. Thus the costs of that material shown below are only for the initial material. Replacements costs of the film are considered an operational expense. In our cost analysis (to be described) we will assume the polyethylene is good for 5 years. All other components of this subassembly are anticipated to last the entire plant life.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>47</sup> <u>http://www.bioking.lv/algae\_cultivated\_in\_raceway\_ponds.html</u>. Date Accessed 08 October 2008.

Reactor Bed	Material Chosen	Unit Pricing
System		
Transparent Film	Tufflite Brand Products , available up to 56' wide,	\$ 0.54/m²
	Polyethylene, 4-6mil	
	Source: Berry Plastics	
	(http://www.covalenceplastics.com/site/content/ag	ricultural/agricultu
	ral.asp#gh)	
Pond Lining	Either Butyl rubber, PVC, or LDPE (low density	\$0.47/m <sup>2</sup>
	polyethylene), 30 – 40 mil	
	Source:	
Pond Edging	Hard Plastic	\$ 7/m
	Source: DTI Estimate	
Paddlewheel Mixer	14 blades (6' long x 6" wide), ¾ hp motor, capable	\$ 5000 ea.
with Motor	of 1ft/s flow	
	Source: Waterwheel Factory, Inc.	
Manual Gas Valve	IPEX VKD series PVC Ball Valve Part# VKDBV108,	\$ 67.23
	EPDM/Teflon Seals 2"	
	Source: http://www.valvestore.com	
Manual Water Valve	IPEX VKD series PVC Ball Valve Part# VKDBV108,	\$ 43.46
	EPDM/Teflon Seals 2"	
	Source: http://www.valvestore.com	
Water Port	ISO-QF flange, Schedule 80 Polyvinyl Chloride, Ref	\$8
	#PVC50-SF	
	Source: <a href="http://www.ancorp.com/line.aspx?id=819">http://www.ancorp.com/line.aspx?id=819</a>	
Gas Port	ISO-QF flange, Schedule 80 Polyvinyl Chloride, Ref	\$ 8
	#PVC50-SF	
	Source: <u>http://www.ancorp.com/line.aspx?id=819</u>	
Excavation/Installation	Based on 5 day excavation, 6 workers, medium	\$26,083/raceway
	sized equipment and no dirt removal.	
	Source: Dept of Labor, Davis Bacon Wage Determin	ations (CA Labor
	Rates) & The Blue Book of Building & Construction	

## Figure 3-15. Capital Costs of Reactor Bed Components

## 3.1.5.5 *Heat Exchangers*

The covered reactor area in direct sunlight could increase bed temperatures significantly. Heat exchangers would require large electricity and/or water requirements. In order to keep costs low we are assuming that the earth will provide a sufficient heat sink for this system so no heat exchangers would be required.

## 3.2 Organism Feed Subassembly

The organism feed subassembly provides the necessary nutrients, medium and gases to grow and maintain a hydrogen producing algal or bacteria colony. The components of this subassembly are listed in Figure 3-16 and shown in Figure 3-17. The components of the subassembly can be further specified for a given organism and reactor bed form. A variation of this system will be required in the B-4 pathway, where algae growth beds and hydrogen production beds require different nutrients simultaneously.

Figure 3-10. Organism recu Components					
Organism Feed Subassembly Organism Feed Subassembly					
Components	Consumables				
Nutrient/Fertilizer Tank	CO <sub>2</sub>				
Metering Pump	Nutrients/Fertilizer				
Mixing Pump	Water (Initial Fill)				
CO <sub>2</sub> Cylinder					
CO <sub>2</sub> Saturation Vessel					
Transfer In Pump					
Piping					







#### 3.2.1 Flow Valves

The flow valves are shown in this flow diagram because they are located within the area of the organism feed subassembly. However because they will be monitored and operated remotely, their costs are accounted for in the control system subassembly. For further description of what types of valves are selected refer to that section of the document.

### 3.2.2 Vessels and Tanks

There are three vessels in this subassembly. The  $CO_2$  Cylinder is a standard off the shelf item from one of the gas producer such as Air Products which supplies to industrial plants. The fertilizer is envisioned to be in aqueous form. The fertilizer will arrive at the facility pre-mixed for our application. The tank can be a stationary item on its own concrete pad that is refilled as necessary. The alternative would be a trailer provided by the fertilizer supplier which is dropped off when the trailer on site is dry.

#### 3.2.3 Pumps

This subassembly has several pumps. After further research, centrifugal pumps were considered the most cost effective and appropriate pumps for our application. The pumps are sized based on the flow rates required to operate the reactor. Thus given a fixed hydrogen production rate of  $1,000 \text{kgH}_2/\text{day}$ , pump size will vary depending on the form of the reactor bed.

#### 3.2.4 Capital Costs

The capital costs associated with this subassembly have been identified in Figure 3-18. A distinction is made between the consumables needed to establish the initial colony (which are included in capital costs of the Organism Feed Subassembly) and consumables needed for subsequent operation of the production plant (which will be included as feedstock costs under plant operation expenses). In a Chemostat II reactor bed, we postulate that  $CO_2$  gas will be necessary during the initial growth stage of the algae and, thus, are included in the plant capital costs. The costs of  $CO_2$  required during the reduced growth stage will be accounted for in plant operational expenses. The fertilizer will need to be continually fed to the colony during hydrogen production. Transporting liquids into and out of the reactor bed will require piping which is considered part of this subassembly. Pump sizes are a representative average in Figure 3-18. Actual sizes are in the Bill of Materials in section 4.

Organism Feed Subassembly	Material Chosen	Unit Pricing			
	Components				
Nutrient Metering	1468 gph	\$2,594			
Pump	Source: Perry's Chemical Handbook, Plant Desi	gn and			
	Economics/Peters and Timmerhaus				
Transfer In Pump	316 SS centrifugal pump 7.5KW Motor,	\$10,252			
	150 gpm capacity				
	Source: Perry's Chemical Handbook, Plant Desi	gn and			
	Economics/Peters and Timmerhaus				
Mixing Pump	316 SS centrifugal pump 7.5KW Motor,	\$10,252			
	150 gpm capacity	an and			
	Economics / Peters and Timmerbaus	giranu			
CO <sub>2</sub> Cylinder	Aluminum 6061-T6 alloy 1800 nsi Service	\$ 360			
	Pressure, 50 lb. Capacity Part #6125	<i>\$</i> 500			
	Source: http://kegman.net/carbon.htm#alumi	numtank			
CO <sub>2</sub> Saturation Vessel	S.S. field erected, 14.760 gal capacity	\$30.000			
	Source:	1 /			
Nutrient Tank	10 gallon Cylindrical Process Tank. Part	\$ 30			
	#0275-085	1			
	Source: http://www.watertanks.com/products	s/0275-085.asp			
Raceway Slurry	1" diameter, plastic	\$1.00/ft			
Collection Piping	Source: PVC Plastic Corp (http://www.usplasti	c.com/)			
Main Slurry Collection	2" diameter, plastic	\$2.12/ft			
Piping (B1/B2)	Source: PVC Plastic Corp (http://www.usplasti	c.com/)			
Main Slurry Collection	3" diameter, plastic	\$4.31/ft			
Piping (B5)	Source: PVC Plastic Corp (http://www.usplasti	c.com/)			
Main Slurry Collection	4" diameter, plastic	\$6.18/ft			
Piping (B3)	Source: PVC Plastic Corp (http://www.usplasti	c.com/)			
Recycle-Feed Transfer	3" diameter, plastic	\$4.31/ft			
Piping (B1/B2)	Source: PVC Plastic Corp (http://www.usplasti	c.com/)			
Recycle-Feed Transfer	4" diameter, plastic	\$6.18/ft			
Piping (B5)	Source: PVC Plastic Corp (http://www.usplasti	c.com/)			
Recycle-Feed Transfer	5.5	\$8.51/ft			
Piping (B3)	" diameter, plastic				
	Source: PVC Plastic Corp (http://www.usplastic.com/)				
Consumables					
Nutrient, Initial Colony	3 lb/Acre/yr	~\$ 0.49/lb			
Growth	Source: USDA				
$CO_2$ Gas	50 lb cylinder refill at distributor facility	\$ 35			
	Source: NFC Company, Chicago, IL (800) 734 4	515			
Water	Standard Process Water, not Salt Water	\$0.001665/gal			
	Source: H2A Feedstock Costs, 2008				

## Figure 3-18. Capital Cost of Organism Feed Components Material Chosen Uni

### 3.3 *Recycle Subassembly*

The purpose of the recycle subassembly is to separate waste organisms from water so that the water can be reused in the reactor bed. The components of this subassembly in general terms are listed in Figure 3-19 and shown in Figure 3-20.

Figure 3-19.	Recycle	Components

Recycle Subassembly Components
Transfer Out Pump
Algal Separation Unit
Piping

In this subassembly the organism solution is pumped to a device capable of separating the organism from the water. This is envisioned as a rotary drum filter. However, several other systems were considered. The organism-water separator will result in both organism and water outflows. The water outflow is recycled and combined with new water to make up for the amount that has evaporated, consumed in the production of hydrogen, or could not be separated from the organism. That water is sent back to the Organism Feed Subassembly to be combined with nutrients prior to being re-injected into the Photo-bio reactor bed. The subassembly components are all shown in Figure 3-20.





## 3.3.1 Organism Separation Concepts

In order to maintain a constant volume of living organisms in the system, it will be necessary to remove both dead and excess living organisms stemming from the continual growth of the culture. It is also imperative to separate the organisms from the water to allow for maximum water recycling. Two different concepts for organism separation were examined: industrial centrifuges and rotary drum filters. In the end, rotary drum filters are the most economically and technically viable option, due to their lower capital cost and much higher rate of water return.

## 3.3.1.1 *Centrifuges*

In most of the research concerning photobiological production of hydrogen using algae or bacteria, a laboratory centrifuge is used to separate the organisms from the nutrient medium. Owing to this precedent, we initially investigated industrial sized centrifuges as a means of algae separation. Consultation with many centrifuge manufacturers including Alfa Laval, Westphalia, US Centrifuge, and Centrifuge Experts International indicated that while centrifuges are able to handle large flow rates, the extremely low concentration of organisms in the mixture would prove difficult to separate well. Capital costs for this system would also be fairly expensive since many machines running at flow rates far below their rated capacity would be necessary to effectively separate the organisms.

After consultation, the two kinds of industrial centrifuges considered were vertically spun and decanter centrifuges. Conversations with representatives from the aforementioned companies all suggested that vertically spun centrifuges would be more adept at algae/bacteria separation than decanter. The decanter centrifuges generally offered higher flow rates, but extremely poor separation performance. Dialogue with representatives from Centrifuge Experts International indicated that in order to get an appreciable percentage of water return from a vertically spun centrifuge, the machines would have to be run at 15% of rated capacity, necessitating multiple machines. One potential avenue for increasing the flow rate while maintaining a high water recovery percentage would be through the use of chemical flocculants. The drawback of this method is the contamination of the water, a solution to which is still being developed. At nearly \$400,000 each, with the necessity for a minimum of four machines, the capital costs for this system are fairly high, especially given the water recovery rate of only 90%. Westphalia centrifuges yielded similar results with slightly higher capital costs. Details about the various types of centrifuges can be seen in Figure 3-21.

Algae Separation							
Company	Company     Type of Filtration     Flow Rate (GPH)     Motor Required     Type     Cost     Quantity needed     Drawba						Drawbacks
Centrifuge Experts International	Vertically Spun	24, 000	50 HP	Seital SE 10	\$400, 000	2-4	Unable to run at full flow rate
Westphalia	Vertically Spun	15, 600	75 KW	HSB 400	550, 000	2-4	Unable to run at full flow rate
US Centrifuge	Decanter	22, 500	150HP/ 25HP	Model CQ 7	\$400, 000	1	Not able to separate algae well
Alfa Laval	Decanter	30, 000	250HP/ 50HP	Aldec G2	\$750, 000-\$800, 000	1	Not able to separate algae well

Figure 3-21. Types of Centrifuges

## 3.3.1.2 Rotary Drum Filters

Considering the limitations of conventional centrifuge separation, research was done into the aquaculture industry, which deals heavily with algae and algae separation. Discussions with Advanced Aquaculture Systems Inc. and information from www.phyco.org indicated that rotary drum filters are far superior to centrifuges for organism separation. In rotary drum separation the input water from the pond is pumped into the filter. The organisms catch on the inside of a <10 micron screen in the rotating drum and the clean water passes through the screen as a backwash system rinses the solids of the screen into a sludge trough. A diagram of a drum filter can be seen in Figure 3-22. Expected water return from such a system is greater than 99%. The capital costs are also lower. Three Hydrotech drum filters will be needed to handle the flow rate of one B-1 module, but are only \$87,000 per drum, substantially lower than the centrifuges.



## 3.3.2 Pumps

This subassembly has a single pump. A centrifugal pump was considered the most cost effective and appropriate for our application. The pump is sized based on the flow rates required to operate the reactor. Thus given a fixed hydrogen production rate of 1,000kgH<sub>2</sub>/day, pump size will be different depending on the form of the reactor bed. The materials selected and unit costs of the components needed for this subassembly are listed in Figure 3–23

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>48</sup> Email from Dana Kent. (Advanced Aquaculture Inc.) 19 August 2008.

Figure 5-25. Capital Costs of Recycle Components					
Recycle Subassembly	Material Chosen	Unit Pricing			
Rotary Drum filter	Hydrotech Drum Filter - 3750 gph	\$87,000 ea.			
	Source: Advanced Aquaculture Inc.				
Transfer Out Pump	316 SS centrifugal pump 7.5KW Motor,	\$10,252			
	150 gpm capacity				
	Source: Perry's Chemical Handbook, Plant De	sign and Economics/Peters			
	and Timmerhaus				
Main Slurry Feed Piping	2" diameter, plastic	\$2.12/ft			
(B1/B2)	Source: PVC Plastic Corp (http://www.usplastic.com/)				
Main Slurry Feed Piping	3" diameter, plastic	\$4.31/ft			
(B5)	Source: PVC Plastic Corp (http://www.usplastic.com/)				
Main Slurry Feed Piping	4" diameter, plastic	\$6.18/ft			
(B3)	Source: PVC Plastic Corp (http://www.usplastic.com/)				
Raceway Slurry Feed	1" diameter, plastic	\$1.00/ft			
Piping	Source: PVC Plastic Corp (http://www.usplastic.com/)				

#### Figure 3-23. Capital Costs of Recycle Components

#### 3.4 Gas Capture Subassembly

The function of gas capture subassembly is to compress and separate our product hydrogen and deliver it to the production facility limits. The components of this subassembly in general terms are listed in Figure 3-24.

Figure 3-24. Gas	<b>Capture</b> Com	ponents
------------------	--------------------	---------

Gas Capture Subassembly Components
Compressor
Gas Separation
Piping

The outlet pressure of hydrogen at the plant gate is 300psi. This pressure was selected to provide a system comparable to other DOE H2A Production Plants. Those cases have been normalized to an outlet pressure of 300psi. The hydrogen is at atmospheric pressure when released by the organisms. The Hydrogen Flow Meter is located at this subassembly but it is accounted for in the control system subassembly. Between these two points in the subassembly there is minimally a compressor and a gas separation unit. The compressor will need to bring the gas mixture to the pressures required for separation and transport to the plant gate. Pressure losses are possible in the gas separation unit and the pipeline runs so compression may be higher than 300psi. The subassembly components are all shown in Figure 3-25.



#### 3.4.1 H<sub>2</sub>-O<sub>2</sub> Gas Mixture Safety

Since the organisms create hydrogen via water splitting, oxygen is necessarily created as a byproduct of hydrogen production. For the systems that must maintain anaerobic conditions for hydrogen production (B3, B4, and B5), a separate reaction (i.e. respiration) occurs to virtually instantaneously consume the oxygen, so that  $O_2$  levels are maintained at very low levels. However, the B1 and B2 pathways use oxygen tolerant organisms that can carry out water splitting without a separate respiration reaction to absorb the  $O_2$ , and thus they generate substantial  $O_2$ , at a stoichiometric ratio with the produced hydrogen. This 2:1 molar ratio of hydrogen to oxygen is a flammability concern and therefore precautions must be taken to eliminate any potential ignition source. H<sub>2</sub> safety precautions are important to all the systems, however, the issue particularly affects the B-1 and B-2 pathways where a stoichiometric H<sub>2</sub>/O<sub>2</sub> mixture is stored, i.e., in raceways, headspaces, and gas processing systems. For this analysis, the requisite safety sensors and controls are used to assure safe plant operation with this gas mixture. These kinds of safety measures are also routinely dealt with in other industrial systems using H<sub>2</sub>.

#### 3.4.2 Compressors

Conversations with Norwalk Compressors of Norwalk CT suggest that an oil-free, balancedopposed, 3-stage piston compressor can be used to safely compress the hydrogen or hydrogen/oxygen gas stream prior (or after) gas separation. Particular care must be taken when compressing flammable gas mixtures to avoid sparking or exceeding temperature limits. However, compression of flammable mixtures is a routine industrial process conducted safely every day.

Pursuant to the modular concept, the compression system is scaled for the gas flows of a 1,000kgH<sub>2</sub>/day module. The compression module is sized for the average hydrogen production for the longest day of the year: the summer solstice (June 21<sup>st</sup>). At all other times, the compressor is operated at reduced capacity. Power for the compressor is based on mass flow rate and compression ratio<sup>49</sup> which are both modest by industrial standards. Consequently, compressor power is moderate: approximately 78-123 kW depending on system. However, due to the low hydrogen pressure generated above the raceways (1atm), compressor piston diameter for the first stage will be quite large. For this reason, diaphragm compressors, which would normally be attractive for their longer life and non-leak attributes, are not viewed as viable candidates.

Since the biohydrogen compressors are similar in type (piston compressors) and compression ratio (~20) to the compressors used in the DOE's H2A forecourt analyses<sup>50</sup>, we have adopted the H2A compressor cost algorithm of \$4,580/ (kgH<sub>2</sub>/h). However, since this algorithm is based on hydrogen compression and the biohydrogen systems produce a mixture of gases, we convert the costing algorithm to a molar basis: \$9,233/ (kgmol/h).

### 3.4.3 Gas Separation Concepts

There are several commercial means available for separating hydrogen gas from a gas mixture. In this analysis we have focused our attention on pressure swing adsorption (PSA), temperature swing adsorption (TSA), membranes, electrochemical pumps and combinations of these methods.

#### 3.4.3.1 *Pressure Swing Adsorption*

A pressure swing adsorption (PSA) system is preliminarily selected as the  $H_2$  separation system. PSA technology takes advantage of a materials affinity to preferentially adsorb a particular gas species at high gas pressure. PSA systems are commonly used in the petroleum industry to purify a variety of gases. Separation of hydrogen gas from steam methane reforming (SMR) product gases ( $H_2$ , CO, CO<sub>2</sub>,  $H_2O$ , CH<sub>4</sub>) is common. We propose to use PSA to separate hydrogen from a  $H_2$ , O<sub>2</sub>,  $H_2O$  mixture, a much less frequently encountered application. Dialogue with Adsorption Research, Inc. indicated that it was feasible to use PSA to separate such a mixture.<sup>51</sup>

PSA operate by flowing a pressurized stream of gases across a multi-component adsorbent bed (commonly layers of activated carbon, zeolite, silica gel, etc.) to preferentially capture an undesired gaseous species on the surface of the adsorbent. By careful selection of adsorbent materials, all undesirable species may be captured in the bed so that only high purity gas (often greater than 5 nines purity) exits the bed. PSA systems are inherently cyclic with a series of bed (typically 4, 6, 8 or 12) operating out of phase. After a bed is

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>49</sup> Compression ratio is 300psi/14.7psi = 20.4.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>50</sup> The standard DOE H2A forecourt analysis assumes a 4-stage, piston compressor, 300psi inlet, 6250psi outlet, and approximate 1500kgH2/day flow rate.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>51</sup> Knaebel, Kent. Phone conversation. 20 Oct 2008

"full" (i.e. the adsorbent material no longer has open sites on which to further adsorb contaminant gases), the bed goes into a "vent' cycle where pressure is decreased (typically to 1atm but sometimes < 1atm) to desorb the contaminants. This vent gas stream is typically vented to the atmosphere or fed to a burner if it contains fuel value. After venting, the bed is purged with pure gas stream reserve flowed i.e. fed from the exit of the bed to drive any residual containment gas back towards the inlet. After purging, the bed enters an "equalization" cycle where gas pressure is raised to full operating pressure in preparation for the resumption of contaminant gas flow.

Hydrogen recovery is a key metric of PSA performance. Hydrogen recovery is defined as the fraction of inlet gas hydrogen that is captured in the pure gas stream. There are two main sources of hydrogen loss. The first is hydrogen that is contained in the bed at the beginning of the vent cycle. As the bed is depressurized, this hydrogen is expelled and lost to recovery. The second source of loss is during the purge cycle when pure hydrogen is used to actively vent the system of impurities. By summing these two losses, an accurate measure of hydrogen recovery can be attained.

To determine  $H_2$  recovery for an  $H_2/O_2$  mixed gas system, we have ascertained the packing density and adsorption performance of carbon (for  $O_2$  adsorption) and silica gel (for water adsorption). These parameters are listed in Figure 47. By carefully modeling the desired flow rates and calculating the hydrogen contained in the bed during the various cycles, the hydrogen recovery is calculated.

Key parameters of the PSA system are shown in Figure 3-26. Parameters are listed for the adsorption of water, methane, carbon dioxide, carbon monoxide, nitrogen, and oxygen. Although oxygen is the primary species for adsorption, the other gases are included both because they were used in validation of the modeling approach and may be present in low quantities due to the decomposition of algae. For each adsorbent there are two values of interest; the amount of gas that adheres to the adsorbent at high pressure, and the amount of gas that adheres to the absorbent at low pressure. It is the difference in adsorption levels between the two pressures that is of interest. Although not necessarily linear, we have assumed that the adsorption data varies linearly between the two data points listed.

Capital costs for the individual PSA systems are estimated based on both the performance model described above and on a scaling factor approach. The performance model is used to calculate the approximate bed size of the PSA vessels for the particular flow rates and gas compositions of each system. Once this PSA bed size is determined, a scaling factor approach is used to ratio the cost relative to a H2A model PSA system. A 0.5 scaling factor is assumed resulting in the following equation:

$$NewCost = BaseCost * \sqrt{\frac{NewBedSize}{BaseBedSize}}$$

The base PSA system cost assumed is based on an H2A 1500kgH<sub>2</sub>/day SMR PSA having 6,065L of total absorbent and a \$100,000 total price.

### Figure 3-26. Possible PSA Parameters

Silica Gel (for Water Absorption) Bed Void Fraction Bed Usage Fraction (1/LUB) Adsorp. Fraction at Specified Pressure Specified Pressure for Adsorbent Data Adsorp. Fraction at Specified Purge Pressure Specified Purge Pressure Estimated adsorbent O2 Update Fraction Bulk Density Carbon (for Methane) Bed Void Fraction Bed Void Fraction (1/LUB) Update Fraction at Specified Pressure Specified Pressure for Adsorbent Data Adsorp. Fraction at Specified Purge Pressure Specified Purge Pressure Specified Purge Pressure Specified Purge Pressure Estimated adsorbent O2 Update Fraction	% % g H20/ g adsorbent psi g H20/ g adsorbent g H20/ g adsorbent g/L % g CH4/ g adsorbent psi g CH4/ g adsorbent psi g CH4/ g adsorbent	36% 50% 30% 250 0.2 14.7 0.12039949 500 0.36 0.8 0.0448 250 0.016 14.7 0.034675053
Bulk Density Carbon (for CO2) Bed Void Fraction Bed Usage Fraction (1/LUB) Update Fraction at Specified Pressure Specified Pressure for Adsorbent Data Adsorp. Fraction at Specified Purge Pressure Specified Purge Pressure Specified Purge Pressure Estimated adsorbent O2 Update Fraction Bulk Density	g/L % g CO2/ g adsorbent psi g CO2/ g adsorbent psi g CO2/ g adsorbent g/L	515 0.36 0.714285714 0.1694 250 0.044 14.7 0.15098096 515
Zeolite (for CO) Bed Void Fraction Bed Usage Fraction (1/LUB) Update Fraction at Specified Pressure Specified Pressure for Adsorbent Data Adsorp. Fraction at Specified Purge Pressure Specified Purge Pressure Estimated adsorbent O2 Update Fraction Bulk Density	% g CO/ g adsorbent psi g CO/ g adsorbent psi g CO/ g adsorbent g/L	0.36 0.714285714 0.042 250 0.0364 14.7 0.006742371 515
Zeolite (for N2) Bed Void Fraction Bed Usage Fraction (1/LUB) Update Fraction at Specified Pressure Specified Pressure for Adsorbent Data Adsorp. Fraction at Specified Purge Pressure Specified Purge Pressure Estimated adsorbent O2 Update Fraction Bulk Density	% % g N2/ g adsorbent psi g N2/ g adsorbent psi g N2/ g adsorbent g/L	0.36 0.3 0.04725 250 0.0406 14.7 0.008006566 515
Zeolite (for O2) Bed Void Fraction Bed Usage Fraction (1/LUB) Update Fraction at Specified Pressure Specified Pressure for Adsorbent Data Adsorp. Fraction at Specified Purge Pressure Specified Purge Pressure Estimated adsorbent O2 Update Fraction Bulk Density	% % g O2/ g adsorbent psi g O2/ g adsorbent psi g O2/ g adsorbent g/L	0.36 0.769230769 0.032 250 0 0.001 0.036262545 680
Where: Bed Void Fraction = interstitial void fraction betwe Bed Usage Fraction = 1/(Length of Unused Bed) where the remained is buffer against contaminant breakth	en adsorbent particles = Fraction of bed used for adsor rrough.	bent calcs

## 3.4.3.2 *Temperature Swing Adsorption*

Temperature swing adsorption (TSA) systems are analogous to PSA systems except they use differences in temperature rather than pressure to preferentially adsorb and desorb contaminant species. While used industrially, TSA systems are not as prevalent in process plants as PSA systems. The main advantage of a TSA system is that it would not require compression of the H<sub>2</sub>-rich gas thereby avoiding the safety concerns of compressing  $H_2/O_2$ 

gas mixtures and potentially lowering electrical requirements. However, separation of  $H_2$  and  $O_2$  via TSA requires a significant temperature swing with a refrigeration system required to achieve the lower temperature bound. Was "waste" cooling or heating available from an adjacent process, TSA would be an attractive option. However, as currently configured such "waste" thermal energy is not readily available and thus the refrigeration system would be a substantial energy and cost element. Additionally, conversations with Kent Knaebel from Adsorption Research Incorporated, a gas separation consulting company, indicated that PSA was a far superior option, given its vastly reduced cycle time. The cycle for a TSA system would be measured in hours, as opposed to minutes for PSA, necessitating a much larger bed size in order to separate the same amount of gas. This increased capital cost of the beds and cooling system makes TSA less economically practical than PSA. Consequently, we have not pursued further TSA system analysis for any of the bio-hydrogen systems.

## 3.4.3.3 *Membrane Separation*

Membrane separation units are of two main types: metallic membranes and nano-porous Metallic membranes typically use palladium (Pd), Pd-alloys, or layered membranes. Pd/alloy to allow the diffusion of H+ ions through the membrane. Pd membranes are characterized by very high hydrogen selectivity (typically <10,000), high cost (due to the inherent Pd material cost), and moderate hydrogen permeability that is primarily a function of temperature and membrane thickness. Hydrogen flux through the membrane follows Boyds Law and is proportional to the difference of the square root of the reformate (upstream) hydrogen partial pressure and the square root of the permeate (downstream) hydrogen partial pressure. Consequently, Pd-membrane systems work best with highly pressurized inlet streams and low pressure H<sub>2</sub> product streams. While a few small scale Pdmembrane commercial products are on the market (e.g. IdaTech), large scale Pd-membrane hydrogen purification systems are not employed industrially due to performance and cost concerns. Based on membrane system modeling for a bio-hydrogen system with 60% H<sub>2</sub> at 20atm (300psi) and a 1atm permeate (pure H<sub>2</sub>) outlet pressure, hydrogen recoveries in excess of 90% are theoretically possible.

Drawbacks of Pd-membrane separation systems include:

- the necessity to heat the membrane (and hydrogen) to 250-350°C to ensure adequate hydrogen permeability
- the requirement to compress the  $H_2$ -rich feedstream to high pressure thereby raising safety concerns related to  $H_2/O_2$  compression
- the low pressure of the pure  $H_2$  product stream thereby requiring additional  $H_2$  compression to achieve a pipeline transport pressure
- uncertainty of using Pd membrane with  $H_2/O_2$  gas mixtures (the Pd may oxidize or be an  $H_2/O_2$  combustion catalyst)
- the general immaturity of the technology.

For these reasons, Pd-membranes are not selected for further analysis.

The other broad class of membrane separation systems is based on nano-porous materials. Nano-porous materials function as molecular sieves and use pore size to preferentially pass molecular hydrogen. As reproduced in Figure 3-27, Phair and Badwal<sup>52</sup> have surveyed the range of nano-porous membrane options. Of these, we judge polymeric membrane to be most applicable to bio-hydrogen gas separation due to their low temperature of operation. However, polymer membranes are not highly selective and thus would require additional downstream purification. Additionally, hydrogen flow is driven by differences in hydrogen partial pressure across the membrane. Consequently, compression of the unseparated gas is required once again raising safety concern for  $H_2/O_2$  gas mixtures. A detailed analysis beyond the scope of this project is required to optimize pressure level, permeate purity, and recover  $H_2$ . For these reasons, polymer membranes don't appear to offer substantially superior benefits over PSA systems. Consequently, polymer membranes are not selected for further analysis.

	Polymeric	Carbon	Glass	Aluminosilicate	Oxides	Metal
Pore size <sup>a</sup>	Meso-macro	Micro-meso	Meso-macro	Micro-meso	Micro-meso	Meso-macro
Surface area/porosity	Low > 0.6	H1gh 0.3–0.6	Low 0.3–0.6	High 0.3–0.7	Medium 0.3–0.6	Low 0.1–0.7
Permeability	Low-medium	Low-medium	High	Low	Low-medium	High
Strength	Medium	Low	Strong	Weak	Weak-medium	Strong
Thermal stability	Low	High	Good	Medium-high	Medium-high	High
Chemical stability	Low-medium	High	High	High	Very high	High
Costs	Low	High	High	Low-medium	Medium	Medium
Life	Short	Long	Long	Medium-long	Long	Long

Figure 3-27. Nano-porous Membranes

<sup>a</sup>Micoporous = pore radius <1 nm, mesoporous = 1 nm < pore radius <25 nm, macroporous = pore radius >25 nm.

### 3.4.3.4 *Electrochemical Pumps*

Electrochemical purification of hydrogen is possible using an applied voltage to drive hydrogen across a separation membrane. Such systems have been demonstrated at small scale but are not currently in industrial use. In addition to the gas separation function, electrochemical pumps can be used to pressure the hydrogen stream thereby eliminating or reducing the need for mechanical  $H_2$  gas compression. In theory, a mixed gas stream could enter the electrochemical pump at 1atm and a high  $H_2$  purity gas stream could exit at pressure (10-100atm).

Conversations with Glen Eiseman of Hydrogen Pump LLC preliminarily explored the use of electrochemical pumps for biohydrogen purification. Current products from Hydrogen Pumps use a PBI membrane, operate at ~160°C, employ a Pt catalyst, compress the H<sub>2</sub> stream to several atmospheres, and contain a supplementary gas cleanup system since only 98% pure H<sub>2</sub> is passed across the membrane. Since exposure of a H<sub>2</sub>/O<sub>2</sub> gas mixture to Pt would result in catalyst combustion of the gases, such a combination is unacceptable. Development of alternate non-Pt catalyst is theoretically possible, but the authors know of no such development efforts.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>52</sup>"Materials for separation membranes in hydrogen and oxygen production and future power generation", J.W. Phair, S.P.S. Badwal, (CSIRO Manufacturing and Infrastructure Technology, Victoria, Australia) Science and Technology of Advanced Materials 7 (2006) 792–805.

Electrochemical pumps could be used for separation of non- $O_2$  containing gas mixtures. However, the systems would incur the following disadvantages:

- the necessity to heat the membrane (and hydrogen) to  $160^{\circ}$ C
- the need for a secondary gas cleanup system (such as PSA) to further purify the  $H_2$  product gas to five 9's purity
- the electrical consumption to power the device

For these reasons, electrochemical pumps are not selected for further analysis.

#### 3.4.4 Capital Costs

The components of the subassembly can be further specified for a given organism and reactor bed form. However we have included in Figure 3-28 materials selected and unit costs of components.

Figure 3-28. Capital Cost of Gas Capture Components					
Gas Capture	Material Chosen	Unit Pricing			
Subassembly					
Compressor	142kgH <sub>2</sub> /hr size for 1000kgH <sub>2</sub> /day plant	\$9233/kgmol/hr			
	Source: Using H2A Cost guidelines				
Gas Separation	Pressure Swing Adsorption \$	30,542 - \$119,408			
	Source: Using H2A Cost guidelines and scaling facto	NC .			
Gas Capture Collection	5.5" diameter, plastic, 100ft/s velocity,	\$8.51/ft			
Piping	Source: PVC Plastic Corp ( <u>http://www.usplastic.cor</u>	<u>n/</u> )			
Gas Capture Collection	4.5" diameter, plastic, 100ft/s velocity,	\$6.18/ft			
Piping	Source: PVC Plastic Corp ( <u>http://www.usplastic.cor</u>	<u>n/)</u>			
Main Collection Piping	4" diameter, plastic, 100ft/s velocity,	\$6.18/ft			
	Source: PVC Plastic Corp (http://www.usplastic.cor	n/)			
Main Collection Piping	3" diameter, plastic, 100ft/s velocity,	\$4.31/ft			
	Source: PVC Plastic Corp (http://www.usplastic.cor	n/)			
Raceway Collection	1.5" diameter, plastic, 100ft/s velocity,	\$1.57/ft			
Piping	Source: PVC Plastic Corp (http://www.usplastic.cor	n/)			
<b>Raceway Collection</b>	1" diameter, plastic, 100ft/s velocity,	\$1.00/ft			
Piping	Source: PVC Plastic Corp (http://www.usplastic.cor	n/)			

## 3.4.5 Piping

Transporting gases out of the reactor bed and the product hydrogen to the facility limits will require several hundred feet of piping which are included as part of the subassemblies cost. For the B-3 and B-4 systems, the piping lengths needed must be doubled to account for the piping needed to bring  $CO_2$  to the  $CO_2$  saturation vessel. There is no drum filter for these systems.

## 3.4.6 Additional Gas Capture Subassembly Components

It is possible for the product gas to have water saturation. In this case, a dryer may be needed to remove water from the gas mixture. A slight vacuum might be required to draw the gas mixture out of the pond network and into the gas capture subassembly. These components are not part of the system yet but as we finalize our analysis we'll be able to determine if these components are necessary.

## 3.5 *Control System Subassembly*

Plant control systems serve many functions including local and remote monitoring, alarming and controlling of plant equipment and functions. The more functions the system performs, the more costly and complex the system becomes. A very simple system may provide only local indication or monitoring of equipment operation. A complex system would include all three functions for each piece of equipment from a remote facility and some logic for how to operate each piece under a given set of conditions. Automating plant operations can increase the cost of the control system. These costs need to be evaluated against the operational labor savings.

### 3.5.1 Components

For this subassembly the design approach was to create a very basic system which is practical enough to provide some savings in operational labor. Because of the reactor bed's large area requirements remote capabilities are essential. This will require electrical conduit and wiring to be laid out between the plant components and the primary control area. Monitoring of only the most primary indicators will be included. Alarming capabilities of only the most hazardous conditions will be required. Control will only be available for flow valves. No automation is assumed thus a PLC will not be included. For the basic control system described the components and instrumentation for each function are listed in Figure 3-29.

Control System Subassembly		
Components		
Control Room		
Control Room Wiring Panel		
Raceway Wiring Panel		
Computer, Monitor, Labview software		
Electrical Conduit (power and instrumentation)		
Instrumentation Wiring		
Instrumentation		
Monitor	Alarm	Control
Level Indicators	Pressure Sensors	Nutrient Flow Valve
Hydrogen Area Sensors		Water Flow Valve
Air Temperature Indicator	Oxygen Area Sensors	
Water Temperature Indicator		
Hydrogen Flow Meter		
pH level indicator		
Consumables		
Electricity		

#### Figure 3-29. Control System Components

While the control system is relatively simple, the subassembly is complicated by the sheer size of the reactor beds and the modular nature of the raceways. Each raceway must be individually monitored and controlled, leading to a large number of replicated sensors. Additionally, the instruments are dispersed over this area,  $\sim$ 20-66 acres in size, leading to very long runs of wiring and conduit. Signal boosting may be required. To simplify the system all instrumentation will operate on electricity rather than instrument air, so that an air compressor is not required. There is no power transformer considered here, rather electricity will be treated as a plant operating expense and bought off the grid at the typical /k for the region.

## 3.5.2 Wiring and Conduit

Because of the large size of our plant there is significant amount of wiring involved with conveying power to each raceway for the paddlewheels and instrumentation as well as bringing back signal wiring for instrumentation. Figure 3-30 shows a possible layout of the production facility where growth and production are carried out in a single bed. Some key dimensions are identified so that approximate lengths of wiring and conduit can be computed. The number of wires required to each raceway panel is shown in the Raceway Wiring Panel. All panels are assumed to be at the near end of each raceway and subassembly center for conceptual design calculations. The hydrogen flow meter has a single signal and power wire. The flow valves have 1 power wire but need 2 signal wires; 1 for actuating valve and 1 for status of valve. The remaining equipment at each subassembly has power wires but no signal wires. Since this is a simple control system other equipment (pumps, compressor, and algal separation unit) will only have local control and monitoring. All monitoring and sensor instrumentation has a single power and a single signal wire with the exception of the hydrogen area sensor. It requires two signal wires for monitoring

hydrogen and alarming at certain conditions. Water flow control into and out of each individual raceway will be done with manual valves so they do not factor into the control system. The additional power wires are for the paddlewheel motors. There is no signal indicating the operation of these motors to the control room. Based on the other signals the operator should be able to infer the operating status of the paddlewheels.



Figure 3-30. Control System Subassembly Design

For the B-1 and B-2 systems, a plant layout like this yields the lengths of conduit and wiring shown in Figure 3-31. Signal and power wiring will be run in separate conduits. This is done to ensure that there is no interference in the signal data from voltage associated with the power wiring. In the diagram above one can see there are two main horizontal conduits runs (above and below the subassemblies). That route will be used for both power and signal wiring. Each individual raceway will have its own conduit run along the length of the raceway for the raceway wiring panel located at the near end of the raceway. Each subassembly will have its own conduit run off the main horizontal conduit lines for its wiring.
Components	Signal Wiring Qty	Power Wiring Qty	Conduit Qty (ft)
	( <b>f</b> t)	(ft)	
Raceways	67,200	84,000	4,560
Organism Feed	900	450	100
Subassembly			
Recycle	540	270	100
Subassembly			
Gas Capture	630	630	100
Subassembly			
Totals	69,270	85,350	4,860

#### Figure 3-31. Control System Wiring and Conduit Quantities for B-1, B-2

The raceways category in Figure 3-31 above is a summation of all the wiring required for each of the 20 raceway wiring panels needed in the B-1 and B-2 systems. The flow valve and flow meter wiring requirements are included in the appropriate subassembly line. The additional power wiring in those subassembly lines are for the other equipment (pumps, compressors, etc) that require power but are not monitored and operated remotely. The control system wiring could have been done overhead with cable trays, however, since some level of excavation will already take place to create raceways, this analysis assumes underground lines. The installation costs of buried conduit in this case will be lower because it is included in the excavation of the raceways.

# 3.5.3 Capital Costs

The analysis above provides a fairly extensive bill of materials for a typical control system. All of the instrumentation, wiring and conduit is assumed to be commercially on the shelf and readily available. The costs of those components are summarized in Figure 3-32.

<b>F</b>	igure 5-52. Capital Costs of Control System C	omponents
<b>Control System</b>	Material Chosen	Unit Pricing
Subassembly		
Control Room	8' x 20' trailer	\$50/ft <sup>2</sup>
	Source:	
	http://www.buyerzone.com/industrial/modular_b	uildings/prefab_guide.html
Control Room	Customized to wire count	\$3000
Wiring Panel		
	Source: Consultation with Innomation Systems Inc	
Raceway	Termination panel. Features transmit and,	\$146
Wiring Panel	adjustable input/output levels. Available as PC	
	board only or in a case. +6 to 15 mA Tx.	
	Source: Information from Tessco Technologies Inc	· ·
Computer &	Standard Desktop, Vostro 420 or similar	\$1500
Monitor	Source: Dell	
Labview	Labview 8.6 Professional Edition	\$4299
Software	Source: National Instruments	

Figure 3-32. Capital Costs of Control System Components

<b>Control System</b>	Material Chosen	Unit Pricing
Subassembly		
Level Indicators	Flanged, Float level, 316 SST components, displays, 4 to 20 ma output, standard process connection Source: Omega Engineering Inc	\$714
Drossuro	Solid State Pressure Transducer and meter 316	¢21E
Sensors	SST components, displays, 4 to 20 ma output, standard process connection Source: Omega Engineering Inc.	۲۰۰۵
Hydrogen Area Sensors	Thermal Conductivity Gas Analyzer, 316 SST components, displays, 4 to 20 ma output, standard process connection Source: Lesman Instrument Company	\$7,600
Air Temperature Indicator	Thermistor, 316 SST components, 4 to 20 ma output, standard process connection Source: Omega Engineering Inc.	\$38
Air	Panel Meter, single width, up to 24 inputs	\$599
Temperature		
Meter	Source: Omega Engineering Inc.	1
Water	Comes with pH sensor	Ş0
I emperature Indicator	Source: Information from Emerson Process Management	
pH level	CPVC components, displays, 4 to 20 ma output,	\$435
Indicator	Standard process connection	
	Comes with Hydrogen Sensor	<u> </u>
Sensors	Source: Omega Engineering Inc	ŞU
Nutriont Flow	6" vortex type 316 SST components displays 4	ŚĘ Ę00
Valve	to 20 ma output, standard process connection Source: Information from Emerson Process Management	9 <b>0,00</b>
Water Flow Valve	6" vortex type, 316 SST components, displays, 4 to 20 ma output, standard process connection Source: Information from Emerson Process Management	\$5,500
Hydrogen Flow Meter	6" vortex type, 316 SST components, displays, 4 to 20 ma output, standard process connection Source: Information from Emerson Process Management	\$5 <i>,</i> 500
Instrument	22 GA Copper wire UL1007/UL1569	\$0.02/ft
Wiring	Source: Waytek Inc. at <u>www.waytekwire.com</u> @ 500' qty	
Power Wiring	22 GA Copper wire UL1007/UL1569	\$0.02/ft
-	Source: Waytek Inc. at <u>www.waytekwire.com</u> @ 500' qty	
Conduit	½", 100' pack, Highly flexible black PVC tubing,	\$0.58/ft
	resistant to oil, water, and corrosion	
	Source: Waytek Inc. at <u>www.waytekwire.com</u> @ 550' qty	

# 4. Bill of Materials for Pathways

While most of the photobiological work has been done in a laboratory scaled environment under conditions uneconomical for large-scale production, the food industry has some experience at growing these organisms at larger scales. Looking at both sources, we have determined that the most practical means of hydrogen production with a photobiological system for each of the 5 photobiological pathways.

# 4.1 *B-1 Pathway Production Plant*

The B-1 Pathway is a Chemostat II photobiological reactor system using a mutant-antenna *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* oxygen-tolerant variant as the H<sub>2</sub> production microorganism. As a Chemostat II, the initial algal colony is grown at the normal cell growth rate until final colony concentration is reached, and then the process is switched over to steady-state mode where simultaneous growth and hydrogen production occur in the reactor beds. This is achieved by manipulating the nutrients and carbon dioxide provided. H<sub>2</sub> production is projected at 9.2% solar-to-hydrogen efficiency and corresponds to a future optimized organism. Sufficient cellular activity to keep the micro-organism during H<sub>2</sub> production healthy is assumed to occur with new cell growth taking place at a reduced rate compared to wild-type organisms (20% per day vs.  $\sim$ 80% per day).

Twenty racetrack raceways of approximately 40ft width by 1090ft length are used to produce an average of 1,000kgH<sub>2</sub>/day throughout the year. The raceways have a liquid depth of 10cm to correspond to full photon capture at a cell concentration of 0.2g/L. Paddlewheels circulate the water/*Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* slurry. A slip stream of water/micro-organisms slurry is drawn off continuously to maintain the 0.2g/L micro-organism concentration. Micro-organisms are removed from the recycle stream by a rotary drum filter. The slip stream volume removed is carefully matched to the growth rate to maintain a constant organism mass within the system (assuming a constant concentration of algae within the bed). The removed micro-organisms are taken to a land-fill, used in a subsequent fermentor, or otherwise disposed. Nutrients in the form of commercial grade fertilizer are mixed with the returning water to provide required nourishment to the micro-organisms.  $CO_2$  is bubbled into a reservoir tank of the returning water to dissolve  $CO_2$  into the water for use by the micro-organisms. Only a very low amount of  $CO_2$  is needed to maintain cell health; thus  $CO_2$  does not appreciably accumulate in the headspace of the reactor beds.

The *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* micro-organism produces a net product gas of 33.33% O<sub>2</sub> and 66.66% H<sub>2</sub> (plus water vapor). A piston compressor is used to compress the gas mixture to 300psi prior to separation. A pressure swing adsorption (PSA) system is used to purify the hydrogen gas stream.

Further details of the B-1 pathway are specified in Figure 2-1. The subassemblies of this pathway are shown in Figure 4-1. The complete bill of materials and capital costs of this production plant are shown in Figure 4-2. The total system cost is \$2,164,488.



Figure 4-1. Production Plant Design for Oxygen-Tolerant Hydrogenase (Chlamy)

#### Figure 4-2. Bill of Materials for B-1 Pathway

Description	Size Req'd	Units l	Unit Size	Units		Unit cost	Qty Req'd	Тс	otal Cost	Material / Part Description
Photo Bio Reactor Bed Subassembly										
Transparent Film	87,080 m	12	1	m2	\$	0.54	87,080	\$	46,871	Polyethylene price quote at \$0.54/m2 (\$0.05/ft2)
Pond Lining	93,998 m	12	1	m2	\$	0.47	93,998	\$	44,412	Butyl rubber, PVC, and LDPE (low density polyethylene)based on quote of \$175.56 for 4,000ft2.
Pond Edging	13,982 m	1 I	1	m	\$	7.00	13,982	\$	97,876	Unit Cost is engineering estimate at \$7/m
Installation of Ponds			1	raceway	\$	26,083.00	20	\$	521,660	Based on California labor rates.
Paddlewheel Mixers			1	each .	Ś	5.000.00	40	Ś	200.000	Est. paddlewheel cost. 4 per raceway. 18 raceways.
Inlet Water Valve	0.5 in	1	1	each	ŝ	43.46	20	ŝ	869	Price from ValveStore.com (http://www.valvestore.com/prodinfo.asp?number=551032)
Outlet Water Valve	0.5 in	1	1	each	ŝ	43.46	20	ŝ	869	Price from ValveStore.com (http://www.valvestore.com/prodinfo.asp?number=551032)
Outlet Gas Valve	1.0 in	1	1	each	ŝ	67.23	20	ŝ	1.345	Price from ValveStore.com (http://www.valvestore.com/prodinfo.asp?number=551032)
Flanges	0.5 in	1	1	each	ş	8.00	60	Ş	480	Price from http://www.ancorp.com/line.aspx?id=819
Car Carbon Subarrable										
Gas Capture Subassembly	cc lu			lumme al /ha	ć	0 333 00		¢.	COC 207	United UPA Unite and
compressor	00 Kį	gmoi/nr	00	kgmoi/m	Ş	9,233.00	1	Ş	606,207	Using H2A Unit Cost
PSA					ş	119,407.39	1	ş	119,407	Using H2A scaling
Raceway Collection Pipe	40 ft		1	ft	ş	1.57	40	ş	63	Sized for Peak Hydrogen production. Assuming Plastic Gas piping, 100 ft/s velocity, uniform pipe diameter (can be
Main Collection Pipe	1080 ft		1	ft	ş	6.18	1080	ş	6,674	Sized for Peak Hydrogen production. Assuming Plastic Gas piping, 100 ft/s velocity, uniform pipe diameter (can be
Gas Capture Pipe	50 ft		1	ft	Ş	6.18	50	Ş	309	Sized for Peak Hydrogen production. Assuming Plastic Gas piping, 100 ft/s velocity, uniform pipe diameter (can be
Organism Feed Subassembly										
Transfer In Pump	150 g	pm	150	gpm	\$	10,252	2	\$	20,503	Est. Based on Perry's Chemical Handbook, Plant Design and Economics/Peters and Timmerhaus
Nutrient Metering Pumps	1468 g	ph	1	each	\$	2,594	1	\$	2,594	Est. Based on Perry's Chemical Handbook, Plant Design and Economics. Pumps nutrients into return flow
Mixing Pump	150 g	pm	150	gpm	\$	10,252	2	\$	20,503	Est. Based on Perry's Chemical Handbook, Plant Design and Economics/Peters and Timmerhaus
CO2 Cylinder	50 lb	)	50	lb	\$	360	1	\$	360	Aluminum 6061-T6 alloy, 1800 psi Service Pressure, 50 lb. Capacity Part #6125 (http://kegman.net/carbon.htm)
CO2 Saturation Vessel	15000 g	al	15000	gal	\$	30,000	1	\$	30,000	Field erected Stainless Steel tank from Perry's chemical Handbook, Plant Design and Economics
Nutrient Tank	8 gi	al	10	gal	\$	30.00	1	\$	30	10 gallon Cylindrical Process Tank, Part #0275-085 (http://www.watertanks.com/products/0275-085.asp)
Raceway Slurry Collection Pipe	40 ft		1	ft	\$	1.00	40	\$	40	Pricing based off of Estimates from PVC Plastic Corp. Assumes 10 ft/second flow rate and Density of 1g/ml
Main Slurry Collection Pipe	1080 ft		1	ft	\$	2.12	1080	\$	2,290	Pricing based off of Estimates from PVC Plastic Corp. Assumes 10 ft/second flow rate and Density of 1g/ml
Recycle-Feed Transfer Pipe	180 ft		1	ft	\$	4.31	180	\$	776	Pricing based off of Estimates from PVC Plastic Corp. Assumes 10 ft/second flow rate and Density of 1g/ml
Recycle Subassembly										
Rotary Drum filter	9639 g	nh	3750	goh	s	87.000.00	3	Ś	261.000	Information from Dana Kent at Advanced Aguaculture Inc. on Hydrotech Drum Filter
Transfer Out Pump	150 g	nm	150	gnm	š	10 252	2	ŝ	20 503	Est, Based on Perry's Chemical Handbook, Plant Design and Economics/Peters and Timmerhaus
Main Slurry Feed Pine	1080 ft		1	ft	š	2 12	1080	š	2 290	Pricing based off of Estimates from PVC Plastic Coro. Assumes 10 ft/second flow rate and Density of 1g/ml
Raceway Slurry Feed Pipe	40 ft		1	ft	ŝ	1.00	40	ş	40	Pricing based off of Estimates from PVC Plastic Corp. Assumes 10 ft/second flow rate and Density of 1g/ml
Communities										
Consumables	53.44 H		50		~	25.00	105	~	2.675	
Initial CO2	5241 10	)	50	ID 	ş	35.00	105	ş	3,675	Cost based on average fertilizer use for Aquaculture and average fertilizer costs from the USDA
Initial Nutrients	0.42 lb		1	Ib	ş	0.20	0	ş	0	NFC Company, Chicago, IL (800) 734 4515
Initial water	2,142,278 g	aı	1	gai	Ş	0.0017	2,142,278	Ş	3,567	H2A Feedstock Losts
Control System										
Control Room	160 ft	2	1	ft2	\$	50.00	160	\$	8,000	comes from price quote of \$50/ft2 from http://www.buyerzone.com/industrial/modular_buildings/prefab_guide.html
Control Room Wiring Panel			1		\$	3,000.00	1	\$	3,000.00	Consultation with Innomation Systems Inc.
Raceway wiring Panel			1		\$	146.00	20	\$	2,920	Information from Tessco Technologies Inc.
Computer and Monitor			1		\$	1,500.00	1	\$	1,500.00	Information from Emerson Process Management
Labview Software			1		\$	4,299.00	1	\$	4,299.00	Information from Emerson Process Management
Level Indicators			1		\$	714.00	20	\$	14,280.00	Omega Engineering Inc. LVR51 Liquid Level Float Transmitter + DPi32 Meter
Pressure Sensors			1		\$	345.00	20	\$	6,900.00	Omega Engineering Inc. PX209 Pressure Trnasducer + DPi32 Meter
Hydrogen Area Sensors			1		\$	7,600.00	10	\$	76,000.00	Honeywell 7866 Gas Analyzer (http://www.lesman.com/unleashd/catalog/analytical/analyt_hwhydrogengas.htm)
Air Temperature Meter			1		Ś	599.00	1	ŝ	599.00	Omega DP81T Meter
Air Temperature Indicator			1		ŝ	38.00	20	\$	760.00	Omega TH-10-44000 Thermistor Probe
Water Temperature Indicator			1		\$	-	20	ŝ	-	Comes with the PH sensor
pH level Indicator			1		ŝ	435.00	20	ś	8,700.00	Omega Engineering Inc. PHE - 6510 PH electrode + DP24 - PH Meter
Oxygen Area Sensors			1		ŝ	-	20	ś	-	Comes with H2 Sensor
Nutrient Flow Valve			1		ŝ	5.500.00	-0	ś	5.500.00	Information from Emerson Process Management
Water Flow Valve			1		ś	5,500.00	1	š	5,500,00	Information from Emerson Process Management
Hydrogen Elow Meter			1		ŝ	5,500.00	1	ś	5.500.00	Information from Emerson Process Management
Instrument Wiring	69270 ft		1	ft	ś	0.02	69270	ś	1 343 84	Information from Waytek Inc. at waytekwire com
Power Wiring	85350 ft		1	ft	ś	0.02	85350	š	1.655.79	Information from Waytek Inc. at waytekwire com
Conduit	4860 ft		1	ft	ś	0.52	4860	ś	2 817 83	Information from Waytek Inc. at waytekwire.com
conduct	4000 11		1	it.	Ŷ	Sveter	m Initial Cost	ć	2 164 488	

#### 4.2 B-2 Pathway Production Plant

The B-2 pathway is a Chemostat II photobiological reactor system using a mutant-antenna cyanobacteria (often called blue-green algae) oxygen-tolerant variant as the H<sub>2</sub> production microorganism. As a Chemostat II, the initial bacteria colony is grown at the normal cell growth rate until final colony concentration is reached, and then the process is switched over to steady-state mode where simultaneous growth and hydrogen production occur in the reactor beds. This is achieved by manipulating the nutrients and carbon dioxide provided. H<sub>2</sub> production is projected at 9.2% solar-to-hydrogen efficiency and corresponds to a future optimized organism. Sufficient cellular activity to keep the micro-organism healthy during H<sub>2</sub> production is assumed to occur with new cell growth taking place at a reduced rate compared to wild-type organisms (20% per day vs. ~80% per day). The B-2 pathway is very similar to the B-1 pathway with the primary difference being type of micro-organism.

Twenty racetrack raceways of approximately 40ft width by 1090ft length are used to produce an average of 1,000kgH<sub>2</sub>/day over the year. The raceways have a liquid depth of 10cm to correspond to full photon capture at a cell concentration of 0.2g/L. Paddlewheels circulate the water/bacteria slurry. A slip stream of water/micro-organisms slurry is drawn off continuously to maintain the 0.2g/L micro-organism concentration. Micro-organisms

are removed from the recycle stream by a rotary drum filter. The slip stream volume removed is carefully matched to the growth rate to maintain a constant organism mass within the system (assuming a constant concentration of algae within the bed). The removed micro-organisms are taken to a land-fill, used in a subsequent fermentor, or otherwise disposed. Nutrients in the form of commercial grade fertilizer are mixed with the returning water to provide required nourishment to the micro-organisms.  $CO_2$  is bubbled into a reservoir tank of the returning water to dissolve  $CO_2$  into the water for use by the micro-organisms. Only a very low amount of  $CO_2$  is needed to maintain cell health; thus  $CO_2$  does not appreciably accumulate in the headspace of the reactor beds.

The cyanobacteria micro-organisms produce a net product gas of 33.33% O<sub>2</sub> and 66.66% H<sub>2</sub> (plus water vapor). A piston compressor is used to compress the gas mixture to 300psi prior to separation. A pressure swing adsorption (PSA) system is used to purify the hydrogen gas stream.

Further details of the B-2 pathway are specified in Figure 2-1. The subassemblies of this pathway are shown in Figure 4-3. The complete bill of materials and capital costs of this production plant are shown in Figure 4-4. The total system cost is \$2,164,488.



Figure 4-3. Production Plant Design for Oxygen-Tolerant Hydrogenase (Cyanobacteria)

#### Figure 4-4. Bill of Materials for B-2 Pathway

Description	Size Req'd	Units	Unit Size	Units		Unit cost	Qty Req'd	1	Fotal Cost	Material / Part Description
Photo Bio Reactor Bed Subassembly										
Transparent Film	87,080 r	m2	1	m2	\$	0.54	87,080	\$	46,871	Polyethylene price quote at \$0.54/m2 (\$0.05/ft2)
Pond Lining	93,998 r	m2	1	m2	\$	0.47	93,998	\$	44,412	Butyl rubber, PVC, and LDPE (low density polyethylene)based on quote of \$175.56 for 4,000ft2.
Pond Edging	13,982 r	m	1	m	\$	7.00	13,982	\$	97,876	Unit Cost is engineering estimate at \$7/m
Installation of Ponds			1	raceway	\$	26,083.00	20	\$	521,660	Based on California labor rates.
Paddlewheel Mixers			1	each .	Ś	5.000.00	40	Ś	200.000	Est. paddlewheel cost. 4 per raceway. 18 raceways.
Inlet Water Valve	0.5 i	n	1	each	\$	43.46	20	\$	869	Price from ValveStore.com (http://www.valvestore.com/prodinfo.asp?number=551032)
Outlet Water Valve	0.5 i	n	1	each	Ś	43.46	20	Ś	869	Price from ValveStore.com (http://www.valvestore.com/prodinfo.asp?number=551032)
Outlet Gas Valve	1.0 i	n	1	each	ŝ	67.23	20	ŝ	1.345	Price from ValveStore.com (http://www.valvestore.com/prodinfo.asp?number=551032)
Flanges	0.5 i	n	1	each	Ş	8.00	60	Ş	480	Price from http://www.ancorp.com/line.aspx?id=819
Car Carbon Subarranblu										
Comproser	66 1	amol/br	66	kamol/br	¢	0 222 00	1	ċ	606 207	Using H2A Unit cost
Compressor	001	(gmoi/m	00	kgmoi/m	ç	9,233.00	1	ç	110,207	Using H2A onli cost
PSA					Ş	119,407.39	1	Ş	119,407	Using HZA scaling Signal for Book Hudroson production. Accuming Plantic God piping, 100 ft/s valuative uniform pipe diameter (con bo
Raceway Collection Pipe	40 t	t	1	ft	ş	1.57	40	ş	63	Sized for Peak Hydrogen production. Assuming Plastic Gas piping, 100 ft/s velocity, uniform pipe diameter (can be
Main Collection Pipe	1080 1	τ	1	π	\$	6.18	1080	\$	6,674	Sized for Peak Hydrogen production. Assuming Plastic Gas piping, 100 ft/s velocity, uniform pipe diameter (can be
Gas Capture Pipe	50 t	t	1	ft	Ş	6.18	50	Ş	309	sized for Peak Hydrogen production. Assuming Plastic Gas piping, 100 it/s velocity, uniform pipe diameter (can be
Organism Feed Subassembly										
Transfer In Pump	150 g	gpm	150	gpm	\$	10,252	2	\$	20,503	Est. Based on Perry's Chemical Handbook, Plant Design and Economics/Peters and Timmerhaus
Nutrient Metering Pumps	1468 (	gph	1	each	\$	2,594	1	Ş	2,594	Est. Based on Perry's Chemical Handbook, Plant Design and Economics. Pumps nutrients into return flow
Mixing Pump	150 g	gpm	150	gpm	\$	10,252	2	\$	20,503	Est. Based on Perry's Chemical Handbook, Plant Design and Economics/Peters and Timmerhaus
CO2 Cylinder	50	b	50	lb	\$	360	1	\$	360	Aluminum 6061-T6 alloy, 1800 psi Service Pressure, 50 lb. Capacity Part #6125 (http://kegman.net/carbon.htm)
CO2 Saturation Vessel	15000 (	gal	15000	gal	\$	30,000	1	\$	30,000	Field erected Stainless Steel tank from Perry's chemical Handbook, Plant Design and Economics
Nutrient Tank	8 8	gal	10	gal	\$	30.00	1	\$	30	10 gallon Cylindrical Process Tank, Part #0275-085 (http://www.watertanks.com/products/0275-085.asp)
Raceway Slurry Collection Pipe	40 f	ft	1	ft	\$	1.00	40	\$	40	Pricing based off of Estimates from PVC Plastic Corp. Assumes 10 ft/second flow rate and Density of 1g/ml
Main Slurry Collection Pipe	1080 f	ft	1	ft	\$	2.12	1080	\$	2,290	Pricing based off of Estimates from PVC Plastic Corp. Assumes 10 ft/second flow rate and Density of 1g/ml
Recycle-Feed Transfer Pipe	180 f	ft	1	ft	\$	4.31	180	\$	776	Pricing based off of Estimates from PVC Plastic Corp. Assumes 10 ft/second flow rate and Density of 1g/ml
Becycle Subassembly										
Rotary Drum filter	0630	TOD	3750	anh	ć	87 000 00	2	ċ	261.000	Information from Dana Kent at Advanced Aquaculture Inc. on Hydrotech Drum Filter
Transfor Out Rump	150	500	150	gpm	č	10 252	2	č	201,000	Ert Parad on Parru's Chamical Handhack, Plant Design and Economics (Retars and Timmerhaus
Main Slurgy Food Ding	1090 (	5pm	130	gpin	ç	10,232	1090	è	20,303	Est: based on Penny's chemical nandbook, Plant Design and Economics/Peters and Himmerhaus
Raceway Slurry Feed Pipe	40 f	ft	1	ft	Ş	1.00	40	ŝ	2,290	Pricing based on or sumates from PVC Plastic Corp. Assumes 10 ft/second flow rate and Density of 1g/ml Pricing based off of Estimates from PVC Plastic Corp. Assumes 10 ft/second flow rate and Density of 1g/ml
Consumables										
Initial CO2	5241 I	b	50	lb	ş	35.00	105	ş	3,675	Cost based on average fertilizer use for Aquaculture and average fertilizer costs from the USDA
Initial Nutrients	0.42	b	1	lb	\$	0.20	0	Ş	0	NFC Company, Chicago, IL (800) 734 4515
Initial Water	2,142,278	gal	1	gal	Ş	0.0017	2,142,278	Ş	3,567	H2A Feedstock Costs
Control System										
Control Room	160 f	ft2	1	ft2	\$	50.00	160	\$	8,000	comes from price quote of \$50/ft2 from http://www.buyerzone.com/industrial/modular_buildings/prefab_guide.html
Control Room Wiring Panel			1		\$	3,000.00	1	\$	3,000.00	Consultation with Innomation Systems Inc.
Raceway wiring Panel			1		\$	146.00	20	\$	2,920	Information from Tessco Technologies Inc.
Computer and Monitor			1		\$	1,500.00	1	\$	1,500.00	Information from Emerson Process Management
Labview Software			1		\$	4,299.00	1	\$	4,299.00	Information from Emerson Process Management
Level Indicators			1		\$	714.00	20	\$	14,280.00	Omega Engineering Inc. LVR51 Liquid Level Float Transmitter + DPi32 Meter
Pressure Sensors			1		ŝ	345.00	20	\$	6,900.00	Omega Engineering Inc. PX209 Pressure Trnasducer + DPi32 Meter
Hydrogen Area Sensors			1		Ś	7.600.00	10	Ś	76.000.00	Honeywell 7866 Gas Analyzer (http://www.lesman.com/unleashd/catalog/analytical/analyt hwhydrogengas.htm)
Air Temperature Meter			1		ŝ	599.00	1	ŝ	599.00	Omega DP81T Meter
Air Temperature Indicator			1		š	38.00	20	ŝ	760.00	Omega TH-10-44000 Thermistor Probe
Water Temperature Indicator			1		š	-	20	ŝ		Comes with the PH sensor
nH level Indicator			1		š	435.00	20	š	8.700.00	Omega Engineering Inc. PHF - 6510 PH electrode + DP24 -PH Meter
Ovvgen Area Sensors			1		š		20	ś		Comes with H2 Sensor
Nutrient Flow Valve			1		ć	5 500 00	20	ć	5 500 00	Information from Emerson Process Management
Water Flow Valve			1		ç	5,500.00	1	ç	5,500.00	Information from Emerson Process Management
Water Flow Valve			1		ç	5,500.00	1	ç	5,500.00	Information from Emerson Process Management
nyurogen How Weter	(0370)	r.	1		ç	5,500.00	1	Ş	5,500.00	Information nom Emerson Process Management
instrument wiring	692701	L L	1	π	Ş	0.02	69270	ş	1,545.84	mormation from waytek inc. at waytekwire.com
Power Wiring	8535U T	L.	1	н 6	ç	0.02	85350	Ş	1,000./9	Information from Waytek Inc. at WaytekWire.com
Conduit	4860 t	ι	1	π	Ş	0.58	4860 m Initial Cost	ç	2,817.83	mormation nom waytes mc. at wayteswire.com

#### 4.3 *B-3 Pathway Production Plant*

The B-3 pathway is a single-bed photobiological reactor system using a mutant-antenna *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* sulfate permease variant as the H<sub>2</sub> production micro-organism. As a single bed reactor, the initial algal colony is grown at the normal cell growth rate until final colony concentration is reached. After sealing the system, the oxygen evolution rate, reduced due to the mutation in the sulfate permease proteins, falls below the rate of oxidative respiration, leading to an anaerobic system that produces hydrogen for a period of roughly 3 days. When the organism's capability to produce hydrogen under these conditions has diminished, the system undergoes a 4-day regeneration/growth phase wherein  $O_2$  is given to the micro-organisms, halting H<sub>2</sub> production through hydrogenase inhibition and encouraging cellular growth. This is followed by another 3-day H<sub>2</sub> production phase under anaerobic conditions, when the outside  $O_2$  addition is stopped. H<sub>2</sub> production is projected at 5.2% average solar-to-hydrogen efficiency and corresponds to a future optimized organism.

Thirty-eight raceways of approximately 40ft width by 1090ft length are used to produce an average of 1,000kgH<sub>2</sub>/day throughout the year. The raceways have a liquid depth of 10cm to correspond to full photon capture at a cell concentration of 0.2g/L. In order to allow

enough growth during the regeneration phase, however, the concentration will be higher during reactor operation. Paddlewheels circulate the water/*Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* slurry. Nutrients in the form of commercial grade fertilizer are mixed with make-up water stream to provide required nourishment to the microorganisms.

The *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* sulfate permease mutant will produce a large amount of carbon dioxide that will quickly saturate the process water and begin filling the headspace. A piston compressor is used to compress the hydrogen to 300psi. A pressure swing adsorption (PSA) system is used to purify the hydrogen gas stream to five nines purity and return the  $CO_2$  to the  $CO_2$  saturation vessel where it will be mixed with a slipstream of water that has been removed from raceways low in saturated  $CO_2$  during their regeneration phase. During the regeneration phase all product gases will be vented.

Further details of the B-3 pathway are specified in Figure 2-1. The subassemblies of this pathway are shown in Figure 4-5. The complete bill of materials and capital costs of this production plant are shown in Figure 4-6. The total system cost is \$3,562,117.



Figure 4-5. Production Plant Design for Sulfate Permease (Chlamy)

#### Figure 4-6. Bill of Materials for B-3 Pathway

Photo Bio Sector Set Subasem9         Processes         Busine Sector Set Subasem9         Busine Sector Set Subasem9         Sector Set Subasem9           Transpared File         10,54,52         1         1         1         5         0.00         10,55,55 </th <th>Description</th> <th>Size Req'd Units</th> <th>Unit Size</th> <th>Units</th> <th></th> <th>Unit cost</th> <th>Qty Req'd</th> <th>Total Cost</th> <th>Material / Part Description</th>	Description	Size Req'd Units	Unit Size	Units		Unit cost	Qty Req'd	Total Cost	Material / Part Description
Transport         End Ling         15,542         2         80,542         80,542         80,542         80,542         Mark Integration           Instruction         1 $n_{2}^{-2}$ 6 $n_{2}^{-1}$ $n_{2}^{-2}$	Photo Bio Reactor Bed Subassembly								
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Transparent Film	165,452 m2	1	m2	\$	0.54	165,452 \$	89,05	54 Polyethylene price quote at \$0.54/m2 (\$0.05/ft2)
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	Pond Lining	178,597 m2	1	m2	\$	0.47	178,597 \$	84,38	32 Butyl rubber, PVC, and LDPE (low density polyethylene)based on quote of \$175.56 for 4,000ft2.
Installation of fords         1         recevery         5         2008/000         11/14         Rescue         Pail-14/entert intermediation and produce 30200           Del Words View         10         1         each         5         20.000         11/14         10/14	Pond Edging	26,566 m	1	m	\$	7.00	26,566 \$	185,96	5 Unit Cost is engineering estimate at \$7/m
Index Number         1         exch         5         50,000         76         8         280,000         161, additional content processing interviews. Interviews	Installation of Ponds		1	raceway	\$	26,083.00	38 \$	991,15	54 Based on California labor rates.
Inite         Value         0.5 in 1         each 1         each 2         43.46 5         43.46 4.64         85 8         1,551 1.551         The Initiation Watchington Balan Market 533212 (Market States and State States and State States and State States and State States and States and State States and States an	Paddlewheel Mixers		1	each	ŝ	5,000.00	76 \$	380,00	0 Est. paddlewheel cost. 4 per raceway. 18 raceways.
Outlet Guive         0.5 in         1         each         5         43.6 in         5         6.7.3 in         1.5 in	Inlet Water Valve	0.5 in	1	each	Ś	43.46	38 Ś	1.65	<ol> <li>Price from ValveStore.com (http://www.valvestore.com/prodinfo.asp?number=551032)</li> </ol>
Outlet Gas Value         1.0         n         1         each         5         7.22         38         5         2.555         Proves and the manufacture and the gal manufacture an	Outlet Water Valve	0.5 in	1	each	ŝ	43.46	38 S	1.65	1 Price from ValveStore.com (http://www.valvestore.com/prodinfo.asp?number=551032)
Fungers         D. S. in         I         exch         S         8.00         114         S         Total         Total <td>Outlet Gas Valve</td> <td>1.0 in</td> <td>1</td> <td>each</td> <td>ŝ</td> <td>67.23</td> <td>38 \$</td> <td>2.55</td> <td>5 Price from ValveStore.com (http://www.valvestore.com/prodinfo.asp?number=551032)</td>	Outlet Gas Valve	1.0 in	1	each	ŝ	67.23	38 \$	2.55	5 Price from ValveStore.com (http://www.valvestore.com/prodinfo.asp?number=551032)
Carbon         Sol Ignolyn         G         Ignolyn         S         9.23.00         1.5         602.52         Unig V13 V11 CDT           Sec. Solution         Pipe         2.60         1         ft         5         80.034.78         1.5         602.55         Unig V13 V11 CDT           Sec. Solution Pipe         2.60         1         ft         5         6.18         200         5         3.33         Sec. Solution Pipe         7.60         Number (2014)         <	Flanges	0.5 in	1	each	Ş	8.00	114 \$	91	2 Price from http://www.ancorp.com/line.aspx?id=819
Compressor         Gis Ignno/hr         6         Signo/hr         5         323300         1         6         60235         Unit part of the	Gas Canture Subassembly								
PSA         Description         Security Outcome         Security Outcome         Security Outcome           Recevery Outcome         2100         Trint         5         80,034.78         1         80,000         1,000	Compressor	65 kgmol/hr	65	kgmol/hr	Ś	9 233 00	1 \$	602.58	2 Using H2A Unit cost
$ \begin{array}{c} \text{Raceway Callection Pipe } 76 \text{ ft} & 1 \text{ ft} \\ 30 \text{ ft} \\ \text{Main Collection Pipe } 2100 \text{ ft} \\ 1 \text{ ft} \\ \text{Sac Capture Fipe } \\ 30 \text{ ft} \\ 1 \text{ ft} \\ \text{Sac Capture Fipe } \\ 30 \text{ ft} \\ 1 \text{ ft} \\ \text{Sac Capture Fipe } \\ 30 \text{ ft} \\ 1 \text{ ft} \\ \text{Sac Capture Fipe } \\ \text{Sac Capture Fipe } \\ 30 \text{ ft} \\ 1 \text{ ft} \\ \text{Sac Capture Fipe } \\ Sac Capture Fi$	PSA	oo kginoyin	05	NB1101/11	ş	80,034.78	1 \$	80,03	25 Using H2A scaling
Main Collection Pipe         2160 ft         1         ft         5         6.18         2210 st         13.49         Stel for Nak Mingseg production. Assuming Pattic Ga paper, 200 NV whole, uniform pee diameter (can be Gas Capture Pipe)           Organism Feed Subassembly         7         7         1.50         gpm         1         0.252         1         1.64.027<	Raceway Collection Pipe	76 ft	1	ft	\$	2.80	76 \$	21	3 Sized for Peak Hydrogen production. Assuming Plastic Gas piping, 100 ft/s velocity, uniform pipe diameter (can be
Gas Capture Pipe         350 ft         1         ft         S         6.18         350 ft         2,163         Start for PNA Hydrogen production. Assuming Hustic Gas papes_120 R/J which ft, uniform pape damater (can be program for Construction Progr	Main Collection Pipe	2160 ft	1	ft	\$	6.18	2160 \$	13,34	9 Sized for Peak Hydrogen production. Assuming Plastic Gas piping, 100 ft/s velocity, uniform pipe diameter (can be
Openantic Net of Subsectambly         Display         150         gpm         150         150         150         150         150         150         150         150         150         150         150         150         150         150         150         150         150         150         150         200,000         150         300,00         2         300,00         2         300,00         2         50         151         150         151,22         150         151,22         150         151,22         150         151,22         150         151,22         150         151,22         150         151,22         150         151,22         150         151,22         150         151,22         150         151,22         150         151,22         150         151,22         150         151,22         150         151,22         150         151,22         150         151,22         150         151,	Gas Capture Pipe	350 ft	1	ft	\$	6.18	350 \$	2,16	3 Sized for Peak Hydrogen production. Assuming Plastic Gas piping, 100 ft/s velocity, uniform pipe diameter (can be
Transfer in Pump         150 gpm         150 gpm         5         12,222         16 S f         156,027 total based on Perty Chemical Handbok, Planc Design and Consonk, Plance and Timemhaia           Murinet Metering Pumps         150 gpm         150 gpm         5         2,594 total s         5         300,000 Test erect Status And Perty Chemical Handbok, Planc Design and Consonk, Plance and Timemhaia           C02 Statuation Vessel         15000 gpl         1500 gpl         300,000         2         6         105 gpl cole (plance) Plance Time Prevy Chemical Handbok, Planc Design and Econsonk, Plance Table, Status Plance, Plance Design and Econsonk, Plance Table, Status Plance, Plance Design and Econsonk, Plance Table, Plance Table Plance, Plance	Organism Feed Subassembly								
Nutrite Metering Pumps         1466 graft         number of the second se	Transfer In Pump	150 gpm	150	gpm	\$	10,252	16 \$	164,02	7 Est. Based on Perry's Chemical Handbook, Plant Design and Economics/Peters and Timmerhaus
Main pump         150         gpm         5         10,222         16         5         16,027         Interaction pump         Construction           C02 Quinder         50         10         50         30,000         10         5         300,000         Feature of the source of the sourc	Nutrient Metering Pumps	1468 gph	1	each	Ś	2.594	1 \$	2.59	4 Est. Based on Perry's Chemical Handbook, Plant Design and Economics. Pumps nutrients into return flow
$ \begin{array}{ccccc} Columber & S0 & b & S & 300 & 1 & S & 300 & 1 & S & 300 & 10 & S & 300 & 00 & F & 300 & 00 & F & 300 & 00 & $	Mixing Pump	150 gpm	150	gom	Ś	10.252	16 S	164.02	7 Est. Based on Perry's Chemical Handbook, Plant Design and Economics/Peters and Timmerhaus
CO2 Suburation Vessel         15000 pal         15000 pal         1500 pal         10000 pal         5         30,0000         10 is sold variables than from Prev chemical Hundbook, Plant Design and Consenic.           Nutrient Trank         15 pal         10         pal         5         30,000         10 is also or (variable rankers from PCP funct Cons. Assumes 10 / Jaccom flow rate and Density of Japin!           Main Surry Collection Pipe         76 ft         1         ft         5         151         Pricing based off of Estimates from PCP funct Cons. Assumes 10 / Jaccom flow rate and Density of Japin!           Main Surry Collection Pipe         180 ft         1         ft         5         156         Final Based off of Estimates from PC Funct Cons. Assumes 10 / Jaccom flow rate and Density of Japin!           Transfer Outpe         150 gpm         150 gpm         10,252         16 5         164,027         Estimates from PC Funct Cons. Assumes 10 / Jaccom flow rate and Density of Japin!           Main Surry Collection Pipe         76 ft         1         ft         S         8,51         276 5         6477         Price based off of Estimates from PC Funct Cons. Assumes 10 / Jaccom flow rate and Density of Japin!           Initial Nutrients         0.78 lb         1         lb         S         0,0017         3,971,674         5         6477         Pricing based off of Estimates from PC Funct Cons. Ass	CO2 Cylinder	50 lb	50	lb	Ś	360	1 \$	36	Aluminum 6061-T6 alloy, 1800 psi Service Pressure, 50 lb. Capacity Part #6125 (http://kegman.net/carbon.htm)
Nutrient Tank         15 gal         10         gal         5         30.00         2         600         public Quinted and Participation Constraints.com products V27-865.app)           Baceway SUrry Collection Pipe         2160 ft         1         ft         5         8.51         2160 ft         161         response of for Statistic Constraints Corp. Assume 10 Hybrid Order and Density of Lybrid           Recycle Subscentby         Transfer Oil Pump         150 gpm         150         gpm         5         164,027         Etis Statistic Corp. Assume 10 Hybrid Order and Density of Lybrid           Recycle Subscentby         Transfer Oil Pump         150 gpm         150         gpm         5         164,027         Etis Statistic Tom PVC Hastic Corp. Assume 10 Hybrid Order and Tomerhaus           Main Slurry Collection Pipe         75 ft         1         ft         5         851         76 fs         164,027         Etis Statistic Corp. Assume 10 Hybrid Order and Tomerhaus           Main Slurry Collection Pipe         75 ft         1         ft         5         851         76 fs         164,027         Etis Statistic Tom PVC Hastic Corp. Assume 10 Hybrid Order Assume 10 Hybrid Order And Tomerhaus           Main Slurry Collection Pipe         76 ft         ft         ft         ft         ft         ft         ft         ft         ft	CO2 Saturation Vessel	15000 gal	15000	gal	Ś	30.000	10 S	300.00	0 Field erected Stainless Steel tank from Perry's chemical Handbook, Plant Design and Economics
Baceway Stury Collection Pipe         76 ft         1         ft         S         2.12         76 ft         16.1         Frage statuset of functionation Pice Hastice Corp. Assumes 10 Miceod Moverae and Density of Jayini           Main Stury Collection Pipe         2160 ft         1         ft         \$         8.51         2160 ft         \$         18.322         Fragested off Statustet from PiC Hastice Corp. Assumes 10 Miceod Mov rate and Density of Jayini           Recycle Subassembly         Transfer Out Pump         150 gpm         150         gpm         5         10.252         16 5         164,027         Est. Based on Penry's Chemical Handbook, Plant Design and Economic/, Planter and Temmerhaus           Receved Subry Collection Pipe         76 ft         1         ft         \$         8.51         76 5         164,027         Est. Based on Penry's Chemical Handbook, Plant Design and Economic/, Planter and Dennity of Lymin           Main Sturry Collection Pipe         76 ft         1         ft         \$         8.51         76 5         164,027         Est. Based on Penry's Chemical Handbook, Plant Design and Economic/, Planter and Dennity of Lymin           Consumables         1         ft         \$         8.51         2160 ft         1         16         0.0017         3.997,674 ft         6.656         Nat Company, Chicago, L(B00) 714-4515           Ini	Nutrient Tank	15 gal	10	gal	Ś	30.00	2 \$	6	0 10 gallon Cylindrical Process Tank, Part #0275-085 (http://www.watertanks.com/products/0275-085.asp)
Main Slumy Collection Pipe         2160 ft         1         ft         \$         8.51         2160 ft         1.832         Pricing based off of Sumaes from PCP Built Corp. Assumes 10 Myscood flow rate and Density of Lg/mil           Recycle Subasembly         Transfer Out Pump         150 gpm         150 gpm         \$         10.252         16 S         16.0427         Est. Based on Perv's Densic Lorp. Assumes 10 Myscood flow rate and Density of Lg/mil           Recycle Subasembly         Transfer Out Pump         150 gpm         150 gpm         \$         10.252         16 S         16.0427         Est. Based on Perv's Densic Lorp. Assumes 10 Myscood flow rate and Density of Lg/mil           Main Slumy Collection Pipe         216 0 ft         1         ft         \$         8.51         2160 ft         18.828         Pricing based of Februares from PCP Built Corp. Assumes 10 Myscood flow rate and Density of Lg/mil           Main Slumy Collection Pipe         216 0 ft         1         ft         \$         8.51         2160 ft         10.82         Pricing based of Februares from PCP Built Corp. Assumes 10 Myscood flow rate and Density of Lg/mil           Initial Notirents         0.78 lb         1         b         \$         160 ft         ft         \$         3.500         8.00         Contex General Hauseub of Lg/mile Corp. Assumes 10 Myscood flow rate and Density of Lg/mile Corp. Assumes 10 Myscood flow rate and Den	Baceway Slurry Collection Pine	76 ft	1	ft	ś	2.12	76 \$	16	1 Pricing based off of Estimates from PVC Plastic Corp. Assumes 10 ft/second flow rate and Density of 1g/ml
Recycle-feed Transfer Pipe         180 ft         1         ft         5         8.5.1         180 5         1,532         Pricing based off of Estimates from PVC Plastic Corp. Assumes 10 flyaecond flow rate and Density of 3g/ml           Recycle Subassembly         Transfer Out Pump         150 gpm         150 gpm         150 gpm         150 gpm         100 gpm         5         10,252         16 5         164,027         Est. Based on Perry's Chemical Handbook, Plast Design and Economics/Pleters and Timmerhaus           Raceway SUMC Collection Pipe         76 ft         1         ft         \$         8.51         76 5         6.47         Pricing based off of Estimates from PVC Plastic Corp. Assumes 10 flyaecond flow rate and Density of 3g/ml           Main Slurry Collection Pipe         76 ft         1         ft         \$         8.51         70.6         5         6.47         Pricing based off of Estimates from PVC Plastic Corp. Assumes 10 flyaecond flow rate and Density of 3g/ml           Consumables         initial Nutriferits         0.78 lb         1         lb         \$         0.017         3,997,674         6.656         HoA Telestock Consu           Control Room         160 ft2         1         ft2         \$         5.00.00         1.65         3.00.00         0         \$         3.00.00         0         \$         3.00.00	Main Slurry Collection Pine	2160 ft	1	ft	š	8.51	2160 \$	18 39	Pricing based off of Estimates from PVC Plastic Corp. Assumes 10 ft/second flow rate and Density of 1g/ml
Inclusion for the first f	Recycle-Feed Transfer Pine	180 ft	1	ft	š	8.51	180 \$	153	Pricing based off of Estimates from PVC Plastic Corp. Assumes 10 ft/second flow rate and Density of 1g/ml
Creacy Subsection         Transfer Out Pump         150 gpm         150 gpm         5         0.6522         15         5         166.027         Est. Based on Peny's Chemical Handbook, Plant Design and Economic//Peters and Timmethas           Main Slurry Collection Pipe         7.6 ft         1         ft         S         8.5.1         7.6         5         64.7         Pricing based off of Estimates from PVC Plastic Corp. Assume 10 fr/second flow rate and Density of 1g/ml           Main Slurry Collection Pipe         2160 ft         1         ft         S         8.5.1         7.6         5         64.7         Pricing based off of Estimates from PVC Plastic Corp. Assume 10 fr/second flow rate and Density of 1g/ml           Consumables	Recycle-reed transfer ripe	100 10	1		Ŷ	0.51	100 \$	1,5.	2 Thing back on or estimates non-tive nasic engl. Assumes 20 h second now rate and behavy or 20 million
Transfer Out Pump         150         gpm         150         gpm         10,22         16         5         16,027         Et. Based on Perry's Demical Handbook, Plant Design and Economic/Pleats and Tammerhaus           Raceway Slurry Collection Pipe         76 ft         1         ft         \$         8.51         76 fs         6.47         Price based of of Statutes from PVC Plastic CArp. Assumes 10 (Viscond How rate and Density of Jg/ml           Consumables	Recycle Subassembly								
Raceway Slurry Collection Pipe         76 ft         1         ft         \$         8.51         76 ft         647         Priving based of of Estimates from PVC Plastic Corp. Assumes 10 ft/second flow rate and Density of 1g/mil           Main Slurry Collection Pipe         2160 ft         1         ft         \$         8.51         2160 ft         18,382         Priving based of of Estimates from PVC Plastic Corp. Assumes 10 ft/second flow rate and Density of 1g/mil           Consumables	Transfer Out Pump	150 gpm	150	gpm	\$	10,252	16 \$	164,02	27 Est. Based on Perry's Chemical Handbook, Plant Design and Economics/Peters and Timmerhaus
Main Slurry Collection Pipe         2160 ft         1         ft         \$         8.51         2160 \$         18,382         Pricing based off of Estimates from PVC Plastic Corp. Assume 10 ft/second flow rate and Density of 1g/ml           Consumables         Initial CO2         41629 lb         50         lb         \$         3.50.0         833 5         2.9,155         Cost based on average fertilizer costs from the USDA           Initial O2         0.78 lb         1         lb         \$         0.001         3.997,674 \$         66,656         Initial Valuer         0.78 lb         1         lb         \$         0.001         3.997,674 \$         66,656         Initial Valuer         Initial Valuer         3.997,674 \$         66,656         Initial Valuer         Initial Valuer         3.997,674 \$         66,656         Initial Valuer         Initial Valuer         Initial Valuer         1.000 Vinting Nate         Initial Valuer         Initia Valuer         Initial Valuer         <	Raceway Slurry Collection Pipe	76 ft	1	ft	\$	8.51	76 \$	64	Pricing based off of Estimates from PVC Plastic Corp. Assumes 10 ft/second flow rate and Density of 1g/ml
Consumables         Consumables           Initial IO22         41629 lb         50         lb         \$         35.00         833         \$         29,155         Cost based on average fertilizer us for Aquaculture and average fertilizer costs from the USDA           Initial Water         3,997,674         gal         \$         0.0017         3,997,674         \$         0.NTC Company, Chicago, IL (800) 734.4515           Control Room         160 ft2         1         ft2         \$         0.0017         3,997,674         \$         6,655         H2A Feedstock Costs           Control Room         160 ft2         1         ft2         \$         50.00         160         \$         8,000         consultation with inorganics systems inc.           Control Room Wiring Panel         1         \$         3,000.00         15         1,500.00         15         1,500.00         information from Exerct endocides for.           Computer and Monitor         1         \$         1,4200.00         38         \$         13,210.00         information from Exerct endocides for.           Level Indicators         1         \$         7,400.00         38         \$         13,110.00         information from Exerct endocides for.           Air Temperature Indicator         1         \$	Main Slurry Collection Pipe	2160 ft	1	ft	\$	8.51	2160 \$	18,38	32 Pricing based off of Estimates from PVC Plastic Corp. Assumes 10 ft/second flow rate and Density of 1g/ml
Initial Q22         41629 lb         50         lb         \$         35.00         833 5         29,155         Cota based on average fertilizer use for Aquaculture and average fertilizer costs from the USDA           Initial Nutrients         0.78 lb         1         lb         \$         0.0011         3,997,674         \$         0         NFC Company, Chicago, IL (300) 734.4515           Initial Water         3,997,674         gal         1         gal         \$         0.0011         3,997,674         \$         6,655         H2A freestock Cotas           Control Room         160 ft2         1         ft2         \$         5,000         160         \$         8,000         cones from price quote of 550/12 from http://www.buyerzone.com/industral/modular_building/prefab_guide.html           Control Room         160 ft2         1         ft2         \$         5,000         15         3,000.00         consultation from Tesson Technologies Inc.           Control Room Wring Panel         1         \$         1,500.00         15         1,200.00         Indiantion from Tesson Technologies Inc.           Computer and Montor         1         \$         7,400.00         15         \$         2,211.00         Omaga Tagineering Inc. 1207 Stress Transducer to PI32 Meter           Pressure Sensors	Consumables								
Initial Nutrients         0.78 lb         1         lb         S         0.20         1         S         0.78 Company, Chicago II, 400 (734 4515           Initial Water         3,997,674 gal         1         gal         S         0.0017         3,997,674 S         6,656         H2K Company, Chicago II, 400 (734 4515           Control System         -<	Initial CO2	41629 lb	50	lb	\$	35.00	833 \$	29,15	5 Cost based on average fertilizer use for Aquaculture and average fertilizer costs from the USDA
Initial Water         3,997,674         gal         \$         0.0017         3,997,674         \$         6,656         H2A Feedstock Costs           Control Room         160         ft2         1         ft2         \$         5.000         comes from price quote of 550,ft2 from http://www.buyerzone.com/industral/modular_building/prefab_guide.html           Control Room         160         ft2         1         ft2         \$         5.000         comes from price quote of 550,ft2 from http://www.buyerzone.com/industral/modular_building/prefab_guide.html           Control Room         160         ft2         5.000         160         \$         8,0000         comsultation with innomation Systems inc.           Control Room         1         \$         14,600         38         \$         5,548         information from Tensco Process Management           Labview Software         1         \$         7,4000         15         4,299.00         information from Emerson Process Management           Level Indicators         1         \$         7,4000         38         \$         11,100         omga Engineering inc. 2020 Process Transducer + D932 Meter           Pressure Sensors         1         \$         7,6000         19         \$         144,4000         honeyweil 7866 Gas Analyer (http://www.lsman.com/usiteashd/catalog/anal	Initial Nutrients	0.78 lb	1	lb	Ś	0.20	1 \$		0 NFC Company, Chicago, IL (800) 734 4515
Control System           Control Room         160 ft2         1         ft2         \$ 50,00         160 fs         8,000         comes from price guote of 550,ft2 from http://www.buyerzone.com/industrial/modular_building./prefab_guide.html           Control Room         1         \$ 3,000.00         1         \$ 3,000.00         consultation with innomation Systems Inc.           Raceway wiring Panel         1         \$ 1,46.00         38         \$ 5,548         information from Tessco Technologies Inc.           Computer and Monitor         1         \$ 1,46.00         15         4,209.00         Information from Tessco Technologies Inc.           Labview Software         1         \$ 7,40.00         15         4,209.00         Information from Tensco Process Management           Level Indicators         1         \$ 7,40.00         38         \$ 27,132.00         Omega Tagineering Inc. 2007 Porsize Transducer + DH32 Meter           Pressure Sensors         1         \$ 7,60.00         19         \$ 144,40.00         Inoeqwell 7866 Gas.nana/erc (http://www.lsman.com/unleashd/castag/analytica/mahytica/m	Initial Water	3,997,674 gal	1	gal	\$	0.0017	3,997,674 \$	6,65	6 H2A Feedstock Costs
Control Room         160 ft2         1         ft2         \$         5.000         160 s         8.000         comes from price quote of 550/ft2 from http://www.buyerzone.com/industrial/modular_buildings/prefab_guide.html           Control Room Wrining Panel         1         \$         3,000.00         1         \$         3,000.00         5         5,548         information systems inc.           Raceway Wrining Panel         1         \$         1,466.00         3.5         \$         5,548         information from terestor 240000 getters         Computer and Monitor         1         \$         1,500.00         Information from terestor 24000 getters         Computer and Monitor         1         \$         1,500.00         Information from terestor 24000 getters         Computer and Monitor         1         \$         1,500.00         Information from terestor 2400 getters         Computer and Monitor         1         \$         1,500.00         Information from terestor 24000 getters         Computer and Monitor         1         \$         7,400.00         8         \$         27,132.00         Omega figureering the: 7200 Figure 1400 from terestor 2400 getters         Figure 2400	Control System								
Control Room Wiring Panel         1         \$ 3,000.00         Consultation with inomation Systems Inc.         Internation Systems Inc.           Raceway wiring Panel         1         \$ 1,460.00         38         \$ 5,548         Information from Tensor Technologies Inc.           Computer and Monitor         1         \$ 1,460.00         1\$         1,500.00         Information from Tensor Technologies Inc.           Computer and Monitor         1         \$ 1,429.00         1\$         4,299.00         Information from Tensor Process Management           Labview Software         1         \$ 714.00         38         \$ 712.200         Omaga Tegineering Inc. UNS1 studie Veel National Veel Natingament           Air Temperature Indic	Control Room	160 ft2	1	ft2	s	50.00	160 S	8.00	0 comes from price quote of \$50/ft2 from http://www.buverzone.com/industrial/modular_buildings/prefab_guide.html
Control Houring Function         1         5         146,000         38         5         5,548         Information from Tresson Technologies Inc           Raceway wirking Panel         1         \$         1,500,00         1         5,548         Information from Tresson Technologies Inc           Computer and Monitor         1         \$         1,500,00         1         5,548         Information from Tresson Technologies Inc           Labview Software         1         \$         7,400,00         1         \$         1,500,00         Information from Tresson Technologies Inc           Pressure Sensors         1         \$         7,400,00         18         \$         11,100.00         Omaga Tagineering Inc. 2007 Parsus Tresson Technologies Inc         Parsure Sensors         1         \$         7,900,00         19         \$         144,400.00         Incerpretature Indicator         1         \$         \$         599,00         Incerpretature Indicator         1         \$         \$         \$         Conservent The 200 and the Pit sector         Pit level Indicator         1         \$         \$         \$         Conservent The 200 and the Pit sector         Pit level Indicator         1         \$         \$         \$         Conservent The 200 and the Pit sector         Pit level Indicator         1 <td>Control Room Wiring Panel</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td>š</td> <td>3 000 00</td> <td>1 \$</td> <td>3 000 0</td> <td>0 Consultation with Innomation Systems Inc</td>	Control Room Wiring Panel		1		š	3 000 00	1 \$	3 000 0	0 Consultation with Innomation Systems Inc
Instrument         Image: Computer and Monitor         Image: Computer	Raceway wiring Panel		1		é	146.00	38 \$	5 54	Information from Tessen Technologies Inc
Computer and Modified         1         5         1,500,00         1 is 1         1,500,00         1 is 1         1,500,00	Computer and Monitor		1		ç	1 500.00	1 6	1 500 0	Vo Information from Emerson Process Management
Level indicators         1         5         4,253.00         1         4,253.00         Information from Line Information Fracts Management           Level indicators         1         5         714.00         36         27,253.00         Information from Line Information Fracts Management           Pressure Sensors         1         5         345.00         38         13,110.00         Omega Tagineering Inc. IPX20 Pressure Transmitter + DP32 Meter           Hydrogen Area Sensors         1         5         7,600.00         1         5         599.00         0mega Tagineering Inc. IPX20 Pressure Transmitter + DP32 Meter           Air Temperature Indicator         1         \$         599.00         1         \$         599.00         Temperature Indicator         1         \$         599.00         Temperature Indicator         1         \$         \$         \$         Conservith the PF sensor           PH level Indicator         1         \$         -         38         \$         Conservith the PF sensor           Oxygen Area Sensors         1         \$         -         38         \$         Conservith the 2 sensor           Nutrient Flow Valve         1         \$         \$         \$         S         Conservith the 2 sensor           Water Flow Valve <td< td=""><td>Labrian Software</td><td></td><td>1</td><td></td><td>ç</td><td>4 200.00</td><td>1 0</td><td>4 200.0</td><td>Vo Information from Emerson Process Management</td></td<>	Labrian Software		1		ç	4 200.00	1 0	4 200.0	Vo Information from Emerson Process Management
Level multiculty         1         5         7 44.00         36         5         27,122.00         Unity at the read in multicule to Pris A the read in the Pris A the	Labylew Software		1		ç	714.00	20 6	37 133 0	
Pricesult's Settod's         1         5         9-13,000         15,1000         10,1000         Indiga triggerenging (R-ALD PHSAufe Inholated # UPSA Meter Hydrogen Area Sensors         1         5         9-13,000         15,1000         Indiga triggerenging (R-ALD PHSAUfe Inholated # UPSA Meter Arit Temperature Indicator         1         5         7,000,00         19         14/44,000         Indiga triggerenging (R-ALD PHSAUfe Inholated # UPSA Meter Arit Temperature Indicator         1         5         599,00         1         5         599,000         Indiga triggerenging (R-ALD PHSAUfe Inholated # UPSA Meter Arit Temperature Indicator         1         5         599,00         1         6         7,000,000         1         8         5         7,000,000         1         8         9,000         1         8         1         7,000,000         1         8         1 <td>Deserves Canadas</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td>ç</td> <td>245.00</td> <td>¢ 60</td> <td>12 110 0</td> <td>O Omega Engineering Inc. LVRS1 Liquid Level Float Transmitter + DFI32 Meter</td>	Deserves Canadas		1		ç	245.00	¢ 60	12 110 0	O Omega Engineering Inc. LVRS1 Liquid Level Float Transmitter + DFI32 Meter
Project Area Sensors     1     5     7,000.00     1.9     3     1.447,900.00     1.900.0000.00 </td <td>Pressure sensors</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td>ç</td> <td>343.00</td> <td>50 ¢ 10 ¢</td> <td>144 400 0</td> <td>0 Omega Engineering inc. PA209 Pressure Triasducer + DPI32 Meter</td>	Pressure sensors		1		ç	343.00	50 ¢ 10 ¢	144 400 0	0 Omega Engineering inc. PA209 Pressure Triasducer + DPI32 Meter
Air Temperature Indicator         1         5         599.00         1         5         599.00         0 maga DRSI Meter           Air Temperature Indicator         1         \$         38.00         38.5         1,444.00         0 maga IVA81 Meter           Water Temperature Indicator         1         \$         38.00         38.5         1,444.00         0 maga IVA81 Meter           Phi level Indicator         1         \$         -         38.5         -         cones with the PH sensor           Phi level Indicator         1         \$         -         38.5         -         cones with the 2 sensor           Nutrient Flow Valve         1         \$         \$         5,500.00         1.5         \$         cones with the 2 sensor           Water Flow Valve         1         \$         \$         \$         5,500.00         formation from Enerson Process Management           Hydrogen Flow Meter         1         \$         \$         \$         \$         \$         \$         \$         \$           Instrumert Wiring         213180 ft         1         ft         \$         0.02         243450         \$         \$         \$         \$         \$         \$         \$         \$         \$         <	Hydrogen Area Sensors		1		Ş	7,600.00	19 \$	144,400.0	W Honeywell 78bb Gas Analyzer (http://www.lesman.com/unleashd/catalog/analytical/analyt_nwhydrogengas.htm)
Air lemperature indicator         1         5         8.00         38         5         1,44.00         Unega in: U-4000         Intermistor Hode           Water Temperature indicator         1         \$         8.00         38         \$         1,44.00         Unega in: U-4000         Intermistor Hode           pH level Indicator         1         \$         435.00         38         \$         Conses with He P1 sensor           Oxygen Area Sensors         1         \$         \$         5,500.00         Information from Emerson Process Management           Water Flow Valve         1         \$         \$,5500.00         1         \$         \$,5500.00         Information from Emerson Process Management           Hydrogen Flow Valve         1         \$         \$,5500.00         1         \$         \$,5500.00         Information from Emerson Process Management           Hydrogen Flow Valve         1         \$         \$,5500.00         1         \$         \$,500.00         Information from Wayek In: at wayetWeix com           Instrument Wiring         21380 ft         1         ft         \$         0.02         24380 \$         \$,113.69         Information from Wayek In: at wayetWeix com           Power Wiring         264360 ft         1         ft         \$	Air Temperature Meter		1		Ş	599.00	1 \$	599.0	U Omega DP81T Meter
Water Temperature Indicator         1         5         -         38         5         -         Conservation the PH servoir           pH level Indicator         1         \$         435.00         38         16,500.00         mean servoir         5500.00         mean servoir         1         5         5500.00         1         5         5500.00         mean servoir         1         5         5500.00         1         5         5500.00         1         5         5500.00         1         5         5500.00         1         5         5500.00         1         5         5500.00         1         5         5500.00         1         5         5500.00         1         5         5500.00         1         5         5500.00         1         1         1         1         5         5         5         5         5         5         5         5         5         5         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1         1	Air Temperature Indicator		1		Ş	38.00	38 \$	1,444.0	U Umega IH-1U-44000 Inermistor Probe
pri teve indicator         1         5         43.00         38         5         10,50.00         Omega Pragmetring Inc. PNE - 651.0PM electroid + DP24-PM Meter           Oxygen Area Sensors         1         \$         \$ 5,500.00         1         \$ 5         Comes with Vale Sensor           Nutrient Flow Valve         1         \$ 5,500.00         1         \$ 5,500.00         Information from Emerson Process Management           Water Flow Valve         1         \$ 5,500.00         1         \$ 5,500.00         Information from Emerson Process Management           Hydrogen Flow Meter         1         \$ 5,500.00         1         \$ 5,500.00         Information from Emerson Secses Management           Instrument Wiring         21380 ft         1         ft         \$ 0.02         213180 \$ 4132.69         Information from Waytek Inc. at waytekwire.com           Power Wiring         264360 ft         1         ft         \$ 0.02         264300 \$ 5,113.88         Information from Waytek Inc. at waytekwire.com           Conduit         8820 ft         1         ft         \$ 0.58         8820 \$ 5,113.84         Information from Waytek Kinc. at waytekwire.com	water remperature Indicator		1		Ş	-	38 \$		Comes with the PH sensor
Oxygen Area Sensors         1         5         -         38         5         -         Cones with N2 Sensor           Nutrient Flow Valve         1         \$         \$,5,500.00         1         \$         5,500.00         Information from Emerson Process Management           Water Flow Valve         1         \$         \$,5,500.00         1         \$         5,500.00         Information from Emerson Process Management           Hydrogen Flow Meter         1         \$         \$,5,500.00         1         \$         5,500.00         Information from Emerson Process Management           Instrument Wiring         213180 ft         1         ft         \$         0.02         213180 \$         4,135.69         Information from Waytek inc. at waytekwire.com           Power Wiring         264360 ft         1         ft         \$         0.02         243400 \$         \$,128.58         Information from Waytek in. at waytekwire.com           Conduit         8820 ft         1         ft         \$         0.582         \$,51.384.information from Waytek in. at waytekwire.com	pH level Indicator		1		ş	435.00	38 \$	16,530.0	JU Umega Engineering Inc. PHE - 6510 PH electrode + DP24 - PH Meter
Nutrient Flow Valve         1         \$ 5,500.00         1 \$ 5,500.00         1 formation from Emersion Process Management           Water Flow Valve         1         \$ 5,500.00         1 \$ \$ 5,500.00         1 \$ \$ 5,500.00         1 \$ \$ 5,500.00         1 \$ \$ 5,500.00         1 \$ \$ \$ 5,500.00         1 \$ \$ \$ 5,500.00         1 \$ \$ \$ 5,500.00         1 \$ \$ \$ 5,500.00         1 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$,500.00         1 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$,500.00         1 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$,500.00         1 \$	Oxygen Area Sensors		1		ş	-	38 Ş		Comes with H2 Sensor
Water Flow Valve         1         \$ 5,500.00         1 \$ 5,500.00         1 formation from Tenson Process Management           Hydrogen Flow Meter         1         \$ 5,500.00         1 \$ 5,500.00         1 formation from Tenson Process Management           Instrument Wiring         21380 ft         1         ft         \$ 0.02         213180 \$ 4,135.69         Information from Wayek ftx. at wayekwire.com           Power Wiring         264360 ft         1         ft         \$ 0.02         264360 \$ 5,138.88         Information from Wayek ftx. at wayekwire.com           Conduit         8820 ft         1         ft         \$ 0.58         8820 \$ 5,13.84         Information from Wayek kin. at wayekwire.com	Nutrient Flow Valve		1		ş	5,500.00	1 \$	5,500.0	JU Information from Emerson Process Management
Hydrogen Flow Meter         1         \$ 5,500.00         1 \$ 5,500.00         1 \$ 5,500.00         1 formation from Tenson Process Management           Instrument Wiring         213180 ft         1         ft         \$ 0.02         213180 § 4,135.69         Information from Waytek Inc. at waytekwire.com           Power Wiring         264360 ft         1         ft         \$ 0.02         264360 § 5,113.48         Information from Waytek at waytekwire.com           Conduit         8820 ft         1         ft         \$ 0.58         8820 § 5,113.48         Information from Waytek inc. at waytekwire.com	Water Flow Valve		1		\$	5,500.00	1 \$	5,500.0	0 Information from Emerson Process Management
Instrument Wiring         213180 ft         1         ft         \$         0.02         213180 5         4,135.69         Information from Wayek Inc. at wayektwire.com           Power Wiring         264360 ft         1         ft         \$         0.02         264360 5         \$,128.58         Information from Wayek Inc. at wayektwire.com           Conduit         8820 ft         1         ft         \$         0.08         8820 \$         \$,113.64         Information from Wayek Inc. at wayektwire.com	Hydrogen Flow Meter		1		\$	5,500.00	1 \$	5,500.0	0 Information from Emerson Process Management
Power Wiring         264360 ft         1         ft         \$         0.02         264360 \$         5,128.58         Information from Waytek Inc. at waytekwire.com           Conduit         8820 ft         1         ft         \$         0.58         8820 \$         5,113.84         Information from Waytek Inc. at waytekwire.com	Instrument Wiring	213180 ft	1	ft	\$	0.02	213180 \$	4,135.6	9 Information from Waytek Inc. at waytekwire.com
Conduit         8820 ft         1         ft         \$         0.58         8820 \$         \$,113.84         Information from Waytek Inc. at waytek wire.com	Power Wiring	264360 ft	1	ft	\$	0.02	264360 \$	5,128.5	8 Information from Waytek Inc. at waytekwire.com
	Conduit	8820 ft	1	ft	\$	0.58	8820 \$	5,113.8	4 Information from Waytek Inc. at waytekwire.com

# 4.4 B-4 Immobilized Photobiological System

The B-4 pathway is a dual-bed photobiological reactor system using a sulfur-deprived, immobilized, mutant-antenna *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* as the  $H_2$  production microorganism.  $H_2$  production is projected at 3% solar-to-hydrogen conversion efficiency, corresponding to a future optimized organism. However, laboratory testing has only yielded 0.8% conversion efficiency.

The dual-bed system consists of 2 growth reactors and 90 H<sub>2</sub> production reactors. Each reactor is approximately 40ft wide by 1060ft long. Reactors are large rectangular beds without a middle divider because there is no need to direct circular flow. Unlike reactor systems which use paddlewheels for circulation, these reactors will use a perforated pipeline system and central pump to distribute nutrients and provide mixing. The entire system is scaled to produce an average of 1,000kgH<sub>2</sub>/day over the year. All reactors have a liquid depth of 10cm<sup>53</sup> to ensure full photon capture, moderate temperature swings by adding heat capacity to the system, and allow for sufficient circulation of nutrients. A thriving algal

 $<sup>^{53}</sup>$  10cm depth at 1g/L is not needed for full photon capture. However, the bed is made this deep to provide commonality with the other pathways studied, to provide temperature moderation, and to relax construction tolerances on the bed itself.

culture is maintained in the growth reactors at an enhanced concentration of >1g/L. Two porous, fibrous substrates (2mil spin-molded polypropylene sheets) each 20ft wide and the length of the reactor are floated in the growth reactor for 2 days. During this time, the newly grown algae attach to the substrate and grow, forming an immobilized biofilm<sup>54</sup>. Commercial fertilizer and CO<sub>2</sub> are fed to the growth reactors to ensure micro-organism health and growth. After 2 days, the film substrate is transferred to the H<sub>2</sub> production reactors.

The substrate then begins to cycle between two days of hydrogen production and two days of regenerative growth to keep the culture alive for an extended period of time and to allow enough biomass to accumulate as substrate for the respiration needed to keep the system anaerobic during hydrogen production. Sulfur deprivation will lead to  $H_2$  production, and adding sulfur will begin the regeneration phase. During the growth stage, all product gases will be vented to the outside.

The  $H_2$  production phase is ~180 days in duration before the film needs replacing. Like in the growth beds, the biofilm containing immobilized algae floats to the top of the 10cm reactor liquid layer. A custom blend of commercial fertilizer nutrients with a minimal amount of sulfur is added to the reactors via a water recirculation system. Sulfur deprivation will trigger hydrogen production. As in the growth reactors, a central pump and pipeline system circulates nutrients.

The biofilm substrate from the growth reactors are rolled up and then unrolled into the  $H_2$  production reactors using an industrial unwind machine that is attached to the front end of a forklift truck. The unwind machine, as quoted by Powell Engineering, costs around \$37,000 and winds the film onto a one foot diameter aluminum core. The Forklift is an \$18,571 Caterpillar C3000 lift. By using the forklift to transport the unwind machine, a single device can be used for all biofilm transfers. At the end of the 180-day production cycle, the biofilm substrate is discarded. The forklift mounted wind machine is again used to collect the substrate.

The *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* micro-organism in sulfur-deprived mode produces a nominally pure hydrogen product gas (plus water vapor). A piston compressor is used to compress the hydrogen to 300psi. A small pressure swing adsorption (PSA) system is used to polish the hydrogen gas stream to five nines purity and return the  $CO_2$  to the  $CO_2$  saturation vessel where it will be mixed with a slipstream of water that has been removed from raceways low in saturated  $CO_2$  during their regeneration phase. During the regeneration phase all product gases will be vented.

Further details of the B-4 pathway are specified in Figure 2-1. The subassemblies of this pathway are shown in Figure 4-7. The complete bill of materials and capital costs of this production plant are shown in Figure 4-8. The total system cost is \$4,843,599.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>54</sup> While a biofilm is "grown" on the substrate, the algae are actually a combination of the free-floating algae that clings to the substrate and new algae that blooms during the 2 days of incubation.



#### Figure 4-7. Production Plant Design for Immobilized Sulfur Deprived

#### Figure 4-8. Bill of Materials for B-4 Pathway

Description	Size Req'd	Units	Unit Size	Units		Unit cost	Qty Req'd		Total Cost	Material / Part Description		
Photo Bio Reactor Bed Subassembly												
Transparent Film	381,075	m2	1	m2	\$	0.54	381,075	\$	205,113	Polyethylene price quote at \$0.54/m2 (\$0.05/ft2)		
Pond Lining	411,355	m2	1	m2	\$	0.47	411,355	\$	194,354	Butyl rubber, PVC, and LDPE (low density polyethylene)based on quote of \$175.56 for 4,000ft2.		
Pond Edging	61,258	m	1	m	\$	7.00	61,258	\$	428,807	Unit Cost is engineering estimate at \$7/m		
Installation of Ponds			1	raceway	\$	26,083.00	92	\$	2,399,636	Based on California labor rates.		
Inlet Water Valve	0.5	in	1	each	\$	43.46	90	\$	3,911	Price from ValveStore.com (http://www.valvestore.com/prodinfo.asp?number=551032)		
Outlet Water Valve	0.5	in	1	each	\$	43.46	90	\$	3,911	Price from ValveStore.com (http://www.valvestore.com/prodinfo.asp?number=551032)		
Outlet Gas Valve	1.0	in	1	each	\$	67.23	90	\$	6,051	Price from ValveStore.com (http://www.valvestore.com/prodinfo.asp?number=551032)		
Flanges	0.5	in	1	each	\$	8.00	270	\$	2,160	Price from http://www.ancorp.com/line.aspx?id=819		
Gas Canturo Subassombly												
Compressor	56	kamol/hr	56	kamol/hr	ć	0 222 00	1	ć	521 146	I fring M24 Hait cost		
PSA	50	ченной н	50	NB11101/111	é	60 970 49	1	é	60.970	I king H2A scaling		
Paceway Collection Dine	190	fr.	1	ft	é	1.00	190	é	190	Sized for Peak Hydrogen production. Assuming Plastic Gas piping. 100 ft/s velocity. uniform pipe diameter (can be		
Main Collection Pipe	5280	ft	1	ft	ŝ	6.18	5280	ś	32 630	Sized for Peak Hydrogen production, Assuming Plastic Gas piping, 100 ft/s velocity, uniform pipe diameter (can be		
Gas Capture Pipe	460	ft	1	ft	Ş	6.18	460	\$	2,843	Sized for Peak Hydrogen production. Assuming Plastic Gas piping, 100 ft/s velocity, uniform pipe diameter (can be		
Organism Feed Subassembly												
Transfer In Pump	150	gpm	150	gpm	ş	10,252	1	ş	10,252	Est. Based on Perry's Chemical Handbook, Plant Design and Economics/Peters and Timmerhaus		
Nutrient Metering Pumps	1468	gph	1	each	ş	2,594	1	\$	2,594	Est. Based on Perry's Chemical Handbook, Plant Design and Economics. Pumps nutrients into return flow		
Mixing Pump	150	gpm	150	gpm	ş	10,252	1	ş	10,252	Est. Based on Perry's Chemical Handbook, Plant Design and Economics/Peters and Timmerhaus		
CO2 Cylinder	50	lb	50	lb	Ş	360	1	\$	360	Aluminum 6061-T6 alloy, 1800 psi Service Pressure, 50 lb. Capacity Part #6125 (http://kegman.net/carbon.htm)		
CO2 Saturation Vessel	15000	gal	15000	gal	\$	30,000	1	\$	30,000	Field erected Stainless Steel tank from Perry's chemical Handbook, Plant Design and Economics		
Nutrient Tank	35	gal	10	gal	\$	30.00	4	\$	120	10 gallon Cylindrical Process Tank, Part #0275-085 (http://www.watertanks.com/products/0275-085.asp)		
Nutrient Piping	90000	ft	1	ft	\$	0.58	90000	\$	52,200			
Raceway Slurry Collection Pipe	180	ft	1	ft	\$	0.52	180	\$	94	Pricing based off of Estimates from PVC Plastic Corp. Assumes 10 ft/second flow rate and Density of 1g/ml		
Main Slurry Collection Pipe	5280	ft	1	ft	\$	2.12	5280	\$	11,194	Pricing based off of Estimates from PVC Plastic Corp. Assumes 10 ft/second flow rate and Density of 1g/ml		
Recycle-Feed Transfer Pipe	180	ft	1	ft	\$	2.80	180	\$	504	Pricing based off of Estimates from PVC Plastic Corp. Assumes 10 ft/second flow rate and Density of 1g/ml		
Roll Changing Subassembly												
Roll-Unroll System	20	ft	1	Roller		\$37,000	1	Ś	37.000	Information from conversations with Powell Engineering, \$29,500 for base, \$4,000 for motor, and \$3,500 for Core		
Polypropylene Film	352070	m2	1	m2	Ś	0.12	352070	ŝ	42.248			
Forklift			1	Forklift	\$	18,571.00	1	\$	18,571	Price Quote from Atlantic Lift Truck Inc.		
Denvela Colorena blu												
Recycle Subassembly			450		ć	40.252		÷	40.252	For Develop Provide the development of Forestation of Forestation		
Transfer Out Pump	150	gpm	150	gpm	Ş	10,252	1	Ş	10,252	Est. Based on Perry's chemical Handbook, Plant Design and Economics/Peters and Immerhaus		
Main Slurry Feed Pipe	5280	n e	1	п 6	ç	2.12	5280	ç	11,194	Pricing based off of Estimates from PVC Plastic Corp. Assumes 10 ft/second flow rate and Density of 1g/ml		
Raceway Surry reed ripe	100	ii.	1	n	Ş	0.52	100	ç	94	Pricing based on or estimates non PVC Prastic Corp. Assumes 10 resecond now rate and bensity or 1g/m		
Consumables												
Initial CO2	206180	lb	50	lb	\$	35.00	4124	\$	144,340	Cost based on average fertilizer use for Aquaculture and average fertilizer costs from the USDA		
Initial Nutrients	1.81	lb	1	lb	\$	0.20	2	\$	0	NFC Company, Chicago, IL (800) 734 4515		
Initial Water	9,324,121	gal	1	gal	\$	0.0017	9,324,121	\$	15,525	H2A Feedstock Costs		
Control System												
Control Room	160	ft2	1	ft2	Ś	50.00	160	\$	8,000	comes from price quote of \$50/ft2 from http://www.buyerzone.com/industrial/modular_buildings/prefab_guide.html		
Control Room Wiring Panel			1		Ś	3.000.00	1	ś	3.000	Consultation with Innomation Systems Inc.		
Baceway wiring Panel			1		Ś	146.00	90	ś	13,140	Information from Tessco Technologies Inc.		
Computer and Monitor			1		Ś	1.500.00	1	ś	1.500	Information from Emerson Process Management		
Labyiew Software			1		Ś	4,299.00	1	ś	4,299	Information from Emerson Process Management		
Level Indicators			1		Ś	714.00	90	ś	64,260	Omega Engineering Inc. LVR51 Liquid Level Float Transmitter + DPi32 Meter		
Pressure Sensors			1		Ś	345.00	90	ś	31.050	Omera Engineering Inc. PX209 Pressure Trnasducer + DPi32 Meter		
Hydrogen Area Sensors			1		ŝ	7.600.00	45	ŝ	342.000	Honeywell 7866 Gas Analyzer (http://www.lesman.com/unleashd/catalog/analytical/analyt.hwhydrogengas.htm)		
Air Temperature Meter			1		ŝ	599.00	1	ŝ	599	Omera DP81T Meter		
Air Temperature Indicator			1		ŝ	38.00	90	ŝ	3.420	Omera TH-10-44000 Thermistor Probe		
Water Temperature Indicator			1		ś		90	ś	-	Comes with the PH sensor		
pH level Indicator			1		š	435.00	90	š	39,150	Omega Engineering Inc. PHE - 6510 PH electrode + DP24 - PH Meter		
Oxygen Area Sensors			1		ś		90	ś	-	Comes with H2 Sensor		
Nutrient Flow Valve			1		š	5.500.00	1	ś	5,500	Information from Emerson Process Management		
Water Flow Valve			1		ś	5,500.00	1	ś	5,500	Information from Emerson Process Management		
Hydrogen Flow Meter			1		ŝ	5,500.00	1	ś	5,500	00 Information from Emerson Process Management		
Instrument Wiring	1065720	ft	1	ft	ś	0.02	1065720	š	20 675	Information from Waytek Inc. at waytekwire com		
Power Wiring	1327500	ft	1	ft	ŝ	0.02	1327500	ś	25,754	Information from Waytek Inc. at waytekwire com		
Conduit	20260	ft	1	ft	ś	0.52	20260	š	11 747	Information from Waytek Inc. at waytekwire.com		
	20200		-		Ý	Svst	em Initial Cost	ć	4 843 599			

#### 4.5 B-5 Pathway Production Plant

The B-5 pathway is a Chemostat II photobiological reactor system using a mutant-antenna purple-non-Sulfur (PNS) proteobacteria as the  $H_2$  production micro-organism. As a Chemostat II, the initial bacterial culture is grown at the normal cell growth rate until final colony concentration is reached, and then the process is switched over to steady-state mode where simultaneous growth and hydrogen production occur in the reactor beds. This is achieved by manipulating the nutrients.  $H_2$  production is projected at 3.5% solar-to-hydrogen efficiency and corresponds to a future optimized organism. Current PNS systems have been demonstrated at only 2.5% conversion efficiency in the laboratory. Sufficient cellular activity to keep the micro-organism healthy is assumed to occur during  $H_2$  production with new cell growth taking place at a reduced rate compared to wild-type organisms (20% per day vs. ~80% per day). Since the system functions as a Chemostat II with 20% daily organism renewal, an effectively infinite  $H_2$  production phase duration is achieved.

Fifty-four raceways of approximately 40ft width by 1090ft length are used to produce an average of 1,000kgH<sub>2</sub>/day throughout the year. The raceways have a liquid depth of 10cm to correspond to full photon capture at a cell concentration of 0.2g/L. Paddlewheels circulate the water/PNS slurry. A slip stream of water/micro-organism slurry is drawn off

continuously to maintain the 0.2g/L micro-organism concentration. Micro-organisms are removed from the recycle stream by a rotary drum filter. The micro-organism mass removed is carefully matched to the growth rate to maintain a constant organism mass within the system. The removed micro-organisms are taken to a land-fill, used in a subsequent fermentor, or otherwise disposed. Nutrients in the form of acetate are mixed with the returning water to provide required nourishment to the micro-organisms and as a substrate in the hydrogen production reaction.

The PNS micro-organisms produce a net product gas of 95% H<sub>2</sub> and 5% CO<sub>2</sub> (plus water vapor). A piston compressor is used to compress the gas mixture to 300psi prior to separation. A pressure swing adsorption (PSA) system is used to purify the hydrogen gas steam.

Further details of the B-5 pathway are specified in Figure 2-1. The subassemblies of this pathway are shown in Figure 4-9. The complete bill of materials and capital costs of this production plant are shown in Figure 4-10. The total system cost is \$4,034,192.



Figure 4-9. Production Plant Design for Purple Non-Sulfur

#### Figure 4-10. Bill of Materials for B-5 Pathway

Description	Size Req'd	Units	Unit Size	Units		Unit cost	Qty Req'd	Tot	tal Cost	Material / Part Description
Photo Bio Reactor Bed Subassembly										
Transparent Film	235116 r	m2	1	m2	\$	0.54	235,116	\$	126,551	Polyethylene price quote at \$0.54/m2 (\$0.05/ft2)
Pond Lining	253795 r	m2	1	m2	\$	0.47	253,795	\$	119,912	Butyl rubber, PVC, and LDPE (low density polyethylene)based on quote of \$175.56 for 4,000ft2.
Pond Edging	37752 r	n	1	m	Ś	7.00	37,752	\$	264,266	Unit Cost is engineering estimate at \$7/m
Installation of Ponds			1	raceway	Ś	26,083.00	54	\$ 1	1,408,482	Based on California labor rates.
Paddlewheel Mixers			1	each	Ś	5,000.00	108	\$	540,000	Est. paddlewheel cost. 4 per raceway. 18 raceways.
Inlet Water Valve	0.5 i	n	1	each	Ś	43.46	54	ś	2.347	Price from ValveStore.com (http://www.valvestore.com/prodinfo.asp?number=551032)
Outlet Water Valve	0.5 i	n	1	each	ś	43.46	54	ś	2.347	Price from ValveStore.com (http://www.valvestore.com/prodinfo.asp?number=551032)
Outlet Gas Valve	1.0 i	n	1	each	ś	67.23	54	ś	3.630	Price from ValveStore.com (http://www.valvestore.com/prodinfo.asp?number=551032)
Flanges	0.5 i	n	1	each	ş	8.00	162	\$	1,296	Price from http://www.ancorp.com/line.aspx?id=819
Gas Capture Subassembly										
Compressor	45	gmol/hr	45	kgmol/hr	Ś	9,233.00	1 :	Ś	414.241	Using H2A Unit cost
PSA					ŝ	30,541,73	1	ŝ	30.542	Using H2A scaling
Baceway Collection Pine	108 f	+	1	ft	ŝ	1.00	108	ŝ	108	Sized for Peak Hydrogen production. Assuming Plastic Gas piping. 100 ft/s velocity, uniform pipe diameter (can be
Main Collection Pine	2120 (	*	1	ft (1	é	6.19	2120	é	10 292	Sized for Peak Hydrogen production. Assuming Plastic Gas piping, 100 ft/s velocity, uniform pipe diameter (can be
Gas Capture Pipe	5120 f	t	1	ft	\$	6.18	50	\$	309	Sized for Peak Hydrogen production. Assuming Plastic Gas piping, 100 ft/s velocity, uniform pipe diameter (can be
Organism Food Subassambly										
Transfer In Rump	150 /	Inm	150	anm	ć	10 252	2 1	ć	20 755	Est Based on Parny's Chamical Handhook, Plant Dasign and Economics (Paters and Timmerhaus
Mansier III Pullip	150 (	spin	150	Bhill	ç	10,252		ç	30,733	Est. Based on Perry's chemical Handbook, Plant Design and Economics/Peters and Hintherhous
Nutrient Metering Pumps	1468 [	spn	1	each	Ş	2,594	1 :	Ş	2,594	Est. Based on Perry's Chemical Handbook, Plant Design and Economics. Pumps nutrients into return now
Wixing Pump	150 [	spm	150	gpm	Ş	10,252	3 :	Ş	30,755	Est. Based on Perry's Chemical Handbook, Plant Design and Economics/Peters and Timmerhaus
Nutrient Tank	21 [		10	gai	Ş	30.00	3 :	Ş	90	10 gallon Cylindrical Process Tank, Part #0275-085 (http://www.watertanks.com/products/0275-085.asp)
Raceway Slurry Collection Pipe	108 1	t	1	ft o	Ş	1.00	108	ş	108	Pricing based off of Estimates from PVC Plastic Corp. Assumes 10 ft/second flow rate and Density of 1g/ml
Main Slurry Collection Pipe	3120 1	t	1	ft	ş	4.31	3120 :	ş	13,447	Pricing based off of Estimates from PVC Plastic Corp. Assumes 10 ft/second flow rate and Density of 1g/ml
Recycle-Feed Transfer Pipe	180 f	t	1	ft	\$	6.18	180 1	Ş	1,112	Pricing based off of Estimates from PVC Plastic Corp. Assumes 10 ft/second flow rate and Density of 1g/ml
Recycle Subassembly										
Rotary Drum filter	25741 g	gph	3750	gph	\$	87,000.00	7 :	\$	609,000	Information from Dana Kent at Advanced Aquaculture Inc. on Hydrotech Drum Filter
Transfer Out Pump	150 (	gpm	150	gpm	\$	10,252	3 :	\$	30,755	Est. Based on Perry's Chemical Handbook, Plant Design and Economics/Peters and Timmerhaus
Main Slurry Feed Pipe	3120 f	t	1	ft	\$	4.31	3120	\$	13,447	Pricing based off of Estimates from PVC Plastic Corp. Assumes 10 ft/second flow rate and Density of 1g/ml
Raceway Slurry Feed Pipe	108 f	t	1	ft	\$	1.00	108	\$	108	Pricing based off of Estimates from PVC Plastic Corp. Assumes 10 ft/second flow rate and Density of 1g/ml
Consumables										
Initial Nutrients	1.111	h	1	lb	Ś	0.20	1 :	Ś	0	NEC Company, Chicago, II. (800) 734 4515
Initial Water	5,720,312	al	1	gal	ŝ	0.0017	5.720.312	ŝ	9.524	H2A Feedstock Costs
Initial Acetate	7,793	g	1	kg	\$	0.5950	7,793	Ş	4,637	Chemical Journal of Korea. Assumes consumption to be four times more to account for full growth conditions.
Control System										
Control Boom	160 f	12	1	ft2	Ś	50.00	160	¢	8 000	comes from price quote of \$50/ft2 from http://www.buverzone.com/industrial/modular_buildings/prefab_guide.html
Control Room Wiring Panel	2001		1		š	3 000 00	100	ś	3 000 00	Consultation with Innomation Systems Inc
Baceway wiring Panel			1		é	146.00	54	ś	7 884	Information from Tesson Technologies Inc
Computer and Monitor			1		é	1 500.00	1	é	1 500.00	Information From Emerson Process Management
Labulau Software			1		é	4 200.00	1 .	è	4 200 00	Information From Empirica Departs Management
Laugh Indicators			1		÷	4,255.00	E4 1	ې د خ	9,233.00	Once Englishing the UNER Line of the UNER Line of Terror States DECO Matter
Level indicators			1		ç	714.00	54 .	ç i	10 6 20 00	Omega Engineering Inc. LVKS1 Elquid Level Hoat Transmitter + DPI32 Meter
Hudrogon Area Consorr			1		÷	7 600.00	24 .	¢ 70	10,030.00	Uniega Engineering inc. FA205 Pressure i masuucer + DPisz weter Honoswell 2006 Cas Analyzas (http://www.lasman.com/unioashi/statalog/analytical/analytical/analytical/analytical
Hydrogen Area Sensors			1		Ş	7,600.00	2/	ş zu	5,200.00	Honeywell 7866 Gas Analyzer Inttp://www.lesman.com/unleasno/catalog/analytical/analyti hwnyorogengas.ntmj
All Temperature Meter			1		ç	399.00	1	ç	399.00	Unlega DP811 Meter
Air Temperature Indicator			1		Ş	38.00	54	Ş	2,052.00	Omega TH-10-44000 Thermistor Probe
Water Temperature Indicator			1		Ş	-	54	\$	-	Comes with the PH sensor
pH level Indicator			1		Ş	435.00	54	> 4	23,490.00	Omega Engineering Inc. PHE - 6510 PH electrode + DP24 -PH Meter
Oxygen Area Sensors			1		Ş		54	Ş		Comes with HZ Sensor
Nutrient Flow Valve			1		ş	5,500.00	1 :	\$	5,500.00	Information from Emerson Process Management
Water Flow Valve			1		\$	5,500.00	1 :	ş	5,500.00	Information from Emerson Process Management
Hydrogen Flow Meter			1		\$	5,500.00	1 :	ş	5,500.00	Information from Emerson Process Management
Instrument Wiring	406380 f	τ	1	tt	\$	0.02	406380	ş	/,883.77	Information from Waytek Inc. at waytekwire.com
Power Wiring	505080 f	t	1	ft	\$	0.02	505080	Ş	9,798.55	Information from Waytek Inc. at waytekwire.com
Conduit	12340 f	t	1	ft	\$	0.58	12340	\$	7,154.73	Information from Waytek Inc. at waytekwire.com
						System	m Initial Cost	\$ 4	1 034 192	

# 5. Capital Cost Assumptions and Calculations

Thus far in the report we have discussed the basic science that applies to photobiological systems as well as the biological parameters of micro-organisms and the engineering design conditions which were used in the analysis. This section of the document is dedicated to financial and engineering assumptions that have been made in order to evaluate the economics of each of the bio-hydrogen solutions under review. The assumptions have been divided between those necessary to develop capital costs and those essential to determining levelized hydrogen costs. That is followed by computation of the levelized hydrogen costs and a discussion of the results and how they may be improved.

#### 5.1 *Capital Cost Assumptions*

A bill of materials for each pathway outlining the capital costs associated with the construction and components of that photobiological hydrogen production facility is provided in the previous section. That section characterized the basic operation and configuration assumptions but many other assumptions relating to the capital cost were not defined. This section outlines and defines the other underlying assumptions used in determining the capital costs associated with the individual cost components.

# 5.1.1 Material Margins

When calculating the size of the Low Density Polyethylene (LDPE) film needed to cover the top of the raceways, it was necessary to add an extra margin to account for ease of assembly and the potential for misalignment as the film is unrolled. We assumed an additional one foot would be more than sufficient to cover the pond edging and leave extra material for sealing against the edging. In addition, we calculate that an additional six inches on either side and on either end will be necessary to give room for the accumulation of gas at times of day when production of gas exceeds removal. Excess gas accumulation is covered in Section 5.2.3.

# 5.1.2 Bed Dimensions

In choosing the dimensions of the raceways, polyethylene manufacturers were consulted to determine the manufacturing constraints of polyethylene film production. Since the LDPE is intended as an impermeable hydrogen gas barrier, it is desirable to avoid seams between sheets as those are at higher risk for leakage. While sealing on the edges of the raceways is unavoidable, the difficulty of sealing against hydrogen loss led us to size the raceways based on the largest single sheet of LDPE that could be produced. Conversations with Berry Plastics indicated that the maximum width for a roll of LDPE film is 56 feet. However, we recognized the constraints of transporting such a large roll, and assumed a maximum width that could fit onto a truck to be roughly 50 feet. To allow for the overage mentioned in the previous section, we assume a roll width of 43 feet, leaving a 40 foot wide raceway. Berry Plastics also indicated that roll lengths of over 1000 feet are feasible. To ensure impermeability to hydrogen, Berry Plastics recommended 6mm thick film. We desired an even number of raceways to make material cost calculations and system design easier. Therefore, to determine the length of raceways for each system, we chose a round number of feet near 1000 that, with a 40 foot active width, would cover the necessary production area with an even number of raceways. For all systems, raceway length is between 1060 and 1090ft. In addition to these physical dimension parameters, the LDPE also impacts bed dimension because it is a barrier to the full solar insolation reaching the algae. Data from manufacturer indicates that the transmission of sunlight is 90% across the film. That has been taken into consideration in sizing the raceways.

# 5.1.3 Gas Capture Sizing

Due to yearly variation in insolation, it is necessary to size the elements of the gas capture subassembly for the projected peak day of production, June 21. Sizing for the average would lead to extreme  $H_2$  accumulation of during the days where insolation is higher than the average (March 21 to September 21). Thus, the gas capture subassembly will be operating below rated capacity for most of the year.

#### 5.1.4 Pipe Sizing

Pipe sizing was achieved through the use of the continuity equation  $\dot{m} = \rho A v$ . For gas piping, we assumed a maximum gas velocity of 100 ft/second to limit pressure loss due to pipe flow. For water piping, we assumed a maximum velocity of 15 ft/second. The exact physical layout of the piping systems is needed to accurately assess the velocity and pressure drop relationship. Since a detailed piping layout is beyond the scope of this project, the specific velocity cannot be known. Consequently, the number computed is used as a surrogate for more detailed analysis and we judge it to be adequate given the low costs

associated with small changes in PVC pipe diameter. We rounded our pipe diameter calculated to the nearest nominal size for cost analysis purpose.

There were three different pipe sizes calculated for the various stages of liquid removal and recycling. The pipes that transfer the water out to and back from the individual raceways are sized for individual raceway requirements. Each of these individual raceway pipes comes from or combines with a collection manifold sized for half of the overall volume, since the raceways are placed in two even rows with the recycle and algae feed subassemblies in the middle. Finally, one pipe combines flow from the two collection manifolds and feeds it to the recycle subassembly and out of the algae feed subassembly. This manifold must be sized to contain the entire flow volume. The pipe sizes for each system are given in Figure 5-1. Similarly, gas piping was sized both for individual outlets on each raceway, and then the main collection lines that lead to the Gas Capture Subassembly.

	Figure 5-1.	<b>Piping sizes for B-</b>	l through B-	5	
	Algal O2- tolerant Hydrogenase	Cyanobacterium O2-tolerant Hydrogenase	Algal Sulfate Permease	Immobilized Algal, Sulfur deprived	PNS bacteria
	B-1	B-2	B-3	B-4	B-5
		Gas Piping			
Raceway Collection Pipe	1.5"	1.5"	1"	1"	1"
Main Collection Pipe	4"	4"	4"	3.5"	3.5"
Gas Capture Collection Pipe	5.5"	5.5"	5.5"	5.0"	4.5"
		Slurry Piping			
Raceway Slurry Collection	1"	1"	2"	0.5"	1"
Main Slurry Collection	2"	2"	7"	2"	3"
Recycle-Feed Transfer Pipe	3"	3"	10"	2.5"	4.5"
Main Slurry Feed Pipe	2"	2"	7"	2"	3"
Raceway Slurry Feed Pipe	1"	1"	2"	0.5"	1"

#### 5.1.5 Equipment Duty Cycles

Given that the biological production of hydrogen gas can only occur during sunlight, it was originally assumed that the operation cycles of the gas capture subassembly equipment would match the production cycle. However this would necessitate sizing the compressor and the PSA for the maximum gas production rate. Considering the substantial capital and operation costs associated with the compressor and the PSA, it is more cost effective to size the compressor and the PSA for average daily gas production and have it run 24 hours a day so the last of the product gases are removed from the bed as a new production day begins.

The organisms require nutrients even though they may not be producing hydrogen. Because of this the replenishment supply and slip stream removal must be continuous. Thus the pumps and drum filters are also expected to operate 24 hours a day and sized as such. Paddlewheel operation is not continuous because the mixing of the micro-organisms is only required during sunlight hours.

#### 5.1.6 Operational Cycle

While ideally the hydrogen production bioreactor would operate all days of the year, it will be necessary to shut down the reactor at times for maintenance or to flush out and restart individual raceway colonies in case of culture contamination. With these considerations in mind, we have assumed a plant operation time of 90%.

In a given day, the production cycle will be entirely determined by the duration of sunlight, which varies throughout the year. To simplify the equipment sizing procedure we have assumed that on average, there will be 12 hours of sunlight per day throughout the year.

#### 5.2 Calculations Explained

Many of the calculations used for the sizing of elements in the raceway are explained in the following sections. They are based on assumptions stated in the previous section or elsewhere in this report.

#### 5.2.1 Quantity of Raceways

As mentioned in Section 1.1.2 the dimensions of the raceways are determined largely by the constraints of the LDPE film production and practical considerations such as ease of truck transport. We slightly tweaked the length in order to obtain an even number of raceways. However, with these numbers relatively constrained, the determining factor for quantity of raceways is the area of bed required to produce 1,000kg of usable hydrogen gas per day. According to PSA modeling and conversations with PSA manufacturer UOP, PSA systems operating on  $H_2/O_2$  gas mixtures can achieve approximately 90% hydrogen recovery. As mentioned earlier, the LDPE film is only 90% transparent as well. Thus we must oversize the reactor bed in order to account for both this photon loss and PSA hydrogen losses and achieve the target net 1,000kgH<sub>2</sub>/day. Based on the efficiency assumptions of the particular system involved, the average insolation data referenced earlier, and the assumed conversion efficiency of photons to hydrogen also mentioned earlier, we integrated the hourly  $H_2$ production to get a daily  $gH_2/m^2$  rate. This, combined with our desired H<sub>2</sub> production level, allowed us to calculate the total production area required. Knowing the constraints of our raceway size mentioned earlier, we determined the quantity of raceways by dividing the total area needed by the size of an individual raceway.

#### 5.2.2 Water Slip Stream Volume or Rates

Although organisms in hydrogen production mode generally grow at a reduced rate, there is some continual growth occurring. In order to maintain a constant concentration of organisms within the bed it is necessary to draw off a specific volume of water/organism solution to filter out the excess organisms. The specific slip stream volume required is based on the average doubling rate of our organisms. Using hourly solar intensity data and the corresponding organism growth rate, an iterative process determines that a removal rate of 0.45%/hour of the total bed aqueous volume is needed to ensure that concentration is constant throughout the day. Each system has a different total reactor volume depending on the assumed efficiency of hydrogen production in the system. Making use of 0.45% of the overall volume of the system as the hourly removal mass fraction, the hourly slip stream volume is computed. This volume value is employed to size pumps, pipes, and saturation vessels. In the B-3 and B-4 system a slip stream of water will be pumped to the  $CO_2$ saturation vessel for  $CO_2$  addition necessary for the growth and regeneration phase. The volume of water drawn off was calculated by determining how much water is needed each day of the regeneration phase to fully absorb all the  $CO_2$  saturation rate of 1.4g/L.

#### 5.2.3 Product gas storage under Film

As mentioned in Section 1.1.4, to keep compressor and PSA size down, the rate of gas drawn off of the raceways will not necessarily match the rate of gas production. As a consequence, excess gas will accumulate underneath the LDPE film. This accumulation will necessitate a surplus headspace volume above the liquid level of the bed and below the LDPE film. The LDPE film above the bed will rise as the gas accumulates during the day and fall as the gas is drawn out during the night. An excess of film material is needed to accommodate this film motion and avoid stretching of the film. In order to calculate the amount of extra film necessary, we used data from the NREL SOLPOS model combined with data from the NASA Atmospheric Data Center to calculate the amount of hydrogen gas being produced at 15 minute intervals during the month of June where insolation and corresponding hydrogen production will be at its peak<sup>55, 56</sup>. Based on the molar ratios of each system, we calculated the amount of total gas produced for each 15 minute interval. Knowing the density and the molar ratios of the gas we calculated the volume. Using the volume of gas and the area of the raceway, we computed the total height required of the film to achieve that volume. Lastly, by subtracting a specific rate of gas every 15 minutes, we determined the minimum rate of gas removal that will leave no gas accumulated by the following dawn. According to our calculations, approximately 6cm of headspace is required to account for gas accumulation. The resulting gas removal rate is then used in PSA sizing.

#### 5.2.4 Electricity Consumption

The power consumption of the system primarily comes from the Gas Capture Subassembly, with slight contributions elsewhere. The items consuming power are the compressor, PSA, pumps, nutrient metering pumps, rotary drum filters, paddlewheels and the control room. PSA power is minimal as it is only needed for air compression to actuate pneumatic valves. The power consumption of the pumps, nutrient metering pumps, rotary drum filters and paddlewheels all come from quotes we have received concerning these items and have been documented previously in this report. The gas compressor is assumed to be a 2-stage piston compressor (N=2) with interstage cooling. Its power was calculated by assuming isentropic compression from 20°C ambient temperature with efficiency,  $\eta$ , of 75%. Overall pressure ratio was 20.4 for an outlet pressure of 300psi.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>55</sup> NREL MIDS SOLPOS (Solar Position) model.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>56</sup> NASA Atmospheric Data Center, Langley ASDC User Services, Surface meteorology and Solar Energy (SSE) data base (release 6.0).

$$P = \frac{dm}{dt} Cp T N \frac{1}{\eta} \left(\frac{P2\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma N}}{P1} - 1\right)$$

Lastly, the power consumption of the control room was calculated by taking 5% of the overall power consumption of the plant. Given the relatively low power consumption of the control room and the ambiguity of all the different power consuming devices it might contain, this fairly conservative estimate is adequate. Figure 5-2 lists the electricity usage anticipated for each of the components previously described and provides a total consumption value to be used in further analysis. The previously mentioned duty cycles and operational cycle have been taken into account in these computations.

		B1	B2	B3	B4	B5
Compressor	kW	123	123	78	78	83
PSA	kW	1	1	1	1	1
Pumps (3)	kW	18	18	96.0	9	27
Nutrient Pump	kW	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5
Control Room	kW	9.8	9.8	13.5	4.5	12.7
Rotary Drum Filters	kW	3.9	3.9	0.0	0	9.1
Paddlewheels	kW	49.2	49.2	93.5	0	132.8
Total	kW	206.4	206.4	283.7	94.2	267.0
Total Usage	kWh/yr	1,433,023	1,433,023	2,041,916	742,765	1,581,043
Usage Rate	kWh/kg H <sub>2</sub>	4.36	4.36	6.22	2.26	4.81
Cost	\$/yr	\$70,957	\$70,957	\$101,106	\$36,778	\$78,286
Cost	\$/kg H <sub>2</sub>	\$0.20	\$0.20	\$0.28	\$0.10	\$0.22

# Figure 5-2. Electricity Consumption per Pathway<sup>57</sup>

#### 5.2.5 Excavation of Land for Reactor Bed Placement

Because of the considerable size of the raceways, detailed attention was given to calculating an accurate cost for the construction of the reactor ponds. Consultation with Mark Dormsteader from Metro Earth Works, a company that focuses solely on earth moving projects, suggested that our project would require a loader, a dozer, and a roller. He said that medium sized equipment would be adequate for such a shallow pool depth. The project would also require a foreman and two laborers on foot. No dump truck for dirt removal will be necessary since all dirt excavated will be used in construction of the side and central berms. Assuming a standard 8 hour work day, it was estimated to take 5 days to construct one raceway. Since multiple teams of workers would be working simultaneously to build all the raceways necessary for a plant, the time per raceway constructed would be significantly reduced, however, the overall cost per raceway would remain the same, since increased workforce brings increased cost.

To calculate the wages of the workers, we used the Department of Labor's Davis-Bacon Wage Determinations for 2008, as referenced in the US Department of Agriculture's "Cost Estimating Guide for Road Construction", which provide a state by state breakdown of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>57</sup> Equipment consumptions rates are based on producing of 1,111kgH<sub>2</sub>/day. Yearly Consumption is based on all equipment operating 360days/yr, 24hrs/day, with the exception of paddlewheels, which operate only 12hrs/day. Consumption Rate/Hydrogen Product is based on final product of 1,000kgH<sub>2</sub>/day over previously stated 360 days.

average wages for various jobs<sup>58</sup>. We also used equipment rental costs referenced by this report taken from The Blue Book of Building and Construction. The cost for equipment rental and the wages vary widely, causing substantial variability in the construction price depending on location. Figure 5-3 shows some examples of wages and rental costs in the American Southwest. We chose the numbers corresponding to Californian wages and rental rates. Given the fact that the organisms involved in biohydrogen production are sensitive to temperature, we decided that a location with more moderate temperatures, yet still high levels of sunlight would be ideal. Thus, southern California, which has more moderate temperatures due to its proximity to the ocean, would be more ideal than desert areas in Arizona or Nevada. Although not considered in our analysis, the proximity to the ocean could also provide an added benefit: an endless supply of water for the system<sup>59</sup>. Figure 5-4 shows the cost estimation for the B-1 system, which requires 20 raceways. Were the plant built in some other state, such as New Mexico, there would be up to a 50% reduction in construction costs.

Figure 5-3. Davis-Bacon Wage Determinations and Blue Book Rental Costs

TADLE I - NURLI WAGE RATES											
AZ	CA	CO		ID		KS	NE	NV			
		1	2	1	2			LV	CAR-		
									SON		
									CITY		
44.44	78.84	43.87	45.46	45.73	47.91	23.89	23.84	71.06	63.33		
25.69	49.97	22.15	28.63	39.75	41.93	13.76	13.06	50.89	38.71		
26.05	52.97	25.98	29.69	40.26	42.44	15.20	17.63	51.28	39.09		
28.71	52.97	40.88	40.65	40.04	42.23	17.74	18.26	51.48	39.46		
40.02	69.43	40.88	41.10	43.55	45.74	17.01	18.26	51.35	39.09		
41.57	67.40	40.65	40.65	43.06	45.24	19.55	19.44	62.10	56.12		
40.80	69.51	29.00	29.00	43.42	45.61	18.10	15.50	66.45	57.48		
35.33	71.38	40.65	40.65	43.51	45.69	18.10	18.26	66.95	56.23		
40.02	71.38	40.65	40.65	43.51	45.69	18.10	20.15	66.95	58.55		
40.02	71.38	40.65	40.65	43.06	45.24	18.10	17.94	66.45	58.01		
41.57	73.50	40.88	40.88	43.31	45.49	18.10	19.04	67.13	58.65		
41.57	75.77	28.73	40.88	43.51	45.69	19.55	18.93	67.10	58.29		
35.56	71.38	29.25	41.10	43.51	45.69	21.00	20.38	68.60	57.48		
41.57	75.77	40.88	40.88	44.09	46.28	19.55	19.75	67.38	61.11		
31.94	56.92	25.01	27.62	41.13	43.31	17.38	15.19	54.29	34.67		
32.83	57.38	25.01	28.12	41.39	43.57	18.10	17.08	55.26	40.48		
35.33	68.31	40.65	40.65	42.61	44.79	17.38	15.19	54.29	34.67		
	AZ           44.44           25.69           26.05           28.71           40.02           41.57           40.02           41.57           35.33           40.02           41.57           35.56           41.57           31.94           32.83           35.33	AZ         CA           AZ         CA           44.44         78.84           25.69         49.97           26.05         52.97           28.71         52.97           40.02         69.43           41.57         67.40           40.80         69.51           35.33         71.38           40.02         71.38           40.02         71.38           41.57         75.77           35.56         71.38           41.57         75.77           32.83         57.38           35.33         68.31	AZ         CA         CO           AZ         CA         CO           1         1           44.44         78.84         43.87           25.69         49.97         22.15           26.05         52.97         25.98           28.71         52.97         40.88           40.02         69.43         40.88           41.57         67.40         40.65           40.02         71.38         40.65           40.02         71.38         40.65           40.02         71.38         40.65           40.02         71.38         40.65           41.57         75.77         28.73           35.56         71.38         29.25           41.57         75.77         40.88           31.94         56.92         25.01           32.83         57.38         25.01           35.33         68.31         40.65	AZ         CA         CO           4Z         CA         CO           1         2           44.44         78.84         43.87         45.46           25.69         49.97         22.15         28.63           26.05         52.97         25.98         29.69           28.71         52.97         40.88         40.65           40.02         69.43         40.88         41.10           41.57         67.40         40.65         40.65           40.02         71.38         40.65         40.65           40.02         71.38         40.65         40.65           40.02         71.38         40.65         40.65           40.02         71.38         40.65         40.65           41.57         75.77         28.73         40.88           41.57         75.77         40.88         40.88           35.56         71.38         29.25         41.10           41.57         75.77         40.88         40.88           31.94         56.92         25.01         28.12           32.83         57.38         25.01         28.12           35.33         68.31 <td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c</math></td> <td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c</math></td> <td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c</math></td> <td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c</math></td> <td><math display="block"> \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c</math></td>	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$		

ABLE 1 – HOURLY WAGE RATES

LOADERS:

Crawler type, diesel powered, with EROPS:

Model	Bucket Size	Hourly Rate (\$)							
	Cubic Yards	AZ,NM,UT	CO,ID,KS,NE,NV	CA,SD,WY					
Caterpillar 933C	1.30	41.72	43.33	45.42					
Deere 555G	1.50	51.52	53.56	56.21					
Caterpillar 953C	2.42	84.96	88.76	93.68					
Caterpillar 963C	3.20	110.46	115.20	121.34					
Caterpillar 973C	4.19	161.25	168.29	177.42					

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>58</sup> Moll, Jeff, Marjorie Apodaca, Ken Goddard, Jon Stites, Andrea Glover. <u>Cost Estimating Guide for Road</u>
 <u>Construction</u>. US Forest Service, USDA, Washington DC. April 2008.
 <sup>59</sup> While some organism may flowrigh in sultant.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>59</sup> While some organism may flourish in saltwater, we assume fresh water for all of the biohydrogen systems under analysis. Consequently, a desalination plant would be necessary to achieve unlimited water from the ocean.

Equipment Cost- Loader	93.68 \$/hr
Equipment Cost- Dozer	94.25 \$/hr
Equipment Cost- Roller	74.3 \$/hr
Operator Cost- Loader	71.38 \$/hr
Operator Cost- Dozer	71.38 \$/hr
Operator Cost- Roller	68.31 \$/hr
Laborer Cost	49.97 \$/hr
Foreman Cost	78.84 \$/hr
Number of Laborers	2
Number of Operators	3
Total Cost/ day	\$ 5,217
Total Cost/ pool	\$ 26,083
Total Cost/ system	\$ 521,664

#### Figure 5-4. Excavation Cost Estimate for B-1 System using California Costs Excavation Cost Estimation for California

The excavation costs calculated concern only the construction of the raceways and the berms. The installation costs of the other components in the system are computed using the H2A methodology.

# 6. Levelized Costs Assumptions & Calculations (H2A)

Thus far, this report has discussed the capital cost and expenditures associated with building a photobiological-to-hydrogen plant. While this is critical and valuable information, the build decision is ultimately based on the financial and economic benefits of these capital expenditures. The investment is quite large and cannot be properly evaluated without some knowledge of the expected return on that investment. In order to evaluate the return, DTI performed a discounted cash flow (DCF) analysis using the H2A Production Model, Version 2.0.

The H2A model provides a structured format for a user to enter in parameters which impact cash inflows and outflows associated with the construction and operation of the plant. There are H2A Default values for several of the parameters which can be selected as part of the analysis. Additionally, there are plant specific parameters which the analyst must enter. Once all parameters have been entered the H2A model computes the levelized cost of hydrogen in  $/kgH_2$ .

# 6.1 *Standard H2A parameters*

In order to develop levelized costs, several parameters must be defined. Because this analysis focuses on a plant which is still in its conceptual stage, many of the values for these parameters must be assumed. The assumptions are documented in this section. These are meant to represent a baseline system and analysis. Later in the discussion portion of this report, the assumed values can be altered for sensitivity analyses.

Standard H2A financial values and assumptions shown in Figure 6-1 apply to all biohydrogen pathways. This list does not encompass all parameters which must be defined in order to run the analysis; just those were there is an H2A Default value which has been accepted for this analysis. The remaining parameters are defined later in this section. Some of those parameters are common to all biohydrogen pathways and others are pathway specific. No dispensing parameters are listed here because the biohydrogen plants are central type plants and thus dispensing is not factored into the analysis.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>60</sup> For further description of the H2A Model reference, D. Steward, T. Ramsden, and J. Zuboy. H2A Production Model, Version 2 User Guide. NREL/TP-560-43983. Golden, CO. September 2008.

Parameter	Assumptions
Analysis Period	20 years
Burdened Labor Rate for Staff	\$50/hour
CO2 Capture Credit	Not included in base cases (default
	value = $0$ )
CO2 Production Taxes	Not included in base cases (default
	value = $0$ )
Construction Period and Cash Flow	1 year
Co-produced and Cogenerated Electricity	\$30/MWh
Price	
Decommissioning	10% of initial capital
Depreciation Type and Schedule for Initial	MACRS: 20 years for central model
Depreciable Capital Cost	-
Facility Life	20 years
G&A Rate	20% of the staff labor costs
Hydrogen Pressure at Central Gate	300 psig
Hydrogen Purity <sup>62</sup>	98% minimum; CO < 10 ppm, sulfur <
	10 ppm
Income Taxes	35% federal; 6% state; 38.9% effective
Inflation Rate	1.9%, but with resultant price of
	hydrogen in reference year constant
	dollars
Installation Cost Factor	1.3
Land Cost	\$5,000/acre purchased
Licensing, Permits and fees	\$1000
O2 Credit	Not included in base cases
Production Maintenance & Repairs	<sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> % of direct capital cost
Property Taxes and Business Insurance	2%/year of the total initial capital cost
Reference Financial Structure	100% equity with 10% IRR; includes
	levelized hydrogen price plot for 0%–
	25% IRR; model allows debt financing
Sales Tax	Not included on basis that facilities and
	related purchases are wholesale and
<u> </u>	through a general contractor entity
Salvage Value	10% of initial capital
Working Capital Rate	15% of the annual change in total
	operating costs

# Figure 6-1. H2A Default Values and Assumptions used for all Biohydrogen Pathways<sup>61</sup>

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>61</sup> D. Steward, T. Ramsden, and J. Zuboy. H2A Production Model, Version 2 User Guide. Appendix 3: Default Values and Assumptions. NREL/TP-560-43983. Golden, CO. September 2008. p. 60.
 <sup>62</sup> Purity levels are driven by H<sub>2</sub> vehicle consumption requirements.

# 6.2 *Pathway Common Parameters*

In addition to the financial parameters defined by H2A in the previous section there are other project inputs which must be quantified in order to carry out the DCF analysis. All inputs can be found on the following worksheets in the H2A model;

- Input\_Sheet\_Template
- ReplacementCosts
- CapitalCosts

Many of these parameters are specific to the location, operation, and type of plant. In the case of our biohydrogen pathways there are some parameters that are the same for all pathways and some that vary by pathway. The parameters in Figure 6-2 are common to all pathways.

Parameter	Assumed Value	Worksheet
Operating Capacity Factor	90%	Input_Sheet_Template
Reference Year Dollars	2005	Input_Sheet_Template
Site Preparation	1% of direct costs minus raceway excavation costs	Input_Sheet_Template
Engineering & design	7% of direct costs	Input_Sheet_Template
Process Contingency	20% of direct costs	Input_Sheet_Template
Project Contingency	\$0	Input_Sheet_Template
Up-Front Permitting Costs	0.5% of direct costs	Input_Sheet_Template
Production Maintenance & Repairs	0.5% of direct costs	Input_Sheet_Template

#### Figure 6-2. Parameters Common to all Pathways

# 6.2.1 Operating Capacity Factor

The operating capacity factor can be found on the Input\_Sheet\_Template worksheet of the model. This analysis assumes that the plant and dispensing station have a 90% operating capacity. This capacity factor takes into considering things such as planned maintenance outages, forced outages, etc. Thus, if the plant is capable of producing 1,111kgH<sub>2</sub>/day, only 1,000kgH<sub>2</sub> will be dispensed and all economic benefit analysis is based on the amount dispensed or sold.

# 6.2.2 Reference Year Dollars

The reference year dollars parameter is the year dollars in which the cost of hydrogen is reported. The H2A standard is to report out hydrogen costs in 2005 dollars. The model expects capital costs to be entered in 2005 dollars. In this analysis Reference Year 2005 was selected.

#### 6.2.3 Site Preparation

The site preparation parameter can be found on the Input\_Sheet\_Template worksheet of the model. In central plants, H2A defaults this value to 1% of direct costs. This analysis uses the same default value; however, the cost basis is slightly altered. Our direct costs include excavation for raceways. We excluded that from the cost basis in this calculation since it is

not a piece of equipment, but rather a separately computed type of site preparation. Excavation is not all inclusive as site preparation is still required for things such as driveways, control building and algae feed subassembly.

# 6.2.4 Engineering & design

The engineering & design parameter can be found on the Input\_Sheet\_Template worksheet of the model. In central plants, H2A defaults this value to 13% of direct costs. This analysis uses 7% of direct costs due to the modularity of the design.

#### 6.2.5 Process Contingency

The process contingency parameter can be found on the Input\_Sheet\_Template worksheet of the model. In central plants, H2A defaults this value to 15% of direct costs. This analysis uses 20% of direct costs due to uncertainties in the system configuration.

# 6.2.6 Project Contingency

The process contingency parameter can be found on the Input\_Sheet\_Template worksheet of the model. In our analysis we have chosen to include all contingency factors in the process contingency parameter, thus the project contingency is set to \$0.

# 6.2.7 Up-Front Permitting Costs

The up-front permitting cost parameter can be found on the Input\_Sheet\_Template worksheet of the model. The H2A default for this parameter is 9% of direct costs. This analysis uses 0.5% of direct costs due to the modularity of the design and its environmental benefits.

# 6.3 *Pathway Specific Parameters*

The last types of parameters we identify are those which are specific to each pathway analyzed. Figure 6-3 lists these parameters and rules of thumb applied in computing their values. These are pathway specific because they are associated with feedstock, process design and plant design.

Parameter	Rule Applied	Worksheet		
Land Required	30% greater than reactor	Input_Sheet_Template		
	bed area			
Production facility plant staff	1 worker per shift per	Input_Sheet_Template		
	100  raceways + 0.5			
	workers for winders			
Utility Usage	Electricity and water	Input_Sheet_Template		
	costs use H2A pricing			
Feedstock Usage	As required by organism	Input_Sheet_Template		
Specified Yearly Replacement	LDPE every 5 yrs	ReplacementCosts		
Costs				

#### Figure 6-3. Pathway Specific Parameters

# 6.3.1 Land Required

The land required parameter can be found on the Input\_Sheet\_Template worksheet of the model. In developing the plant design, a land area for the reactor bed was computed. The analysis assumes that the total land required for this design is 30% greater than the reactor bed. The 30% factor is meant to encompass area requirements for pump skids, compressors, gas and algae separators and a small control room. The total land requirement for each pathway is shown in Figure 6-4.

	Algal O <sub>2</sub> - tolerant Hydrogenase (1)	Cyanobacterium O2-tolerant Hydrogenase (2)	Algal Sulfate Permease	Immobilize d Algal, Sulfur deprived	PNS bacteria
	B-1	B-2	B-3	B-4	B-5
Bed Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	80,968	80,968	151,035	352,070	216,228
% increase for auxiliaries	30%	30%	30%	30%	30%
Total Land Required (m <sup>2</sup> )	105,259	105,259	196,345	457,691	281,096

#### Figure 6-4. Land Required for each Pathway

# 6.3.2 Production facility plant staff

The production facility plant staff parameter can be found on the Input Sheet Template worksheet of the model. This represents the number of full-time employees required to operate the plant. There is no H2A default value for this. Our analysis for each of the pathways is shown in Figure 6-5. From our analysis we suggest 3 employees are needed for each 1,000kgH<sub>2</sub> module of the B-1, B-2, B-3, and B-5 system design. This is based on the assumptions that each worker can operate 5 of these modules simultaneously but the plant operates 24 hrs/day so three shifts are needed. In the case of the B-4 pathway, an additional employee is required for the manual transfer of algae films between ponds. However, the films only need replacement once every six months, limiting the overall labor needed. We have assumed a wind and unwind speed of 0.5 ft/second for the polypropylene films. Two old films per raceway will need to be wound and unwound along with new films that will be wound and unwound for replacement. Additionally, we have assumed it will take 15 minutes to reposition and prepare the winder for each wind/unwind cycle. We calculated that it will take about eight hours, or one full FTE for a worker to complete the task of exchanging the mats in one raceway. Thus, the total labor need is the total number of raceways (90) divided by the number of work days in 6 months (120), giving us ~0.75 FTE in addition to the daily raceway operators.

	Algal O2- tolerant Hydrogenase (1)	Cyanobacterium O2-tolerant Hydrogenase (2)	Algal Sulfate Permease	Immobilized Algal, Sulfur deprived	PNS bacteria
	B-1	B-2	B-3	B-4	B-5
Modules	1	1	1	1	1
Raceways/ Module	20	20	38	68	54
Raceways/ Worker	100	100	100	100	100
Shifts/Day	3	3	3	3	3
Workers FTE <sup>63</sup>	3	3	3	3	3
Winder Workers	N/A	N/A	N/A	0.5 FTE	N/A
<b>Total FTE</b>	3	3	3	3.75	3

## Figure 6-5. Plant Staff Requirements for 1 tonne H<sub>2</sub>/day plant

# 6.3.3 Energy Usage

The usage of utilities, feedstocks and creation of byproducts can be found on the Input\_Sheet\_Template worksheet of the model. There are no H2A default values for these. We are not aware of any Byproducts associated with the bio-hydrogen pathways. In the case of utilities, the utility (electricity, natural gas, steam) of interest is selected from a drop-down box. The amount consumed is based on the plant design and thus varies. For our 1,000kgH<sub>2</sub>/day system those quantities are shown in Figure 6-6. The model has a cost rate for each utility and thus computes the total costs of utilities.

#### Figure 6-6. Utilities Usage

	Algal O2- tolerant Hydrogenase (1)	Cyanobacterium O2-tolerant Hydrogenase (2)	Algal Sulfate Permease	Immobilized Algal, Sulfur deprived	PNS bacteria
	B-1	B-2	B-3	B-4	B-5
Electricity (kWh/kgH <sub>2</sub> )	4.36	4.36	6.22	2.26	4.81
Water (gal/kgH <sub>2</sub> )	2.900	2.900	2.852	2.864	1.479

In our bio-hydrogen pathways the feedstocks are nutrients and  $CO_2$  which are not H2A options that can be selected from the drop-down box. Therefore the usage, price and heating value of each feedstock must be entered manually. Those pathway specific required inputs are shown in Figure 6-7.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>63</sup> Full Time Equivalent

Figure	6-7.	Feedstock	Usage
--------	------	-----------	-------

Carbon Dioxide							
Value	Unit B1 B2 B3 B4						
Lower Heating Value	mmBTU/ Short Ton	9.37	9.37	9.37	9.37		
Price Startup Year	\$/Dry Short Ton	\$ 1,400	\$ 1,400	\$ 1,400	\$ 1,400		
Startup CO2	lb	5,241	5,241	41,629	206,180		
Usage	lb/day	566	566	-	-		
Usage	DryTon/kg H2	2.83E-04	2.83E-04	0.00E+00	0.00E+00		

Nutrients							
Value Unit B1 B2 B3 B4						B5	
Lower Heating Value	mmBTU/ Short Ton	28.44	28.44	28.44	28.44	28.44	
Price Startup Year	\$/Dry Short Ton	400	400	400	400	400	
Usage	DryTon/kg H2	1.16E-07	1.16E-07	2.16E-07	5.03E-07	3.09E-07	

# 6.3.4 Specified Yearly Replacement Costs

The specified yearly replacement costs can be found on the Replacement Costs worksheet of the model. There is no H2A default value for this. This analysis recognizes that the transparent film which serves to capture product gas, permit sunlight, and keep culture free of contamination will need periodic replacement. The film is made of LDPE and over time will degrade such that less sunlight will enter the system thereby lowering plant efficiency. This analysis assumes that a 5-yr replacement cycle for the LDPE film is sufficient to keep system performing at acceptable levels. All other components are anticipated to operate for 20 years. There are no other specified replacement costs over the twenty year analysis period for any of the pathways. Figure 6-8 lists the pathway specific costs associated with replacement components.

#### Figure 6-8. LDPE Film Replacement Costs

	Algal O2- tolerant Hydrogenase (1)	Cyanobacterium O2-tolerant Hydrogenase (2)	Algal Immobilized Sulfate Algal, Sulfur Permease deprived		PNS bacteria
	B-1	B-2	B-3	B-4	B-5
Quantity of Film <sup>64</sup> (m <sup>2</sup> )	87,080	87,080	165,452	381,075	235,116
Costs (yrs. 5, 10, 15)	\$60,932	\$60,932	\$115,770	\$205,113	\$164,516

# 6.3.5 Baseline Uninstalled Costs

The baseline uninstalled costs can be found on the Capital Costs worksheet of the model. There is no H2A default value for this. These are the capital costs of the equipment that were computed in an earlier part of this project. Those separately calculated values will be imported into this area of the H2A Production Model to access the economic benefit of the capital expenditure. These costs are provided in the bill of materials for each pathway.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>64</sup> Quantity includes pathway area and overages explained in Section 5.1.2.

# 6.3.6 Installation Cost Factor

The installation cost factor parameter can be found on the Capital Costs worksheet of the model. The default value for this is 1.3, however there is an exception. The installation of the reactor beds doesn't rely on a cost factor but rather performs a separate excavation cost calculation which was described above.

# 6.4 *Results for Levelized Hydrogen Costs*

The results from the H2A model are provided in tabular form. The total cost of produced hydrogen in a 10 tonne per day (TPD) plant<sup>65</sup> is provided as well as the cost breakdown of that value over several cost components. Our analysis indicates that for a 10 TPD plant the B-1 and B-2 pathways produce the lowest cost hydrogen as shown in Figure 6-9. B-1 and B-2 provide identical cost of hydrogen because the key assumptions effecting cost are the same for these two pathways. The primary components of the levelized cost of hydrogen are capital costs, fixed O&M and other variable costs as shown in Figure 6-10. The discussion section of this report will delve further into the costs included in these components.

Total Cost of Produced H <sub>2</sub>					
Cost Component	Hydro	gen Produc	tion Cost C	ontribution	(\$/kg)
System	B1	B2	B3	B4	B5
Capital Costs	\$1.74	\$1.74	\$2.82	\$3.87	\$3.37
Decommissioning Costs	\$0.02	\$0.02	\$0.03	\$0.04	\$0.03
Fixed O&M	\$0.60	\$0.60	\$0.99	\$1.99	\$1.27
Feedstock Costs	\$0.40	\$0.40	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$5.43
Other Raw Material Costs	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Byproduct Credits	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Other Variable Costs (including utilities)	\$0.23	\$0.23	\$0.33	\$0.12	\$0.25
Total	\$2.99	\$2.99	\$4.17	\$6.02	\$10.36

Figure (	5-9. I	Levelized	costs	for	<b>B-1</b>	through	<b>B-5</b>	Path	ways
<u> </u>									•

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>65</sup> Cost results are based on a 10 metric ton per day hydrogen plant composed of ten 1 metric ton per day modules.



Figure 6-10. Comparison of Levelized Cost Components

#### 6.5 *Near Term Performance Results*

In addition to calculating the results for the upper bound solar-to-hydrogen (STH) conversion efficiencies, which has been explicated thus far in the report, we also calculated levelized hydrogen costs for "near term" STH efficiencies.

The upper bound performance projections for the B-1, B-2, B-3, and B-5 assume that there is full utilization of the solar photons for hydrogen production due to development of mutants that have reduced antennas and do not have saturation and other electron transfer limits. For near term organisms, utilization will be significantly less than 100%, but is expected to be better than the current status. Based on these anticipated developments, we have made estimates of the organisms' near term properties and estimated the consequent near term production capabilities.

Assumed near term solar-to-hydrogen (STH) conversion efficiencies are shown in Figure 6-11 along with the previously discussed upper bound efficiencies for convenient comparison. For B-4, the upper bound solar utilization comes from the NREL extrapolation of experimental results to generate a model of the best possible future performance level of B-4. For the B-4 near term projection, we have assumed a reduction in utilization from that best future performance upper bound.

Levelized  $H_2$  costs corresponding to the near term efficiencies are shown in Figure 6-12. The primary components of the levelized cost of hydrogen are capital costs, fixed O&M, and "Other Variable Costs".

Figure 0-11. Itean Term STIT Enteriney Assumptions									
STH Efficiency	B1	B2	B3	B4	B5				
Upper Bound	9.2%	9.2%	5.2 %	2.25%	3.5%				
Near Term	2%	2%	1.3%	1.5%	1.5%				

#### Figure 6-11. Near Term STH Efficiency Assumptions

Total Cost of Produced H <sub>2</sub>								
Cost Component Hydrogen Production Cost Contribution (\$/kg)								
System	B1	B2	B3	B4	B5			
Capital Costs	\$5.61	\$5.61	\$7.04	\$5.36	\$7.10			
Decommissioning Costs	\$0.06	\$0.06	\$0.07	\$0.05	\$0.07			
Fixed O&M	\$2.08	\$2.08	\$2.96	\$2.92	\$2.62			
Feedstock Costs	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$3.73			
Other Raw Material Costs	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00			
Byproduct Credits	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00			
Other Variable Costs (including utilities)	\$0.41	\$0.41	\$0.41	\$0.11	\$0.44			
Total	\$8.15	\$8.15	\$10.48	\$8.44	\$13.95			

Figure 6-12. Levelized H<sub>2</sub> Costs based on Near Term STH Efficiency

#### Figure 6-13. Comparison of Levelized Cost Components for Near Term Efficiencies



#### 7. Discussion of Results

The results discussion will look at how plant sizing impacts the key costs components of levelized costs, namely, capital costs, fixed O&M, and variable costs. An explanation for the low feedstock costs is also provided.

This report's baseline cost analysis assumes a 10 TPD plant consisting of ten 1 TPD modules. One would expect that the levelized costs results from H2A would be different if the plant size chosen was different. Plant sizes of 1TPD, 50TPD and 100TPD were reviewed and our analysis shown below indicates that the 10TPD facility was appropriate for this analysis.

#### 7.1 Capital Costs

Because this analysis is developing a large plant size from several smaller modules, one would expect equipment cost advantages based on modularity. However, recall that each 1

TPD module already has multiple raceways resulting in large quantities of similar components within a single module. Additional capital cost reductions as a result of increased purchase quantity are likely to be minimal since the quantity of most materials is already substantial for one module. The smallest module considered amongst our five pathways has twenty raceways, and the costing already employs a significant economy of scale. Further scaling of lower quantity, larger items, such as drum filters could result in further cost reduction, but has not been considered in this report primarily because low yearly sales of these items makes scaling data unavailable.

Additionally, most components selected are available commercially off the shelf (COTS) and costs were obtained using vendor quotations. Because of this, the capital costs for each module already take into account commodity; high volume pricing and thus capital costs due to large plant size are expected to increase linearly. Figure 7-1 demonstrates the relationship between capital costs and plant size.



Figure 7-1. Capital Cost Increases from Increased Plant Size<sup>66</sup>

Exceptions to this COTS and high volume logic are the compressors and PSAs that are sized for 1TPD modules. Given the extremely large areas of a single module, we chose not to combine several modules into a common compressor and PSA due to the difficulty of transporting low pressure gas over long distances. Furthermore, unlike the other equipment whose costs were obtained from vendor quotes, the cost of compressors and PSAs are derived from H2A unit costing models. However those models already have built in assumptions to provide an n<sup>th</sup> unit cost so again no economy of scale can be assumed for these components.

Because the capital costs do not achieve economy of scales we explored fixed O&M for an indication of optimal plant size.

 $<sup>^{66}</sup>$ B1 and B2 are the exact same and overlap on the graph, which is why B1 does not show up on the graph

# 7.2 Fixed O&M (Plant Labor)

After capital cost component, fixed O&M is the next largest cost component of the levelized hydrogen cost. Within H2A the fixed O&M consists of primary of labor, G&A (which is a percentage of labor), Property Taxes (which is a percentage of capital costs), and Production Maintenance and Repair (which is a percentage of capital costs). Since we have already established that capital costs scale linearly, we focus on plant labor costs.

One of the most significant differences in plant sizes is the amount of labor needed. Labor rates for a 1, 10, 50, and 100 TPD plant were examined. A summary of the assumed labor requirements and rates is shown in Figure 7-2. The analysis assumes that the plant will need constant supervision (3shifts/day) from workers who can fix any equipment malfunction and monitor plant operation. We assume one worker can effectively watch over 100 raceways working a standard 8-hour shift. Additionally, for the multiple module plants, an overall supervisor will be needed. For the 100 TPD plant, an assistant supervisor is added due to the extremely large area of such the plant. The B-4 system requires an extra worker in order to change one roll of immobilized algae film per day.

	B1/B2				B3			
	1 ton	10 ton	50 ton	100 ton	1 ton	10 ton	50 ton	100 ton
Modules	1	10	50	100	1	10	50	100
Total Raceways	20	200	1000	2000	38	380	1900	3800
Raceways/Worker	100	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
Shifts/Day	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Workers FTE	3	6	30	60	3	12	57	114
Roll/Unroll Workers	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
Supervisor FTE	0	3	3	3	0	3	3	3
Asst. Super. FTE	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1
Total FTE	3	9	33	64	3	15	60	118
FTE/1TPD Module	3	0.9	0.66	0.64	3	1.5	1.2	1.18
		В	4			В	5	
	1 ton	<b>B</b> 10 ton	<b>4</b> 50 ton	100 ton	1 ton	<b>B</b> 10 ton	<b>5</b> 50 ton	100 ton
Modules	1 ton <b>1</b>	8 10 ton <b>10</b>	50 ton 50	100 ton <b>100</b>	1 ton <b>1</b>	8 10 ton 10	50 ton 50	100 ton <b>100</b>
Modules Total Raceways	1 ton 1 90	B 10 ton 10 900	50 ton 50 4500	100 ton 100 9000	1 ton 1 54	B 10 ton 10 540	50 ton 50 2700	100 ton <b>100</b> <b>5400</b>
Modules Total Raceways Raceways/Worker	1 ton 1 90 100	B 10 ton 10 900 100	50 ton 50 4500 100	100 ton 100 9000 100	1 ton 1 54 100	B 10 ton 10 540 100	50 ton 50 2700 100	100 ton 100 5400 100
Modules Total Raceways Raceways/Worker Shifts/Day	1 ton 1 90 100 3	B 10 ton 10 900 100 3	50 ton 50 4500 100 3	100 ton 100 9000 100 3	1 ton 1 54 100 3	B 10 ton 10 540 100 3	50 ton 50 2700 100 3	100 ton 100 5400 100 3
Modules Total Raceways Raceways/Worker Shifts/Day Workers FTE	1 ton 1 90 100 3 3	B 10 ton 10 900 100 3 27	50 ton 50 4500 100 3 135	100 ton 100 9000 100 3 270	1 ton 1 54 100 3 3	B 10 ton 10 540 100 3 18	50 ton 50 2700 100 3 81	100 ton 100 5400 100 3 162
Modules Total Raceways Raceways/Worker Shifts/Day Workers FTE Roll/Unroll Workers	1 ton 1 90 100 3 3 0.8	B 10 ton 900 100 3 27 8	50 ton 50 4500 100 3 135 38	100 ton 100 9000 100 3 270 75	1 ton 1 54 100 3 3 N/A	B 10 ton 10 540 100 3 18 N/A	50 ton 50 2700 100 3 81 N/A	100 ton 100 5400 100 3 162 N/A
Modules Total Raceways Raceways/Worker Shifts/Day Workers FTE Roll/Unroll Workers Supervisor FTE	1 ton 1 90 100 3 3 0.8 0	B 10 ton 10 900 100 3 27 8 3	50 ton 50 4500 100 3 135 38 3	100 ton 100 9000 100 3 270 75 3	1 ton 1 54 100 3 3 N/A 0	B 10 ton 10 540 100 3 18 N/A 3	50 ton 50 2700 100 3 81 N/A 3	100 ton 100 5400 100 3 162 N/A 3
Modules Total Raceways Raceways/Worker Shifts/Day Workers FTE Roll/Unroll Workers Supervisor FTE Asst. Super. FTE	1 ton 1 90 100 3 0.8 0 0 0	B 10 ton 900 100 3 27 8 3 3 0	50 ton 50 4500 100 3 135 38 38 3 3 0	100 ton 100 9000 100 3 270 75 3 3 1	1 ton 1 54 100 3 3 N/A 0 0	B 10 ton 10 540 100 3 18 N/A 3 0	50 ton 50 2700 100 3 81 N/A 3 0	100 ton 100 5400 100 3 162 N/A 3 1
Modules Total Raceways Raceways/Worker Shifts/Day Workers FTE Roll/Unroll Workers Supervisor FTE Asst. Super. FTE Total FTE	1 ton 1 90 100 3 3 0.8 0 0 0 4	B 10 ton 900 100 3 27 8 3 0 38	50 ton 50 4500 100 3 135 38 38 3 0 176	100 ton 100 9000 100 3 270 75 3 1 1 349	1 ton 1 54 100 3 N/A 0 0 0 3	B 10 ton 10 540 100 3 18 N/A 3 0 21	50 ton 50 2700 100 3 81 N/A 3 0 84	100 ton 100 5400 100 3 162 N/A 3 1 1 166

#### Figure 7-2. Labor Assumptions for Different Plant Sizes

When these plant sizes are analyzed in H2A, hydrogen costs decrease with plant size as shown in Figure 7-3. This study indicates labor cost reductions are achieved through increasing plant size. In all cases most of the cost benefit is gained in the initial increase in plant size, with the curve flattening towards a 100TPD system. Therefore, it is appropriate to choose the 10TPD plant for analysis. This is also intuitive from the labor assumptions in Figure 7-2 where a comparison in Total Raceways and Raceways/Worker shows that in the 1 ton module workers are underutilized.





# 7.3 Variable Costs

The third largest cost component of the levelized hydrogen cost is variable cost. Within H2A the variable costs consists of utilities, byproduct credits, waste costs, and tax incentives. In our analysis only utilities (specifically electricity) are considered. Since electricity consumption is based on the equipment and we have chosen to duplicate modules and therefore equipment in the larger plants, variable costs are expected to be constant per kgH<sub>2</sub> produced regardless of plant size.

# 7.4 Feedstock Costs

Feedstock Costs are essentially the cost of nutrients. As we can see they are minimal in all pathways except B-5, where the cost of acetate is substantial. Since nutrient quantity is based on algae and area then we expect the relationship with plant size to be linear. Nutrient costs are currently derived from price quotes for high-quantity agriculture fertilizer purchases and data on international market prices for acetate. Considering the low level of nutrients consumed compared to conventional agriculture, change in pricing due to increased plant size is negligible and ignored.

#### 7.5 Reactor Footprint

The above cost analysis uses a baseline 10 TPD plant consisting of ten 1 TPD modules. Thus all systems produce the same amount of hydrogen. However, due to varying efficiencies, the five systems result in vastly different land area requirements. If we were to normalize based on the land area, or reactor footprint, for the 1 TPD module we can analyze the production data in a different way. Choosing the area of the B-4 systems which has the largest reactor footprint, in Figure 7-4 we show the hydrogen outputs of each system if scaled to match the land area of B-4. This is an important consideration in areas where land may be in short supply.

Figure 7-4. Normalized Hydrogen Production									
System	<b>B-1</b>	<b>B-2</b>	<b>B-3</b>	<b>B-4</b>	<b>B-5</b>				
Reactor Size (m <sup>2</sup> )	352,070	352,070	352,070	352,070	352,070				
Algae Removed	175	175	NA	NA	468				
(Kg/day) Hydrogen									
Production	4,348	4,348	2,331	1,000	1,628				
(kgH <sub>2</sub> /day)									

# 8. Photobiological System Conclusions and Recommendations

Under the premise that low cost hydrogen is the most important factor, then the H2A analysis points us to B-1 and B-2 pathways as the best photobiological pathways. However, one engineering concern for B-1 and B-2, which produce stoichiometric mixtures of hydrogen and oxygen, is to assure that the gases are isolated from any potential ignition sources. Before moving forward with any given system further, additional sensitivities should be done on key assumptions in this analysis. In the previous section we explored the assumptions of plant size and modular design. One parameter that could be further tested is the impact of plant efficiency on levelized costs. The characterization section of this report identified 3 efficiencies for each system: maximum theoretical, assumed reactor operation, and current experimental data. This baseline analysis is based on the assumed reactor operation. However if that value cannot be reached, the impact on cost can be great. Another parameter to consider is component lifetime, which impact replacement costs. Sensitivities on labor rates for plant personnel could also be performed. Furthermore, the probability of success and commercialization timeline of all systems should be considered prior to eliminating any pathway from further analysis.

As mentioned in Section 6.4, a large portion of the levelized cost is from the capital costs of the plant. Figure 8-1 shows the contribution of each subassembly to the overall plant costs. The most costly subsystems are the Photobioreactor and Control System.



#### Figure 8-1. Capital Cost Allocations by Subassembly

Within the photobioreactor subassembly the largest cost contributors are the pond installation and paddlewheel mixers. The pond installation is directly proportional to the number of raceways. The costs of the installation were well vetted as described in Section 5.2.5. The plant location could affect labor costs associated with installation and could "provide some relief to capital cost. The second largest cost contributors to this subassembly are the paddlewheel mixers. These costs are well known. The quantities required are conservative estimates based on the land area and water depth. Further research could be done in this area to see if more cost effective mixing solutions are available for our area and bed depth. Any other solutions should have a similar lifetime to be cost effective or significantly cheaper to offset replacement costs.

The control system subassembly has two items which are of large costs. These are the gas analyzers and level indicators. Rather than placing a gas analyzer in each raceway H2 outlet pipe, we group the outlet of two raceways into one analyzer to reduce cost. If the sensor indicates a problem with the gas steam, a worker would need to identify which of the two raceways is affected using a handheld gas analyzer: this approach is considerably less expensive. An important consideration with this equipment is that each raceway contains one of these items thus a cost savings in a single unit is magnified by the number of raceways. Currently the lifetime on all this instrumentation is equal to the plant life so any substitute equipment should have similar lifetimes or take into account replacement costs. Rather than assume that each raceway is instrumented, a separate analysis could consider these instruments as portable. In that way there would be 1 of each sensor per worker rather than per raceway. This method would rely more heavily on the plant personnel which may diminish the number of raceways that can be monitored per worker. This tradeoff could be considered as a separate sensitivity study.
## 9. Part 1 Appendix A: Key Terms and Definitions

**Solar-to-Hydrogen (STH) Efficiency (in %):** This is the ratio of the Lower Heating Value (LHV) of the net hydrogen produced from the system divided by the total solar energy input striking the biological beds. Hydrogen LHV is defined to be 33.33kWh/kg<sup>67</sup>. Solar input is defined as total photon energy striking the bed measured in W/m<sup>2</sup>. We typically use daily averages and thus base efficiency calculations on the average Southwest US insolation (average over the year) of 5.5kW/m<sup>2</sup>/day.

**Maximum Theoretical Solar-to-Hydrogen (STH) Efficiency (in %):** Converting all of this  $5.5 \text{kW/m}^2$ /day insolation into electrons used for H<sub>2</sub> production yields a 12.2% STH efficiency. Thus our nominal 9.2% STH is close to the theoretical maximum. The 12.2% max efficiency is based on:

1000W/m<sup>2</sup> full sunlight intensity with 44% PAR (photosynthetically active radiation) and an average wavelength of 550 nm (average of 400-700nm)

=> Photon density of  $2.024 \times 10^{-3}$  ein/m<sup>2</sup>/s hitting the surface of the water.

Since it takes 4 photons to make an  $H_2$ , the maximum energy of  $H_2$  produced from conversion of all of the photons is:

 $(2.024 \text{ x } 10^{-3} \text{ ein/m}^2/\text{s}) \text{ x (mol H}_2/4 \text{ mol photons) x (2.016g H}_2/\text{mol H}_2) \text{ x (kg H}_2/1000g H}_2) \text{ x (33.33 kWh H}_2/\text{kg H}_2) \text{ x (3600s/hr)} = 0.122 \text{ kWH}_2/\text{m}^2$ 

Taking the ratio of hydrogen energy to the photon energy yields the maximum Solar-to-Hydrogen efficiency:

 $(0.122 \text{kWH}_2/\text{m}^2) / (1.0 \text{kWphoton/m}^2) = 12.2\%$ 

Solar Peak Intensity and Daily Variation  $(W/m^2)$ : Based on actual daily intensity data with amplitude varied to achieve the target total solar daily insolation (5.5kWh/m<sup>2</sup>/day).

**Doubling Time (in hours):** The time, for an algal colony to double in concentration. Typically based on constant 1000W/m<sup>2</sup> sunlight irradiance.

**Hourly Algae Renewal Fraction (in %):** This term is the reactor bed volume fraction that is removed every hour. Removal of algae is necessary to maintain a constant colony of living algae both to remove dead/unproductive algae and/or to remove new growth. It is assumed that all algae (dead, young, and old) are evenly mixed together and preferential removal is not possible. Thus all performance numbers are based on average algae performance.

Growth Reactor: A bioreactor with the main function of promoting algae growth.

**Production Reactor:** A bioreactor with the main function of promoting hydrogen production.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>67</sup> The HHV is defined to be 39.4 kWh/kgH2.

Four types of Systems are analyzed:

**Single Bed:** In this cyclic bioreactor, a single bed first functions as a growth reactor (typically 1-4 days) and then switches to a production reactor (for 1+ day). The end of the production phase is determined by the H<sub>2</sub> production rate dropping below a threshold limit due to death or other impairment of the algae. At the end of the production phase, the bed either returns to the growth phase to rejuvenate the algae or becomes partially or completely removed from the reactor and replaced with fresh, healthy algae.

**Dual Bed:** In the cyclic bioreactor system, a first bed serves as a growth reactor to supply algae to the production reactor second bed. After the algae in the production reactor reaches the end of its useful life, the algae is removed from the reactor.

**Chemostat:** Derived from <u>Chem</u>ical environment is <u>stat</u>ic, a chemostat system is one in which fresh medium is continuously added to the reactor and "old" reactor medium is continuously removed, so that the reactor volume remains constant. In this embodiment, two reactors are used, a growth reactor and a production reactor, but their operation is constant rather than cyclic. The growth reactor continuously produces new algae at a rate that is fed into the production reactor. The production reactor has the same mass rate removed to maintain the mass balance. A centrifuge separates the water from the "dead" algae with the water being recycled back to the growth reactor and the algae going to a landfill or fermentation unit.

**Chemostat II:** The Chemostat II is a single bed chemostat system in which growth and production occur simultaneously in the same reactor. In this yet unproven, idealized system, a portion of the incident photon energy (ideally 22%) is used for cell maintenance (i.e. to keep the algae cells unstressed and healthy) and the remaining photon energy (78%) is used for H<sub>2</sub> production for a net Solar-to-Hydrogen efficiency of 9.2%.

Algae Capture Fraction (in %): The mass capture fraction of algae that is separated by the filter (centrifuge or drum filters). This is nominally 99%. Once separated, the algae enter a fermentation unit or are sent to a landfill.

Algae Water Content (in %): This refers to the water fraction of the algae after it is separated from the renewal fraction by the filters. This is nominally 90%.

## 10. Part 1 Appendix B: Mass Balance

Mas	Mass Balance H <sub>2</sub> Production Phase										
	B1 (daily)	B2 (daily)	B3 (3 days)	B4 (2 days)	B5 (daily)						
Start Conditions - H <sub>2</sub> Produdction											
Algae	1,622	1,622	74,187	98,821	4,330						
Inputs - H <sub>2</sub> Production											
Process Water	10,985	10,985	38,807	22,367	5,614						
Nutrients	0	0	-	-	9,113						
CO <sub>2</sub>	257	257	-	-	-						
Total	12,863	12,863	112,994	121,188	19,058						
Outputs - H <sub>2</sub> Production											
H <sub>2</sub>	1,111	1,111	8,235	4,706	1,111						
O <sub>2</sub>	9,005	9,005	-	-	-						
H <sub>2</sub> 0	-	-	-	-	168						
H <sub>2</sub> 0 Vapor (Evaporation)	951	951	2,010	1,340	650						
CO <sub>2</sub>	-	-	68,696	1,999	606						
Dissolved CO <sub>2</sub>	-	-	21,173	49,355	11,725						
Waste Algae	175	175	-	-	468						
Algae	1,622	1,622	12,879	63,789	4,330						
Total	12,863	12,863	112,994	121,188	19,058						
Balance	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0						

Mass Balance Growth Phase									
	B1	B2	B3 (4 days)	B4 (2 day)	B5				
Start Conditions - Growth									
CO <sub>2</sub> (Dissolved in water)			21,173	1,999					
Algae			12,879	63,789					
Inputs - Growth									
CO <sub>2</sub> (from PSA)			68,695	49,354					
Process Water			39,477	22,367					
Nutrients			1	1					
Total			142,225	137,509					
Outputs Growth									
Algae			74,187	98,821					
H <sub>2</sub> O Vapor (Evaporation)			2,680	1,340					
O <sub>2</sub>			65,359	37,347					
Total			142,225	137,509					
Balance			0.0	0.3					

Ma	Mass Balance Initial Growth Phase										
	B1 (2 days)	B2 (2 Days)	B3 (2 days)	B4 (2 days)	B5 (2 days)						
Inputs - Initial Colony Growth											
Algae	-	-	-	-	-						
Process Water	973	973	7,730	38,286	-						
Nutrients	0	0	0	1	7,793						
CO <sub>2</sub>	2,377	2,377	18,879	93,505	0						
Total	3,350	3,350	26,610	131,793	7,793						
Outputs - Initial Colony Growth											
Algae	1,622	1,622	12,879	63 <i>,</i> 789	4,330						
H <sub>2</sub> O	-	-	-	-	1,559						
O <sub>2</sub>	1,728	1,728	13,730	68,004	1,904						
Total	3,350	3,350	26,610	131,793	7,793						
Balance	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0						

Days of B3 Growth Mode	
Days of B4 Growth Mode 1	
Overall Growth of B3 in Full Growth Mode 5.76	
Overall Growth of B4 in Full Growth Mode 1.55	
Starting Concentration of Algae in B3 0.85 g/l	
Starting Concentration of Algae in B4 1.81 g/l	
End Concentration of Algae in B3 4.91 g/l	
End Concentration of Algae in B42.80 g/l	

## Part II: Algae Fermentative H<sub>2</sub> Production Systems

#### 11. Introduction

As mentioned previously in this report, a constant slip stream will be removed from the raceways in the B-2, B-2, and B-5 systems to ensure that the organism concentration remains static. There is no way to ensure that the organisms removed in the slip stream are all exhausted organisms, thus the slip stream volume will be a mix of old exhausted and new replete organisms. The organisms removed from this slip stream will from here on be referred to as waste organisms. These organisms, while spent of their photo-hydrogen capabilities can still produce hydrogen through a fermentation process. In order to obtain maximal  $H_2$  production, Part II of this report addresses use of the waste organisms as feedstock to a fermentation process using hydrogen-generating bacteria to produce additional  $H_2$ . The process yields and economics will build on the analysis already completed for the Part I photobiological systems.

In Part I of this report, five photobiological hydrogen production systems were defined: three of the five pathways generate a stream of waste organisms suitable for subsequent fermentation. For the B-3 system, an increase in organism mass in the growth stage is needed to provide enough mass for consumption in the oxidative respiration needed to consume the oxygen produced by water splitting to keep the system anaerobic. This mass consumption through respiration will return the system back to its original concentration by the end of the production stage. Thus, no additional mass need be removed.

For the B-4 system, the algae were immobilized on a non-fermentable polypropylene substrate to reduce cost. For this embodiment, feeding the waste organisms to a fermentor would be too costly and cumbersome, and thus the waste organisms from this system are not used for fermentation. In contrast, NREL research for immobilized algae utilizes an alginate film for immobilization. Use of the fermentable alginate film in B-4 would increase B-4 cost but also increase the potential C-4 fermentation  $H_2$  production, and that is an option that could be considered in the future.

Subsequent to this fermentation process, liquid fermentation products, such as acetate, can be recycled into a PNS photobiological reactor bed, or they can be transferred to a Microbial Electrolysis Cell (MEC) for additional hydrogen-production. Figure 11-1 below shows process alternatives considered.



waste

organisms, water

lignocellulosic

biomass

(optional)

Fermentation

MEC

acetate,

other organic acids,

water

#### Figure 11-1. Fermentation Process within a Biological System

## 12. Theoretical and Practical Fermentation Reactions

Chlamydomonas reinhardtii or

Cyanobacteria Photobiological Reactor

nutrients. water

Fermentation is the process of deriving energy from the oxidation of organic compounds, such as carbohydrates. Dark fermentation reactions, as the name suggests, do not require light energy, so they are capable of constantly producing hydrogen from organic compounds throughout the day and night. In theory, any carbohydrate source could be used as a feedstock for fermentation. Algae and other biomass listed in Figure 12-1 are representative fermentation feedstocks.

#### Figure 12-1. Potential Fermentative Feedstock Materials

Algae and other biomass Corn stover hydrolyzate Sugar cane juices Wood fiber hydrolyzates from pulp mills Biomass hydrolyzates from agricultural resides Energy crops

The feedstock is composed of a starch/glucose component as well as proteins and lipids and other components. If it were possible to convert all of the waste organism starch entering the fermentation processes to hydrogen, the stoichiometric result, using glucose as a representative of starch feedstock, would be:

#### $C_6H_{12}O_6 + 6H_2O => 12H_2 + 6CO_2.$

However, this theoretical limit does not naturally occur<sup>68</sup>. Instead, the observed glucose reactions are a conversion to  $H_2$ ,  $CO_2$ , and acetate which is represented as:

#### $C_6H_{12}O_6 + 2H_2O => 4H_2 + 2CO_2 + 2C_2H_4O_2.$

This is known as the Thauer limit and results in 4.5%  $H_2$  output by mass relative to the glucose component<sup>68</sup>. An alternative, less complete, reaction that also takes place forms  $H_2$ , CO<sub>2</sub>, and butyl acid:

#### $C_6H_{12}O_6 => 2H_2 + 2CO_2 + C_4H_8O_2$

In actual practice, a combination of these reactions takes place, yielding between 2 and 4 moles of hydrogen per mole of glucose.

#### 13. <u>Algae Fermentation Tests</u>

The organisms under consideration consist primarily of starches, lipids, and proteins. Multiple tests have been run by researchers with NREL support on fermentation of *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*. The consortium ferments the starch and lipid and protein components, at least partially. However, that research is not yet complete<sup>69</sup>.

The most directly applicable data for starch fermentation is from Project 3.3, Photobiological Systems for Hydrogen Photoproduction, Task 3 – Integrated Systems. Test results from this project for FY2007 and FY2008 were provided as references for the design of the fermentation system. The First Quarter FY08 results are summarized in Figure 13-1. These results have a comprehensive compilation of algae components and hydrogen outputs and were used as guidelines for the fermentation analysis along with the more recent data from the emails referenced.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>68</sup> Benemann, John R. and Paola M. Pedroni. 4.3 Biological production of H<sub>2</sub>: mechanisms and processes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>69</sup> Maria Ghirardi. Project 3.3:Photobiological systems for Hydrogen Photoproduction. 1<sup>st</sup> Quarter 2008 Report.

		Input ma	ss - Alga	е		H <sub>2</sub> Output			
Algae Characteristics	Total mass [mg]	Starch mass [mg]	Starch [% of algae]	lipid + protein %	Dwell time [hr]	H <sub>2</sub> [µmol]	weight % per algae [g/g]	Mole % H2 to glucose	
			Januar	y 2008					
sulfur deprived, 21 hr	5.77	1.87	32.4%	NA	120	17.68	0.618%	1.70	
sulfur deprived,142 hr	29.8	1.79	6.0%	NA	hr	22.97	0.155%	2.31	
sulfur and phosphate deprived, 142 hrs	86.2	1.76	2.0%	NA	max	38.44	0.090%	3.93	

Figure 13-1. NREL Fermentation Experimental Data <sup>70</sup>

Results<sup>69</sup> show that the extent of algal sulfur deprivation has a direct effect on the algae's total glucan content (glucose, starch, and glycogen), with the percentage mass of starch in the tested algae varying from 32% at 21 hours of sulfur deprivation, to 6% at 142 hours of sulfur deprivation, to 2% at 142 hours of sulfur and phosphate deprivation. The bacteria used in fermentation tests with these algae samples carried out fermentation mainly on the starch component, so the H<sub>2</sub> outputs were dependent on the starch percentages. For these 3 samples, test results yielded H<sub>2</sub> masses that were respectively 0.618%, 0.155%, and 0.090% of the algae cdw. The results from the tests referenced are described in Figure 13-1. Note that in the last case, the molar yield of H<sub>2</sub> to glucose is 3.93, very close to the Thauer limit of 4.0, indicating that some H<sub>2</sub> was generated from lipid and protein components.

Previous work on the sulfur deprivation of *C. reinhadtii*<sup>71</sup> indicated that the starch content of cells grown under sulfur replete conditions and resuspended in a sulfur-deprived medium (t=0) is similar to that of cells deprived of sulfur for 142 hours. For the purposes of this analysis, thus, we used the data from the second row of Figure 89 to represent the starch amount of cultures in the three photobiological systems. The data shown in the third row reflects the starch amount of cultures that are immobilized in alginate films (not addressed in this analysis).

Current NREL research with different bacteria consortia have shown that  $H_2$  can also be generated from the lipid and protein content of the algae. Therefore, it is expected that future research will raise  $H_2$  output from this algae, and it was assumed that an  $H_2$  output between the 0.62% level and the 0.16% level would be representative of future capabilities, and a level of 0.4%  $H_2$ /algae cdw was used in this analysis.

Though First Quarter FY08 results were for a test time of 120 hours, other tests (Fourth Quarter FY07 and Fourth Quarter FY08) indicate that cumulative  $H_2$  production plateaus after 72 hours into a fermentative cycle. Thus, it was assumed that the fermentation process took 72 hours.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>70</sup> Maria Ghirardi. Project 3.3: Photobiological Systems for Hydrogen Photoproduction. 1<sup>st</sup> Quarter Report. 22 January 2008.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>71</sup> Kosourov, S.; Seibert, M.; Ghirardi, M. L. (2003). Effects of Extracellular pH on the Metabolic Pathways in Sulfur-Deprived H2-Producing Chlamydomonas Reinhardtii Cultures. Plant and Cell Physiology. Vol. 44(2), 2003; pp. 146-155; NREL Report No. JA-590-34437.

## 13.1 Fermentor Feedstock

As discussed above, feedstock of interest is waste organisms from photobiological processes. To facilitate the integration system task that comes after this fermentation analysis, the feedstock quantities and composition evaluated here are those identified in the Photobiological Characterization Report.<sup>72</sup> Based on the previous photobiological systems examined, three possible fermentor feedstocks are shown in Figure 89. These are the waste algae or bacteria from photobiological systems B-1, B-2, and B-5. For the sake of consistency, the fermentor systems will be tagged C-1, C-2, and C-5 to correspond with the photobiological system from which it obtains its feedstock. Because the efficiencies and composition of each of photobiological systems vary, the slurry feedstock to the fermentor will differ. Note that there is no C-3 or C-4 fermentation pathway for reasons discussed earlier.

		C-1	C-2	C-5
Photobiological Reactor Bed Type		Chemostat II	Chemostat II	Chemostat II
Organism		Chlamydomonas reinhardtii	Cyanobacteri a	purple non-sulfur proteobacterium (PNS)
Slurry extracted from 10 TPD photobio reactor bed	%/day	10%	10%	10%
(0.2 g/L organism density)	gal/hr	96,391	96,391	257,414
	L/hr	364,840	364,840	974,312
Organism mass extracted (organism dry mass)	kg/hr	73	73	195
	kg/day	1,751	1,751	4,677
<b>Fermentor Input</b> % organism/water by weight <sup>73,74</sup>	%	20%	20%	20%
Mass organism / liter	g/L	200	200	200
Daily Quantity	L/day	8,756	8,756	23,383
Fermentation time	hrs	72	72	72
	days	3	3	3

Figure 13-2. Anal	vsis Feedstocks Defined (†	from 10TPD Photobiological System	n)
I Igui e Io 2. I mai	ysis i coustoeks Denneu (	from for D f notobiological System	,

## 13.1.1 C-1 Feedstock

The organism in the C-1 system is an oxygen-tolerant hydrogenase, *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii cc124* as defined in the B-1 Photobiological Pathway. These algae have been cultivated in a Chemostat II reactor bed<sup>75</sup> which is continuously extracting a slipstream of organism/water slurry to maintain a constant cell concentration. As mentioned previously, since there is no way to sort the organisms so that only exhausted ones are expunged from

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>72</sup>James, Brian D. *Task B: Photobiological H*<sub>2</sub> *Production Subsystems Characterization Report.* NREL Contract# AFH-8-88601-01. 24 December 2008.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>73</sup> Aden, A. et al.. Lignocellulosic Biomass to Ethanol Process Design and Economics Utilizing Co-Current Dilute Acid Prehydrolysis and Enzymatic Hydrolysis for Corn Stover. NREL Contract# DE-AC36-99-GO10337. June 2002, NREL/TP-510-32438.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>74</sup> Remainder is water.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>75</sup> While a generic chemostat system in defined as a reactor in which a constant volume of medium is maintained, the Characterization Report defines a Chemostat II as a chemostat system in which organism growth and hydrogen production simultaneously occur.

the reactor bed, we must assume a normally distributed cell age within the slurry. The quantities listed in the table above are computed based on the slipstream amount from a standard reactor bed size.

## 13.1.2 C-2 Feedstock

The organism in the C-2 system is cyanobacteria, an oxygen-tolerant hydrogenase, based on the Synechocystis PCC6803 mutant from the B-2 Photobiological Pathway. These organisms have also been cultivated in a Chemostat II reactor bed which is continuously extracting a slipstream of organism/water slurry to maintain a constant cell concentration.

Certain filamentous cyanobacteria acquire both longer filament lengths with age and develop gas vacuoles with age leading to compulsory flotation, while younger less buoyant cells remain dispersed. Separation of organisms by age may thus be more readily carried out for these bacteria, allowing removal of only the old organisms. This attribute could be exploited in a future design

The quantities listed in Figure 13-2 above are computed based on the slipstream amount from a standard reactor bed size. However there is no data on the fermentation of these organisms, thus we assume the organism fermentation is similar to *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*.

## 13.1.3 C-5 Feedstock

The organism in the C-5 system is a purple non-sulfur proteobacterium from the B-5 Photobiological Pathway. These bacteria have been cultivated in a Chemostat II reactor bed which is continuously extracting a slipstream of organism/water slurry to maintain a constant cell concentration. Since there is no way to sort these organisms, we assume a normally distributed cell age. The quantities listed in the table above are computed based on the slipstream amount from a standard reactor bed size.

#### 14. Basic Fermentation System Diagram

In Figure 11-1 the fermentation system within a biological process is shown. This section of the report will delve into that portion of the system and describe in detail the components and the operation. Figure 14-1 shows the fermentation system is made of two subassemblies, fermentation and gas capture. Each of these subassemblies is described further below.



#### **Figure 14-1. Fermentation System Design**

#### 14.1 Fermentation Operation Scheme

In a prior section, it was mentioned that  $H_2$  cumulative production at lab scale tests plateaus in a 72 hour cycle. Thus we have taken 72 hours to be the duration of the fermentation process. Data indicates that the production rate over the 72 hours is not constant, but varies from minimal output for the first 24 hours, to max rate over the next 44 hours, and drops down to zero over the next 4 hours.

In the fermentation process at larger scales, mixing will be a major concern to remove oxygen and to control variables such as pH and residual oxidants (oxygen and nitrate). For this purpose, the fermentation tanks each include a tank agitator. In addition, it will be needed to continuously monitor and control variables such as pH.

Because of the 3 day fermentation period, there are 3 fermentors running in parallel, with a fourth in recharging status or in reserve. At the end of the 72 hour process the vessel will contain solids residue which will need to be cleaned out prior to beginning the next batch. The fourth vessel will be cleaned while the other three are used.

There are two options available to handle the variability. First, downstream equipment can be sized for peak rates and operate at reduced capacity during non-peak production. Second, the downstream equipment can be sized for average rates, and the plant design and operation adjusted to accommodate the variable gas production. The decision of which route to take is an economic one. In our case the downstream components are a compressor, gas separation system, and a flow meter. The flow meter operation is not impacted by the variability in hydrogen production, however the compressor and gas separator are. We choose to operate the downstream equipment at steady-state conditions and accommodate the variable gas production.

There are several ways the plant design could be modified to ensure the gas capture subassembly encounters a constant and continuous flow of output gas. The most direct would be to install a buffer storage tank between the two subassemblies. While simple in practice, storage of hydrogen at low pressures is voluminous. Thus in our design we have opted to build several fermentation vessels and stage the start of the fermentation process in each so that the cumulative hydrogen production from all vessels is leveled.

## 14.2 *Fermentor Subassembly*

The fermentor subassembly conveys algae into the fermentors and processes it at the necessary conditions to produce hydrogen. In order to accomplish this, the subassembly is comprised of a pump, inlet valves, fermentation vessels, outlet valves, and piping. The pump transfers wet organisms and bacteria into the subassembly. In an integrated system one could assume that the organisms are collected from the rotary drum filters described in the photobiological systems report. The inlet and outlet valves are considered manual and necessary to isolate each vessel. The need to isolate each vessel will be evident when the operation scheme is described. Piping is needed to move material into the vessels. Because the quantities are low, pipe cross-sectional area is also low. Only short piping runs are needed due to the relatively low diameter of the fermentation tanks and the resulting close spacing of the tanks.

The last piece of equipment in this system is the fermentation vessel itself. These vessels are designed for atmospheric pressure and temperature.

## 15. <u>Capital Cost of the Fermentation System</u>

Based on the system configurations described above, bills of material (BOM's) were prepared for each of the fermentative systems. These BOM's appear in Figure 15-1 through Figure 15-2.

#### Figure 15-1: BOM for Fermentor Systems C-1 and C-2 (sized for the organism wastestream of a 10TPD B-1 or B-2 Photobiological Hydrogen production system)

Description	Size Req'd	Units	Unit Size	Units	Unit cost	Qty Req'd	-	Total Cost	Material / Part Description
Fermentation Subassembly									
Tank	2312	gal	1	gal	\$ 2.00	9,247	\$	18,493	This is a scaled cost based on the capital costs from NREL Report TP-510-32438
Slurry Piping - 0.5"	500 1	ft	1	ft	\$ 0.52	500	\$	260	Sized using Continuity Equation. Assumed a velocity of 1 ft/sec.
Slurry Piping - 1"	3734 1	ft	1	ft	\$ 1.00	3,734	\$	3,734	Sized using Continuity Equation. Assumed a velocity of 1 ft/sec.
Pump	2312	gal/day	4	gal/min	\$ 198.50	1	\$	199	http://www.grainger.com/Grainger/Items/1P795
Inlet Valves	<b>1</b> i	in	1	each	\$ 67.23	4	\$	269	http://www.valvestore.com/products.asp?dept=1485
Outlet Valves	<b>0.5</b> i	in	1	each	\$ 46.85	4	\$	187	http://www.valvestore.com/products.asp?dept=1485
Water	18,493	gal	1	gal	\$ 0.001665	18,493	\$	30.79	H2A Costing
Gas Capture Subassembly									
Compressor	0.29	kgmol/h	0.29	kgmol/hr	\$ 9,233.00	1	\$	2,679	H2A Costing
PSA					\$ 5,738	1	\$	5,738	H2A Costing
Gas Piping - 0.5"	100	ft	1	ft	\$ 0.52	100	\$	52	Sized using the Continuity Equation. Assumes 1 mol of H2, 0.5 Mol of CO@, and water vapor
Hydrogen Flow Meter			1		\$ 5,500.00	1	\$	5,500.00	Information from Emerson Process Management
					System	Initial Cost	Ś	37.142	

## Figure 15-2: BOM for 10 TPD Fermentor System C-5 (sized for the PNS waste-stream of a 10TPD B-5 Photobiological Hydrogen production system)

Description	Size Req'd	Units	Unit Size	Units		Unit cost	Qty Req'd		Total Cost	Material / Part Description
Fermentation Subassembly										
Tank	6173	gal	1	gal	\$	2.00	24,693	\$	49,386	This is a scaled cost based on the capital costs from NREL Report TP-510-32438
Slurry Piping - 0.5"	500	ft	1	ft	\$	0.52	500	\$	260	Sized using Continuity Equation. Assumed a velocity of 1 ft/sec.
Slurry Piping - 1"	5077	ft	1	ft	\$	1.00	5,077	\$	5,077	Sized using Continuity Equation. Assumed a velocity of 1 ft/sec.
Pump	6173	gal/day	4	gal/min	\$	198.50	1	\$	199	http://www.grainger.com/Grainger/items/1P795
Inlet Valves	1	in	1	each	\$	67.23	4	\$	269	http://www.valvestore.com/products.asp?dept=1485
Outlet Valves	0.5	in	1	each	\$	46.85	4	\$	187	http://www.valvestore.com/products.asp?dept=1485
Water	49,386	gal	1	gal	\$	0.001665	49,386	\$	82.23	H2A Costing
Gas Capture Subassembly										
Compressor	0.77	kgmol/h	0.77	kgmol/hr	۰\$	9,233.00	1	\$	7,154	H2A Costing
PSA					\$	8,115	1	\$	8,115	H2A Costing
Gas Piping - 0.5"	100	ft	1	ft	\$	0.52	100	\$	52	Sized using the Continuity Equation. Assumes 1 mol of H2, 0.5 Mol of CO@, and water vapor
Hydrogen Flow Meter			1		\$	5,500.00	1	\$	5,500.00	Information from Emerson Process Management
System Initial Cost								Ś	76,281	

As shall be noted when examining the cost results, tank capital cost and labor assumptions play an important role in the cost examination. For this reason, they are discussed in more detail below.

#### 15.1 Tank Cost

Capital cost of the stainless steel fermentation vessels is based on data from an NREL report<sup>76</sup> by Aden et al that gives us a 2.00/gallon cost for fermentor tanks in this size range operating at atmospheric pressure. As shown in the system bills of material, typical fermentor tank size for systems C-1, C-2, and C-5 is around 2,000-7,000 gallons.

#### 16. Fermentation Outputs for C-1 through C-5

Based on the above assumptions, the daily hydrogen output resulting from fermentation of algae from the photobiological pathways (B-1, B-2 and B-5) was calculated.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>76</sup> Aden, A. et al.. Lignocellulosic Biomass to Ethanol Process Design and Economics Utilizing Co-Current Dilute Acid Prehydrolysis and Enzymatic Hydrolysis for Corn Stover. NREL Contract# DE-AC36-99-GO10337. June 2002, NREL/TP-510-32438.

		C-1	C-2	C-5
Photobiological Reactor Bed Type		Chemostat II	Chemostat II	Chemostat II
Organism		Chlamydomonas reinhardtii	Cyanobacteria	purple non-sulfur proteobacterium (PNS)
Hydrogen Produced weight % relative to organism =0.4%	kg/day	7.0	7.0	18.7

Figure 16-1. Fermentative Hydrogen Ouput (from 10TPD Photobiological System)

For the C-1/C-2 process this amounts to only 7.0 kg  $H_2$ /day for the system producing 10,000 kg/day from the photobiological process.

## 17. Levelized Costs Assumptions & Calculations (H2A)

Thus far, this report has discussed the capital cost and expenditures associated with building a fermentative hydrogen plant. While this is critical and valuable information, the build decision is ultimately based on the financial and economic benefits of these capital expenditures. The investment is quite large and cannot be properly evaluated without some knowledge of the expected return on that investment. In order to evaluate the return, DTI has performed a discounted cash flow (DCF) analysis using the H2A Forecourt Production Model, Version 2.0.

Discounted cash flow is a method of evaluating an investment by estimating the present value of future cash flows and taking into consideration the time value of money. The method uses future free cash flow projections and discounts them using the weighted average cost of capital (WACC) to arrive at a present value (PV). Two basic DCF methods are the net present value (NPV) method and the internal rate of return (IRR) method, both of which take into account the time-value of money, and are similar to the methods used in computing interest-income on bank deposits. This analysis uses the IRR method. Recall that IRR is the discount rate where the PV of total cash inflows equals the PV of the total cash outflows.

The H2A model uses the DCF methodology described above. However future cash inflows from sale of the hydrogen are highly speculative. Thus, the DCF results in this analysis are presented in a slightly different manner. Rather than predict cash inflows from sales and compute the IRR, our analysis pre-selects an IRR value which would be agreeable to investors. It then assumes sales are equal to the amount of product that can be produced over the analysis period. With those two values in places, this analysis computes the price of hydrogen necessary for the IRR at the given sales volume. This price is expressed in \$/kgH<sub>2</sub>. This is often referred to as the levelized cost of hydrogen. Levelized cost is the PV of the all costs associated with building and operating a generating plant over its economic life, converted to equal payments.

## 17.1 Standard H2A parameters

In order to develop levelized costs, several parameters must be defined. Because this analysis focuses on a plant which is still in its conceptual stage, many of the values for these parameters must be assumed. The assumptions are documented in this section. These are meant to represent a baseline system and analysis. Later in the discussion portion of this report, the assumed values can be altered for sensitivity analyses.

Standard H2A values and assumptions shown in Figure 17-1 apply to all biohydrogen pathways. This list does not encompass all parameters which must be defined in order to run the analysis; just those were there is an H2A Default value which has been accepted for this analysis. The remaining parameters are defined later in this section. Some of those parameters are common to all fermentative pathways and others are pathways specific. No dispensing parameters are listed here because they are not factored into the analysis.

Parameter	Assumptions
Operating Period	20 years
Burdened Labor Rate for Staff	\$50/hour for central model
CO2 Capture Credit	Not included in base cases (default value $= 0$ )
CO2 Production Taxes	Not included in base cases (default value = $0$ )
Construction Period and Cash Flow	1 year modeled after forecourt
Co-produced and Cogenerated	\$30/MWh
Electricity Price	
Decommissioning	10% of initial capital for forecourt model
Depreciation Type and Schedule for	MACRS: 20 years for central model
Initial Depreciable Capital Cost	
Facility Life	20 years for forecourt model
G&A Rate	20% of the staff labor costs
Hydrogen Pressure at Central Gate	300 psig
Hydrogen Purity	98% minimum; CO < 10 ppm, sulfur < 10 ppm
Income Taxes	35% federal; 6% state; 38.9% effective
Inflation Rate	1.9%, but with resultant price of hydrogen in
	reference year constant dollars
Installation Cost Factor	1.3 for all models
Land Cost	\$5,000/acre purchased for central model;
Licensing, Permits and fees	\$1000 for forecourt model
O2 Credit	Not included in base cases
Production Maintenance & Repairs	<sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> % of direct capital cost for central model
Property Taxes and Business	2%/year of the total initial capital cost
Insurance	
Reference Financial Structure	100% equity with 10% IRR; includes levelized
	hydrogen price plot for 0%–25% IRR; model
	allows debt financing
Sales Tax	Not included on basis that facilities and related
	purchases are wholesale and through a general
	contractor entity
Salvage Value	10% of initial capital for central model
Working Capital Rate	15% of the annual change in total operating costs

Figure 17-1. H2A Default Values and Assumptions used for all Fern	nentative
Pathways <sup>77</sup>	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>77</sup> Appendix 3: Default Values and Assumptions. H2A Production Model, Version 2.0 User Guide, Rev. DRAFT, June 2008, p. 63.

## 17.2 Pathway Common Parameters

In addition to the financial parameters defined by H2A in the previous section there are other inputs which must be quantified in order to carry out the DCF analysis. All inputs can be found on the following worksheets in the H2A model;

- Input\_Sheet\_Template
- ReplacementCosts
- CapitalCosts

Many of these parameters are specific to the location, operation, and type of plant. In the case of our biohydrogen pathways there are some parameters that are the same for all pathways and some that vary by pathway. The parameters in Figure 17-2 are common to all pathways.

Parameter	Assumed Value	Worksheet Name
Operating Capacity Factor	90%	Input_Sheet_Template
Reference Year Dollars	2005	Input_Sheet_Template
Site Preparation	1% of direct costs minus raceway excavation costs	Input_Sheet_Template
Engineering & design	1% of direct costs	Input_Sheet_Template
Process Contingency	20% of direct costs	Input_Sheet_Template
Project Contingency	\$0	Input_Sheet_Template
Up-Front Permitting Costs	0.5% of direct costs	Input_Sheet_Template
Licensing, Permits, and Fees	\$1000	Input_Sheet_Template
Production Maintenance &	0.5% of direct costs	Input_Sheet_Template
Kepairs		

#### Figure 17-2. Parameters Common to all Pathways

## 17.2.1 Operating Capacity Factor

The operating capacity factor can be found on the Input\_Sheet\_Template worksheet of the model. This analysis assumes that the plant and dispensing station have a 90% operating capacity. This capacity factor takes into considering things such as planned maintenance outages, forced outages, etc.

## 17.2.2 Reference Year Dollars

The reference year dollars parameter is the year dollars in which the cost of hydrogen is reported. The H2A standard is to report out hydrogen costs in 2005 dollars. The model expects capital costs to be entered in 2005 dollars. In this analysis Reference Year 2005 was selected.

## 17.2.3 Site Preparation

The site preparation parameter can be found on the Input\_Sheet\_Template worksheet of the model. In central plants, H2A defaults this value to 1% of direct costs. This analysis uses the same default value.

## 17.2.4 Engineering & design

The engineering & design parameter can be found on the Input\_Sheet\_Template worksheet of the model. In central plants, H2A defaults this value to 13% of direct costs. This analysis uses the same default value.

## 17.2.5 Process Contingency

The process contingency parameter can be found on the Input\_Sheet\_Template worksheet of the model. In central plants, H2A defaults this value to 15% of direct costs. This analysis uses 20% of direct costs due to uncertainties in the system configuration.

## 17.2.6 Project Contingency

The process contingency parameter can be found on the Input\_Sheet\_Template worksheet of the model. In our analysis we have chosen to include all contingency factors in the process contingency parameter, thus the project contingency is set to \$0.

## 17.2.7 Up-Front Permitting Costs

The up-front permitting cost parameter can be found on the Input\_Sheet\_Template worksheet of the model. The H2A default for this parameter is 9% of direct costs. This analysis uses the same default value.

## 17.3 Pathway Specific Parameters

The last type of parameters we identify are those which are specific to each pathway analyzed. Figure 17-3 lists these parameters and rules of thumb applied in computing their values. These are pathway specific because they are associated with feedstock, process design and plant design.

Parameter	Rule Applied	Worksheet Name		
Land Required		Input_Sheet_Template		
Production facility plant staff		Input_Sheet_Template		
Utility Usage	Electricity and water costs use H2A pricing	Input_Sheet_Template		
Feedstock Usage	None	Input_Sheet_Template		
Specified Yearly Replacement	None	ReplacementCosts		
Costs				

#### Figure 17-3. Pathway Specific Parameters

## 17.3.1 Land Required

The land required parameter can be found on the Input\_Sheet\_Template worksheet of the model. In developing the plant design, a land area for the fermentor system was computed and then increased by 30% for conservatism. The 30% factor is meant to encompass area requirements for pump skids, compressors, gas and other process flow components and a small control room. The total land requirement for each pathway is shown in Figure 17-4.

ingure if in Land Required for each rathway		
Pathway	Area (m <sup>2</sup> )	
C-1	1,012	
C-2	1,012	
C-5	1,012	

## Figure 17-4. Land Required for each Pathway

## 17.3.2 Production facility plant staff

The production facility plant staff parameter can be found on the Input\_Sheet\_Template worksheet of the model. This represents the number of full-time employees required to operate the plant. There is no H2A default value for this. Our analysis for each of the pathways is shown in Figure 17-5.

#### Figure 17-5. Plant Staff Requirements for Fermentor plant

Pathway	Total Workers Needed
C-1	1 man/3 shifts/day
C-2	1 man/3 shifts/day
C-5	1 man/3 shifts/day

## 17.3.3 Energy Usage

The usage of utilities, feedstocks and creation of byproducts can be found on the Input\_Sheet\_Template worksheet of the model. There are no H2A default values for these.

inguie if of Electricity chage		
Pathway	Electricity (kWh/kg H <sub>2</sub> )	
C-1	6.15	
C-2	6.15	
C-5	3.90	

#### Figure 17-6. Electricity Usage

## 17.3.4 Specified Yearly Replacement Costs

The specified yearly replacement costs can be found on the Replacement Costs worksheet of the model. There is no H2A default value for this and no fermentative system component replacements are assumed.

## 17.3.5 Baseline Uninstalled Costs

The baseline uninstalled costs can be found on the Capital Costs worksheet of the model. There is no H2A default value for this. These are the capital costs of the equipment that were computed in an earlier part of this project. Those separately calculated values will be imported into this area of the H2A Production Model to access the economic benefit of the capital expenditure. These costs are provided in the bill of materials for each pathway.

## 17.3.6 Installation Cost Factor

The installation cost factor parameter can be found on the Capital Costs worksheet of the model. The default value for this is 1.3.

## 17.4 Levelized Costs

Once all the cost parameters are specified, the H2A Production Model can perform levelized cost calculations. Parameters can be changed in a sensitivity analysis to access the impact on the cost per kilogram of hydrogen.

## 18. <u>Cost Results for the Fermentative Systems</u>

Based on the system diagrams, performance, and capital cost presented above, the projected hydrogen cost was next calculated using the H2A analysis model<sup>78</sup>. The total levelized cost of hydrogen for each fermentative pathway is shown in Figure 18-1.

Total Cost of Produced H <sub>2</sub>				
Cost Component	Hydrogen Production C	Hydrogen Production Cost Contribution (\$/kg)		
System	C1/C2	C5		
Capital Costs	\$6.69	\$2.91		
Decommissioning Costs	\$0.03	\$0.01		
Fixed O&M	\$165.70	\$62.10		
Feedstock Costs	\$0.00	\$0.00		
Other Raw Material Costs	\$0.00	\$0.00		
Byproduct Credits	\$0.00	\$0.00		
Other Variable Costs (including utilities)	\$0.32	\$1.14		
Total	\$172.73	\$66.17		

#### Figure 18-1: H2A Model Projected Hydrogen Cost per kg from Fermentor Systems C-1, C-2, and C-5

Note that the project cost of hydrogen is quite high (~\$70-\$170/kg) due to two primary factors. The first is the low kg/day of hydrogen production being produced from the system: 7-19 kg H<sub>2</sub>/day. This has an amplifying affect since all costs must be amortized over a small amount of hydrogen. The second factor is labor cost, which is reflected under fixed O&M (operating and maintenance) cost. Labor cost is set at 1 laborer per shift, for each of the three shifts per day. Sensitivity to production scale and labor costs is examined in the next section.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>78</sup> H2A Forecourt Production Model, Version 2.0.

#### 19. <u>Processing of Fermentor Liquid Outputs</u>

For the 3 photobiological systems feeding the fermentor, each system producing 10 tonnes/day, the major useful outputs from the fermentor are shown in Figure 19-1.

Fermentor	Fermentor	Output from Fermentor (kg/day)			
System	Source	${ m H}_2$	CO <sub>2</sub>	Acetate	Lipid,Protein & Other
C-1, C-2	B-1, B-2	7.01	76.5	104.3	1563.6
C-5	B-5	18.7	204.1	278.6	4175.2

Figure 19-1: Fermentor Outputs

For the C-5 system, the acetate is used as feedstock to the ponds for PNS organism growth. It is not determined whether the acetate would be separated from the other fermentation byproducts or whether the entire byproduct stream could be used. At any rate, at the quantities produced in the fermentor, the acetate is only 3% of the B-5 system requirements.

One alternative for obtaining additional gaseous products from the unused fermentation byproduct is a separate fermentation step. The remaining unreacted lipids and proteins could potentially be converted to gases ( $H_2$ ,  $CO_2$ ,  $CH_4$ , and  $NH_3$ ) in additional fermentation reactions with different bacteria. Research work is ongoing to examine capabilities in those areas.

Another post-processing alternative for the acetate and other simple organic liquids from C-1 and C-2 is the use of a Microbial Electrolysis Cell (MEC) system. The MEC is an electrolysis unit that uses bacteria on the anode along with a moderate electrode voltage to carry out an electrolysis reaction of acetate (and other organic acids and alcohols) with water, producing  $H_2$  at the cathode and  $CO_2$  at the anode. The details of the MEC are discussed in a following section on lignocellulose fermentation and processing.

The MEC sizing is determined by the volume of the diluted liquid organic input stream and the required residence time of the reaction. We assumed an acetate concentration of 2.0 gram acetate per liter. Residence time in the MEC depends on the voltage applied, with MEC size and cost decreasing with increased voltage up to a maximum of around 0.9-1.0 volt. For these algae reactions a voltage of 0.9 Volts was assumed, which requires a 1 day residence time. For systems C-1 and C-2, (corresponding to biological pathways B-1 and B-2), the processing of the liquid streams and the  $H_2$  output is shown in Figure 19-2.

Parameters	Units	System C-1 and C-2
Acetate Flow Rate	kg/day	104.3
Reactant water	kg/day	56.3
MEC - $H_2$ output	kg/day	12.6
MEC Flow Rate	gal/day	13,782
MEC Residence Time	days	1
Total MEC Volume	gal	13,782
Number of MECs	tanks	1

#### Figure 19-2: MEC Flows

Mass Balances summarizing the flows for C-1/C-2 and C-5 are shown in Figure 19-3 and Figure 19-4:

## Figure 19-3. C-1/C-2 Fermentation + MEC

## C-1/C-2 Fermentation + MEC





The heat balance will involve heating/cooling to maintain fermentation temperature. At the current stage of the algae fermentation research, this requirement has not been quantified.

#### 20. Algae Fermentation System Conclusions and Recommendations

It is apparent that the initial fermentation step doesn't produce much  $H_2$  (~7-19 kg  $H_2$ /day) when compared to the 10,000 kg  $H_2$ /day photobiological system production rate from which it draws its organism feedstock.

If the full stoichiometric level of  $H_2$  fermentation of algal glucose were achievable through use of additional processing systems, one could potentially obtain three times more hydrogen. However, this is still only a small fraction of the targeted production rate of 10,000 kg H<sub>2</sub>/day. It is also possible that a substantial amount of hydrogen can be obtained from the protein and lipid components, which constitute about 67% of the waste algae mass. Research is being carried out to optimize the fermentative process and to assess how much additional hydrogen might be available in these components.

For the C-5 system, the fermentor byproduct acetate can be used as nutrient to the photobiological beds, providing 3% of the requirement. Future research might bring about a more complete fermentation reaction that would produce more acetate, more in line with the B-5 bed needs.

The algal fermentor may also be useful to produce acetate for an MEC. Addition of the MEC provides an additional 180%  $H_{2}$ , raising total C-1/C-2 output from 7kg/day to 20 kg/day, but that is still a small amount compared with the photobiological production of 10,000 kg/day. MEC operation is discussed in detail in the section on Lignolcellulosic fermentation and postprocessing. Integrated operation of a Fermentor and an MEC is discussed in the section on integrated system.

# Part III: Lignocellulosic Fermentative H<sub>2</sub> Production Systems

# & Microbial Electrolysis Systems

## 21. Lignocellulosic Hydrogen Production

An important pathway available for production of renewable hydrogen is fermentation of carbohydrate-rich feedstock. Fermentation is the process of oxidation of organic compounds, such as carbohydrates. Dark fermentation reactions with select bacteria are capable of producing hydrogen from organic compounds throughout the day and night. In theory, any carbohydrate source could be used as a feedstock. Examples of potential lignocelluloses fermentative feedstocks are shown in Figure 21-1. The rate of H<sub>2</sub> production via fermentation is relatively fast, and the reaction takes place continuously<sup>79</sup>. These features simplify reactor design and operation compared to photobiological pathways.

#### Figure 21-1. Potential Fermentative Feedstock Materials

Algae and other biomass
Corn Stover
Switchgrass
Wood fiber

The lignocellulosic biomass feedstocks of concern in this section of the report consist of cellulose, hemicellulose, and lignin components as shown in Figure 21-2.

Figure 21-2. Lignocellulosic Biomass



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>79</sup> Hawkes, F.R, Dinsdale, R., Hawkes, D.L., and Hussy, I. 2002. Sustainable fermentative hydrogen production: challenges for process utilization. Intl. J. Hydrogen Energy 27, 1339-1347.

Several technical issues are concerns relative to the economic feasibility of the fermentation process. Those identified by the HFC&IT Program<sup>80</sup> are:

- Glucose feedstock cost (barrier AT);
- H<sub>2</sub> molar yield (mol H<sub>2</sub>/mol hexose) (AR); and
- Waste acid accumulation (AS)

The cost of feedstock and  $H_2$  molar yield thus play important roles in determining the viability of this process. This was confirmed by a preliminary boundary analysis for  $H_2$  production by fermentation, conducted by Tim Eggeman<sup>81</sup> for a DOE Workshop on "Hydrogen Production via Direct Fermentation."

#### 21.1 Fermentation Reactions

As cellulose and hemicellulose feedstock consist of long chains of glucose, xylose, and other sugar compounds, they can generally be represented in a reaction equation as glucose. If it were possible to convert all of the glucose in the fermentation processes to hydrogen, the stoichiometric result would be:

#### $C_6H_{12}O_6 + 6H_2O => 12H_2 + 6CO_2.$

However, this theoretical limit does not occur in vivo because the overall energetics is not favorable. The complete set of enzymes needed for this multi-step conversion does not function in vivo toward hydrogen production. Instead microbes opt to produce a mixture of organic acids including acetic acid and butyric acid thus lowering the yield of hydrogen<sup>82</sup>. In an "ideal" fermentation reaction, the glucose reacts with water to produce H2 and CO2 gas plus acetic acid as the only non-gaseous by-product:

#### $C_6H_{12}O_6 + 2H_2O => 4H_2 + 2CO_2 + 2CH_3COOH$

This is known as the Thauer limit and results in a maximal 4.5% H<sub>2</sub> output by mass relative to the glucose component<sup>68</sup>. No known single microbe is able to achieve this yield. An alternative, less favorable, reaction could also take place and form H<sub>2</sub> with butyrate as the organic acid product:

## $C_6H_{12}O_6 => 2H_2 + 2CO_2 + CH_3CH_2CH_2COOH$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>80</sup> "Hydrogen, Fuel Cells & Infrastructure Technologies Program, Multi-Year Research, Development and Demonstration Plan," Section 3.1 Hydrogen Production, U.S. Department of Energy: Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy; Hydrogen, Fuel Cells, and Infrastructure Technology Program. April 2007. www.eere.energy.gov/hydrogenandfuelcells/mypp/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>81</sup> Eggeman, T. "Boundary Analysis for H2 Production by Fermentation, Neoterics International, Prepared for the National Renewable Energy Laboratory, March 12, 2004. Accessible from Proceedings of Workshop on "Hydrogen Production via Direct Fermentation."

http://www1/eere.energy.gov/hydrogenandfuelcells/wkshp\_proceedings.html#hydrogen

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>82</sup> Benemann, John R. and Paola M. Pedroni. 4.3 Biological production of H<sub>2</sub>: mechanisms and processes.

To breakdown the cellulose and hemicelluloses, the fermentation process includes a hydrolysis step, a saccharification step, and, finally, a fermentation step for reacting the various sugars produced in the initial steps. In actual practice, the saccharification and fermentation reactions take place in the fermentor. In our analysis we assumed 90% efficiency of the hydrolysis step and 90% efficiency in the saccharification /fermentation step. This leads to 3.2 moles of hydrogen, 1.6 moles of carbon dioxide and byproducts consisting of organic acids and alcohols plus unreacted glucose and other solids (lignin, xylane, etc.). This has been demonstrated in experiments.

Production of 3.2 moles of hydrogen per mole of glucose leads to a mass conversion efficiency in the fermentation process of 3.6% (gram H<sub>2</sub>/gram cellulose and hemicellulose). The feedstock chosen for this analysis is corn stover which is only 64% cellulose and hemicellulose, leading to an estimated corn stover hydrogen conversion efficiency of 2.32% (gram H<sub>2</sub>/gram corn stover).

## 21.2 Assumptions

While much of the process design for our hydrogen fermentation plant is derived from a Lignocellulosic ethanol report<sup>83</sup>, there are some important assumptions in the bacteria performance and process parameters that differentiate the two.

## 21.2.1 Bacteria Assumptions

While testing for bacteria optimization is ongoing, we are hypothesizing performance based on hypothetical genetically engineered organisms capable of a fermentation  $H_2$  molar yield approaching 4. We assume in our analysis that rates of  $H_2$  production are stable for long duration. There will be two types of organisms used concurrently that will carry out saccharification and fermentation of the cellulose and the hemicellulose, avoiding the need for separation and independent reactors. The organism that ferments the cellulose will create its own cellulase enzyme which obviates the need for an outside cellulase source. Finally, we are assuming that the non-gas products of the fermentation are primarily acetic acid with lignins not reacting.

## 21.2.2 Process Assumptions

Several of the processes involved in the ethanol fermentation report have been combined or eliminated in our version, simplifying the overall process. First, we assume that hydrolysis pretreatment breaks down the hemicellulose and cellulose inputs into reactable products. This pretreatment relies on high temperature acid hydrolysis alone, rather than the steam explosion process used in the ethanol report. We are using a feedstock cost that is minimal compared to a pure glucose feedstock. We have also assumed that saccharification and fermentation can occur in the same reactor. In our process, liming is done in the hydrolysis tank without any detrimental effect to the rest of the process. Lastly, we have assumed 20% solids content in the processed feedstock.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>83</sup> Aden A. et al. <u>Lignocelulosic Biomass to Ethanol Process Design and Economics Utilizing Co-Current Dilute</u> <u>Acid Prehydrolysis and Enzymatic Hydrolysis for Corn Stover</u>. NREL/TP-510-32438, June 2002

## 21.3 Lignocellulosic Fermentative Parameters

The baseline conditions that have been used for a fermentative pathway for hydrogen production using a lignocellulosic feedstock are summarized in Figure 21-3.

System Parameters			
Corn Stover Usage (MT/day)	2352 <sup>84</sup>		
H <sub>2</sub> Production Rate (kgH <sub>2</sub> /day)			
At Fermentor outlet	46,477		
At PSA outlet	37,181 <sup>85</sup>		
Plant Area (Acres)	11		
Land Utilization (kg H <sub>2</sub> /acre/year)	1,112,422		
Water Usage			
(gal/day)	10,539		
(gal/kgH <sub>2</sub> )	0.28		
Electricity Required			
kWhr/day	152,389		
kWh/kgH <sub>2</sub>	4.10		
kWh/kWh H <sub>2</sub>	0.12		
Fermo	entor Parameters		
Bacteria Cell lines	Clostridium Consortium and Clostridium		
	thermocellum		
Duration of Cycle (hrs)	36		
Target Temperature (°C)	55		
Assumed Reaction Efficiency			
Hydrolysis	90%		
Saccharification and	90%		
Fermentation			
Feedstock - to - $H_2$ Conversion			
Efficiency	3.6%		
gram $H_2$ /gram glucose	2.3%		
$gram H_2/gram corn stover$			
Reactor Volume <sup>30</sup>	4 010 075		
Gallons	4,813,255		
Liters	18,220,095		
Theoretical Product Ratio	$4 \mod H_2$		
	$2 \mod CO_2$		
A server a 1 C a s1 Due do s4 D s4	2 mol Acetate		
Assumed Goal Product Katio	$3.2 \text{ mol H}_2$		
	1.0 mol $CO_2$		
	1.0 mol Acetate		

#### Figure 21-3. Parameters for Fermentation System

Note that in current tests the molar yield of  $H_2$  is closer to 2.0 mole  $H_2$  per mole of glucose.

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>84</sup> Assumes 15% water weight, for dry Corn Stover mass of 2,000 Metric Tonnes (MT)/day
 <sup>85</sup> Assumes 80% H<sub>2</sub> recovery in the PSA.
 <sup>86</sup> Volume is main fermentation tanks only- does not include pre-treatment tank volume.

#### 21.4 Engineering Parameters

The lignocellulosic hydrogen production plant has been divided into 7 interconnected subassemblies, namely,

- Corn Stover Prep Subassembly,
- Pretreatment/Hydrolysis Subassembly,
- Fermentation Subassembly,
- Seed Production Subassembly,
- Storage Subassembly,
- Wastewater Treatment Subassembly, and
- Gas Compression and Separation Subassembly

A general diagram showing the basic flow of this system is shown in Figure 21-4. This diagram does not include all of the subassemblies. For a more detailed look at the interaction between the subassemblies please refer to the individual assembly diagrams shown in the subsequent sections. The subassemblies have several components that will also be identified in later sections of this document.

Plant design was based upon a prior NREL report analyzing the design and costs of a lignocellulosic ethanol producing plant<sup>87</sup>. Using information from this report we extrapolated the costs and material requirements into a simplified, future hydrogen production plant using the same feedstock and similar processes. Hydrogen production involves different fermentative bacteria than ethanol production, and there are some additional assumptions made concerning potential advances in fermentation that will allow us to combine processes into one tank. These assumptions have been outlined previously in this report. Given the complexity of scaling such a complex system, we chose to emulate the ethanol plant's scale. Therefore, all components in the following subassemblies are sized and priced analogous to the corresponding ethanol plant components. The volume of hydrogen produced is based upon the fermentation of 2,000 Metric Tons (MT) of corn stover (dry weight), which was determined by the ethanol report to be the most cost-effective volume of feedstock.

Altering certain materials from the NREL report was considered for capital cost savings. Specifically, we analyzed use of concrete tanks instead of stainless steel. The Philadelphia Suburban Water Company conducted a life cycle analysis of concrete tanks and stainless steel tanks used in their water treatment system<sup>88</sup>. The conclusion drawn was that, the long-term durability of stainless steel made it the more cost effective tank solution. Coupled with the NREL reports decision to use stainless steel, we felt that there was no compelling reason to switch tank materials.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>87</sup> Aden A. et al. <u>Lignocelulosic Biomass to Ethanol Process Design and Economics Utilizing Co-Current Dilute</u> <u>Acid Prehydrolysis and Enzymatic Hydrolysis for Corn Stover</u>. NREL/TP-510-32438, June 2002

 <sup>&</sup>lt;sup>88</sup> Philadelphia Suburban Water Co. <u>Steel vs. Concrete – A Life Cycle Analysis</u>.
 http://www.steeltank.com/LinkClick.aspx?fileticket=L1jYUfta9aw%3D&tabid=219&mid=701



#### Figure 21-4. Simplified Lignocellulosic Hydrogen Production Plant

#### 21.5 Corn Stover Prep Subassembly

The corn stover prep subassembly processes bales of corn stover in preparation for hydrolysis. The components of this subassembly in general terms are listed in Figure 21-5 and shown in Figure 21-6.

Figure 21-5. Corn Stover 1 rep Components
Organism Feed Subassembly Components
Bale Transport Conveyor
Bale Unwrapping Conveyor
Belt Press Discharge Conveyor
Shredder Feed Conveyor
Truck Scales
Truck Unloading Forklift
Bale Moving Forklift
Corn Stover Wash Table
Shredder
Concrete Feedstock-Storage Slab
Polymer Feed System
Wash Table Pump
Wash Water Pump

Clarifier Underflow Pump
Clarified Water Pump
Belt Press Sump Pump
Clarifier Thickener
Belt Press
Magnetic Separator
Wash Water Tank
Clarifier Thickener Tank

Bales of corn stover are received by the plant on trucks. The trucks are weighed and unloaded by forklifts. Some bales are sent to storage while the rest are taken directly to conveyors. From there, the bales travel to an automatic unwrapping system that cuts away the plastic wrapping. Unwrapped bales are conveyed to a wash table, which starts breaking up bales and washes dirt from the corn stover. The washed stover is then conveyed past a magnetic separator which removes metal. Then, the stover is passed through primary and secondary shredders which shred the stover into a smaller, more easily processed size. Finally, the processed stover is conveyed to the pretreatment/hydrolysis subassembly.

Dirty wash water is recycled and cleaned utilizing a clarifier-thickener system. The wash water is pumped to the clarifier where clean water is drawn off and recycled back to the wash tables. The underflow from the clarifier is then dewatered in a belt press. Because most of the wash water is recycled through this system, the fresh water requirement is low.



Figure 21-6. Corn Stover Prep Subassembly Design

The equipment in Figure 21-6 is all very commonly available within the agricultural industry. Thus pricing for the equipment was easily located in reference documents and through discussions with suppliers. The capital cost of the subassembly is \$4,038,990 as shown in Figure 21-7.

ComponentsBale Transport400 ft long, 8' wide, 50 HP motor\$ 800,00Conveyor90 bale / hr\$ 300,00Bale Unwrapping90 bale / hr\$ 300,00Belt Press Discharge3' wide X 50' long.\$ 50,00Conveyor\$ 50,00\$ 50,00Shredder Feed8' wide X 30' long. 6001 lbs 31 fpm\$ 240,00Concrete. 8' wide X 30' long. 6001 lbs 31\$ 240,00	Corn Stover Prep	Material Chosen	Total Pricing	
Bale Transport400 ft long, 8' wide, 50 HP motor\$800,00Conveyor90 bale / hrBale Unwrapping90 bale / hr\$300,00Belt Press Discharge3' wide X 50' long.Conveyor\$\$\$0,00Belt Press Discharge3' wide X 50' long.Conveyor\$\$\$0,00Shredder Feed8' wide X 30' long. 6001 lbs 31 fpmConveyor\$\$\$240,00Concrete. 8' wide X 30' long. 6001 lbs 31	Components			
ConveyorBale Unwrapping90 bale / hrConveyor\$ 300,00Belt Press Discharge3' wide X 50' long.Conveyor\$ 50,00Shredder Feed8' wide X 30' long. 6001 lbs 31 fpmConveyor\$ 240,00Concrete. 8' wide X 30' long. 6001 lbs 31	Bale Transport	400 ft long, 8' wide, 50 HP motor	\$	800,000
Bale Unwrapping90 bale / hrConveyor\$ 300,00Belt Press Discharge3' wide X 50' long.Conveyor\$ 50,00Shredder Feed8' wide X 30' long. 6001 lbs 31 fpmConveyor\$ 240,00Concrete. 8' wide X 30' long. 6001 lbs 31	Conveyor			
Conveyor\$ 300,00Belt Press Discharge3' wide X 50' long.Conveyor\$ 50,00Shredder Feed8' wide X 30' long. 6001 lbs 31 fpmConveyor\$ 240,00Concrete. 8' wide X 30' long. 6001 lbs 31	Bale Unwrapping	90 bale / hr	<u>,</u>	• • • • • • •
Belt Press Discharge       3' wide X 50' long.         Conveyor       \$ 50,00         Shredder Feed       8' wide X 30' long. 6001 lbs 31 fpm         Conveyor       \$ 240,00         Concrete. 8' wide X 30' long. 6001 lbs 31	Conveyor		\$	300,000
Conveyor         \$ 50,00           Shredder Feed         8' wide X 30' long. 6001 lbs 31 fpm           Conveyor         \$ 240,00           Concrete. 8' wide X 30' long. 6001 lbs 31	Belt Press Discharge	3' wide X 50' long.	¢	50.000
Shreader Feed8 wide X 30 long. 6001 lbs 31 lpmConveyor\$ 240,00Concrete. 8' wide X 30' long. 6001 lbs 31	Conveyor Shraddar Faad	Quida V 201 lang (001 lbg 21 from	\$	50,000
Concrete. 8' wide X 30' long. 6001 lbs 31	Shredder Feed	8 wide X 30 long. 6001 los 31 lpm	¢	240.000
CONCLUCE O WIDE A JO IONG, 0001 105 J1	Conveyor	Concrete 8' wide X 30' long 6001 lbs 31	φ	240,000
Truck Scales fom \$ 68.00	Truck Scales	fnm	\$	68 000
Truck Unloading Propane Gas Forklift	Truck Unloading	Propane Gas Forklift	ψ	00,000
Forklift \$ 72,00	Forklift		\$	72,000
Bale Moving ForkliftPropane Gas Forklift\$ 72.00	Bale Moving Forklift	Propane Gas Forklift	\$	72 000
Corn Stover Wash A238. 55 ton/hr	Corn Stover Wash	A238. 55 ton/hr	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	,_,
Table \$ 208,00	Table		\$	208,000
Shredder Alloy Steel. 55 ton/hr \$ 1,208,00	Shredder	Alloy Steel. 55 ton/hr	\$	1,208,000
Concrete Feedstock- Concrete Slab. 350' X538'	Concrete Feedstock-	Concrete Slab. 350' X538'		
Storage Slab \$ 450,65	Storage Slab		\$	450,655
Polymer Feed System\$ 30,00	Polymer Feed System		\$	30,000
Wash Table PumpRubber. 2500 gpm, 50 ft head\$ 40,00	Wash Table Pump	Rubber. 2500 gpm, 50 ft head	\$	40,000
Wash Water Pump         SS316. 5000 gpm, 50 ft head         \$ 30,00	Wash Water Pump	SS316. 5000 gpm, 50 ft head	\$	30,000
Clarifier Underflow Rubber. MOD SRL 2X3-10 100 GPM \$ 6 00	Clarifier Underflow	Rubber. MOD SRL 2X3-10 100 GPM	¢	6 000
Pump 50 TDH 5 0,00	Pump	50 TDH	Φ	0,000
Clarified Water Pump SS316. 5000 gpm, 50 ft head \$ 15,00	Clarified Water Pump	SS316. 5000 gpm, 50 ft head	\$	15,000
Belt Press Sump Pump         VJC 1.5X2.11 100 GPM 40 TDH         \$ 19,00	Belt Press Sump Pump	VJC 1.5X2.11 100 GPM 40 TDH	\$	19,000
Clarifier Thickener 5000 GPM mechanism \$ 135,00	Clarifier Thickener	5000 GPM mechanism	\$	135,000
Belt Press         304 SS. 1.5 meter         \$ 100,00	Belt Press	304 SS. 1.5 meter	\$	100,000
Magnetic SeparatorTramp iron magnet separator\$ 10,35	Magnetic Separator	Tramp iron magnet separator	\$	10,355
Wash Water Tank(20' Diameter X 22' Tall, 50,000 gal)\$ 50,00	Wash Water Tank	(20' Diameter X 22' Tall, 50,000 gal)	\$	50,000
Clarifier Thickener Cement. (80' diameter) \$ 135,00	Clarifier Thickener	Cement. (80' diameter)	\$	135,000
Tank	Tank			
TOTAL \$4.038.00	ΤΟΤΑΙ			\$1 038 000

#### Figure 21-7. Capital Cost of Corn Stover Prep Subassembly

#### 21.6 Pretreatment/Hydrolysis Subassembly

The feedstock corn stover consists primarily of three components: cellulose, hemicelluloses, and lignin. Based on the Aden et al. report we have assumed a composition by weight of 36.7% cellulose, 27.4% hemicellulose, 30.8% lignin, and 5.1% other non-reacting matter. The feedstock is mixed with water to the ratio of 20% dry weight and 80% liquid. The purpose of the pretreatment/hydrolysis subassembly is to break down the components of the corn stover into complex sugars on which bacteria are capable of carrying out saccharification and

fermentation. The components of this subassembly in general terms are listed in Figure 21-8 and shown in Figure 21-9.

Pretreatment/Hydrolysis Subassembly Components
Hydrozylate Mix Tank Agitator
Hydrozylate Mixing Tank
In-line Sulfuric Acid Mixer
Sulfuric Acid Pump
Sulfuric Acid Tank
Lime Solids Feeder
Lime Unloading Blower
Lime Dust Vent Baghouse
Lime Storage Bin
Hydrolysis Tank Pump
Hydrolysis Feed Boiler

#### Figure 21-8. Pretreatment/Hydrolysis Components

The pretreatment/hydrolysis subassembly utilizes dilute acid hydrolysis reactions at 150 °C and 4.7 atmospheres to convert the washed and cleaned corn stover feedstock into hemicellulosederived soluble sugars and crystalline cellulose that allow for subsequent bacterial sacchrification and fermentation. Cleaned corn stover from the corn stover prep subassembly mixed with water is heated by two heat exchangers, HX-1 and HX-2, and then heated in a boiler to 150 °C. In the boiler, heat is added to the mixture by burning the byproductH<sub>2</sub>/CO<sub>2</sub> mixture coming from the waste side of the PSA separator. The heated slurry is then pumped into the hydrolysis reactor. The hydrolysis reaction hydrolyzes and breaks down the hemicelluloses portion of the feedstock by adding dilute (1.1%) sulfuric acid at 150 °C. The slurry is treated in this way for two minutes before the overliming process begins. This process raises the pH and re-solidifies the soluble lignin components for later separation. The subassembly components are shown in Figure 21-9.



Figure 21-9. Pretreatment/Hydrolysis Subassembly Design

The equipment in Figure 21-9 is all very commonly available within the agricultural industry. Thus pricing for the equipment was easily located in reference documents and through discussions with suppliers. The capital cost of the subassembly is \$847,017 as shown in Figure 21-10.

Pretreatment/Hydrolysis Components	Material Chosen	Total Pricing
Hydrozylate Mix Tank	SS. Top-Mounted, 1800 rpm, 50 hp	
Agitator		\$ 28,421
Hydrozylate Mixing Tank	SS304. 24770 gal., 13' dia. X 25' high, 15	
Trydrozytate Witxing Talik	min. res. time, 90% wv, atmospheric	\$ 32,237
In-line Sulfuric Acid Mixer	SS304. Static Mixer, 248 gpm total flow.	\$ 2,542
Sulfuric Acid Pump	SS304. 4 gpm, 245 ft. head	\$ 8,289
Sulfuric Acid Tank	Plastic. 6444 gal., 24 hr. residence time,	
Summer Acid Talik	90% wv	\$ 9,441
Lime Solids Feeder	A285C. 8" dia., 140 cfh, 7000 lb/hr max	
	flow conveyor	\$ 3,900
Lime Unloading Blower	C.S. 7425 cfm, 6 psi, 22275 lb/hr	\$ 99,594
I ime Dust Vent Baghouse	A285C, Polyester. 8333 cfm, 1389 sf, 6	
Line Dust Vent Dagnouse	cfm/sf	\$ 140,707
Lime Storage Bin	4455 cf, 14' dia x 25' high, 1.5x rail car vol.,	
Line Storage Din	atmospheric	\$ 136,370
Hydrolysis Tank Pump	SS304. 737 gpm, 200 ft head	\$ 69,516
Hydrolysis Feed Boiler	6000 kW Boiler, 200 PSI. SS304	\$316,000
TOTAL		\$847,017

#### Figure 21-10. Capital Costs of Pretreatment/Hydrolysis Subassembly

## 21.7 Fermentation Subassembly

The fermentation subassembly carries out two processes: Saccharification and fermentation. The sacharification converts the hydrolyzer complex sugars into simple sugars using enzymes. Fermentation describes the subsequent conversion of these simple sugars into hydrogen gas by carefully designed fermentative organisms. As mentioned earlier, these organisms will produce a cellulase enzyme for the saccharification of the cellulose component of the feedstock. The components of this subassembly in general terms are listed in Figure 21-11 and shown in Figure 21-12.

Fermentation Subassembly Components
Fermentation Tank
Fermentation Agitator
Fermentation Recirculation Pump
Lignin Wet Cake Screw
Acetate Product Storage Tank
Acetate Transfer Pump
Pneumapress Filter
Heat Exchanger #1
Heat Exchanger #2
Fermentor Temperature Control

#### **Figure 21-11. Fermentation Components**

In this subassembly the pretreated slurry is pumped into the saccharification/fermentation tank and inoculated with bacteria produced in the seed production subassembly, which will be discussed later in this report. A fraction of the pretreated slurry will also be routed to the seed production subassembly for use in the cultivation of fermentative organisms. To maintain the temperature most conducive to fermentation, a portion of the slurry will be removed from the reactor, cycled through the fermentor temperature control heat exchanger for cooling, and returned to the tank. Residence time for one saccharification and fermentation cycle is a total of 40 hours.

In addition to hydrogen and carbon dioxide gases, the fermentation reaction will also produce acetic acid, which may be further processed into hydrogen by separate bacteria in a subsequent MEC system. This acetate is then pumped from the fermentation tank into temporary storage before being sent on for further hydrogen production or discarded. The subassembly components are all shown in Figure 21–12.



Figure 21-12. Fermentation Subassembly Design

The equipment in Figure 21-12 is all very commonly available within the agricultural industry. Thus pricing for the equipment was easily located in reference documents and through discussions with suppliers. The capital cost of the subassembly is \$8,458,507 as shown in Figure 21-13.
Fermentation Components	Material Chosen	Total Pricing
Fermentation Tank	SS304. 962,651 gal. each, 90% wv, API, atmospheric	\$ 2,466,955
Fermentation Agitator	SS304. Side Mounted, 2 per vessel, 75 hp each, 0.15 hp/1000 gal	\$ 196,760
Fermentation Recirculation Pump	SS304. 1060 gpm, 150 ft head	\$ 68,330
Lignin Wet Cake Screw	14" Dia X 100' Long	\$ 84,185
Acetate Product Storage Tank	SS304. 456617 gal., 45' dia x 40' high, 4 hr res. Time, 90% wv, atmospheric	\$ 160,829
Acetate Transfer Pump	SS304. 1231 gpm total, 615 gpm each, 100 ft head	\$ 53,737
Fermentor Temperature Control	Plate-Frame, SS304, atmospheric	\$ 33,905
Heat Exchanger #1	Plate-Frame, SS304, atmospheric	\$ 22,346
Heat Exchanger #2	Plate Frame, SS304, 4.68 atmospheres	\$ 194,905
TOTAL		\$ 8,424,607

#### Figure 21-13. Capital Costs of Fermentation Subassembly

#### 21.8 Seed Production Subassembly

The purpose of the seed production subassembly is to breed hydrogen producing fermentative bacteria for use in the fermentation reactor. The components of this subassembly in general terms are listed in Figure 21-14 and shown in Figure 21-15.

8
Seed Production Subassembly Components
Seed Hold Tank
Seed Hold Tank Agitator
Seed Hold Transfer Pump
1st Seed Fermentor
2nd Seed Fermentor
3rd Seed Fermentor
4th Seed Fermentor
5th Seed Fermentor
4th Seed Agitator
5th Seed Agitator
4th Seed Fermentor Coil
5th Seed Fermentor Coil
Seed Transfer Pump

#### Figure 21-14. Seed Production Components

The bacteria is grown in a series of seed fermentation vessels. Hydrolyzed slurry and nutrients, such as corn steep liquor (CSL) and Diammonium Phosphate (DAP) are combined with an initial seed inoculum grown in a very small vessel in a laboratory. The result of each seed batch is used as the inoculum for the next size seed increment. This series of scale-ups is continued until the last step is large enough to support fermentation. Finally, the seed inoculum, nutrients, and saccharified slurry are combined in each fermentation tank. The number of fermentors will

be five 1-million gallon vessels in a line with a 40 hour reaction residence time in each. The subassembly components are shown in Figure 21-15.



# Figure 21-15. Seed Production Subassembly Design Seed Production Subassembly

The equipment in Figure 21-15 is all very commonly available within the agricultural industry. Thus pricing for the equipment was easily located in reference documents and through discussions with suppliers. The capital cost of the subassembly is \$1,007,829 as shown in Figure 21-16.

Seed Production	Material Chosen	<b>Total Pricing</b>
Components		
Seed Hold Tank	SS304. 233,333 gal., API atmospheric	\$ 160,829
Seed Hold Tank Agitator	SS304. Top Mounted, 1800 rpm, 23 hp, 0.1 hp/1000 gal	\$ 12,492
Seed Hold Transfer Pump	SS304. 172 gpm, 150 ft head	\$ 22,050
1st Seed Fermentor	SS304. 20 gal, jacketed, agitated, 1.3' dia., 2' high, 15 psig	\$ 29,400
2nd Seed Fermentor	SS304. 194 gal., jacketed, agitated, 3' dia., 4' high, 2.5 psig	\$ 65,200
3rd Seed Fermentor	SS304. 1950 gal., jacketed, agitated, 6.5' dia, 8' high, 2.5 psig	\$ 162,200
4th Seed Fermentor	SS304. 19444 gal., 12' dia x 23' high, atmospheric	\$ 78,320
5th Seed Fermentor	SS304. 194500 gal., API, atmospheric	\$ 293,097
4th Seed Agitator	SS. Top Mounted, 1800 rpm, 6 hp, 0.3 hp/1000 gal	\$ 23,289
5th Seed Agitator	SS. Top Mounted, 1800 rpm, 19 hp, 0.1 hp/1000 gal	\$ 20,582
4th Seed Fermentor Coil	SS. 27 sf, 1" sch 40 pipe, 105 BTU/hr sf F	\$ 4,658
5th Seed Fermentor Coil	SS. 307 sf, 3" sch 40 pipe, 92 BTU/hr sf F	\$ 28,238
Seed Transfer Pump	SS304. 1231 gpm total, 615 gpm each, 100 ft head	\$ 107,474
TOTAL		\$1,007,829

#### Figure 21-16. Capital Costs of Seed Production Subassembly

#### 21.9 Storage Subassembly

The purpose of the storage subassembly is to provide bulk storage of chemicals used in the hydrogen production process. It also serves as the location for the main process water tank, which provides water needed in the various subassemblies of the system. The components of this subassembly in general terms are listed in Figure 21-17 and shown in Figure 21-18.

8 8 I
Storage Subassembly Components
CSL Storage tank Agitator
CSL Pump
CSL Storage Tank
CSL/DAP Day Tank
CSL/DAP Pump
CSL/DAP Day Tank Agitator
DAP Solids Feeder
DAP Unloading Blower
DAP Vent Baghouse
DAP Storage Bin
Sulfuric Acid Pump
Sulfuric Acid Storage Tank

#### Figure 21-17. Storage Components

Storage Subassembly Components
Propane Storage Tank
Cooling Water Pump
Make-up Water Pump
Process Water Circulating Pump
Process Water Tank

In addition to the main process water tank, stored chemicals include sulfuric acid, of which a five day supply is held, Corn Steep Liquor (CSL), a by-product of the corn wet-milling industry, and Diammonium Phosphate (DAP), both nutrient sources for fermentation seed growth. Propane gas for use in the bale handling forklifts. The subassembly components are all shown in Figure 21-18.



Figure 21-18. Storage Subassembly Design

The equipment inFigure 21-18 is all very commonly available within the agricultural industry. Thus pricing for the equipment was easily located in reference documents and through discussions with suppliers. The capital cost of the subassembly is \$924,749 as shown in Figure 21-19.

Storage Components Material Chosen			
CSL Storage tank Agitator	SS304. Top Mounted, 1800 rpm, 23 hp, 0.1 hp/1000 gal	\$ 2,143	
CSL Pump	SS304. Top Mounted, 1800 rpm, 23 hp, 0.1 hp/1000 gal	\$ 6,188	
CSL Storage Tank	Cs. 431 gpm, 150 ft head	\$ 61.949	
CSL/DAP Day Tank	SS304. 10,000 gal, 24 hr res time, 90% wv, 9.5' dia x 18.9' high, atmospheric	\$ 28,470	
CSL/DAP Pump	CS. 431 gpm, 150 ft head	\$ 6.188	
CSL/DAP Day Tank Agitator	SS304. Top Mounted, 1800 rpm, 5 hp, 0.5 hp/1000 gal	\$ 12,348	
DAP Solids Feeder	A285C. 8" dia., 140 cfh, 7000 lb/hr max flow	\$ 3,900	
DAP Unloading Blower	CS. 7425 cfm, 6 psi, 22275 lb/hr	\$ 49,014	
DAP Vent Baghouse	A285C, Polyester. 8333 cfm, 1389 sf, 6 cfm/sf	\$ 9,595	
DAP Storage Bin	CS. 1425 cf, 9' dia x 19.5' high, 1.5x vessel vol. Req. for 7-day res time, atmospheric	\$ 34,255	
Sulfuric Acid Pump	SS316. 215 gpm, 150 ft head	\$ 13,814	
Sulfuric Acid Storage Tank	SS316. 18697 gal, 120 hr res time, 90% wv, 12' dia x 22' high, atmospheric	\$ 60,470	
Propane Storage Tank	A515. 2000 gal, 10 day res time, 90% wv, 4' dia x 23.6' length, 250 psig	\$ 30,825	
Cooling Water Pump	CS. 41000 gpm, 70 ft head.	\$ 242,216	
Make-up Water Pump	CS. 1083 gpm, 75 ft. head	\$ 8,735	
Process Water Circulating Pump	CS. 1199 gpm ea, 75 ft. head	\$ 17,635	
Process Water Tank	CS. 756000 gal. 8 hr res time	\$ 337,004	
TOTAL		\$924,749	

#### Figure 21-19. Capital Costs of Storage Subassembly

#### 21.10 Wastewater Treatment Subassembly

The function of the wastewater treatment subassembly is to treat process water for reuse to reduce the plant makeup water. The waste water is first screened, removing large particles to be subsequently sent to a landfill. Following screening, the organic matter in the water is then removed with anaerobic and aerobic digestion. This digestion produces a waste sludge and methane gas that could be used as a fuel for heating, however, we will not consider this further in this report. The components in this subassembly in general terms are listed in Figure 21-20.

Wastewater Treatment Subassembly Components
Equalization Basin Agitator
Anaerobic Agitator
Anaerobic Digester Feed Cooler
Nutrient Feed System
Biogas Emergency Flare
Anaerobic Reactor Feed Pump
Aerobic Digester Feed Pump
Bar Screen
Equalization Basin
Anaerobic Digester
Aerobic Lagoon Agitator
Filter Precoat System
Aerobic Sludge recycle Pump
Aerobic Sludge Pump
Aerobic Digestion Outlet Pump
Sludge Filtrate Recycle Pump
Treated Water Pump
Belt Filter Press
Aerobic Digester
Clarifier

#### Figure 21-20. Wastewater Treatment Subassembly Components

The design for the wastewater treatment section mirrors that of the ethanol report, which relied upon the analysis of Merrick Engineering. Their design was carefully scaled and optimized for their flow rates, which differ slightly from ours. We have scaled linearly the components of the system to match our increased flow rate. The subassembly components are show in Figure 21-21.



Figure 21-21. Wastewater Treatment Subassembly Design

The equipment in Figure 21-21 is all very commonly available within the agricultural industry. Thus pricing for the equipment was easily located in reference documents and through discussions with suppliers. The capital cost of the subassembly is \$12,039,997 as shown in Figure 21-22.

Storage Components	Material Chosen	Total Pricing	
Equalization Basin Agitator	SS. 38 HP each, Fixed Prop, 0.1 HP/1000 gal	\$ 94,624	
Anaerobic Agitator	SS. Fixed Prop, 41 HP, 0.05 hp/1000 gal	\$ 100,955	
Anaerobic Digester Feed Cooler	SS316. TEMA BES type, floating head	\$ 428,475	
Nutrient Feed System	CS. 5 tanks and pumps	\$ 71,301	
Biogas Emergency Flare	SS. Flare and Pilot	\$ 69,099	
Anaerobic Reactor Feed Pump	CS. Centrifugal Pump, 876 GPM, 150 ft. head	\$ 37,983	
Aerobic Digester Feed Pump	CS. Centrifugal Pump, 830 GPM, 150 ft. head	\$ 35,651	
Bar Screen	CS. 0.5" Mehc. Cleaned Screen	\$ 392,551	
Equalization Basin	Concrete. 377516 Gal. Residence time 7.2 hr	\$ 1,168,809	
Anaerobic Digester	Expoxy Lined. 810250 gal each, space velocity 12g/COD/day	\$ 2,935,620	
Aerobic Lagoon Agitator	CS. Twister Surface Aerators, 50 HP each	\$ 1,665,920	
Filter Precoat System	Cs. Tank, Agitator, Pump	\$ 9,996	
Aerobic Sludge recycle Pump	SS316. Slurry Pump 2.5 GPM, 150 ft. head	\$ 36,983	
Aerobic Sludge Pump	SS316. Slurry Pump 25.3 GPM, 150 ft. head	\$ 36,983	
Aerobic Digestion Outlet Pump	CS. Centrifugal Pump, 828 GPM, 150 ft. head	\$ 35,651	
Sludge Filtrate Recycle Pump	CS. Centrifugal Pump, 22 GPM, 150 ft. head	\$ 20,324	
Treated Water Pump	CS. Centrifugal Pump, 803 GPM, 100 ft. head	\$ 35,317	
Belt Filter Press	304SS. Filter Press Separator	\$ 2 166 438	
Aerobic Digester	Polymer Lined Pit. 19500000 gal, 16.3 day residence time.	\$ 2,116,294	
Clarifier	Concrete. 195,289 gal, residence time 3.9 hr	\$ 581 023	
TOTAL		\$12,039,997	

#### Figure 21-22. Capital Cost of Wastewater Treatment Subassembly

#### 21.11 Gas Compression and Separation Subassembly

The function of the gas compression and separation subassembly is to compress the gaseous outputs from the fermentor to 300 psi, separate the product hydrogen from water vapor and  $CO_2$ , and deliver the hydrogen to the production facility limits. The outlet pressure of hydrogen

at the plant gate is 300psi. This pressure was selected to provide with a system comparable to other DOE H2A Production Plants. The components of this subassembly in general terms are listed in Figure 21-23.

Gas Capture Subassembly Components
Fermentor Compressor
Fermentor PSA Separator
Fermentor Condenser 1
Fermentor Intercooler 1
Fermentor Intercooler 2
Hydrogen Flow Meter

#### Figure 21-23. Gas Compression and Separation Components

The hydrogen/CO<sub>2</sub>/water vapor mixture is at atmospheric pressure and  $55^{\circ}$ C at the outlet from the fermentor:

- H<sub>2</sub> 46,477 kg/day
- CO<sub>2</sub> 507,340 kg/day
- H<sub>2</sub>O 113,542 kg/day

The gas mixture is initially sent to a condenser to cool the gas to 45°C condense about 40% of the water. This counter-flow gas/water heat exchanger has been scaled from a comparable condenser used in the Ethanol Report.

After the condenser, the gas enters a 2-stage compressor, which compresses the gas to 302 psi. to accommodate pressure losses in the PSA gas separation unit and the pipeline runs, which are estimated as 2 psi. A gas/water intercooler (FIC-1) is used between first and second stage compression to reduce the compressed gas temperature to 45°C and to condense additional water. A second intercooler (FIC-2) is used between second stage compression and the PSA to reduce the gas temperature to 45°C and to condense additional water. In the condenser and intercoolers, a total of 97% of the water vapor from the fermentor output is condensed out before the fermentor PSA, with the remaining water vapor mole fraction reduced to 0.00460. The gas/water intercoolers were scaled from a comparable heat exchanger used in the Ethanol Report. The subassembly components are shown in Figure 21-24.



Figure 21-24. Gas Compression and Separation Subassembly Design

The equipment in Figure 21-24 has been priced previously in several reports. Thus pricing for the equipment was easily located in reference documents and through discussions with suppliers. The capital cost of the subassembly components are shown in Figure 21-25.

Gas Compression and	Material Chosen	Pricing		
Separation Components		_		
Compressor	Source: Using H2A Cost guidelines and scaling factors	\$15,729,564		
PSA Separator	Source: Using H2A Cost guidelines and scaling factors	\$1,527,494		
Fermentor Condensor 1	Shell – Tube, SS304, atmospheric	\$ 29,623		
Fermentor Intercooler 1	Shell-Tube, SS304, 4 atmospheres	\$ 57,651		
Fermentor Intercooler 2	Shell-Tube, SS304, 20 atmospheres	\$ 311,057		
Hydrogen Flow Meter	Information from Emerson Process Management	\$5,000		
Total		\$17,660,889		

#### 21.12 Bill of Materials

The flow chart outlining mass flows and subsystem parameters is shown in Figure 21-26. The detailed mass and energy balance data is included in Part III, Appendix A. The full bill of materials for the lignocellulosic hydrogen production plant is shown in Figure 21-27. The system described in this section of the report has a capital cost of \$44,944,078.



#### Figure 21-26. Lignocellulosic Fermentation Flow Chart

Figure 21-27.	Bill of M	aterials	Ligno	cellulosic	Fermentation	
-	Install Factor	Size Req'd	Units	Unit cost	Qty Req'd	Total Cost

Description	Install Factor Size Req'd	Units	000	Unit cost	Qty Req'd	Total Cost
Corn Stover Prep Subassembly						
Bale Transport Conveyor Bale Upwrapping Conveyor	1.62		ş	400,000	2 \$	800,000
Belt Press Discharge Conveyor	1.89		ş	50,000	1 \$	50,000
Shredder Feed Conveyor	1.38		\$	60,000	4 \$	240,000
Truck Scales	2.47		\$	34,000	2 \$	68,000
Bale Moving Forklift	1		ş	18,000	4 \$ 4 \$	72,000
Corn Stover Wash Table	2.39		ŝ	104,000	2 \$	208,000
Shredder	1.38		\$	302,000	4 \$	1,208,000
Concrete Feedstock-Storage Slab	2.2		\$	450,655	1 \$	450,655
Polymer Feed System Wash Table Pump	2.28		Ş	30,000	1 \$	30,000
Wash Water Pump	5.19		ş	15,000	2 \$	30,000
Clarifier Underflow Pump Clarified Water Pump	13.41		ş	6,000	1 \$	6,000
Belt Press Sump Pump	2.92		ş	19,000	1 \$	19,000
Clarifier Thickener Belt Press	1.51		ş	135,000	1 \$	135,000
Magnetic Separator	1.3		ş	10,335	î ș	10,335
Wash Water Tank Clarifier Thickener Tank	2.8 3.04		ş	50,000 135.000	1 S 1 S	50,000 135.000
Destassing and (Usedas) usin Suchassamplus						
Hydrozylate Mix Tank Agitator	1.2		\$	28,421	1 \$	28,421
Hydrozylate Mixing Tank	1.2		ş	32,237	1 \$	32,237
Sulfuric Acid Pump	2.8		ş	8,289	îš	8,289
Sulfuric Acid Tank	1.4		\$	9,441	1 \$	9,441
Lime Uploading Blower	1.3		ş	3,900	1 \$	3,900
Lime Dust Vent Baghouse	1.4		ŝ	140.707	1 \$	140.707
Lime Storage Bin	1.3		\$	136,370	1 \$	136,370
Hydrolysis Tank Pump	2.8		\$	69,516	1 \$	69,516
Boiler	1.3		\$	316,000	1 \$	316,000
Fermentation Subassembly						
Fermentation Tank	1.2		\$	493,391	5\$	2,466,955
Fermentation Agitator	1.2		ş	19,676	10 \$	196,760
Fermentation Recirculation Pump	2.8		\$	13,666	5 \$	68,330
Acetate Product Storage Tank	1.4		Ş	16,837	5 5	84,185
Acetate Transfer Pump	1.3		ş	53,737	1 \$	53,737
Pneumapress filter	1.4		\$	1,285,736	4 \$	5,142,942
HX - 1	1.3		\$	22,346	1 \$	22,346
HX - 2 FTC	1.3		Ş	194,618	15	194,618
110	1.5		4	0,701	5 \$	55,505
Seed Production Subassembly						
Seed Hold Tank	1.2		\$	160,829	1 \$	160,829
Seed Hold Transfer Rump	1.2		ş	12,492	1 \$	12,492
1st Seed Fermentor	2.8		ŝ	14,700	2 \$	29,400
2nd Seed Fermentor	2.8		\$	32,600	2 \$	65,200
3rd Seed Fermentor	2.8		ş	81,100	2 \$	162,200
4th Seed Fermentor 5th Seed Fermentor	1.2		ş	39,160 146.549	2 S 2 S	78,320 293.097
4th Seed Agitator	1.2		ş	11,645	2 \$	23,289
4th Seed Fermentor Coil	1.2 1.2		ş	10,291 4,658	2 \$ 1 \$	20,582 4,658
5th Seed Fermentor Coil	1.2		ş	28,238	1 \$	28,238
Seed mansfer Fullip	1.4		Ş	55,757	2 9	107,474
Storage Subassembly CSL Storage tank Agitator	1.2			2,143	1 \$	2,143
CSL Pump	2.8			6,188	1 \$	6,188
CSL/DAP Day Tank	1.4			28,470	1 \$	28,470
CSL/DAP Pump	2.8			6,188	1 \$	6,188
DAP Solids Feeder	1.3			3,900	1 \$	3,900
DAP Unloading Blower	1.4			49,014	1 \$	49,014
DAP Storage Bin	1.3			34,255	îš	34,255
Sulfuric Acid Pump Sulfuric Acid Storage Tank	2.8			13,814	1 \$	13,814
Propane Storage Tank	1.4			30,825	î ș	30,825
Cooling Water Pump/MEC Pumps Make-up Water Pump	2.8 2.8			242,216 8,735	1 S 1 S	242,216 8,735
Process Water Circulating Pump	2.8			8,818	2 \$	17,635
Process Water Talik	1:4			168,502	2 2	337,004
Wastewater Treatment Subassembly						
Equalization Basin Agitator	1.2			28,400	3 5	94,624
Anaerobic Digestor Feed Cooler	2.1			128.600	3 \$	428,475
Nutrient Feed System	2.58			21,400	3\$	71,301
Biogas Emergency Flare	1.68			20,739	3\$	69,099
Anaerobic Reactor Feed Pump	2.8			11,400	3 \$	37,983
Aerobic Digestor Feed Pump Bar Screen	2.8			10,700	35	35,651
Equalization Basin	1.42			350,800	3 \$	1,168,809
Anaerobic Digestor	1.04			881,081	3\$	2,935,620
				24.250	F2 ¢	1.665.020
Aerobic Lagoon Agitator Filter Precoat System	1.4			31,250	53 \$	1,665,920
Aerobic Sludge Recycle Pump	1.4			11,100	3 \$	36,983
Aerobic Sludge Pump	1.4			11,100	3\$	36,983
Aerobic Digestion Outlet Pump	2.8			10,700	3 \$	35,651
Sludge Filtrate Recycle Pump Treated Water Pump	2.8			6,100	3 \$	20,324
Belt Filter Press	1.8			650,223	3 \$	2,166.438
Aerobic Digestor	1			635,173	з\$	2,116,294
Clarifier	1.96			174,385	з\$	581,023
Gas Capture Subassembly						
Compressor Fermentor	1.3 1704	kgmol/hr	ş	9,233	1,704 \$	15,729,564
FIC -1	1.3		ŝ	29,623 57,651	1 \$	57,651
FIC -2 PSA Fermentor	1.3 1.3		\$	311,057	1 \$	311,057 1.527.494
Hydrogen Flow Meter	1.3		\$	5,500	i š	5,500.00
L				System	Initial Cost S	44,944.078

## 22. <u>Microbial Electrolyis Cell (MEC) Hydrogen Production</u>

A Microbial Electrolysis Cell (MEC), also referred to as a Bio-Electrochemically Assisted Microbial Reactor (BEAMR) can be used to generate  $H_2$  from simple organic liquids, such as acetic acid and ethanol, using electrolysis enhanced by a microbial reaction at the anode. The  $H_2$  gas is evolved at the cathode and CO<sub>2</sub> gas is evolved at the anode.

In this section we will consider the MEC as a stand-alone hydrogen production unit, with a purchased acetic acid feedstock. Integration of the MEC system with the fermentation system to utilize the fermentator byproducts will be discussed in a later section.

#### 22.1 Theoretical Reaction and Assumptions

Assuming an acetic acid input and MEC electrode voltages above 0.4 Volts, a complete reaction in the MEC would be:

#### $C_2H_4O_2 + 2H_2O => 4H_2 + 2CO_2$

The MEC process has been extensively researched and demonstrated at Penn State University. Output from a MEC using an acetic acid feedstock has been demonstrated in lab-scale tests to be as high as  $96\% \pm 1\%^{89}$ . With 95% reacted into H<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub>, 3.8 moles H<sub>2</sub> is generated per mole acetic acid. For this reaction, the input acetic acid was diluted with water to 1 gram per liter. For our calculations we assumed an average of 90% efficiency due to scale-up losses and anode/cathode degredation. This leads to an estimated acetic acid to hydrogen conversion ratio of 12.08% (gram H<sub>2</sub>/gram acetic acid).

The following major MEC assumptions are used in the analysis:

- Both the anode and cathode materials are low cost and sufficiently durable for long-term operation (20 years)
- External electrical power will be provided to sustain the reactions.
- The volume of acetic acid feedstock chosen for use was chosen based on the amount of acetic acid and glucose that would be used in an integrated system utilizing the fermentation effluent
- The cost of the acetic acid feedstock is \$0.595/kg based on the international market price as reported by the Korean Chemical Journal<sup>90</sup>

#### 22.2 MEC Operating Parameters

For this study the baseline MEC system was sized so that it could be integrated with the fermentation system described in the previous section. The baseline conditions that have been used for MEC hydrogen production using an acetic acid feedstock are summarized in Figure 22-1.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>89</sup> Call, Douglas and Logan, Bruce, "Hydrogen Production in a single Chamber Microbial Electrolysis Cell Lacking a Membrane." <u>Environmental Scientific Technology 42</u> (2008): 3401-3406.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>90</sup> Lee, Sang Yup. "Plastic Bacteria? Progress and Prospects for Polyhydroxyalkanoate Production in Bacteria." <u>Trends in Biotechnology 14</u> (1996): 431-438.

MEG	C (0.9V)
Acetic Acid Usage (kg/day)	767,277
H <sub>2</sub> Production Rate (kgH <sub>2</sub> /day)	88,08591
Plant Area (Acres)	10
Land Utilization (kg H <sub>2</sub> /acre/ year) Water Usage	2,788,553
(gal/day)	9 242 555
$(gal/kg_{H2})$	105
Electricity Required	
kWhr/day	3,288,962
$kWh/kgH_2$	37.34
kWh/kWh H <sub>2</sub>	1.12
MEC P	arameters
Bacteria Cell lines (anode)	Pseudomonas spp. And Shewanella spp. <sup>92</sup>
Duration of Cycle (hrs)	24
Target Temperature (°C)	30
Assumed Reaction Efficiency	90%
Feedstock - to - $H_2$ Conversion	
gram H <sub>2</sub> /gram acetic acid Reactant Volume	12.08%
Gallons	91 524 080
Liters	346.682.121
Reactor Volume <sup>93</sup>	, ,
Gallons	96,100,285
Liters	364,016,227
Theoretical Product Ratio	4 mol H <sub>2</sub> 2 mol CO <sub>2</sub>
Assumed Actual Product Ratio	3.6 mol H <sub>2</sub> 1.8 mol CO <sub>2</sub>

# Figure 22-1. Parameters for MEC System

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>91</sup> Assumes 95% H<sub>2</sub> recovery in the PSA.
<sup>92</sup> Logan, Bruce et al. "Microbial Enectorlysis Cells for High Yield Hydrogen Gas Production from Organic Matter." <u>Environmental Scientific Technology 42</u> (2008): 8630-8640.
<sup>93</sup> Including electrode volume and spare capacity of 5%

#### 22.3 MEC System Description

The MEC hydrogen production plant has been divided into 3 interconnected subassemblies, namely,

- MEC Subassembly,
- Storage Subassembly, and
- Gas Compression and Separation Subassembly

## 22.3.1 MEC Plant Layout

The interfaces between the subassemblies are shown in Figure 22-2. The subassemblies have several components that will be identified in later sections of this document.



For the hydrogen production rate shown in the previous section, a large number of units, each comprised of a million gallon reactant tank and electrodes, are necessary. Residence time in the reactor at 0.9V potential is 24 hours per electrolysis cycle. Each reactant tank can be operated in a semi-continuous process, with daily replenishment of acetate and water. With the MEC system designed to consume 690,549 kg acetate and 414,314 kg water per day, these amounts will be replenished daily. Periodically, after an appropriate number of cycles, a tank would be emptied, cleaned and replenished with new electrolyte, and also anode organisms if necessary. A representative tank field layout is shown inFigure 22-3.

#### Figure 22-3. MEC Unit Field Layout



~ 10 MEC and 1 Transfer Pump

| BANK |
|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| 1    | 2    | 3    | 4    | 5    | 6    | 7    | 8    | 9    | 10   | 11   | 12   |

MEC Module = ~ 12 MEC Banks

#### 22.3.2 MEC Reactor Subassembly

As mentioned, to generate  $H_2$  from acetic acid or from the acetic acid, succinic acid, lactic acid, formic acid, glucose, and alcohol liquid byproducts of a fermentation reaction, a Microbial Electrolysis Cell (MEC) also referred to as a Bio-Electrochemically Assisted Microbial Reactor (BEAMR), can be used to electrolyze the mix of organic materials and water to produce hydrogen.

Ordinarily, a water electrolysis unit requires about 1.8 V to electrolyze water. The MEC uses microbe reactions at the anode with the organic materials, and water to generate a significant voltage. This is supplemented with an externally applied anode-to-cathode voltage to initiate and sustain an electrolysis process to generate  $H_2$  and  $CO_2$  from the organics and water. The current generated provides the electrons to produce  $H_2$  at the cathode, with  $CO_2$  produced at the anode. The microbes on the anode develop sufficient voltage such that the additional potential needed for the electrolysis of organic byproduct is only 0.2-0.9 V.

With acetic acid input, a complete redox reaction in the MEC would be:

#### $C_2H_4O_2 + 2H_2O => 4H_2 + 2CO_2$

The components of the subassembly in general terms are listed in Figure 22-4.

MEC Subassembly Components
MEC Tank
Cathode
Anode
Power Supply
Process Water Circulating Pump
MEC Transfer Pumps
MEC Intercooler 1
MEC Intercooler 2

#### Figure 22-4. MEC Components

#### 22.3.2.1 MEC Cell Description

A representative MEC reactor cell is shown in Figure 22-5. Though the cell is shown with a PEM membrane, it can be operated without one, resulting in a small amount of gas dilution.



Tests have been run by PSU with a high surface area brush anode (brush fiber surface area/brush envelope volume =  $18,200 \text{ m}^2/\text{m}^3$ ) and a cathode made from carbon cloth loaded at  $0.5 \text{ mg/cm}^2\text{Pt}^{94}$ . Data from these tests in Figure 22-5 shows the linear relationship between H<sub>2</sub> output Q (m<sup>3</sup>-hydrogen per day/m<sup>3</sup> MEC) as a factor of applied voltage with Q=0 at V=0.2. The figure also shows energy efficiency increasing with decreasing voltage. However, at lower voltage, the reaction is slower and system size increases substantially. These tests run without a membrane provided good separation of the H<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>94</sup> Call Douglas and Bruce E. Logan. "Hydrogen Production in a single Chamber Microbial Electrolysis Cell Lacking a Membrane." <u>Environmental Scientific Technology 42</u> (2008): 3401-3406.



Because of the high cost of Pt cathodes, additional research has been carried out by PSU, substituting a stainless steel brush for the carbon/Pt cathode<sup>96</sup>. With a very high surface area brush cathode, the H<sub>2</sub> production rate was only slightly less than with the Pt loaded cathode. These tests demonstrated a Q of 1.7 at a voltage of 0.6V. Assuming that the Q vs. V relationship for the brush cathode is also linear with a zero output at 0.2V, a line for the cell output through Q of 1.7 and V of 0.6 can be superimposed on Figure 22-6. The resulting performance line is the solid blue line labeled "brush cathode" in Figure 22-7. The equation for the Pt Cathode Q is:

$$Q = 5.15V - 1.03$$

And the similar equation for the Brush Cathode (the solid blue line in Figure 22-7) is:

$$Q = 4.25V - 0.85$$

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>95</sup> Logan, Bruce et al. "Microbial Electrolysis Cells for High Yield Hydrogen Gas Production from Organic Matter." <u>Environmental Scientific Technology 42</u> (2008): 8630-8640.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>96</sup> Call, Douglas et al. "High Surface Area Stainless Steel Brushes as Cathodes in Microbial Electrolysis Cells." Environmental Scientific Technology (2008)



The conclusion drawn from these performance graphs is that while a low voltage is more efficient from a power consumption standpoint, a high voltage results in a markedly smaller MEC volume for a given volume of  $H_2$  product, thus reducing capital costs significantly.

#### 22.3.2.2 MEC Hydrogen Production Cost Optimization

MEC capital costs decrease with increased voltage, due to higher production rate. However, electrical costs increase with voltage. Thus, higher electrical costs go along with lower tank and electrode costs. These costs were modeled as a function of voltage to examine the minimum  $H_2$  cost design for the MEC. The five primary  $H_2$  production cost components are:

- MEC Tank
- Brush Cathode
- Brush Anode<sup>97</sup>,
- Power Supply, and
- Electric Power

Figure 22-8 plots the cumulative  $H_2$  production cost buildup from these five components. We used component sizing methodology used by PSU. The most dominant factor was the cost of stainless steel brush wires (based on SS wire costs from various suppliers). Though we looked at wire diameters down at 0.02 mm, the best cost-to-surface-area ratio was with 0.08 mm wire. To reduce brush costs, we reduced the surface area required by a factor of 2 relative to the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>97</sup> Logan, Bruce et al. "Graphite Fiber Brush Anodes for Increased Power Production in Air Cathode Microbial Fuel Cells." <u>Environmental Scientific Technology (</u>2007)

brushes used in the PSU tests, per the suggestion of Bruce Logan who pointed out that the brush size is not yet optimized.

The results shown in Figure 22-8 indicate that 0.9V achieves the lowest cost. We therefore used this point in our cost analyses.



#### Figure 22-8. MEC Cost Optimization

#### 22.3.2.3 MEC Brush Cathode

For the brush cathode, the ratio of its surface area to the brush envelope volume, S/Ve, was approximately 2500  $\text{m}^2/\text{m}^3$ . For the PSU test cells, the ratio of brush cathode surface area to the reactor volume, S/Vr, was between 650 and 810  $m^2/m^3$ . A top view of the MEC cell with a half-brush anode and half-brush cathode which gave the best performance is shown in Figure 22-9. The brushes are semicircular to improve the packing density and reduce the ion transport distance relative to circular brushes.

#### Figure 22-9: MEC Test Unit Top view with Half-Brush Cathode and Anode



For the MEC design and costing analysis, carbon fiber brush anodes and 304 stainless steel brush cathodes as discussed in the references were assumed. Cell performance was based on the test results but reduced from 95% to 90% to account for scale-up losses and brush degradation. The specific brush sizes used in the PSU tests were laboratory scale (1 inch length by 0.5 inch radius) and are obviously not appropriate for large-scale production cells. For this analysis, the surface area ratio used was reduced to half of that used in the small scale tests. Using this ratio for a large system the materials requirements are quite substantial, and effort will have to be dedicated in the future to designing lower cost high effective area cathodes and anodes amenable to a large scale system.

In addition, to reduce costs, the cells were operated without an ion exchange membrane. Potential future developments future for a scaled-up MEC system would include:

- reduced reactor volume per hydrogen generation, increased reactant concentration
- lower cost cathodes
- additional bacteria-generated voltage
- minimized CH4 output
- optimized solution electrolyte & conductivity
- addressal of long term corrosion issues
- cathode and anode scale-up for large cells

# 22.3.2.4 Total Hydrogen Production Cost

The system size was chosen to be compatible with the fermentation system output reactable organic liquids. For the stand-alone MEC, a similar mass flow of acetate was substituted for the output organic liquid mass flow of the fermentor. Thus, the feedstock reacted for the stand-alone MEC was:

• Acetic Acid: 767,277 kg/day (12,788 moles/day)

For the overall system layout, the output  $H_2$  gas from the multiple MEC units feed a manifold that feeds a single gas compression and separation subassembly, discussed in the following section.

For this effluent, the capital cost of the subassembly is \$541,705,673 as shown in Figure 22-10.

Figure 22-10. Capital Costs of MEC Subassembly						
Seed Production	<b>Total Pricing</b>					
Components						
MEC Tanks	SS304. 962,651 gal. each, 7 day residence total, 90% wv, API, atmospheric	\$ 57,488,911				
Brush Cathode	0.08 mm SS316 Wire	\$ 400,686,098				
Brush Anode	0.0072 mm Carbon Threads	\$ 62,316,288				
Power Supply	Based on Electrolyzer Power Supply, Scaled for 122,184 kW	\$ 18,550,000				
MEC Transfer Pumps	CS. 41000 gpm, 70 ft head.	\$ 2,906,592				
TOTAL		\$541,705,673				

#### 22.3.3 Storage Subassembly

The storage subassembly for the MEC system is substantially smaller than for the fermentation system. The only storage needed is for the acetate feedstock and process water. The components of this subassembly in general terms are listed in Figure 22-11 and shown in Figure 22-12.

Figure 22-11.	Storage	Components
---------------	---------	------------

Storage Subassembly Components
Acetate Storage Tank
Acetate Transfer Pump
Process Water Circulating Pump
Process Water Tank
Process Water Transfer Pump



The equipment in Figure 22-12 is all very commonly available within the agricultural industry. Thus pricing for the equipment was easily located in reference documents and through discussions with suppliers. The capital cost of the subassembly is \$884,167 as shown in Figure 22-13.

Figure 22-15. Capital Costs of Storage Subassembly								
Storage Components	Material Chosen	<b>Total Pricing</b>						
Acetate Storage Tank	SS316. 18697 gal, 90% wv, 12' dia x 22' high, atmospheric	\$ 35,270						
Acetate Transfer Pump	SS316. 215 gpm, 150 ft head	\$ 391,000						
Process Water Circulating Pump	CS. 1199 gpm ea, 75 ft. head	\$ 161,593						
Process Water Tank	CS. 756000 gal. 8 hr res time	\$ 54,088						
Process Water Transfer Pump TOTAL	CS. 41000 gpm, 70 ft head.	\$ 242,216 \$884,167						

#### Figure 22-13. Capital Costs of Storage Subassembly

#### 22.3.4 Gas Compression and Separation Subassembly

The function of gas compression and separation subassembly of the MEC is to compress the gaseous outputs from the MEC, to separate the product hydrogen, and to deliver it to the production facility limits at 300 psi. The components of this subassembly in general terms are listed in Figure 22-14.

Gas Capture Subassembly Components
MEC Compressor (2 stage)
MEC PSA
MEC Compressor Intercooler 1
MEC Compressor Intercooler 2
Hydrogen Flow Meter

#### Figure 22-14. Gas Compression and Separation Components

The outlet pressure of hydrogen at the plant gate of 300 psi was selected to provide with a system comparable to other DOE H2A Production Plants.

At the MEC hydrogen outlet, the gas is at atmospheric pressure and  $30^{\circ}$ C. Since the temperature is only  $30^{\circ}$ C, there is a substantially lower mole fraction of water than is in the outlet gas from the fermentor, so no condenser is needed. In addition, the MEC electrolysis process separates most of the hydrogen from the CO<sub>2</sub>. It is estimated that only 5% of the MEC-produced CO<sub>2</sub> is mixed in the output hydrogen stream. The MEC-exit stream into the compressor is:

- H<sub>2</sub> 92,721 kg/day
- CO<sub>2</sub> 50,607 kg/day
- H<sub>2</sub>O 36,752 kg/day

The gas mixture is sent to the 2-stage compressor. After the compressor and two intercoolers, the remaining gas mixture at 302 psi enters the PSA. Pressure loss in the PSA and the pipeline is estimated as 2 psi. A gas/water intercooler (MIC-1) is used between first and second stage compression to reduce the gas temperature to  $45^{\circ}$ C and to condense out water. A second intercooler (MIC-2) is used between second stage compression and the PSA to reduce the gas temperature to  $45^{\circ}$ C and to condense additional water, so that a total of 89% of the water vapor from the MEC output is removed before the MEC PSA., with the remaining water vapor mole fraction reduced to 0.00460. The gas/water intercoolers were scaled from a comparable heat exchanger used in the Ethanol Report.

Since the PSA input is 1,914 moles/hr  $H_2$ , 48 moles/hr  $CO_2$ , and 9 moles/hr  $H_2O$ , we have assumed that the PSA can recover 95% of the inlet  $H_2$ . Thus the PSA output is:

- $H_2$  (high purity) 88,085 kg/day
- Waste:

○ CO<sub>2</sub> 50,607 kg/day
 ○ H<sub>2</sub>O 3,921 kg/day

 $\circ$  H<sub>2</sub> (loss) 4,636 kg/day

Subassembly components are shown in Figure 22-15.



Figure 22-15. Gas Compression and Separation Subassembly Design

Gas compression and separation is has been priced previously in several reports. Thus pricing for the equipment was easily located in reference documents and through discussions with suppliers. The capital cost of the subassembly components is \$16,099,326 as shown in Figure 22-16.

Gas Compression and Separation Components	Material Chosen	Pricing
Compressor	Source: Using H2A Cost guidelines and scaling factors	\$15,267,690
PSA	Pressure Swing Adsorption	\$182,960
	Source: Using H2A Cost guidelines and sc	aling factors
MEC Intercooler 1	Shell-Tube, SS304, 4 atmospheres	\$ 88,542
MEC Intercooler 2	Shell-Tube, SS304, 20 atmospheres	\$ 554,634
Hydrogen Flow Meter	Information from Emerson Process Management	\$5,500
Total		\$16,099,326

<b>Figure 22-16.</b>	Capital Cost	t of Gas Com	pression and	Separation	Subassembly
<b>A</b> • • • • • •					

#### 22.4 Bill of Materials

The flow chart outlining mass flows and subsystem parameters is shown in Figure 22-17. The detailed mass and energy balance is included in Part III, Appendix B.

#### Figure 22-17. MEC System Flow Chart



#### MEC System - Batch Process Efficiencies- MEC: 90%

The full bill of materials for the MEC stand-alone hydrogen production plant is shown in Figure 22-18. The MEC system described in this section of the report has a capital cost of \$558,689,165.

Description	Install Factor	Size Req'd	Units	Unit Size	Units		Unit cost	Qty Req'd	Total Cost
MEC Subassembly	1.2					~		4.425.00.4	57 400 044
MEC Tanks	1.3					ş	0.51	1.13E+08 \$	57,488,911
Brush Cathode	1.2					ş	14.60	27436736 \$	400,686,098
Brush Anode	1.2					ş	7.92	/868218 \$	62,316,288
Power Supply	1.3					Ş	350,000.00	53 \$	18,550,000
MEC Pumps	2.8						242,216	11 Ş	2,664,376
Storage Subassembly									
Process Water Circulating Pump	2.8						17,635	2 \$	35,270
Process Water Tank	1.4						195,500	2 \$	391,000
Acetate Product Storage Tank	1.4					\$	161,593	1\$	161,593
Acetate Transfer Pump	1.3					\$	54,088	1\$	54,088
Process Water Transfer Pump	2.8						242,216	1\$	242,216
Gas Capture Subassembly									
Compressor MEC	1.3	1654	kgmol/hr	1654	kgmol/hr	\$	9,233	1\$	15,267,690
MIC - 1	1.3					Ś	88,542	1 \$	88,542
MIC - 2	1.3					Ś	554,634	1 \$	554,634
PSA MEC	1.3							1\$	182,960
Hydrogen Flow Meter	1.3			1		\$	5,500	1\$	5,500.00
							System	Initial Cost \$	558,689,165

Figure 22-18. MEC Stand-Alone Bill of Materials

#### 23. <u>Cost Assumptions and Calculations</u>

In addition to the capital costs which have been described in the previous sections, there are some additional costs associated with this system, mostly electricity, labor, and various feedstock costs. These costs for both the MEC system and the Fermentation system are explained in detail in this section.

#### 23.1 Variable Costs

Aside from the fixed capital costs, there are numerous variable costs including electricity, labor, water usage, and additional consumables. The usage and associated costs of these will each be defined in the following sections.

## 23.1.1 Electric Power Requirements

There are numerous elements of the Lignocellulosic Fermentation System requiring power, but they mostly center around pumps, conveyors and agitators. However, the largest power needs comes from the compressor, which is compressing an enormous amount of gas. PSA power is minimal as it is only needed to actuate valves. The gas compressor is a 2-stage piston compressor (N=2) with interstage cooling. Its power was calculated by assuming compression from 45°C inlet temperature with efficiency of 75%. Overall pressure ratio was 20.5 for an outlet pressure of 302psi.

$$P = \frac{dm}{dt} Cp T N \frac{1}{\eta} \left(\frac{P2^{\frac{\gamma-1}{\gamma N}}}{P1} - 1\right)$$

Figure 23-1 lists the electricity usage anticipated for each of the Fermentor components previously described and provides a total consumption value for the Fermentor to be used in further analysis. The previously mentioned duty cycles and operational cycle have been taken into account in these computations. We have assumed a pump efficiency of 80% and a density of all liquids as 1 g/cc. H2A accounts for electricity usage and costs in the "Other Variable Costs" section.

Power Requirements											
Conveyors	Power	Unit					kW				
Bale Transport Conveyor	50	HP					37				
Bale Unwrapping Conveyor	50	HP					37				
Belt Press Discharge Conveyor	50	НР					37				
Shredder Feed Conveyor	50	НР					37				
Lignin Wet Cake Screw	50	НР					37				
DAP Solids Feeder	50	HP					37				
Agitators	Power	Unit									
Fermentation Agitator	75	HP					56				
Hydrozylate Mix Tank Agitator	50	НР					37				
Seed Hold Tank Agitator	23	НР					17				
4th Seed Agitator	6	НР					4				
5th Seed Agitator	19	НР					14				
CSL Storage tank Agitator	23	НР					17				
CSL/DAP Day Tank Agitator	5	НР					4				
Pumps	Throughput	Unit	Head		M3/hr	Meter					
Wash Table Pump	2500	GPM	50	ft	568	15	29				
Wash Water Pump	5000	GPM	50	ft	1136	15	59				
Clarifier Underflow Pump	100	GPM	50	ft	23	15	1				
Clarified Water Pump	5000	GPM	50	ft	1136	15	59				
Belt Press Sump Pump	100	GPM	40	ft	23	12	1				
Sulfuric Acid Pump	4	GPM	245	ft	1	75	0.2				
Process Tank Pump	737	GPM	200	ft	168	61	35				
Fermentation Recirculation Pump	1060	GPM	150	ft	241	46	38				
CSL Pump	431	GPM	150	ft	98	46	15				
Sulfuric Acid Pump	215	GPM	150	ft	49	46	8				
Cooling Water Pump	41000	GPM	70	ft	9318	21	677				
Make-up Water Pump	1083	GPM	75	ft	246	23	19				
Process Water Circulating Pump	1199	GPM	75	ft	273	23	21				
CSL/DAP Pump	431	GPM	150	ft	98	46	15				
Seed Hold Transfer Pump	172	GPM	150	ft	39	46	6				
Seed Transfer Pump	1231	GPM	100	ft	280	30	29				
Miscellaneous	Throughput	Unit									
DAP Unloading Blower	253	HP					189				
Compressor							4535				
PSA							50				
Lime Unloading Blower	253	НР					189				
Total Power Usage (kW)							6,350				
kWhr/day							152,389				
kWhr/year							55,621,907				
Ş∕year \$/kg H_						Ş	2,781,095				
kWhr/kg H <sub>2</sub>						Ş	4.10				
kwhr/kwhr H <sub>2</sub>							0.12				

#### Figure 23-1. Power Requirements for Fermentor without MEC

The power requirement of the MEC system comes primarily from the MEC cell itself. The power draw of the MEC electrolysis process is estimated from the electron current required to reduce the H+ and the applied voltage and the system electric efficiencies experimentally

determined in Penn State tests<sup>98</sup>. Figure 23-2 lists the electricity usage anticipated for the MEC system.

8		Power R	equirem	ents	J		
							kW
MEC							122184
Compressor MEC							6619
PSA							50
Pumps	Throughput	Unit	Head		M3/hr	Meter	kW
Cooling Water Pump	41000	GPM	70	ft	9318	21	8125
Acetate Transfer Pump	1083	GPM	75	ft	246	23	19
Process Water Circulating Pump	1199	GPM	75	ft	273	23	42
kW							137,040
kWhr/day							3,288,962
kWhr/year							1,200,471,198
\$/year						\$	60,023,560
\$/kg H2						\$	2.07
kWh/kg H2							37.34
kWh/kWh H2							1.12

Figure 23-2. Power Requirements MEC System

# 23.1.2 Labor

Labor rates for the fermentation system are similar to those in the ethanol report. We eliminated lab technicians and managers, since we will not require use of a lab. We also removed clerks and secretaries, who are accounted for separately in the H2A spreadsheet. Due to the vastly smaller and less complex plant as compared to an ethanol plant, the resulting labor numbers are probably somewhat high. However, given the relatively small impact that labor has on the cost of produced hydrogen, we felt that this was an acceptable overestimation. Figure 23-3 shows labor categories and number of personnel. The same number of personnel is assumed for the fermentation-only alone plant as is assumed for the fermentor with MEC plant. H2A accounts for labor costs in the fixed O&M.

Position	Number of Personnel
Plant Manager	1
Plant Engineer	1
Maintenance Supervisor	1
Shift Supervisor	5
Maintenance Technician	8
Shift Operators	20
Yard Employees	32
General Manager	1
Total FTEs	68

#### Figure 23-3. Labor Breakdown - Fermentor

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>98</sup>Call, Douglas et al. "High Surface Area Stainless Steel Brushes as Cathodes in Microbial Electrolysis Cells." <u>Environmental Scientific Technology</u> (2008) table 1.

For MEC labor, due to the similar size but reduced complexity, we have assumed 1/4 of the labor is required.

# 23.1.3 Additional Chemical Consumables

There are many consumables that are needed for full operation of the lignocellulosic fermentation plant. The rates and costs of these consumables for the fermentation system are listed in Figure 23-4. Rates and costs for these consumables are derived from the ethanol production report.

Additional Consumables Cost											
Raw Material	Unit	Rate		Cost (\$/kg)	\$/year	\$/kg H2					
CSL	kg/day	31,344	\$	0.18	\$	2,023,606	\$	0.165678			
Sulfuric Acid	kg/day	78,912	\$	0.03	\$	785,743	\$	0.064331			
Lime	kg/day	57,480	\$	0.08	\$	1,606,244	\$	0.131508			
DAP	kg/day	3,912	\$	0.16	\$	221,778	\$	0.018158			
Propane	kg/day	480	\$	0.005	\$	848	\$	0.000069			
Clarifier Polymer	kg/day	672	\$	2.75	\$	674,520	\$	0.055225			
Totals					\$	5,312,739	\$	0.43			

# Figure 23-4. Additional Consumables Costs – Fermentation system

Given that these consumables are not options within the H2A Modeling tool, we have calculated the cost per kilogram of hydrogen from these variable operating costs and manually added these to the results obtained from H2A for levelized hydrogen costs.

The MEC consumable for the stand-alone system is acetic acid at \$0.595/kg and costing \$5.70 per kg of produced hydrogen.

# 23.1.4 Water Consumption

There are several sources of water loss within each system. For the fermentation system, water is primarily lost in the fermentation reaction. Further water is trapped in solids that are removed as waste. Most of the process water vapor is returned by condensation in the condenser and intercoolers. Using the molar ratios of the fermentation equation and the volume of hydrogen gas generated, we are able to calculate the daily use of reactant water in hydrogen production. In addition, substantial water is recovered from liquid byproducts.

For the MEC developed by PSU, the substrate is much more dilute than the fermentor output. Further, additional water is needed because we have assumed that after going through ten cycles of MEC acetate replenishment, the system will be flushed and the process water will need to be replaced. Thus, every day, 10% of the overall process water will need to be replaced in addition to the water used up in the production of hydrogen.

The water losses for the Fermentor and MEC is shown in Figure 23-5.

Figure 23-5. Water Use										
Water Loss (gal/day)										
	Fermentor	MEC								
Size	37 TPD	88 TPD								
Water Used in reaction	54,826	109,375								
Water Loss in Lignin Separator	223,397	NA								
MEC Waste Water (10%)	NA	9,132,152								
Water Lost in PSA	759	1,035								
Net Loss	278,983	9,241,551								
Net Loss (gal/kgH <sub>2</sub> )	7.5	105								

#### 23.1.5 **Total Variable Feed Costs**

The sum of all the variable costs, excluding labor, for the Fermentation system is indicated in the Figure 23-6. The variable costs for the MEC system are shown in Figure 23-7.

Figure 23-6. Total Variable Costs for Fermentor											
Variable Operating Costs											
Raw Material	Unit	Rate	С	ost (\$/kg)		\$/year		\$/kg H2			
Corn Stover	kg/day	2,000,000	\$	0.03	\$	24,090,000	\$	1.972317			
Process Water	gal/day	278,983	\$	0.004	\$	373,089	\$	0.030546			
CSL	kg/day	31,344	\$	0.18	\$	2,023,606	\$	0.165678			
Sulfuric Acid	kg/day	78,912	\$	0.03	\$	785,743	\$	0.064331			
Lime	kg/day	57,480	\$	0.08	\$	1,606,244	\$	0.131508			
DAP	kg/day	3,912	\$	0.16	\$	221,778	\$	0.018158			
Propane	kg/day	480	\$	0.005	\$	848	\$	0.000069			
Clarifier Polymer	kg/day	672	\$	2.75	\$	674,520	\$	0.055225			
Totals					\$	29,775,828	\$	2.44			

# Figure 23-7. Total Variable Costs for MEC

Variable Operating Costs											
Raw Material	Unit	Rate	С	ost (\$/kg)	\$/year			\$/kg H2			
Acetic Acid	kg/day	767,277	\$	0.595	\$ :	166,633,382	\$	5.758714			
Process Water	gal/day	9,242,551	\$	0.002	\$	5,618,279	\$	0.194163			
Totals					\$	172,251,661	\$	5.95			

# 24. <u>Hydrogen Production Costs for Fermentor and MEC</u>

The summation of the various costs per kg of hydrogen produced is listed in Figure 24-1. Note that due to slight differences in H2A costing methodology, the prices shown in these subtotals might be different from those shown in the final results. The primary components of the levelized cost of hydrogen are capital costs, fixed O&M and other variable costs as shown in Figure 24-2.

Total Cost of Produced H <sub>2</sub>										
Cost Component	Hydrogen Production Cost Contribution (\$/kg)									
System	Fermentor-Only (37 TPD Production)	MEC Alone (88 TPD) (at 0.9V/cell)								
Cost Component	Hydrogen Production Cost Contribution (\$/kg)	Hydrogen Production Cost Contribution (\$/kg)								
Capital Costs	\$1.19	\$4.37								
Decommissioning Costs	\$0.01	\$0.05								
Fixed O&M	\$0.87	\$0.71								
Feedstock Costs	\$1.60	\$5.18								
Other Raw Material Costs	\$0.00	\$0.00								
Byproduct Credits	\$0.00	\$0.00								
Other Variable Costs (including utilities)	\$0.23	\$2.12								
Additional Consumables	\$0.43	\$0.00								
Total	\$4.33	\$12.43								



Figure 24-2. Comparison of Levelized Cost Components

For the Stand-alone Fermentor system, there is a substantial liquid organic byproduct which has value, but for which we have not taken a cost credit due to the uncertainty of the constituents

and the market values. From NREL testing, the fermentor byproduct (section 2.3.2) is as shown in Figure 24-3.

- guite et : et menter quite et guine e arpate ; anat											
Fermentor Product	mM	MW	Mass fraction	Market Cost per kg							
Acetic Acid	26.0	60.05	51.1%	\$0.57							
Ethanol	14.0	46.06	21.1%	\$0.54							
Succinic Acid	5.6	118.10	21.6%	NA							
Lactic Acid	1.8	90.08	5.3%	\$2.03							
Formic Acid	0.6	43.03	0.8%	NA							

#### Figure 24-3. Fermentor Liquid-Organic Output Value

Thus, after a distillation separation process, the resulting components would have a current average market value of about 0.55/kg. However, any large addition to the world market would reduce prices, so the actual cost benefit of the organic byproduct is difficult to estimate. With the fermentor organic liquid byproduct of about 700,000 kg/day, if the value of this raw byproduct were 0.20/kg, the byproduct value would be 140,000 per day. This would yield a credit of 3.78/kg H<sub>2</sub>, substantially driving down the H<sub>2</sub> cost/kg to 0.60/kg. Even with a byproduct value as low as 0.12/kg, the H<sub>2</sub> cost/kg is 2.09/kg, near the goal of 2.00/kg H<sub>2</sub>.

#### 25. <u>Lignocellulose Fermenation and MEC System Conclusions and</u> <u>Recommendations</u>

## 25.1 Lignocellulose Fermentation System

The lignocellulose fermentation system used corn stover at 2,000 tonnes/day as a feedstock to generate 37 tonne/day purified  $H_2$ . The process is complex and involves feedstock preprocessing, hydrolysis, saccharification, fermentation, solid/liquid separation, and  $H_2$  gas separation. The unique 150°C acid hydrolysis process used to convert cellulose and hemicellulose into complex sugars has been demonstrated by NREL. The subsequent saccharification process utilizes organisms developed at NREL to rapidly convert the hydrolysis products into simple sugars. The ensuing fermentation process occurs in the same reactor and uses separate NREL-developed bacteria to produce  $H_2$  and  $CO_2$  gases plus simple organic liquids, such as acetic acid and ethanol.

In the initial calculation, with no value recovered from the byproducts, the lignocellulosic processing achieved a moderately low  $H_2$  cost of \$4.33/kg  $H_2$ . For this system producing 37 tonnes of pure  $H_2$ , these byproducts are essentially: 692 tonnes of organic acid and alcohol liquids, 115 tonnes of unreacted sugars, and 846 tonnes of solids including lignin and a small amount of unreacted xylan. The liquid organic byproducts, equaling 35% of the input organic feedstock by weight, include 51% acetate and 21% ethanol which have intrinsic value, but require a subsequent separation process such as distillation. As an alternative to byproduct recovery, the liquid organics can be processed in an MEC to produce additional hydrogen.

There is high potential for H<sub>2</sub> cost reduction from sales of the liquid organic byproduct. If this byproduct had a market value of 0.12/kg (as compared to the acetic acid market price of ~ 0.60/kg), the net H<sub>2</sub> cost would be reduced to 2.09/kg.

In addition to the liquid byproducts, the remaining solids, lignin and some residual xylan, (42% of the organic feedstock by weight) have additional value, as they can be used as a low grade fuel for industrial processes.

Recommendations:

- Conduct further analysis to verify the values of the lignocellulose fermentation liquid and solid organic byproducts to significantly reduce the cost of the hydrogen.
- Conduct further analysis to address the separation of byproduct components (acids, ethanol, etc.), such as in a distillation process
- Examine in greater detail the current experimental efficiencies and reaction times for the hydrolysis, saccharification, and fermentation processes, and propose potential future testing to verify these quantities.

#### 25.2 Microbial Electrolysis Cell (MEC) System

For the MEC electrolysis process the microbial electrolysis voltage is supplemented by an external voltage. Low external voltage results in highest efficiency, but increases reaction times, thus increasing system size and cost. For lowest H<sub>2</sub> production cost, the system should be operated at a higher than normal voltage (0.9V) to minimize capital plant costs. The very low concentration of the input acetic acid  $\sim 2$  g acetate/liter of water, (as opposed to the fermentor at 200 g organics/liter of water) resulted in a very large system, i.e., 96,000,000 gallons of total reactor volume for 88 tonnes pure H<sub>2</sub>/day. These volumes, based on current PSU research results, resulted in large tankage and the resultant large area anodes and cathodes, which were the major cost drivers. In addition, the effective operation of these electrodes in a very large scale reactor tank has not yet been analyzed or demonstrated.

For the MEC system, the  $H_2$  cost using pure acetic acid feedstock was a moderately high 12.43/ kg  $H_2$ . This was primarily a product of the use of a very dilute acetic acid/water reactant, which necessitated very large reactor volumes and very large, costly anodes and cathodes. Along with the high capital cost was high acetate feedstock cost, which could be reduced by using a low purity simple organic feedstock such as the fermentor byproduct. However, the current large system size dominates the costs. The immaturity of the full scale system concepts and components indicated that there is extensive potential for future cost reductions.

Recommendations:

- Develop optimized production process and components for low capital cost systems, addressing issues such as:
  - Increased solution density,
  - Pressurized operation (to minimize separate compressor costs)
  - Lower cost cathodes
  - Lower cost anodes
  - Cathode and anode geometry optimization for large reactors
- Determine the extent of ion transport losses as reactor size grows to production scale
- Examine potential efficiencies of the process carried out at large scale

Process				Mass flow		mole flow	Т	Tava	~T	D	Cn	h	da/dt
1100633			0/	ka/day	ka/br	kaM/br	°C	1 ave	= 1 °C	nci	k l/kg °C	li k l/ka	k l/br
			/0	ky/udy	Ky/III	Kyivi/Tii	0	U	U	psi	KJ/KY C	кј/ку	NJ/III
Feedstock	Corn stover		20.0%	2,000,000	83,333		20			14.7			
Inputs		cellulose	36.7%	734,000	30,583								
		hemicell	27.4%	548,000	22,833								
		Lianin.etc	35.9%	718.000	29.917								
		total	100.0%	2.000.000	83,333								
	water		80.0%	8,000,000	333,333		20			14.7			
	total slurry		100.0%	10,000,000	416 667		20			14.7			
	total olariy		100.070	10,000,000	110,001		20			11.1			
HX-1	from feedstock			10,000,000	416,667		20.0	l		14.7	4.180		
	to HX-2			10,000,000	416,667		43.3	31.7	23.3				40,655,319
	from fermentor			9,332,641	388,860.06		55.0			14.7	4.182		
	to LigSep			9,332,641	388,860		30.0	42.5	-25.0				-40,655,319
H¥-2	from HX-1 primary			10 000 000	416 667		433			68.8	4 206		
117-2	to hydrolyzor bostor			10,000,000	410,007		129.5	95.0	95.2	00.0	4.200		140 291 250
	to nyuroiyzer nedler			10,000,000	410,007		120.0	00.9	00.2	<u> </u>	4.045		149,201,230
	from nyarolyzer			10,000,000	410,007		140.0	07.5	85.0	00.0	4.215		440.004.050
	to sacchrification			10,000,000	416,667		55.0	97.5					-149,281,250
Hydolyzer	heating of slurry from H	HX-2		10,000,000	416,667		128.5						
Heater	Ŭ ,			10.000.000	416.667		150.0	139.3	21.5	68.8	4.290		38.387.188
	heating of CO2 from P	SA		490 873	20,453		45.0						,,
	CO2/H2mass	54 58		/00.873	20,100		150.0	07.5	105.0	147	0.013		1 060 720
	hosting of USO free D	CA		430,073	20,400		100.0	57.5	105.0	14.1	0.010		1,300,729
	Heating of H20 from P	0.04		2,783	116		45.0	07.5	105.0	4	1.070		00.005
	H2O/H2mass	0.31		2,783	116		150.0	97.5	105.0	14.7	1.970		23,985
	I otal heat input ree	quired (neg	glecting H2	≅I)									40,371,902
	Waste H2 burner h	neating	effic =										
	H2 LHV kJ/kg =	119,705	90%	8,994	375					14.7			40,371,902
				10,000,000	416,667								
Total heating from LIV 4	. UV 2 . Uc-t												000 000 474
Total neating from HX-1	+ nx-z + heater												230,308,471
Hydrolyzer	In from Heater			10,000,000	416,667		150.0			68.8			
	inputs	cellulose	36.7%	734.000	30.583					psi			
		hemicell	27.4%	548 000	22 833					4 68			
		Lignin etc	25.0%	719,000	20,000					atm			
		Lighin,etc	30.9%	7 10,000	29,917					aun			
		water	80.0%	8,000,000	333,333								
		total		10,000,000	416,667								
efficiency=	output	complex su	gars	1,153,800	48,075		140.0			68.8			
90%		xylan		128,200	5,342								
		Lignin.etc		718.000	29.917								
		organics		2 000 000	83 333								
		water		8 000 000	333 333								
		total		10,000,000	416 667								
	E datte de la contra da contra da con			10,000,000	410,007		40.0			_			
	Endothermic heat abso	$orption \cong I$					10.0						
	to HX-2			10,000,000	416,667		140.0			68.8			
Saccharification	from HX-2			10 000 000	416 667		55.0			147			
in fermenter tank	to fermentation proces			10,000,000	416,667		55.0			14.7			
	to termentation proces	5		10,000,000	410,007		55.0						
Fermentor	in from	total		10,000,000	416,667		55.0			14.7			
efficiency =	sacchrification	simple suga	ars	1,153,800	48,075	267							
						(glucose)							
90%	process	Lignin xylan	.etc	846.200	35.258								
		water		8 000 000	333 333								
		reactanto		10,000,000	116 667								
	H2O reported in former	tation		207.670	+10,007					-			
	n20 reacted in termen			207,076	8,003	001				447			
	yas out	HZ		40,470.0	1,937	961	55			14.7			
		CO2		507,339.5	21,139	480	55						
		V H2O		113,542	4,731	263	55						
		total gas		667,359	27,807	1,704							
	slurry out to HX-1	slurry total		9,332,641	388,860		55						
		Lignin,xylan	,etc	846,200	35.258								
		Acetate		692 280	28 845								
	Acetate		10%	115 380	4 808								
			1076	7 670 701	210.040								
L-H2U total			1,010,181	319,949									
	tatal mana d	เปลา		9,332,041	300,000		-			1			
	total mass out			10,000,000	416,667								
Condenser	gases in	H2		46,477	1,937		55			14.7	14.496	Ī	
FC-1	from fermentor	CO2		507.340	21,139		55			14.7	0,953		
		V H2O		113 542	4 731		55			14.7	1,912		
		total		667.350	97 907		33			14.1	1.312		
	gaaga out to	LUO LUO		007,359	21,007	000.0	45	50	40	147	14 400		000 740
	yases out to	HZ		46,477	1,937	960.6	45	50	-10	14.7	14.496		-280,719
	Compressor-F-1	002		507,340	21,139	480.3	45	50	-10	14.7	0.953		-201,350
		V H2O		64,477	2,686.5	149.1	45	50	-10	14.7	1.912		-51,367
		total gas		618,293	25,762								-533,436
	liquids out	L H2O		49,066	2,044		45	_	-10	14.7	4.200		-62,477
liquias out		V-L Phase	change heat								l	-2222	-4,542,656

26. Part III Appendix A: Fermentor Mass/Heat Balance

Process				Mass flow		mole flow	Т	T ave	, T	Р	Ср	h	dq/dt
			%	kg/day	kg/hr	kgM/hr	°C	°C	°C	psi	kJ/kg ℃	kJ/kg	kJ/hr
Compressor	in	H2	•	46,477	1,937	961	45			14.7			
stage 1		CO2		507,340	21,139	480	45			14.7			1
F-1		V H2O		64,477	2,687	149	45			14.7			
		total		618,293	25,762	1,590	45			14.7			
	out	H2		46,477	1,937	961	190.8			60			1
		CO2		507,340	21,139	480	190.8			60			1
		V H2O		64,477	2,687	149	190.8			60			
		total		618,293	25,762	1,590							
Intercooler	Gas in	H2		46,477	1,937	961	190.8			60	14.496		
FIC-1		CO2		507,340	21,139	480	190.8			60	0.953		1
Primary		V H2O		64,477	2,687	149	190.8			60	1.912		l
	0	total		618,293	25,762		45	117.0			11.100		1 000 001
	Gas out	HZ		46,477	1,937	960.6	45	117.9	-146	58	14.496		-4,092,881
		UU2		507,340	21,139	480.3	45	117.9	-140	50	0.953		-2,935,088
		total das		568 986	23 708	33.1	40	117.5	-140	50	1.912		-7 204 769
	Liquid out	1 H2O		49 307	2 0 5 4		45		-146	58	4 200		-915 401
	Liquid out	V-L Phase	change heat	10,001	2,001		10					-2222	-4.565.040
	total out			1,187,279	49.470								-12.685.209
Secondary	water in			3,642,123	151,755		20				4.180		,,
	water out			3,642,123	151,755		40	30	20		4.180		12,685,209
Compressor	in	H2		46 477	1 937		45			58			
stage 2		CO2		507.340	21,139		45			58			1
F-2		V H2O		15,169	632		45			58			1
		total		568,986	23,708	1,476							
	out	H2		46,477	1,937	960.6	218			302			
		CO2		507,340	21,139.15	480.3	218			302			1
		V H2O		15,169	632	35.1	218			302			l
		total		568,986	23,708	1,476.0							1
FIC-2	Gas in	H2		46,477	1,937		218			302			
Primary		CO2		507,340	21,139		218			302			1
		V H2O		15,169	632		218			302			
	Con out			208,980	23,708	060.6	45	121.45	172	200	14.406	<b>├</b> ──┦	4 952 620
	Gas out	CO2		507 340	21 139 15	900.0 480.3	45	131.45	-173	300	0.953		-4,055,029
		V H2O		2 876	120	+00.0	45	131.45	-173	300	1 912		-39 619
		total gas		556,692	23,196	1.447.6	-10	101.10		000	1.012		-8.374.596
	Liguid out	L H2O		12,293	512	.,	45		-173	300	4.200		-270,646
		V-L Phase	change heat									-2222	-1,138,145
	total out			568,986	23,708								-9,783,386
Secondary	water in			2,808,964	117,040		20				4.180		
	water out	-		2,808,964	117,040		40	30	20		4.180		9,783,386
PSA	in	total		556,692	23,196		45			300			
fermentor		H2		46,477	1,937	960.6	45			300			1
		CO2		507,340	21,139	480.4	45			300			1
		V H2O		2,876	120	6.7	45			300			
	out	H2 outlet	80%	37,181	1,549	100.1	45			300	1		
		H2 1055	20%	9,295	21 120	192.1	45			300	-		1
		CO2/H2 m	ace ratio	54.6	21,139	400.4	40			300			1
		V H2O	1551010	2 876	120	67	45			300	1		1
		VH20/H2 n	nass ratio	0.31	120	0.1	10			000			1
		waste gas (	out	519.511	21.646	679.2							
	Burner us	e of waste H2=	97%										,
LigninSeparator	in from HX-1	total from H	IX-1	9 332 641	388 860								
5	-	Lignin,etc		846,200	35,258		30			14.7			
		Acetate		692,280	28,845								
		Glucose		115,380	4,808								
		L-H20		7,678,781	319,949								
		total		9,332,641	388,860								
	out - mash	Lignin,etc	100%	846,200	35,258		30				1		
		Acetate	5%	34,614	1,442								
		Glucose	5%	5,769	240								
		L-H2U total	= iignin wt	846,200	35,258								
	out - liquid		05%	1,132,183	72,199		30				1		
	to MEC	Glucose	95%	100 611	21,403 1567		50						
		H20-I	5570	6 832 581	284 691	-							
		total		7.599.858	316.661		t						
	out total			9,332,641	388,860		1						
27.	Part III Ap	pendix B:	MEC Mass	/Heat Balance									
-----	-------------	-----------	----------	---------------									

				Mass flow		mole flow	Т	T ave	ΔT	Р	Ср	h	dq/dt
			%	kg/day	kg/hr	kgM/hr	°C	°C	°C	psi	kJ/kg °C	kJ/kg	kJ/hr
MEC	Inputs:	Acetate		767,277	31,970	532.4	30			14.7			
0.9V system	Acetate	water input		345,914,844	14,413,119								
	dilution (g/L) =	H2O + substrate =		346,682,121	14,445,088								
	2.213	total input		346,682,121	14,445,088								
	reactant vol.=	91,524,080 gallons				1=0.1							
	reacted acetate		90%	690,549	28,773	479.1							
000/	reacted water	00		414,314	17,263	958.3	20			447			
90%	output-gas	HZ		92,721	3,863	1,916.5	30			14.7			1
reaction		CO2 total out	E0/	1,012,142	42,173	958.3	0.05			14.7			1
completion			5%	30,007	2,109	47.9	0.05			147			
		nzu-v total cathode gas		1 1/1 610	1,001.0	2 040				14.7			
	output liquid	unreacted acetate	10%	76 728	47,507	2,049	30			1			
	output liquid		10 /0	345 463 775	14 394 324		50						1
		total liquid		345 540 502	14 397 521								1
	total output			346.682.121	14.445.088								
CO2 Vented from ME(			0.50/	061 525	40.064								
CO2 vented from MEC	,		95%	901,555	40,004								
Compressor	in	H2		92,721	3,863	1,916	30			14.7			1
MC-1		CO2		50,607	2,109	48	30			14.7			1
		V H2O		36,755	1,531.5	85	30			14.7			
		total		180,083	7,503	2,049	30			14.7			
	out	HZ		92,721	3,863	1,916	204			60			
		002		50,607	2,109	47.9	204			60			
		V H2U		30,755	1,531	85	204			60			
		וטנמו		160,065	7,503	2,049				00			<u>i</u>
Intercooler	Gas in	H2		92,721	3,863		204			60			1
MIC-1		CO2		50,607	2,109		204			60			1
Primary		V H2O		36,755	1,531		204			60			
	0	total		180,083	7,503	4.040	45	404.0	450	50	44.400		0.000.444
	Gas out	H2		92,721	3,863	1,916	45	124.3	-159	58	14.496		-8,882,141
		002		50,607	2,109	47.9	45	124.3	-159	50	0.953		-318,543
		V HZU		20,079	6 934	47.8	45	124.3	-159	00	1.912		-201,207
	Liquid out			16 076	670		45		-150	58	4 200		-324 656
		VI Phase change be	at	10,070	070		40		-159	50	4.200	_2222	-1 /88 369
	total out	V-L Thase change he	αι	180 083	7 503							-2222	-11 274 996
Secondary	water in			3 237 228	134 885		20	1			4 180		11,211,000
,	water out			3,237,228	134,885		40	30	20		4.180		11,274,996
Compressor	in	H2		92 721	3 863		45			58			
MC-2		CO2		50 607	2 109		45			58			1
		V H2O		20 679	862		45			58			1
		total		164.007	6.834	2.012	45			58			
	out	H2		92,721	3,863	1,916	267			302			
		CO2		50,607	2,109	48	267			302			1
		V H2O		20,679	862	48	267			302			1
		total		164,007	6,834	2,012							
Intercooler	Gas in	H2		92.721	3,863		267			302			
MIC-2		CO2		50,607	2,109		267			302			
Primary		V H2O		20,679	862		267			302			
		total		164,007	6,834								
	Gas out	H2		92,721	3,863	1,916	45	156	-222	300	14.496		-12,432,757
		CO2		50,607	2,109	48	45	156	-222	300	0.953		-445,880
		V H2O		3,921	163.4	9.1	45	156	-222	300	1.912		-69,347
		total gas		147,249	6,135		45		000	000	1 000		-12,947,984
	Liquid out	L H2O	-1	16,758	698		45		-222	300	4.200	0000	-4/3,/26
	total out	v-L Phase change he	al	464.007	6 024			<u> </u>				-2222	-1,051,548
Secondary	iolai oul water in			104,007	170 127		20				/ 180		-14,973,250
occontaily	water out			4,299,057	179,127		40	30	20		4.180		14,973,258
PSA	in	H2		92 721	3 863	1 01/	45			300			
MEC		CO2		50 607	2 109	48	45			300			1
		V H2O		3 921	163	-0 Q	45			300			
		total		147 249	6 135	, j	45			300			
	out	H2 out	95%	88.085	3.670		45			300			
		H2 waste	5%	4,636	193		45			300			
		CO2		50,607	2,109	48	45			300			
		V H2O		3,921	163	9	45			300			
		total out		147,249	6,135			1					l

# **Part IV: Integrated Systems**

### 28. Systems Integration

Having characterized and assessed costs for several pathways for biological hydrogen production, it is important to consider how combinations of different pathways could enhance hydrogen production and potentially lower costs. Combining capital costs, making use of waste products and increasing solar capture makes it possible to improve the cost-effectiveness of the overall system. This report is focused on examining different combinations of the biological hydrogen production pathways and evaluating costs associated with these integrations as compared to the individual systems.

There are three types of biological systems that have been evaluated in this effort: photobiological, fermentation, and Microbial Electrolysis. These types can be used in integrated systems. Integrations are shown in Figure 28-1. Combinations can occur within the photobiological subset of systems, within the fermentation subset of systems or a combination of a photobiological and fermentation system. While other combinations may exist, our task required the evaluation of four systems. We have chosen to study the four that are most representative and have sufficient synergies to make integration a possibility. Higher multiples of the systems could be possible but are not considered necessary to evaluate in the boundary analysis. Those could be reviewed in more detail if any of these combinations prove fruitful.



### Figure 28-1. Potential Biological Integration Methods

The four potential system combinations analyzed are as follows:

- stacked photobiological systems (B-3/B-5) to capture a greater portion of the solar spectrum
- photobiological H<sub>2</sub> production (B-1) combined with algal fermentation (C-1)
- photobiological PNS H<sub>2</sub> production (B-5) combined with waste PNS fermentation (C-5) and waste acetate consumption in the PNS photosynthesis
- lignocellolosic fermentation combined with microbial electrolysis

For more details on the specifics of each of the systems mentioned please refer to Part I, Part II and Part III of this report.

# 28.1 Stacked Photobiological Pathway

The best way to evaluate integrated photobiological beds is by considering them vertically stacked. Side-by-side integration would increase the land requirements and only share auxiliary subsystem costs. Organisms and bed designs as defined would not absorb the full spectrum or intensity of solar light available. Thus, it seems probable that a stacked system would take advantage of this and allow for greater hydrogen production per area. In order to stack systems the organisms chosen for the top and bottom reactor must have sufficiently different PAR spectra to produce significant increases in hydrogen production in a stacked configuration. As has been noted before, the PNS bacteria in the B-5 pathway utilize a different PAR spectrum than the Chlamydomonas algae and Cyanobacteria in systems B-1, B-2, B-3, and B-4. Thus stacking one of these beds on top of a B-5 photobio bed could improve H<sub>2</sub> production by increasing the range of the solar spectrum captured by the beds. For the upper bed, B-3 was chosen rather than the B-1 and B-2 systems because of the additional greater precautions necessary in handling the stoichiometric H<sub>2</sub>/O2 mix from the latter systems. The B-4 system was not used because the polypropylene mats used for the immobilized algae, combined with the high algae density on these mats, would block the transmission of photons to the lower layer. Therefore, the stacked system we chose to investigate consisted of a sulfate permease mutant B-3 system stacked on top of a B-5 PNS bed, increasing the effective PAR of the integrated system from 44% to 71%, leading to an increase in hydrogen production relative to the B-3 system by itself. Furthermore, many hardware components can be combined for the two systems, including most of the reactor bed subassembly, control system subassembly, and gas capture subassembly costs. The assumptions and changes from the B-3 system to create a stacked bed include:

- A second layer of film to separate the two beds
- The components of the Organism Feed Subassembly and Recycle Subassembly are all doubled in order to provide nutrients and maintain concentration in both beds
- In order to capture the additional photons, roughly 1/5 of the normal concentration of PNS will be needed, and thus the acetate nutrient requirements are 1/5 of the full B-5 system
- Since the B-5 system will be stacked below the B-3 system, it will be impossible to provide paddlewheel mixing. Thus, we have added recirculation pumps and perforated pipe that extends the length of the raceways every 10 feet

### A process diagram of the integrated system is shown in

Figure 28-2. The conceptual design of a tiered reactor bed system is illustrated in Figure 28-3. Overall cost for the B-3/B-5 stacked system for a 1 ton per day (TPD) plant is \$4,274,085. The bill of materials for the B-3/B-5 stacked system is shown in Figure 28-4.



Figure 28-2. Process Diagram – Integrated Photobiological Systems

Figure 28-3. Tiered Reactor Bed Conceptual Design



Figure	28-4.	Bill	of Ma	iterial	s for	<b>B-3/B</b> -	-5 Stacked	l Beds
0								Marked All American Activity

Under the discussion?         Instant of the second of	Description	Size Req u Offics	Unit Size	UTIILS		Unit Cost	Qiy key u	TOTALCOST	Wateriar / Tare Description
Transparts the function of the set of the s	Photo Bio Reactor Bed Subassembly								
Internal 2       Line 32 1       Internal 3       0       12       12	Transparent Film	165452 m2	1	m2	\$	0.54	165,452	\$ 89,054	Polyethylene price quote at \$0.54/m2 (\$0.05/ft2)
Index         Instrument         Instrument </td <td>Film Layer 2</td> <td>165452 m2</td> <td>1</td> <td>m2</td> <td>\$</td> <td>0.54</td> <td>165,452</td> <td>\$ 89,054</td> <td>Polyethylene price quote at \$0.54/m2 (\$0.05/ft2)</td>	Film Layer 2	165452 m2	1	m2	\$	0.54	165,452	\$ 89,054	Polyethylene price quote at \$0.54/m2 (\$0.05/ft2)
Pind Signig       2656 m       1       m       5       7.00       21.55       45       15.55 <td>Pond Lining</td> <td>178597 m2</td> <td>1</td> <td>m2</td> <td>\$</td> <td>0.47</td> <td>178,597</td> <td>\$ 84,382</td> <td>Butyl rubber, PVC, and LDPE (low density polyethylene)based on quote of \$175.56 for</td>	Pond Lining	178597 m2	1	m2	\$	0.47	178,597	\$ 84,382	Butyl rubber, PVC, and LDPE (low density polyethylene)based on quote of \$175.56 for
Installation of Pools         1         cace w         5         50,000         7         6         90,000         Installation is inframe.           Disk Network         0.5         1         each         5         0.400         1         0.400         1         0.4000         0.40000         0.40000         1         0.40000         1         0.40000         0.40000         0.400000         0.40000         0.40000 </td <td>Pond Edging</td> <td>26566 m</td> <td>1</td> <td>m</td> <td>\$</td> <td>7.00</td> <td>26,566</td> <td>\$ 185,965</td> <td>Unit Cost is engineering estimate at \$7/m</td>	Pond Edging	26566 m	1	m	\$	7.00	26,566	\$ 185,965	Unit Cost is engineering estimate at \$7/m
Peddewise         1         each         5         500000         7         5         500000         24.44         50.50         1.51         Net windows detty day windows dety day windows dety day windows dety day windows dety day	Installation of Ponds		1	raceway	\$	26,083.00	38	\$ 991,154	Based on California labor rates.
Inter Varie Vale       0.5 in       1       each       5       4.4.6       35       5       1.5.1       inter manufacture discribution discr	Paddlewheel Mixers		1	each	\$	5,000.00	76	\$ 380,000	Est. paddlewheel cost. 4 per raceway. 18 raceways.
Outer Varie         0.5 in         1         each         5         4.4.4         35         5         1.5.6         Interminity wave description provides an pring wave description provides and pring wave description print of a section pring wave description provides and pring wave descripting print provides and pring wave descripting print provides and pr	Inlet Water Valve	0.5 in	1	each	\$	43.46	38	\$ 1,651	Price from ValveStore.com (http://www.valvestore.com/prodinfo.asp?number=551032)
Optiel Gai Value         1.0 in         1.         each         5         7.23         38         5         7.25         Note that Values and many matching shares howes in this prover and many matching shares howes in this prove and many matching shares howes and many matching shares how shares howes and many matching shares how how shares how shares how shares how shares how sha	Outlet Water Valve	0.5 in	1	each	Ś	43.46	38	\$ 1.651	Price from ValveStore.com (http://www.valvestore.com/prodinfo.asp?number=551032)
Finance         0.5 in         1         each         5         0.0         11         9         92         Two functional many many many many many many many many	Outlet Gas Valve	1.0 in	1	each	ŝ	67.23	38	\$ 2.55	Price from ValveStore.com (http://www.valvestore.com/prodinfo.asp?number=551032)
PNS Exerciculation nump         To         Set 7239         To         Set 7239         To         Set 7239         To         Set 7237           Pis Set Forderal definition         S         0.24         Set 72300         S         S.7.257           Pis Set Forderal definition         S         7.2800         1.5         S         C.2.078         Lage 12.4.2.0.75           Pis Set Forderal definition         S         7.800         1.5         S         C.2.078         Lage 12.4.2.0.75           Pis Set Forderal definition         S         7.800         1.5         S         C.2.078         Lage 12.4.2.0.0.75           Man Collection Pipe         2.100 ft         1         ft         S         D.2.00	Flanges	0.5 in	1	each	ŝ	8.00	114	\$ 917	Price from http://www.ancorp.com/line.aspx?id=819
Phi S efficience Piping         2 48500         5         0.24         2 49,500         5         59,735           is Cipure Subsection View         7 Ignoid/ir 67         kgmoid/ir 5         9,233,00         15         78,270         break statuments           Concentration View         70 Ignoid/ir 67         kgmoid/ir 6         9,233,00         15         78,250         break statuments         Figure 10,100         Figure 10,1000         Figure 10,100         Figure 10,100	PNS Recicirculaiton Pump	76			ŝ	187.99	76	\$ 14.287	
Induction drage         Lease         Description         Section         Comparison         Section         Section         Section           Comparison         74 genol/hr         67 genol/hr         5         72,000         1         5         72,000           Data Columnia         74 ft         1         ft         5         72,000         1         5         72,000           Data Columnia         74 ft         1         ft         5         13,30         Suite first Adaptace products. Assume practice assume practimation practice assume pracon practice assume practice assume practice assume pra	PNS Perforated Pining	248900			š	0.24	248 900	\$ 59.736	
ia Capmersion pix Compression pix Compression pix Compression pix Compression pix Compression pix Compression pix Compression pix Compression pix Compression Compr					*				
Compression         PFA         Figs         PEA         Secansy Collection Pipe         PEA         Secansy Collection Pipe         PEA         PEA           Baceway Collection Pipe         75 ft         1         ft         5         100         75         575 ft         75 ft         1         ft         5         7500           Baceway Collection Pipe         350 ft         1         ft         5         6.18         3505         2.13         Bunch Mage production. Animating Pinet Cite pipes, 100 ft/s whole, 1	Gas Capture Subassembly								
pA         Control         F         78,000         1         6         78,000           Main Collection Pipe         2160 ft         1         ft         5         6.18         2160 ft         1.13.34         State ft Pak Hydrogen production. Assume Place: Cap ping: 100 fth website, Cap Cap Ling Mark Hydrogen production. Assume Place: Cap ping: 100 fth website, Cap Cap Ling Mark Hydrogen production. Assume Place: Cap ping: 100 fth website, Cap Cap Ling Mark Hydrogen production. Assume Place: Cap Place: 2017 A website, Cap Cap Ling Mark Hydrogen production. Assume Place: Cap Place: 2017 A website, Cap Cap Ling Mark Hydrogen production. Assume Place: Cap Place: 2017 A website, Cap Place: 2017 A website	Compressor	67 kgmol/hr	67	kgmol/hr	Ś	9.233.00	1	\$ 622.078	Using H2A Unit cost
Decrement Collection Pape         76 ft         1         ft         5         1.00         77         5         Total branch pleage logiced. Justice Place	PSA	0,00		0 .,	ŝ	78,900	1	\$ 78,900	
Main Collection Pige         2100 ft         1         ft         5         C18         2200 ft         1         ft         5         C18         350 ft         1         ft         5         C18         350 ft         1         350 ft         1         ft         5         C18         350 ft         1         St         C18         St         C18         St         C18         St         C18         St         C18         St	Raceway Collection Pine	76 ft	1	ft	ś	1.00	76	\$ 76	Sized for Peak Hydrogen production. Assuming Plastic Gas piping, 100 ft/s velocity,
Base Spaper Pipe         Table in the source of the source space. Source Pipe in the source space source space source in the source	Main Collection Pine	2160 ft	1	ft	č	6.18	2160	\$ 13340	Sized for Peak Hydrogen production. Assuming Plastic Gas piping, 100 ft/s velocity.
Date Support         Dot in         1         it         5         1.00         300         5         300         Durnst Infigure Mage in Mage	Gas Capture Bine	2100 11	1	ft (1	é	6.10	2100	¢ 7163	Sized for Peak Hydrogen production. Assuming Plastic Gas piping, 100 ft/s velocity.
Production region       3       1,00       30       3       300       Multiply interesting interestinteresting in	DNS Gas Collection Dine	330 IL	1	n	ç	1.00	330	¢ 2,103	Diverts PNS gas to Main Gas Pining
Upper large for a Subserved by set of the s	PNS Gas collection Pipe				Ş	1.00	560	Ş 38L	Director Hos Bas to Hum das Fiping
Transfer         150         gpm         5         10.252         18         5         11.84, 358         151         based on YV-Common Humbook, Nucl Design and Conomics           Muing Purup         150         gpm         5         10.25         5.10.852         5.40.853         5.50.953 </td <td>Organism Feed Subassembly</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Organism Feed Subassembly								
Nutrient Metering Pumps         Los gam         Los gam <thlos gam<="" th="">         Los gam         <thlos <="" gam<="" td=""><td>Transfer In Pump</td><td>150 gom</td><td>150</td><td>anm</td><td>ć</td><td>10 252</td><td>18</td><td>¢ 104 534</td><td>Est, Based on Perry's Chemical Handbook, Plant Design and Economics</td></thlos></thlos>	Transfer In Pump	150 gom	150	anm	ć	10 252	18	¢ 104 534	Est, Based on Perry's Chemical Handbook, Plant Design and Economics
Mining function         100 ppm         110 ppm	Nutriont Mataring Rumps	190 gpm	1469	Gol/Hr	é	2 504	10	¢ 5,04,030	Est. Based on Perry's Chemical Handbook, Plant Design and Economics
Mode graph         Lob         gam         Lob         gam         Lob         gam         Lob         gam         Lob         Sol         Lob         Lob         Sol	Mining Pump	150	1408	Gal/HL.	ç	2,354	10	5 5,160	Est. Based on Penry's Clemical Handbook, Plant Design and Economics
Cuck Upinter       100       20       300       10       5       300       10       100       <	Mixing Pump	150 gpm	150	gpm	ç	10,252	10	\$ 184,536	Est. Based on Perry's chemical Handbook, Plant Design and Economics
LQ2 statistics       13000 gal       5       3000       10       5       300000       10       5       3000000       10       5       3000000       10       5       3000000       10       10       5       3000000       10       5       3000000       10	CO2 Cylinder	50 10	50		Ş	300	1	> 30L	(http://kegman.net/carbon.ntm)
Nutrieft lank         14 gal         10         gal         5         0.00         2         5         0.00 <th0< td=""><td>CO2 Saturation Vessel</td><td>15000 gai</td><td>15000</td><td>gai</td><td>Ş</td><td>30,000</td><td>10</td><td>\$ 300,000</td><td>Economics</td></th0<>	CO2 Saturation Vessel	15000 gai	15000	gai	Ş	30,000	10	\$ 300,000	Economics
Haceway Surry Collection Pipe (B and BS)         184 tt         1         tt         S         212         134 S         390         Mode States of the States States States of the States	Nutrient lank	14 gal	10	gal	ş	30.00	2	\$ 60	085 (http://www.watertanks.com/products/02/5-085.asp)
Main Surry Collection Pipe (B3 and B5)       5280 tt       1       tt       s       51       5280 st       44 (33)       Prices based off a Elimitate form NC Plast Cop. Assume 30 Plycond How rate and Recycle Fed Transfer Die (B3 and B5)         Rotary Drum filter       17980 gph       3750       gph       s       87,000       information from Dura steet at Advanced Aquaculture Inc. on Hydrotech Drum Filter         Transfer Du Prum filter       17980 gph       3750       gph       s       87,000       information from Dura steet at Advanced Aquaculture Inc. on Hydrotech Drum Filter         Transfer Du Prum filter       17980 gph       3750       gph       s       87,000       information from Dura steet at Advanced Aquaculture Inc. on Hydrotech Drum Filter         Transfer Du Prum filter       17980 gph       3750       gph       s       87,000       Transfer Dura Matter       Prices based off a Elimitet from PC Filter Cop. Assume 30 Plycond How rate and Recervery Stury Freed Pipe (B3 and B5)       188 tt       11       tt       s       12,020 Prices Placed (B1 di Elimitet from PC Filter Cop. Assume 30 Plycond How rate and Recervery Stury Freed Pipe (B3 and B5)       188 tt       tt       12,020 Prices Placed (B1 di Elimitet from PC Filter Cop. Assume 30 Plycond How rate and Recervery Stury Freed Pipe (B3 and B5)       18 tt       tt       tt       12,020 Prices Placed (B1 di Elimitet from PC Filter Cop. Assume 30 Plycond How rate and Recervery Placed B1 di Elimitet from PC Filter C	Raceway Slurry Collection Pipe (B3 and B5)	184 ft	1	ft	ş	2.12	184	\$ 390	Pricing based off of Estimates from PVC Plastic Corp. Assumes 10 ft/second flow rate and
Recycle-feed Transfer Pipe (B3 and B5)         360 ft         1         ft         S         8.51         360 S         3,064         Pricing based off Estimates from PAC Plastic Corp. Assumes 10 Mysecond How rate and Esciption Plastic Corp. Assumes 10 Mysecond How rate and Raceway Slurry Feed Pipe (B3 and B5)         360 ft         1         ft         S         6.18.2040 B         11.257 Minima Plastic Corp. Assumes 10 Mysecond How rate and Raceway Slurry Feed Pipe (B3 and B5)         184 ft         1         ft         S         1.0252         18.5 Corp. Assumes 10 Mysecond How rate and Raceway Slurry Feed Pipe (B3 and B5)         184 ft         1         ft         S         1.010 Corp.         72 S         72 Pricing based off Estimates from PVC Plastic Corp. Assumes 10 Mysecond How rate and Initial Acteriate           Initial Co2         163 ft         1         ft         S         0.00         72 S         72 Pricing based off Estimates from PVC Plastic Corp. Assumes 10 Mysecond How rate and Initial Acteriate           Initial Acteriate         2 Ib         1         Ib         S         0.00         75 S1248.677 S         12.626 ft Altheriata Corts How	Main Slurry Collection Pipe (B3 and B5)	5280 ft	1	ft	ş	8.51	5280	\$ 44,933	Pricing based off of Estimates from PVC Plastic Corp. Assumes 10 ft/second flow rate and
Botay Drum filter         17980 gph         3750         gph         5         87000         5         435,00         Immediation from Data Kent al Advanced Aquaculture Inc. on Hydrotoch Drum Filter           Transfer Out Pump         150 gpm         5         10,252         16         5         136,551         Timmeshas           Main Slury Feed Pipe (B3 and B5)         5280 ft         1         ft         5         100         72         Pricing based off of Estimates from PVC Plastic Corp. Assumes 10 flyacond flow rate and rate and severage fertilizer use for Aquaculture and average fertilizer costs. From Hit           Initial AUG         184 ft         1         ft         5         0.00         72         0.00         72.00         72         Pricing based of average fertilizer use for Aquaculture and average fertilizer costs. From Hit           Initial Muriers         2.1b         1         1         5         0.00         7583248.679         5         0.160 (10,000) 24.015         0.160 (10,000) 24.015         0.160 (10,000) 24.015         0.160 (10,000) 24.015         0.160 (10,000) 24.015         0.160 (10,000) 24.015         0.160 (10,000) 24.015         0.160 (10,000) 24.015         0.160 (10,000) 24.015         0.160 (10,000) 24.015         0.160 (10,000) 24.015         0.160 (10,000) 24.015         0.160 (10,000) 24.015         0.160 (10,000) 24.015         0.160 (10,000) 24.015         0	Recycle-Feed Transfer Pipe (B3 and B5)	360 ft	1	ft	Ş	8.51	360	\$ 3,064	Pricing based off of Estimates from PVC Plastic Corp. Assumes 10 ft/second flow rate and
Cartery During filter         17980 gph         3750         gpm         5         87,000.00         5         435,000         Information from Dava Kent at Advanced Aquaculure Inc. on Hydrotech Drum Filter           Transfer Out Pump         150 gpm         150 gpm         150 gpm         16         87,000.00         184,531         Timmenius           Main Siury Feed Pipe (83 and 85)         2240 ft         1         ft         5         8,000.00         72         5         Vicia based off of Estimates from PVC Platic Corp. Assumes 10 Hydrotech Drum Filter           Main Siury Feed Pipe (83 and 85)         124 ft         1         ft         5         0.00         72         5         Contaked on average fertilizer use for Aquaculture and average fertilizer costs from the Initial Mutrients         2 b         1         bb         0.00         753248.67         5         0.00         100 Hydrotech Costs           Initial Acetate         794266 gal         1         kg         5         0.00         15         8,000         Costs         32,000.00         1         5         3,000.00         1         5         3,000.00         1         5         3,000.00         Costs         Costs         Costs         Costs         Costs         Costs         Costs         Costs         Costs         Costs<	De suela Subassamblu								
Notary Drum Inter         1/380 gpn         3/30 gpn         5         8 //0000         5 s         435,000         Interminion from Jank and a Abalaces Agalactive inc. on registrate from INC Plastic Corp. Assumes 10 fixecond flow rate are Raceway Slorry Feed Pipe (B3 and B5)           Main Slurry Feed Pipe (B3 and B5)         5280 ft         1         ft         \$         145,000         Transfer CUP (B3 and B5)         State Corp. Assumes 10 fixecond flow rate are Raceway Slorry Feed Pipe (B3 and B5)           Consumables         Initial Nutrients         2         b         1         b         \$         35,000         Tormsfer CUP (B3 and B5)         State Corp. Assumes 10 fixecond flow rate are Raceway Slorry Feed Pipe (B3 and B5)           Initial Nutrients         2         b         1         b         \$         30,000         Tormsfer CUP (B3 and B5)         State Corp. Assumes 10 fixecond flow rate are Raceway Slorry Feed Pipe (B3 and B5)           Initial Nutrients         2         b         1         b         \$         20,000         Tormsfer CUP (B3 and B5)         State Corp. Assumes 10 fixecond flow rate are Refilter use for Assumes 10 fixecond flow rate are Refilter use for Assumes 10 fixecond flow rate are Refilter use for Assumes 10 fixecond flow rate are Refilter use for Assumes 10 fixecond flow rate are Refilter use for Assumes 10 fixecond flow rate are Refilter use for Assumes 10 fixecond flow rate are Refilter use for Assumes 10 fixecond flow rate are Refilter use for Assumes 10 fixecond flow rate are Refilter use for A	Recycle Subassembly	17000	2750		~	07 000 00	-		
Transfer Uut Pump       150       gpm       150       161 <td>Rotary Drum filter</td> <td>17980 gpn</td> <td>3750</td> <td>gpn</td> <td>Ş</td> <td>87,000.00</td> <td>5</td> <td>\$ 435,000</td> <td>Information from Dana Kent at Advanced Aquaculture Inc. on Hydrotech Drum Filter</td>	Rotary Drum filter	17980 gpn	3750	gpn	Ş	87,000.00	5	\$ 435,000	Information from Dana Kent at Advanced Aquaculture Inc. on Hydrotech Drum Filter
Main Surry Feed Pipe (B3 and B5)       5.280 ft       1       ft       S       6.18       2.001       72       5       2.001       Prioring based on or stamates from PAX Pastic Corp. Assumes UI decode now rate and Recevary SUrry Feed Pipe (B3 and B5)         Consumables       Initial O2       41629 lb       50       lb       \$       35.00       8.33       \$       291.55       Costs based on average fertilizer outs from PAX Pastic Corp. Assumes UI decode now rate and PAX Pastic Corp. Assumes UI decode now rat	Transfer Out Pump	150 gpm	150	gpm	ş	10,252	18	\$ 184,531	Timmerhaus
Haceway Surry Feed Pipe (B3 and B5)       194 ft       1       rt       S       1.00       7.2       7.2       Princip Seeke on of Estimates from Pive Pastic Colp. Assume 10 if yeacond now rate and average fertilizer costs from the initial Nutrients         Initial O2       4.1629 lb       50       lb       S       35.00       8.33       S       29.155       Cost based on average fertilizer costs from the initial Nutrients         Initial Nutrients       2 lb       1       lb       S       0.00       7583248.675       S       126.265       HA Feedstock Costs         Initial Acctate       7991266 gal       1       gal       S       0.000       7583248.675       S       0.000       ft       A feedstock Costs         Control Room       160 ft2       1       ft       S       0.000       15       3.000.00       Consultation with information Systems inc.         Control Room Wing Panel       1       S       1.460.00       38       S       5.548       Internan Process Management         Labitew Software       1       S       1.450.000       15       1.200.001       Internan Process Management         Labitew Software       1       S       3.450.00       15       1.200.001       Internanton from memon Process Management	Main Slurry Feed Pipe (B3 and B5)	5280 ft	1	ft	ş	6.18	2040	\$ 12,607	Pricing based off of Estimates from PVC Plastic Corp. Assumes 10 ft/second flow rate and
Somewales         Initial O2         41629 lb         50         lb         \$         35.00         83.3         \$         29.15         Cottade on average fertilier use for Aquaculure and average fertilier costs from the initial Acter and average fertilier costs from the initial Acter and average fertilier costs for the initinitin Acter and average fert average fertilier costs fo	Raceway Slurry Feed Pipe (B3 and B5)	184 ft	1	ft	Ş	1.00	/2	Ş 72	Pricing based off of Estimates from PVC Plastic Corp. Assumes 10 ft/second flow rate and
Answers         Automation           Initial CO2         41629 lb         50         lb         \$         35.00         833         \$         29,155         Cost based on average fertilizer costs from the Initial Nutrients           Initial Nutrients         2 lb         1         lb         \$         0.00         758248.679         \$         10.762         0         NFC Company, Chicago, IL (80) 734.4515           Initial Acetate         5442 kg         1         kg         \$         0.600         758248.679         \$         3,238           Control Room         160 ft2         1         ft2         \$         5,000         160         \$         8,000         http://www.kuyerone.com/industria/modular_building/prefab_guide.html           Control Room Wring Panel         1         \$         3,000.00         1         \$         3,000.00         formation from Tessor Technologies inc.           Computer and Monitor         1         \$         1,500.00         1         \$         4,299.00         Instantion from Tessor Technologies inc.           Level Indicators         1         \$         744.00         38         \$         2,112.00         Iomga Dregit Tessor Strandogue PD12 Meter           Pressure Sensors         1         \$         345.00	Consumphilos								
Initial OC2         12 bit         1         bit         5         5.00         6.33         5         2         1.01           Initial Water         2 bit         1         bit         5         0.00         7583248.679         5         1.0.600, fickago, IL (800) 734.4515           Initial Water         7991266 gal         1         gal         5         0.00         7583248.679         5         1.2,626         #24 Festook Costs           Initial Actate         7991266 gal         1         gal         5         0.000         7583248.679         \$         1.2,626         #24 Festook Costs           Control Room         160 ft2         1         ft2         \$         50.00         160         \$         8,000         Interval Wining Panel         1         \$         3,000.00         Consultation with innomation systems inc.           Raceway Wining Panel         1         \$         1,4500         38         \$         5,748.40         Mass         2,712.00         Information from Eneron Process Management           Lavel Indicators         1         \$         3,4500         38         \$         13,110.00         Insequineering inc. W931 Usuid Level Foor Transmitter + 0.P32 Meter           Pressure Sensors         1         \$ </td <td>Initial CO3</td> <td>41620 lb</td> <td>EO</td> <td>lb</td> <td>ć</td> <td>25.00</td> <td>000</td> <td>¢ 20.155</td> <td>Cost based on average fertilizer use for Aquaculture and average fertilizer costs from the</td>	Initial CO3	41620 lb	EO	lb	ć	25.00	000	¢ 20.155	Cost based on average fertilizer use for Aquaculture and average fertilizer costs from the
Initial Nutrients         2 to         1         in         5         0 to 20         2 to         1 to         Net company, unago, it.gob, 74×-635           Initial Vater         7991266 gal         1         gal         S         0.00         5422         S         3,238           initial Acetate         5442 kg         1         kg         S         50.00         160         S         8,000         http://www.boyer.one.com/industrial/modular_building/prefab_guide.html           Control Room         160 ft2         1         ft2         S         50.00         160         S         8,000         htmatinia with innomation Systems inc.           Control Room         160 ft2         1         ft2         S         50.00         15         3,000.00         Consultation with innomation Systems inc.           Computer and Monitor         1         S         1,460.00         38         S         5,548         Information from Encor Process Management           Level Indicators         1         S         7,40.00         38         S         13,110.00         Omaga Engineering inc. VMS1 Luquid Level Roat Transitter + DM32 Meter           Pressure Sensors         1         S         746.00         38         S         13,11.00 <omaga engineering="" inc.="" level="" luquid="" ro<="" td="" vms1=""><td>Initial CO2</td><td>41029 10</td><td>30</td><td>ID IL</td><td>ç</td><td>33.00</td><td>000</td><td>¢ 25,13.</td><td></td></omaga>	Initial CO2	41029 10	30	ID IL	ç	33.00	000	¢ 25,13.	
Initial water         799 Lob gal         1         gal         S         0.000         7952486.779         S         12,626         n2A resultable Lob dist           initial Actate         5442 kg         1         kg         S         0.000         7962486.779         3,238           control Room         160 ft2         1         ft2         S         3,000.00         160         S         8,000         http://www.bayerone.com/industria/modular_buildings/prefab_guide.html           Control Room         160 ft2         1         ft2         S         3,000.00         Consultation with innomation Systems inc.           Control Room Wiring Panel         1         S         14,600         38         S         5,548         Information from Tersson Technologies inc.           Computer and Monitor         1         S         1,4200         1         S         1,292.00         1         S	Initial Nutrients	2 10	1		Ş	0.20	75000 40 670	, in co	NPC Company, Chicago, IC (800) 734 4515
Initial Acetate         5442 kg         1         kg         5         0.60         5442 s         3,238           control System         Control Room         160 ft2         1         ft2         \$         50,000         160 \$         8,000         http://www.bayerzone.com/industria/modular_building/prefab_guide.html           Control Room         160 ft2         1         ft2         \$         3,000.00         1         \$         3,000.00         Consultation with immoration Systems inc.           Raceway wing Panel         1         \$         1,466.00         38         \$         5,548         Information from Tenson Process Management           Labview Software         1         \$         1,460.00         38         \$         27,132.00         Omage Engineering inc. IVX31 Lujuid Level Float Transmitter + DP32 Meter           Pressure Sensors         1         \$         7,440.00         38         \$         13,110.00 <omage +="" dp32="" engineering="" float="" inc.="" ivx31="" level="" lujuid="" meter<="" td="" transmitter="">           Air Temperature Meter         1         \$         7,500.00         19         \$         14,440.00         Nonega DP31************************************</omage>	Initial water	7991266 gai	1	gai	Ş	0.00	/583248.6/9	\$ 12,626	HZA Feedstock Costs
Control Room         160 ft2         ft2         S 0.00         It provide the state of the st	Initial Acetate	5442 Kg	1	кд	Ş	0.60	5442	\$ 3,238	
Control Room         160 ft2         1         ft2         S         50.00         160         S         8,000         http://www.byerone.com/industrial/modular_building/prefab_guide.html           Control Room Wiring Panel         1         S         3,000.00         Consultation with innomation systems inc.           Raceway Wiring Panel         1         S         1,460.00         38         S         5,548         Information from Teneson Process Management           Labview Software         1         S         1,420.00         1         S         1,429.00         0         1         4,299.00         1         S         1,429.00         0         1         4,299.00         1         S         1,420.00         0         1         S         1,429.00         0         1         S         1,420.00         0         1         S         1,429.00         0         1         S         1,41.00         1         S         1,41.00         1         S         1,41.00         1         S         1,41.00         1,51.00	Control System								
Control Noom         Mode N         Mode N         Mary Internation Systems inc.           Control Noom         1         \$ 3,000.0         1         \$ 3,000.0         0.000         Mary Internation Systems inc.           Raceway wiring Panel         1         \$ 146.00         38         \$ 5,548         Information from Tessor Technologies Inc.           Computer and Monitor         1         \$ 1,500.00         1         \$ 1,500.00         Information from Tessor Technologies Inc.           Labview Software         1         \$ 4,299.00         1         \$ 4,299.00         Information from Tensor Process Management           Level Indicators         1         \$ 7,400         38         \$ 27,132.00         Omega Pagimeering Inc. IVR31 Luidul Evel Find Transmitter + DP32 Meter           Pressure Sensors         1         \$ 7,600.00         1         \$ 599.00         Omega Pagimeering Inc. IVR31 Fund Valeer           Air Temperature Meter         1         \$ 590.00         1         \$ 590.00         Insertemperature Indicator         1         \$ 543.00         38         \$ 1,444.00         Omega Pagimering Inc. IVR31 Fund Valeware           Air Temperature Indicator         1         \$ 38.00         38         \$ 1,444.00         Omega Pagimering Inc. IVR31 Fund Valeware           Pil level Indicator         1	Control Room	160 ft7	1	ft7	ć	50.00	160	\$ 8.000	http://www.buverzone.com/industrial/modular_buildings/orefab_guide.html
Control winning mater         1         3         3,00,000         Classified winning mater           Computer and Monitor         1         5         1,46,00         38         5,54.81         Information from Tesson Technologies Inc.           Computer and Monitor         1         5         1,500.00         1         5         1,500.00         Information from Tesson Technologies Inc.           Level Indicators         1         5         7,400         38         5         27,132.00         Onega Engineering Inc. LWS1 Liquid Level Float Transmitter + DP32 Meter           Pressure Sensors         1         5         7,600.00         19         \$         144,400.00         Homeware/1766 Gaa. Analyter (http://www.leman.com/unleady/Latal/ganalytical/anal/tatal/ganalytical/anal/tatal/ganalytical/anal/tatal/ganalytical/anal           Air Temperature Indicator         1         5         7,600.00         19         \$         144,400.00         Homeware/1766 Gaa. Analyter (http://www.leman.com/unleady/Latal/ganalytical/anal/tatal/ganalytical/anal/tatal/ganalytical/anal           Air Temperature Indicator         1         \$         3         1,644.00         Homegan Fib-10444000 Themistor Probe           Vagen Area Sensors         1         \$         435,000         1         \$         5,550.00         Information from Eneron Proces: Management	Control Room Wiring Banal	100 112	1	112	é	2 000 00	100	¢ 2,000,00	Consultation with Innomation Surtams Ins
Mackewy wining ranker       1       3       14000       36       3       3,548       Information from Eaco reacondages inc.         Computer and Monitor       1       \$       1,500.00       1       \$       1,500.00       1       \$       1,500.00       1       \$       1,500.00       1       \$       1,500.00       0 monge Engineemics Process Management         Labview Software       1       \$       7,14,00       38       \$       27,132.00       Omega Engineemics Process Management         Level Indicators       1       \$       7,450.00       15       \$       4,440.00       Omega Engineemics Process Management         Air Temperature Meter       1       \$       7,460.00       15       \$       14,440.00       Omega Engineemic Process Management         Air Temperature Indicator       1       \$       3,85.00       38       \$       1,440.00       Omega Engineemic Process Management         Water Temperature Indicator       1       \$       38.00       38       \$       1,440.00       Omega Engineemic Process Management         Oxygen Area Sensors       1       \$       435.00       38       \$       1,550.00.00       Omega Engineemic Process Management         Vutrient Flow Valve       1       \$	Recovery wiring Panel		1		ç	3,000.00	20	5 5,000.00 ¢ EE46	Information from Torrea Tochnologian Inc.
Computer and Molinov         1         5         1,500,00         Information from Emersion Process Management           Laveler fordinations         1         \$         7,500,00         1         \$         1,200,00         Information from Emersion Process Management           Level Indicators         1         \$         7,14,00         38         \$         27,132,00         Omega Engineering Inc. LVMS1 Lupid Level Float Transmitter + DP32 Meter           Pressure Sensors         1         \$         7,600,00         19         \$         144,400,00         Homega DPaint Frankbare + DP32 Meter           Hydrogen Area Sensors         1         \$         7,600,00         19         \$         144,400,00         Homega DPaint Meter         Notes and the Pressure Sensors           Air Temperature Indicator         1         \$         7,600,00         19         \$         1,444,00         Omega DPaint Meter           Air Temperature Indicator         1         \$         3,800,00         18         5         5,500,00         Information from Emerson Process Management           Pul level Indicator         1         \$         5,500,00         1         \$         5,500,00         Information from Emerson Process Management           Nutrient Flow Valve         1         \$         5,500,00 <td>Computer and Mapitan</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td>ç</td> <td>140.00</td> <td>30</td> <td>5 3,340 6 1,500,00</td> <td>Information nom ressco recimologies inc.</td>	Computer and Mapitan		1		ç	140.00	30	5 3,340 6 1,500,00	Information nom ressco recimologies inc.
Labytew S01(Wate       1       5       4,293.00       Initiation 1001 Emersion Process Management         Level Indicators       1       5       714.00       38       5       27,132.00       Omega Engineering Inc. UVS3 Ligid Level Float Transmitter + DP32 Meter         Pressure Sensors       1       5       345.00       38       5       13,110.00       Omega Engineering Inc. UVS3 Ligid Level Float Transmitter + DP32 Meter         Hydrogen Area Sensors       1       \$       7,600.00       19       \$       144,400.00       Komeyael 7866 Gas. Analyzer (http://www.lssma.on/unleabd/catalog/analytica/	Lebuieu Ceftuere		1		ç	1,300.00	1	5 1,300.00	Information from Emerson Process Management
Leven inducators       1       5       7,4,0,0       36       5       27,1,52,0.0       Umage tangenering inc. VMs 1 updu Leven Nat Intaminiter 1 UM2. Meter         Pressure Sensors       1       5       7,40,00       36       5       27,1,52,00       Umage tangenering inc. VMs 1 updu Leven Nat Intaminiter 1 UM2. Meter         Hydrogen Area Sensors       1       5       7,600,00       19       5       13,110,00       Omega tangenering inc. VMs 1 updu Leven Nat Intaminiter 1 UM2. Meter         Air Temperature Indicator       1       5       5,500,00       19       5       14,400.00       Omega TH-10-44000 Thermistor Probe         Water Temperature Indicator       1       5       -       38       5       1,630.00       Omega TH-10-44000 Thermistor Probe         Poll level Indicator       1       5       -       38       5       16,530.00       Omega TH-10-44000 Thermistor Probe         Oxygen Area Sensors       1       5       435,000       38       5       16,530.00       Omega TH-10-44000 Thermistor Probe         Water Flow Valve       1       5       5,500.00       1       5       5,500.00       1       5       5,500.00       Information from Emerson Process Management         Water Flow Valve       1       5       5,500.00	Labview Soltware		1		ç	4,299.00	20	\$ 4,299.00	Information from Emerson Process Management
Pressure sensors       1       5       343,00       36       5       15,110,00       Umage sensors       1       5       7,600,00       16       5       14,40,000       16       5       14,40,000       16       5       14,40,000       16       5       14,40,000       16       5       14,40,000       16       5       14,40,000       16       5       14,40,000       16       5       14,40,000       16       5       14,40,000       16       5       1,50,000       16       5       1,50,000       16       5       1,50,000       16       5       1,50,000       16       5       1,50,000       16       5       1,50,000       16       5       1,50,000       16       5	Level Indicators		1		ç	714.00	30	5 27,132.00	Omega Engineering Inc. LVKS1 Liquid Level Float Transmitter + DPI32 Meter
Hydrogen Area Sensors     1     5     7,000,00     19     5     144,000     Inderweiter Advanced (http://www.esman.com/unleastin/catalog/analyncatan)       Air Temperature Indicator     1     5     599,00     1     5     599,00     0     1     5     599,00     0     1     5     599,00     0     1     5     599,00     0     1     5     599,00     0     1     5     599,00     0     0     5     1444,00     Omega DP81THeer     1     5     599,00     0     1     5     599,00     0     1     5     509,00     0     1     5     509,00     0     1     5	Pressure Sensors		1		Ş	345.00	38	\$ 13,110.00	Omega Engineering Inc. PX209 Pressure Trnasducer + DPI32 Meter
Air Temperature Micter       1       S       599,00       Omega DP31 Meter         Air Temperature Indicator       1       S       38,00       38       S       1,444,000       Nemparts Probability P	Hydrogen Area Sensors		1		Ş	7,600.00	19	\$ 144,400.00	Honeyweii 7866 Gas Anaiyzer (http://www.iesman.com/unieasnd/cataiog/anaiytical/anai
Air lemperature indicator         1         S         38.00         38         5         1,444.00         Omega 11-10-44000         Temperature indicator           Valer Temperature Indicator         1         S         -         38         S         -         Comes with temperature indicator Probe           PH level Indicator         1         S         -         38         S         -         Comes with temperature indicator           Oxygen Area Sensors         1         S         -         38         S         -         Comes with temperature indicator         Omega 14/2 Multer           Water Temperature Indicator         1         S         5,500.00         1         S         5,500.00         Immersion Process Management           Water Flow Valve         1         S         5,500.00         1         S         5,500.00         Immersion Process Management           Hydrogen Flow Meter         1         Ft         S         0.002         213180         S         4,135.69         Information from Temeson Process Management           Instrument Wiring         213180 ft         1         ft         S         0.02         213180         S         4,135.69         Information from Waytek Inc. at waytekwie.com           Conduit	Air Temperature Meter		1		ş	599.00	1	\$ 599.00	Omega DP81T Meter
Water Temperature Indicator         1         5         -         38         5         -         Comes with the PH sensor           Owygen Area Sensors         1         5         435,00         38         5         16,530,000         0mage Engineering (inc., PHE - 651) PH electrode + DP24-PH Meter           Owygen Area Sensors         1         5         5,500,00         1         5         5,500,00         1         S         5,500,00         Information from Emerson Process Management           Water Flow Valve         1         5         5,500,00         1         S         5,500,00         Information from Emerson Process Management           Hydrogen Flow Meter         1         Ft         S         0,002         213100         Ft         Information from Emerson Process Management           Instrument Wiring         213180 ft         1         ft         S         0,002         213100         Ft         Information from Mayter Inc. at waterkine. cat waterkine. at waterkine.           Power Wiring         264360 ft         1         ft         S         0.08         5,113.84         Information from Wayter. Inc. at waterkine.           Conduit         8820 ft <td>Air Temperature Indicator</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td>ş</td> <td>38.00</td> <td>38</td> <td>\$ 1,444.00</td> <td>Omega TH-10-44000 Thermistor Probe</td>	Air Temperature Indicator		1		ş	38.00	38	\$ 1,444.00	Omega TH-10-44000 Thermistor Probe
pH level Indicator         1         \$         435,00         38         \$         16,530.00         Omega Engineering Inc. PHE - S10 PH dectrode + DP24-PH Meter           Oxygen Area Sensors         1         \$         -         38         \$         16,530.00         Omega Engineering Inc. PHE - S10 PH dectrode + DP24-PH Meter           Oxygen Area Sensors         1         \$         5,500.00         1         \$         5,500.00         Information from Emerson Process Management           Water Flow Valve         1         \$         5,500.00         1         \$         5,500.00         Information from Emerson Process Management           Hydrogen Flow Meter         1         \$         \$         5,500.00         1         \$         5,500.00         Information from Emerson Process Management           Instrument Wiring         213180 ft         1         ft         \$         0.02         213180 \$         4,135.69         Information from Waytek Inc. at waytekwire.com           Power Wiring         264360 ft         1         ft         \$         0.02         264360 \$         5,131.84         Information from Waytek Inc. at waytekwire.com           Conduit         8820 ft         1         ft         \$         0.08         8820 \$         \$,113.84         Information from Waytek I	Water Temperature Indicator		1		ş	-	38	> -	Comes with the PH sensor
Oxygen Area Sensors         1         S         -         38         S         -         Comes with H2 Sensor           Nutrient Flow Valve         1         \$         \$,500.00         1         \$         \$,500.00         information from Enerson Process Management           Water Flow Valve         1         \$         \$,500.00         1         \$         \$,500.00         information from Enerson Process Management           Hydrogen Flow Meter         1         \$         \$,500.00         1         \$         \$,500.00         information from Enerson Process Management           Instrument Wiring         213180 ft         1         ft         \$         0.02         213180         \$,135.59         Information from Marker inc. at waterkwire.com           Power Wiring         264360 ft         1         ft         \$         0.02         213180         \$,135.59         Information from Wayter inc. at waterkwire.com           Conduit         8820 ft         1         ft         \$         0.88         \$         \$,513.84         Information from Wayter.inc. at waterkwire.com	pH level Indicator		1		\$	435.00	38	\$ 16,530.00	Omega Engineering Inc. PHE - 6510 PH electrode + DP24 - PH Meter
Nutrient Flow Valve         1         \$	Oxygen Area Sensors		1		\$	-	38	ş -	Comes with H2 Sensor
Water         1         \$ <td>Nutrient Flow Valve</td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td>\$</td> <td>5,500.00</td> <td>1</td> <td>\$ 5,500.00</td> <td>Information from Emerson Process Management</td>	Nutrient Flow Valve		1		\$	5,500.00	1	\$ 5,500.00	Information from Emerson Process Management
Hydrogen Flow Meter         1         \$         \$,5,500.00         1         \$         5,500.00         Information from Emerson Process Management           Instrument Wiring         21310 ft         1         ft         \$         0.02         213180 ft         1.35.69         Information from Waytek Inc. at waytekwire.com           Power Wiring         264360 ft         1         ft         \$         0.02         264360 fs         \$,128.58         Information from Waytek Inc. at waytekwire.com           Conduit         8820 ft         1         ft         \$         0.58         8820 fs         \$,138.41         Information from Waytek Inc. at waytekwire.com	Water Flow Valve		1		\$	5,500.00	1	\$ 5,500.00	Information from Emerson Process Management
Instrument Wiring         213180 ft         1         ft         \$         0.02         213180 \$         4,135.69         Information from Waytek Inc. at waytekwire.com           Power Wiring         264360 ft         1         ft         \$         0.02         264360 \$         5,128.58         Information from Waytek Inc. at waytekwire.com           Conduit         8820 ft         1         ft         \$         0.58         8820 \$         \$,131.84         Information from Waytek Inc. at waytekwire.com	Hydrogen Flow Meter		1		\$	5,500.00	1	\$ 5,500.00	Information from Emerson Process Management
Power Wiring         264360 ft         1         ft         \$         0.02         264360 \$         5,128.58         Information from Waytek Inc. at waytekwire.com           Conduit         8820 ft         1         ft         \$         0.58         8820 \$         5,113.84         Information from Waytek Inc. at waytekwire.com           Swtem Initial Cost \$         5         4.274.085	Instrument Wiring	213180 ft	1	ft	\$	0.02	213180	\$ 4,135.69	Information from Waytek Inc. at waytekwire.com
Conduit         8820 ft         1         ft         \$ 0.58         8820 \$ 5,113.84         Information from Waytek Inc. at waytek wire.com           System Initial Cost \$ 4,274.085	Power Wiring	264360 ft	1	ft	\$	0.02	264360	\$ 5,128.58	Information from Waytek Inc. at waytekwire.com
System Initial Cost \$ 4.274.085	Conduit	8820 ft	1	ft	\$	0.58	8820	\$ 5,113.84	Information from Waytek Inc. at waytekwire.com
						Suct	em Initial Cost	\$ 4 274 085	

### 28.2 Photobiological-Fermentor Integration

.....

In the current photobiological pathway configurations, algae and bacteria filtered out of the photobiological systems by the rotary drum filters are discarded as waste. However, as analyzed in Part II, this waste biomass can be utilized in a fermentation reactor to generate additional hydrogen. This integration combines a photobiological pathway in series with a fermentation pathway, thus little capital cost efficiencies are expected. Due to the small additional hydrogen generated from the fermentation system, it is possible to route the fermentation hydrogen produced into the gas capture subassembly of the photobiological system to improve costs slightly. As mentioned in Part II, the major cost component of the stand-alone algae fermentation systems is the labor cost, which is enormous relative to the minimal hydrogen produced. However, the minimal size of the fermentation unit will require no additional labor from that already needed for the photobiological plant, leading to massive cost savings relative to the stand-alone fermentation system. The process diagram for the photobiological-fermentor integration is shown in Figure 28-5.



Figure 28-5. Photobio-Fermentor Process Diagram

Our analysis combines the waste organisms of the B-1, B-2 and B-5 systems with fermentation. Since the capital costs and the reactor specifications for B-1 are the same as B-2, we have assumed the results for both systems to be the same. Neither the B-3 nor the B-4 systems produce any waste algae, since all the algae mass is needed for respiration to keep the systems anaerobic. Additionally, the algae in the B-4 system are mounted on an immobilized mat, and its waste organisms would to require additional processing which would not result in an economically beneficial integration. The B-5 system is similar conceptually to the B-1 and B-2 system with the exception that it is able to use the waste acetate stream from fermentation to feed the photosynthetic organisms. Because of this additional requirement there is an acetate retrieval pump required, making the B-5 Photobio-Fermentor Integration a separate integration pathway. The Bill of Materials for the B-5 with Fermentation is shown as an example in Figure 28-6. The Bill of Materials for B-1 and B-2 with fermentation would be similar.

# Figure 28-6. BOM for B-5/C-5 Integrated System

Description	Size Req'd Units	Unit Size	Units	U	Jnit cost	Qty Req'd	Total Cost	Material / Part Description
Photo Bio Reactor Bed Subassembly								
Transparent Film	235116 m2	1	m2	\$	0.54	235,116	126,551	Polyethylene price quote at \$0.54/m2 (\$0.05/ft2)
Pond Lining	253795 m2	1	m2	\$	0.47	253,795	119,912	Butyl rubber, PVC, and LDPE (low density polyethylene)based on quote of \$175.56 for 4,000ft2.
Pond Edging	37752 m	1	m	Ś	7.00	37.752	264.266	Unit Cost is engineering estimate at \$7/m
Installation of Ponds		1	raceway	ŝ	26.083.00	54	1.408.482	Based on California labor rates.
Paddlewheel Mixers		1	each	ŝ	5.000.00	108	540.000	Est, paddlewheel cost, 4 per raceway, 18 raceways,
Inlet Water Valve	05 in	1	each	ŝ	43.46	54 9	2 347	Price from ValveStore.com (http://www.valvestore.com/prodinfo.asp?number=551032)
Outlet Water Valve	0.5 in	1	each	é	43.46	54 9	2,347	Price from ValveStore com /http://www.valvestore.com/prodipfo.asp?numher=551032)
Outlet Gas Valve	1.0 in	1	each	é	67.23	54 5	3 630	Price from ValveStore.com (http://www.valvestore.com/producesp.namber=551032)
Elangos	1.0 m	1	oach	é	07.25	167 1	1 206	Price from http://www.aprore.com/line.acm/lide/210
Flanges	0.5 11	1	each	Ş	8.00	102 .	1,250	Price non-nicp.//www.aicorp.com/inte.aspx:ru=a19
Gas Capture Subassembly								
Compressor	45 kgmol/hr	45	kgmol/hr	· \$	9,233.00	1 5	414,241	Using H2A Unit cost
PSA	•		•	Ś	26.895	1 5	30,542	- Using H2A scaling
Raceway Collection Pipe	108 ft	1	ft	\$	1.00	108	108	Sized for Peak Hydrogen production. Assuming Plastic Gas piping, 100 ft/s velocity, uniform pipe diameter (can be
, ,								altered) and 2 to 1 molar ratio of hydrogen to oxygen. Pricing from PVC Plastic Corp.
Main Collection Pipe	3120 ft	1	ft	Ş	6.18	3120 \$	5 19,282	Sized for Peak Hydrogen production. Assuming Plastic Gas piping, 100 ft/s velocity, uniform pipe diameter (can be altered) and 2 to 1 molar ratio of bydrogen to oxygen. Pricing from PVC Plastic Corp.
Gas Canture Pine	50 ft	1	ft	Ś	6.18	50 5	309	altered) and 2 to 1 molar ratio of hydrogen to oxygen. Pricing from PVC Plastic Corp.
ous captare ripe	50 10	-		Ŷ	0.10			
Organism Feed Subassembly								
Transfer In Pump	150 gpm	150	gpm	Ś	10.252	3 5	30.755	Est. Based on Perry's Chemical Handbook, Plant Design and Economics
Nutrient Metering Pumps	00	1468	Gal/Hr	ŝ	2 594	1 9	2 5 9 4	Est. Based on Perry's Chemical Handbook. Plant Design and Economics
Mixing Pump	150 gnm	150	gnm	ś	10 252	3 9	30,755	Est. Based on Perry's Chemical Handbook. Plant Design and Economics
inixing rump	100 6011	150	69.00		10,252	5,	, 50,755	
Nutrient Tank	21 gal	10	gal	Ş	30.00	3 5	5 90	10 gallon Cylindrical Process Tank, Part #0275-085 (http://www.watertanks.com/products/0275-085.asp)
Raceway Slurry Collection Pipe	108 ft	1	ft	\$	1.00	108 \$	5 108	Pricing based off of Estimates from PVC Plastic Corp. Assumes 10 ft/second flow rate and Density of 1g/ml
Main Slurry Collection Pipe	3120 ft	1	ft	\$	4.31	3120 \$	13,447	Pricing based off of Estimates from PVC Plastic Corp. Assumes 10 ft/second flow rate and Density of 1g/ml
Recycle-Feed Transfer Pipe	180 ft	1	ft	\$	6.18	180 \$	5 1,112	Pricing based off of Estimates from PVC Plastic Corp. Assumes 10 ft/second flow rate and Density of 1g/ml
Consumables								
Initial Nutrients	1 10	1	0	Ş	0.20	1.112/14485		NFC Company, Chicago, IL (800) 734 4515
Initial Water	5720312 gal	1	gal	ş	0.00	5720311.865	9,524	H2A Feedstock Costs
Initial Acetate	7793 kg	1	kg	Ş	0.60	//92./5/824	4,637	Chemical Journal of Korea. Assumes consumption to be four times more to account for full growth conditions.
Recycle Subaccombly								
Recycle Subassembly	35.741 mmh	2750	aab	ć	87 000 00		coo ooo	Information from Data Market Advanced Association for an Undertaile Data Files
Rotary Drum niter	25,741 gpm	3/50	gpn	ç	10 252	/ :	009,000	Information from Dana Kent at Advanced Aquaculture Inc. on Hydrotech Drum Pilter
Main Clump Food Dine	150 gpm	150	gpm	ç	10,252	2120	5 50,755	Est. Based on Perry's Chemical Handbook, Plant Design and Economics
Main Sturry Feed Pipe	5120 IL	1	1L 64	ç	4.51	5120 ;	13,447	Pricing based off of Estimates from PVC Plastic Corp. Assumes 10 ft/second flow rate and Density of 1g/mi
Raceway Slurry Feed Pipe	108 11	1	п	Ş	1.00	108 ;	5 108	Pricing based on or escimates from PVC Plastic Corp. Assumes 10 it/second now fate and bensity of 1g/mi
Fermentation Subassembly								
Tank	6173 gal	1	gal	Ś	2.00	24 693	49 386	This is a scaled rost based on the capital costs from NRFI Report TP-510-32438
Slurry Pining - 0.5"	500 ft	1	ft	ś	0.52	500	260	Sized using Continuity Equation. Assumed a velocity of 1 ft/sec.
Slurpy Piping - 1"	5077 ft	1	ft	é	1.00	5 077 9	5 077	Sized using Continuity Equation. Assumed a velocity of 1 ft/sec.
Pump	6173 gal/day	1	aal/min	é	108 50	5,077	100	
Inlet Valves	1 in	1	oach	è	67.33	1	260	http://www.grainger.com/urainger/items/11/95
Outlot Valves	1 III	1	each	è	07.23 AC 95	4 .	107	http://www.vaivestore.com/products.asprdept=1485
Outlet valves	0.5 11	1	each	ş	40.85	4,	, 10/	nttp://www.vaivestore.com/products.asproept=1485
Control System								
Control Room	160 ft2	1	ft2	\$	50.00	160 5	8,000	comes from price quote of \$50/ft2 from http://www.buyerzone.com/industrial/modular_buildings/prefab_guide.html
Control Room Wiring Panel		1		Ś	3.000.00	1 9	3,000.00	Consultation with Innomation Systems Inc.
Raceway wiring Panel		1		\$	146.00	54	7,884	Information from Tessco Technologies Inc.
Computer and Monitor		1		\$	1,500.00	1 5	1,500.00	Information from Emerson Process Management
Labview Software		1		\$	4,299.00	1 5	4,299.00	Information from Emerson Process Management
Level Indicators		1		\$	714.00	54 5	38,556.00	Omega Engineering Inc. LVR51 Liquid Level Float Transmitter + DPi32 Meter
Pressure Sensors		1		\$	345.00	54 \$	18,630.00	Omega Engineering Inc. PX209 Pressure Trnasducer + DPi32 Meter
Hydrogen Area Sensors		1		\$	7,600.00	27 5	205,200.00	Honeywell 7866 Gas Analyzer (http://www.lesman.com/unleashd/catalog/analytical/analyt hwhydrogengas.htm)
Air Temperature Meter		1		\$	599.00	1 5	599.00	Omega DP81T Meter
Air Temperature Indicator		1		\$	38.00	54 5	2,052.00	Omega TH-10-44000 Thermistor Probe
Water Temperature Indicator		1		\$	-	54 5	-	Comes with the PH sensor
pH level Indicator		1		\$	435.00	54 \$	23,490.00	Omega Engineering Inc. PHE - 6510 PH electrode + DP24 -PH Meter
Oxygen Area Sensors		1		\$	-	54 5	-	Comes with H2 Sensor
Nutrient Flow Valve		1		\$	5,500.00	1 5	5,500.00	Information from Emerson Process Management
Water Flow Valve		1		\$	5,500.00	1 \$	5,500.00	Information from Emerson Process Management
Hydrogen Flow Meter		1		Ş	5,500.00	1 \$	5,500.00	Information from Emerson Process Management
Instrument Wiring	406380 ft	1	ft	ş	0.02	406380	/,883.77	Information from Waytek Inc. at waytekwire.com
Power Wiring Conduit	505080 ft	1	ft	ş	0.02	505080	9,798.55	Information from Waytek Inc. at waytekwire.com
conduit	12340 ft	1	ft	Ş	0.58	12340 \$	7,154.73	information from Waytek Inc. at waytekwire.com
					Sys	tem Initial Cost	4,089,570	

**28.3** *Lignocellulosic Fermentation/MEC Integration* The output from a lignocellulosic fermentor would be primarily a mix of acetic acid and ethanol with lesser amounts of other organic acids and glucose. NREL fermentor research on the corn stover H<sub>2</sub> fermentor resulted in the following byproduct:

acetic acid	26.0 mM
succinic acid	5.6 mM
lactic acid	1.8 mM
formic acid	0.6 mM
ethanol	14.0 mM

Subsequently, research at Penn State operated the MEC on this product mix.<sup>99</sup>

The fermentation integration evaluated was that of lignocellose fermentation with MEC. This integration occurs in series providing little overlap in equipment. The process diagram is shown in Figure 28-7.



Figure 28-7. Process Diagram for Fermentation-MEC Integration Integrated Lignocellosic Fermentation with MEC

The MEC component of this integrated system as defined in Part III has been sized to consume 100% of the lignocellose fermentation waste acetate and other organics. We have assumed no extra labor will be needed for the integrated system. Because the hydrogen volumes are large and the output gas compositions are different in each case system, separate gas capture systems are used for the fermentor and MEC. The Bill of Materials for the Integrated Fermentation-MEC is shown in Figure 28-8.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>99</sup> Logan, Bruce. "Electrochemically Assisted Microbial Fermentation of Acetate."ZFH-8-77623-01. NREL. Colorado. January 2009.

i igure 20 0. Din	UI WIAter		icgi ateu	1 CI menta		<b>T</b> + 1 <b>O</b> +
Description	Install Factor	Size Req'd Uni	its	Unit cost	Qty Req'd	Total Cost
Corn Stover Prep Subassembly	1.62		ć	100.000	2 ¢	800.000
Bale Unwrapping Conveyor	1.62		Ş	400,000	2 \$ 2 ¢	800,000
Belt Press Discharge Conveyor	1.19		Š	50,000	1 \$	50,000
Shredder Feed Conveyor	1.35		Š	60,000	1 3	240,000
Truck Scales	2.47		š	34.000	2 5	68.000
Truck Unloading Forklift	1		š	18,000	4 š	72.000
Bale Moving Forklift	1		Ś	18,000	4 Ś	72,000
Corn Stover Wash Table	2.39		Ś	104,000	2 \$	208,000
Shredder	1.38		\$	302,000	4 \$	1,208,000
Concrete Feedstock-Storage Slab	2.2		\$	450,655	1\$	450,655
Polymer Feed System	2.28		\$	30,000	1 \$	30,000
Wash Table Pump	3.87		\$	20,000	2 \$	40,000
Wash Water Pump	5.19		ş	15,000	2 \$	30,000
Clarifier Underflow Pump	13.41		ş	6,000	1 \$	6,000
Clarified Water Pump	7.07		ş	15,000	1 \$	15,000
Clarifier Thickoper	2.92		ç	135,000	1 \$	125,000
Bolt Pross	1.31		Š	100,000	1 \$	100,000
Magnetic Separator	13		š	10 335	1 \$	10 335
Wash Water Tank	2.8		š	50,000	īš	50,000
Clarifier Thickener Tank	3.04		š	135,000	īš	135,000
			-	-		
Pretreatment/Hydrolysis Subassembly						
Hydrozylate Mix Tank Agitator	1.2		\$	28,421	1\$	28,421
Hydrozylate Mixing Tank	1.2		\$	32,237	1\$	32,237
Hydrozylate Cooler	2.1		\$	23,266	1 \$	23,266
In-line Sulfuric Acid Mixer	1		ş	2,542	1 \$	2,542
Sulfuric Acid Pump	2.8		ş	8,289	1 \$	8,289
Sulturic Acid fank	1.4		ş	9,441	1 5	9,441
Lime Solids Feeder	1.3		ş	3,900	1 5	3,900
Lime Unioading Blower	1.4		Ş	99,594	15	99,594
Lime Dust vent Bagnouse	1.5		Ş	140,707	1 Ş 1 ¢	140,/0/
Hydrolysis Tank Pump	1.3		Ş	130,370	1 Ş 1 ¢	130,370
Mothano Boiler	2.0		ç ç	200,000	1 0	200 000
wethane boller	1.3		Ş	200,000	τŞ	200,000
Fermentation Subassambly						
Fermentation Tank	1 2		ć	102 201	ΕĆ	2 466 055
Fermentation Asitator	1.2		Ş	493,391	5 5 10 ¢	2,400,955
Fermentation Cooler	2.1		Š	6 780	5 5	33 900
Fermentation Recirculation Pump	2.1		š	13 666	5 5	68 330
Lignin Wet Cake Screw	1.4		š	16.837	5 Š	84.185
Acetate Product Storage Tank	1.4		š	160.829	ĩš	160.829
Acetate Transfer Pump	1.3		š	53,737	īš	53.737
Pneumapress filter	1.4		Ś	1,285,736	4 Ś	5,142,942
HX - 1	1.3		Ś	22,346	1 \$	22,346
HX - 2	1.3		Ś	194,618	1\$	194,618
FTC	1.3		\$	6,781	5\$	33,905
Seed Production Subassembly						
Seed Hold Tank	1.2		ş	160,829	1 \$	160,829
Seed Hold Tank Agitator	1.2		ş	12,492	1 \$	12,492
Seed Hold Transfer Pump	1.4		ş	22,050	1 \$	22,050
1st Seed Fermentor	2.8		ş	14,700	2 5	29,400
2nd Seed Fermentor	2.8		ş	32,600	2 3	163,200
Ath Sood Formentor	2.0		ç	29,160	2 3	78 220
5th Seed Fermentor	1.2		Š	146 549	2 3	293.097
4th Seed Agitator	1.2		š	11 645	2 5	23,057
5th Seed Agitator	1.2		š	10 291	2 5	20,205
4th Seed Fermentor Coil	1.2		š	4.658	īš	4.658
5th Seed Fermentor Coil	1.2		š	28,238	īš	28.238
Seed Transfer Pump	1.4		Ś	53,737	2 \$	107,474
MEC Subassembly						
MEC Tanks	1.3		\$	0.51	1.13E+08 \$	57,488,911
Brush Cathode	1.2		\$	14.60	27436736 \$	400,686,098
Brush Anode	1.2		\$	7.92	7868218 \$	62,316,288
Power Supply	1.3		ş	350,000.00	53 Ş	18,550,000
Process Water Circulating Pump	2.8		ş	8,818	1 \$	8,818
MIC - 1	1.3		ş	88,542	1 \$	88,542
MIC - 2 Cooling Water Burne (MEC Burnes	1.3		Ş	242 216		2 006 502
cooming water Pump/WEC Pumps	2.8			242,216	1Z Ş	2,906,592
Storage Subassembly						
CSL Storage tank Agitator	1.2			2.143	1 Ś	2.143
CSL Pump	2.8			6,188	īš	6,188
CSL Storage Tank	1.4			61,949	1 Š	61,949
CSL/DAP Day Tank	1.4			28,470	1 Š	28,470
CSL/DAP Pump	2.8			6,188	1 \$	6,188
CSL/DAP Day Tank Agitator	1.2			12,348	1\$	12,348
DAP Solids Feeder	1.3			3,900	1 \$	3,900
DAP Unloading Blower	1.4			49,014	1 \$	49,014
DAP Vent Baghouse	1.5			9,595	1 \$	9,595
DAP Storage Bin	1.3			34,255	1 \$	34,255
Sulfuric Acid Pump	2.8			13,814	1 \$	13,814
Sulturic Acid Storage Tank	1.2			60,470	1 5	60,470
Make up Water Dupon	1.4			30,825	1 5	30,825
Iviake-up Water Pump	2.8			8,735	1 5	8,735
Process Water Tank	2.8 1.4			8,818 169 EMP	∠ > > c	1/,035
FIDLESS WALEF FAIR	1.4			108,502	∠ >	337,004
Gas Capture Subassembly						
Compressor Fermentor	1.3	1704 kgmol	l/hr Ś	9.233	1.704 S	15.729.564
FC-1	1.3	1,04 Kgill01	ŝ	29.623	1 5	29.623
FIC -1	1.3		š	57.651	1 Š	57.651
FIC -2	1.3		š	311.057	1 Š	311.057
Compressor MEC	1.3	1929 kgmol	l/hr Ś	9,233	1929 \$	17,812,305
PSA Fermentor	1.3	L		-,	ı \$	1,527,494
PSA MEC	1.3				1 \$	302,154
Hydrogen Flow Meter	1.3		\$	5,500	1\$	5,500.00
				System	Initial Cost S	593 317 371

Figure 28-8. Bill of Materials for Integrated Fermentation-MEC

# 28.3.1 Flow Chart

The combined system mass flows and flow properties are diagramed in Figure 28-9. Details of these components and the reactions are included in the Part II discussions. A detailed Mass and energy balance is included in Part IV, Appendix A.



### Figure 28-9. Fermentor/MEC Mass Balance Flow Chart

### 29. <u>Results and Discussion for Integrated Systems</u>

The hydrogen production costs for the previously described system combinations are discussed below. By comparing the hydrogen production costs from the integrated systems to the individual systems we can determine if there is any benefit to systems integration. A cost comparison for the integrated systems is shown in Figure 29-1.

Systems	Capital Costs	H2 Production (kg/day)	H2 Cost (\$/kg)
Stacked Photobio Beds			
Stand alone B-3	\$39,485,240	10,000	\$4.17
Stand alone B-5	\$34,196,970	10,000	\$10.36
Integrated	\$41,485,500	10,600	\$5.25
Photobio-Fermentor Integration			
Stand Alone B-1/B-2	\$21,880,470	10,000	\$2.99
Stand Alone Algae Fermentation (C1/C2)	\$37,142	7	\$172.73
Integrated	\$22,272,680	10,007	<b>\$3.21</b>
Photobio-Fermentor Integration			
Stand Alone B-5	\$34,196,970	10,000	\$10.36
Stand Alone Algae Fermentation (C5)	\$86,211	13	\$66.17
Integrated	\$34,591,120	10,013	\$11.04
Lignocellulosic Fermentor and MEC			
Lignocellulosic Alone	\$44,944,078	37,181	\$4.33
MEC Alone	\$558,689,165	88,055	\$12.43
Integrated	\$593,317,371	125,266	\$6.61

### Figure 29-1. Integrated Systems Results

The cost change between the stand-alone systems and the integrated systems is shown in Figure 29-2.



Figure 29-2. Comparison of Integrated System Costs Comparison of Integrated Systems

# 30. Integrated System Conclusions and Recommendations

Integrating hydrogen producing systems can yield greater hydrogen production per area of land, however, it is not necessarily the most cost effective method of producing hydrogen for the following reasons:

- The increase in capital costs for the stacked photobioreactor beds and the photobioreactor plus fermentor, while less than the systems alone, are still substantial enough to outweigh the increase in hydrogen output.
- For the Fermentor/MEC combination, the high cost of H<sub>2</sub> from the MEC makes the combination uneconomic. This is partly due to the current immature and unoptimized status of the MEC, and the results could be markedly improved with additional MEC developments and cost optimization.

Processes				Mass flow		mole flow	Т	T ave	ΦT	Р	Ср	h	dq/dt
			%	kg/day	kg/hr	kgM/hr	°C	°C	°C	psi	kJ/kg °C	kJ/kg	kJ/hr
Feedstock	Corn stover		20.0%	2,000,000	83,333		20			14.7	ļ		
Inputs		Cellulose	36.7%	734,000	30,583								
		nemiceli	27.4%	548,000	22,833								
		total	100.0%	2.000.000	83.333								
	water		80.0%	8,000,000	333,333		20			14.7			
	total slurry		100.0%	10,000,000	416,667		20			14.7			
HY.1	from feedstock	•		10 000 000	416 667		20.0			14.7	4 180		
11.4-1	to HX-2			10.000.000	416,667		43.3	31.7	23.3	14.7	4.100		40.655.319
	from fermentor			9,332,641	388,860.06		55.0			14.7	4.182		
	to LigSep			9,332,641	388,860		30.0	42.5	-25.0				-40,655,319
HX-2	from HX-1 primary			10 000 000	416 667		43.3			68.8	4 206		
	to hydrolyzer heater			10,000,000	416,667		128.5	85.9	85.2				149,281,250
	from hydrolyzer			10,000,000	416,667		140.0		85.0	68.8	4.215		
	to sacchrification			10,000,000	416,667		55.0	97.5					-149,281,250
Hydolyzer	heating of slurry from H	-IX-2		10 000 000	416 667		128.5						
Heater	······································			10,000,000	416,667		150.0	139.3	21.5	68.8	4.290		38,387,188
	heating of CO2 from P	SA		490,873	20,453		45.0						
	CO2/H2mass	54.58		490,873	20,453		150.0	97.5	105.0	14.7	0.913		1,960,729
	heating of H2O from P	SA		2,783	116		45.0						
	H2O/H2mass	0.31	ting U2 .T	2,783	116		150.0	97.5	105.0	14.7	1.970		23,985
	Waste H2 burner b	quired (negled		)	r		r –	r –	r –	1	1		40,371,902
	H2   HV k.l/kg =	119 705	90%	8 994	375					14 7			40 371 902
			0070	10,000,000	416,667								10,011,002
Total booting from UV 1	UV 2 Lucator						1						220 209 471
	+ NX-Z + Nealei												230,300,471
Hydrolyzer	In from Heater			10,000,000	416,667		150.0			68.8			
	inputs	cellulose	36.7%	734,000	30,583					4.68			
		hemicell	27.4%	548,000	22,833					atm	ł		
		Lignin,etc	35.9%	7 18,000	29,917								
		total	00.0 %	10 000 000	416 667	•							
efficiency=	output	complex sugars		1,153,800	48,075		140.0						
90%		xylan		128,200	5,342								
		Lignin,etc		718,000	29,917								
		organics		2,000,000	83,333								
		water		8,000,000	333,333	-							
	Endothormia hoat abor	total		10,000,000	410,007		10.0						
	to HX-2			10.000.000	416.667		140.0			68.8			
O	fee as LIX 0			10,000,000	440,007		55.0						
Saccharification	TOM HX-2			10,000,000	416,007		55.0						
Internetitor	to lefinentation			10,000,000	410,007		55.0						
Fermentor	in from	total		10,000,000	416,667		55.0						
efficiency =	sacchrification	simple sugars		1,153,800	48,075	267							
00%		Lignin xylan etg		846 200	35 258	(giucose)	-						
50 /0		water	,	8 000 000	333 333	•							
		reactants		10,000,000	416,667								
	H2O reacted in fermen	Itation		207,676	8,653					1			
	gas out	H2		46,476.6	1,937	961	55						
		CO2		507,339.5	21,139	480	55			447			
		V H2U		113,542	4,731	203	55			14.7			
	slurry out to HX-1	slurry total		9 332 641	388,860	1,704	55						
	siding out to fire i	Lignin.xvlan.etg	;	846.200	35.258		, <sup>oo</sup>						
		Acetate		692,280	28,845								
		Glucose	10%	115,380	4,808								
		L-H20		7,678,781	319,949								
	total mass	total		9,332,641	388,860						-		
	total mass out			10,000,000	410,007								-
Condenser	gases in	H2		46,477	1,937		55			14.7	14.496		
ru-1	from termentor	UU2 V H2O		507,340	21,139		55			14.7	0.953		
		total		667 359	27 807		- 55			14.7	1.912		
	gases out to	H2		46.477	1,937	960.6	45	50	-10	14.7	14,496		-280,719
	Compressor-F-1	CO2		507,340	21,139	480.3	45	50	-10	14.7	0.953		-201,350
		V H2O		64,477	2,686.5	149.1	45	50	-10	14.7	1.912		-51,367
		total gas		618,293	25,762								-533,436
	liquids out	L H2O		49.066	2.044		45		-10	14.7	4.200		-62,477

# 31. Part IV Appendix A: Integrated Fermentor/MEC Mass/Heat Balance

Processes				Mass flow		mole flow	Т	T ave	<t< th=""><th>Р</th><th>Ср</th><th>h</th><th>dq/dt</th></t<>	Р	Ср	h	dq/dt	
			%	kg/day	kg/hr	kgM/hr	°C	°C	°C	psi	kJ/kg °C	kJ/kg	kJ/hr	
Compressor	in	H2		46,477	1,937	961	45			14.7				
stage 1		CO2		507,340	21,139	480	45			14.7				
F-1		V H2O		64,477	2,687	149	45			14.7				
		total		618,293	25,762	1,590	45			14.7				
	out	H2		46,477	1,937	961	190.8			60				
		CO2		507,340	21,139	480	190.8			60				
		V H2O		64,477	2,687	149	190.8			60				
		total		618,293	25,762	1,590								
Intercooler	Gas in	H2		46 477	1 937	961	190.8			60	14 496			
FIC-1	out in	CO2		507.340	21,139	480	190.8			60	0.953			
Primary		V H2O		64 477	2 687	149	190.8			60	1 912			
		total		618 293	25 762		100.0							
	Gas out	H2		46 477	1 937	960.6	45	117.9	-146	58	14 496		-4 092 881	
		CO2		507.340	21,139	480.3	45	117.9	-146	58	0.953		-2.935.688	
		V H2O		15,169	632.1	35.1	45	117.9	-146	58	1.912		-176,199	
		total gas		568,986	23,708								-7.204.769	
	Liquid out	L H2O		49.307	2.054		45		-146	58	4.200		-915.401	
	1	V-L Phase cha	ange heat									-2222	-4,565,040	
	total out		J	1,187,279	49,470								-12,685,209	
Secondary	water in			3,642,123	151,755		20				4.180			
,	water out			3,642,123	151,755		40	30	20		4.180		12,685,209	
Compressor	in	LI 2		16 177	4 007		AE			E0				
stage 2	"	CO2		40,4/7	1,937		45			00 58				
Stage 2		V H2O		15 160	21,133		45			58				
F=2		V HZU		569,096	22 709	1 476	40			50				
	out	H2		46 477	1 937	960.6	218			302				
	out	CO2		507 340	21 130	480.3	218			302				
		V H2O		15 169	632	35.1	218			302				
		total		568,986	23,708	1.476.0	210			002				
	o :			10.177	1,007		040	-		000				
FIC-2	Gas in	H2		46,477	1,937		218			302				
Primary		002		507,340	21,139		218			302				
		V FIZU		10,109	22 709		210			302				
	Coc out	iuai Luo		16 477	23,700	060.6	45	121.45	172	200	14.406		4 952 620	
	Gas out	CO2		507 3/0	21 130	480.3	45	131.45	173	300	0.053		3 /81 3/8	
		V H2O		2 876	21,133	400.3	45	131.45	-173	300	1 912		-39 619	
		total das		556 692	23 196	0.1	-10	101.40		000	1.012		-8 374 596	
	Liquid out	L H2O		12 293	512		45		-173	300	4 200		-270 646	
		V-L Phase cha	ange heat	,								-2222	-1.138.145	
	total out			568,986	23,708								-9,783,386	
Secondary	water in			2,808,964	117,040		20				4.180			
	water out			2,808,964	117,040		40	30	20		4.180		9,783,386	
PSA	in	total		556.692	23.196		45			300				
fermentor		H2		46,477	1,937	960.6	45			300				
		CO2		507,340	21,139	480.4	45			300				
		V H2O		2,876	120	6.7	45			300				
	out	H2 outlet	80%	37,181	1,549		45			300				
		H2 loss	20%	9,295	387	192.1	45			300				
		CO2		507,340	21,139	480.4	45			300				
		CO2/H2 mass	ratio	54.6										
		V H2O		2,876	120	6.7	45			300				
		VH2O/H2 mass	s ratio	0.31										
	Dumanu	waste gas out	070/	519,511	21,646	679.2								
	Burrier u	ISE OF WASLE FIZ-	9170											
LigninSeparator	in from HX-1			9,332,641	388,860									
	(from fermentor)	) Lignin, etc		846,200	35,258		30			14.7				
		Acetate		692,280	28,845									
		Glucose		115,380	4,808									
		L-HZU	I	/,6/8,/81	319,949	ł		1						
	aut mach	total	1009/	9,332,041	388,860		20			147			-	
	out - masn	Lignin, etc	100%	846,200	35,258		30			14.7				
		Clusses	5% 5%	5 760	1,442									
		H20/lignin	100%	5,709	240	ł								
		LH20	100 /0	846 200	35 259			1						
		total	1	1 732 783	72 199	ł		1						
	out - liquid	Acetate	95%	657.666	27.403		30			14.7	1			
	to MEC	Glucose	95%	109,611	4,567									
	to MEC G		IU MEC	H20-L	6,832,581	284,691	,691							
		total		7,599,858	316,661		1	1						

Processes		1	Mass flow		mole flow	T	T ave	ЭT	Р	Ср	h	dq/dt	
			%	kg/day	kg/hr	kgM/hr	°C	°C	°C	psi	kJ/kg °C	kJ/kg	kJ/hr
MEC water addition				339,082,263	14,128,428								
MEC	from LigSep	Acetate		657 666	27 403	456.3	30						
0.9V system		Glucose		109.611	4.567	25.4							
, i i		total substrate		767,277	31,970	481.7	1						
		H20-L		6,832,581	284,691								
	Water addition:	total input		7,599,858	316,661		1						l
	Acetate	H2O + substrat	e=	346,682,121	14,445,088		ļ						
00%	dilution (g/L) =	Total H20 need	=	345,914,844	14,413,119								
90%	2.213	total water		339,082,203	14,128,428								<u> </u>
completion	total input			346 682 121	14,415,119		1						
oompionon	reactant vol.=	91,524,080 gal	lons	010,002,121	,0,000								
	reacted acetate+gluc	ose	90%	690,549	28,773								
	reacted water			414,314	17,263	958.3							
	output-gas	H2		92,721	3,863	1,916.5	30			14.7			
	calculation	CO2 total	50/	1,012,142	42,173	958.3	30			14.7			l
completion	(	CO2 in H2	5%	50,607	2,109	47.9	0.05001			447			l
	(process chart)	H2U-V	36	30,752	1,031.3	2 040	30			14.7			
	output-liquid waste	Acetate	10%	65 767	2 740	2,043	30						}
	ouput ilquid ilquid	Glucose	10%	10.961	457		30						l
		H20-L		345,463,778	14,394,324	ł							l
		total liquids		345,540,506	14,397,521	1							l
	total output			346,682,121	14,445,088								1
CO2 Vented from MEC	)		95%	961,535	40,064								
Compressor	in	H2		92.721	3.863	1.916	30			14.7			
MC-1		CO2	5%	50,607	2,109	48	30			14.7			1
		V H2O		36,752	1,531.3	85.0	30			14.7			
		total		180,080	7,503	2,049	30			14.7			
	out	H2		92,721	3,863	1,916	204			60			1
		CO2		50,607	2,109	47.9	204			60			
		V H2O		36,752	1,531	2 040	204	ļ	ļ	60			
	-	lutai		100,000	7,505	2,043		-					
Intercooler	Gas in	H2		92,721	3,863		204			60			
MIC-1		002		50,607	2,109		204			60			1
Primary		V HZU		180.080	7 503		204			00		┠───┦	l
	Gas out	H2		92 721	3 863	1 916	45	124.3	-159	58	14 496		-8 882 141
	out out	CO2		50.607	2,109	47.9	45	124.3	-159	58	0.953		-318.543
		V H2O		20,679	861.6	47.8	45	124.3	-159	58	1.912		-261,287
		total		164,007	6,834			·					-9,461,971
	Liquid out	L H2O		16,072	670		45		-159	58	4.200		-324,584
		V-L Phase cha	inge heat	400.000								-2222	-1,488,040
Secondary	total out			180,080	7,503		20	<u> </u>	-		1 1 9 0		-11,274,595
Secondary	water out			3,237,113	134,000		20 40	30	20		4.100		11 274 595
	water out	100		3,237,113	134,000		40	30	20	50	4.100		11,274,555
Compressor	in	HZ CO2		92,721	3,863		45			58			
WIG-2		V H2O		20,679	2,109		45			58			1
		total		164 007	6 834	2 012	45			58			
	out	H2		92,721	3,863	1,916	267			302			
		CO2		50,607	2,109	48	267			302			1
		V H2O		20,679	862	48	267			302			l
		total		164,007	6,834	2,012							
Intercooler	Gas in	H2		92,721	3,863		267			302			
MIC-2		CO2		50,607	2,109		267			302			
Primary		V H2O		20,679	862		267			302			
		total		164,007	6,834	1.010	15	450					10,100 757
	Gas out	H2		92,721	3,863	1,916	45	156	-222	300	14.496		-12,432,757
				50,607	2,109	48	45	150	-222	300	0.953		-445,880
		total gas		3,921	6 135	9.1	45	100	-222	300	1.912		-09,347
	Liquid out	L H2O		16,758	698		45		-222	300	4,200		-473.726
		V-L Phase cha	inge heat		000		1					-2222	-1,551,548
	total out			164,007	6,834		1						-14,973,258
Secondary	econdary water in			4,299,057	179,127		20				4.180		
	water out			4,299,057	179,127		40	30	20		4.180		14,973,258
PSA	in	H2		92,721	3,863	1,914	45			300			
MEC		CO2		50,607	2,109	48	45			300	1		

# **Part V: Discussion of Project Results**

# 32. <u>Summary of Results and Conclusions</u>

This report consists of technoeconomic boundary analyses conducted for thirteen different biological hydrogen production systems. Eight were stand-alone systems:

- Five photosynthesis systems using algae and bacteria
- A fermentation system for waste algae
- A fermentation system for lignocellulose
- A Microbial Electrolysis Cell (MEC)

Five integrated systems were examined, each combining two of the above systems:

- A vertically stacked photosynthesis system using algae and PNS bacteria
- Three systems combining photobiological and fermentor systems
- A lignocellulose fermentation system combined with an MEC to process the organic byproduct of the fermentor

### 32.1 *Photosynthesis systems*

Each photosynthesis system used a series of large ponds or beds for organism growth and subsequent hydrogen production. The reactor beds were covered with a non-porous transparent cover to capture the  $H_2$  and other gaseous products. Multiple configuration approaches were considered including single bed, dual bed, and chemostat configurations. The best single configuration for reach system was selected for more detailed study. Cost analysis suggests that large shallow reactor beds with thin film LDPE covers are the most economic approach. Such reactor configurations were selected for all photobiological  $H_2$  production.

The five photosynthesis systems were:

- B-1: *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* mutant with O<sub>2</sub> tolerant hydrogenase
- B-2: *Cyanobacterium* mutant with O<sub>2</sub>-tolerant hydrogenase
- B-3: *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* with mutated sulfate permease
- B-4: *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*, immobilized on a porous mat and sulfur deprived
- B-5: Rhodobacter sphoeroides RV Purple Non-Sulfur (PNS) Bacteria

The B-1, B-2 and B-5 systems were used in a chemostat II configuration, which is able to continuously generate  $H_2$  while the organisms are regenerated using a portion of the solar radiation. The B-3 system alternated between  $H_2$  generation (3 days) and algae regeneration (4 days). The B-4 system was immobilized on a polypropylene film, thus minimizing the algae's energy requirements. This system operated cyclically, alternating 3 days production and 1 days regeneration.

For the hydrogen production calculations, the following key assumptions were made affecting solar-to-hydrogen (STH) energy efficiency for the upper bound of organism functioning:

1. PAR (Photosyntheticaly Active Radiation): 44% for B-1 through B-4 and 71% for B-5

- 2. Antennas: Mutants are developed with highly truncated antennas for maximal solar utilization (mutants have been developed with moderately truncated antennas but these have not produced significant amounts of hydrogen)
- 3. Light saturation: Mutants are developed that do not have ETR (Electron Transfer Rate) limitations in sunlight up to maximum intensity, thus allowing 12.2% STH energy efficiency. (Current mutants are saturated at low sunlight levels, limiting STH efficiency to 2-3%)
- 4. Chemostat II: A continuously operating Chemostat II is feasible, allowing simultaneous growth and H<sub>2</sub> production. (This continuous operation has not yet been demonstrated in comprehensive experiments)

Near term STH energy conversion efficiencies were also estimated for the photobiological systems. The key assumptions listed above for the upper bound cases generally apply with the exception of an imposition of light saturation affects (ie. ETR limitations). These near term efficiencies are somewhat subjective and are higher than performance currently demonstrated in the lab but much lower than upper bound performance.

Figure 32-1summarizes the solar-to-hydrogen energy conversion efficiency assumed for both upper bound performance and near term performance.

rigure 32-1. rnotobiological System STH Energy Efficiency											
STH Efficiency	<b>B1</b>	B2	B3	B4	B5						
Upper Bound	9.2%	9.2%	5.2 %	2.25%	3.5%						
Near Term	2%	2%	1.3%	1.5%	1.5%						

# Figure 32-1. Photobiological System STH Energy Efficiency<sup>100</sup>

# 32.2 Algae Fermentation Systems

Fermentation systems were designed to carry out dark fermentation of waste organisms as would be generated in the B-1 through B-5 systems. The maximum potential output from glucose is 4 moles H<sub>2</sub> per mole glucose. The fermentation converts the organisms into H<sub>2</sub>, CO<sub>2</sub>, acetic acid, and other organic compounds. The algae fermentation process has been experimentally demonstrated at lab scale by NREL using NREL-developed bacteria consortia. These initial tests at NREL partially converted cell starches, and subsequently, using the same consortium, additionally converted lipids. The high starch algae (sulfur deprived for 21 hours and 32% glucose) yielded 1.7 moles H<sub>2</sub> per mole glucose, or H<sub>2</sub> mass of 0.618% of algae mass. The low starch algae (sulfur deprived for 142 hours and 6% glucose) yielded 2.3 moles H<sub>2</sub> per mole glucose, or H<sub>2</sub> mass of 0.155% of algae mass. With additional experimental developments, near term future mass conversion rates are expected to be 0.4wt% from sulfur depleted algae.

For this study, the fermentor unit was sized based on the waste algae output from the photosynthesis systems. With this relatively small feedstock, the ferment output was low, resulting in high  $H_2$  production cost.

 $<sup>^{100}</sup>$  STH Efficiency =Solar to Hydrogen conversion efficiency = ratio of hydrogen net energy produced (lower heating value) to total solar energy incident on reactor bed.

For the algae fermentor production calculations, the following key assumptions were made:

 Mass conversion rate of sulfur deprived algae was 0.4% H<sub>2</sub> mass/algae mass. (Current test achievements include: 0.154% for sulfur deprived 142 hrs and 0.613% for sulfur deprived 21 hrs)

Only an upper bound performance estimate was made for the fermentation system since the system is at a much more developed level than the photobiological system.

# 32.3 Lignocellulose Fermentation System

The lignocellulose fermentation system used corn stover as a feedstock to generate hydrogen via a dark fermentation process. The process is quite complex and involves extensive feedstock pre-processing, hydrolysis, saccharification, and fermentation. Portions of the process design and capital costs were based on an NREL corn stover-to-ethanol plant cost analysis. The unique 150°C hydrolysis process used for this study is one that has been demonstrated by NREL. The unique organisms for the saccharification process and for the fermentation-to-H<sub>2</sub> process have been developed by NREL at lab scale. The saccharification process utilizes organisms to convert the hydrolysis products into simple sugars in a short time. The subsequent fermentation process uses the same bacteria to produce H<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> gas plus simple organic liquids

For the lignocellulose fermentor production calculations, the following assumptions were made:

- 1. Acid hydrolysis to break down biomass to hemicellulose and cellulose components of complex sugars can be effectively carried out at a temperature of  $150^{\circ}$ C and pressure of 4.7 atm. with an efficiency of 90% conversion of the components.
- 2. Saccharification and fermentation can be effectively carried out sequentially in the same reactor vessel at a temperature of 55°C, at atmospheric pressure, and using 40 hr batch cycle with an efficiency of 90% conversion.

The saccharification process utilizes bacteria to convert the hydrolysis products into simple sugars in a short time. The subsequent fermentation process uses the same bacteria to produce  $H_2$  and  $CO_2$  gas plus organic liquids, requiring approximately 40 hours. Efficiency for these processes in combination was 90% conversion to  $H_2$  and simple liquid organic byproducts.

Costs of 37 tonne/day  $H_2$  production were initially calculated assuming zero value of the byproducts. These byproducts are essentially: 692 tonnes of organic acid and alcohol liquids plus 846 tonnes of lignin and xylan solids. The liquid byproducts comprising 51% acetate and 21% ethanol have intrinsic value, but would require a subsequent separation process such as distillation. Taking credit for the value of the large amount of byproduct would substantially reduce net  $H_2$  costs. A more rigorous assessment of this effect should be conducted. As an alternative to byproduct recovery, the liquid organics can be processed in an MEC to produce additional hydrogen.

In addition to the liquid byproducts, the extracted lignin solids can be used as a low grade fuel for industrial processes.

Like the algae fermentation system, only an upper bound performance estimate was made for the lignocellulosic fermentation system.

# 32.4 Microbial electrolysis Cell (MEC) System

The MEC has been developed at Penn State University to break down simple liquid organics such as acetic acid and ethanol mixed with water into  $H_2$  and  $CO_2$ , with the gasses being separated by the electrolysis process.

For the electrolysis process, the anode microbes generate a voltage which is supplemented with an external voltage to generate an electrolysis current. A lower external voltage results in higher efficiency, but increases reaction times, thus increasing system size and cost. It was determined that for lowest cost  $H_2$ , the system should be operated at a higher than normal voltage (0.9V) to minimize capital plant costs. The very low concentration of the input acetic acid (~2g acetate/Liter of water as opposed to the fermentor at 200g/L) resulted in a very large system, i.e., 96,000,000 gallons of total reactor volume for 88 tonnes  $H_2/day$ . These volumes and the required high area anodes and cathodes were based on specifications from lab tests by Penn State. The anode used was a carbon brush with the microbe layer and the cathode was a stainless steel brush. The details of utilization of these electrodes in a large scale reactor tank have not been fully worked out. Since the MEC separates most of the  $H_2$  from the CO<sub>2</sub>, its PSA requirements are greatly reduced and there is reduced loss of  $H_2$  in the PSA process.

Key assumptions for the MEC system were:

- 1. Conversion efficiency of acetate to  $H_2$  is 90% of the theoretical 4 moles of  $H_2$ /mole acetate (lab tests have achieved as high as 95%)
- 2. The lab-scale system design will function equally well for very large scale reactors.
- 3. Acetate feedstock for the stand-alone MEC was 0.60/kg, (which is a significant component of the resultant H<sub>2</sub> cost).

Only an upper bound performance estimate was made for the MEC system given its currently very low stage of development.

### 32.5 Integrated Stacked Photosynthesis System

This system employs two different photosynthesis systems having different, but overlapping, PAR wavelength bands. The narrower band system is stacked on top of the wider band system so that the lower system can utilize photons not utilized by the upper system. For this case, the more efficient (4 photons/H<sub>2</sub>), but narrower band *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* was above the less efficient (11 long wavelength photons/H<sub>2</sub>), but wider band (PAR = 0.71) PNS bacteria. For the top bed, the B-3 system was used, since the B-1 and B-2 mixtures of O<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub> raised safety concerns for this application and the B-4 mat and high cell density absorbed too much sunlight. The stacked system has the benefit of reduced land area requirement.

### 32.6 Integrated Photosynthesis and Fermentor System

This system used the algae or bacteria waste from the photosynthesis beds as feedstock to an algal/organism fermentor. The B-1, B-2 and B-5 systems were chosen as the best adapted for the integration, since, in chemostat operation, they will be filtering waste algae from a slip stream of water to maintain bed concentration. In the B-3 and B-4 system, no waste algae is removed due to the need for the system to respire enough glucose to keep the system anaerobic. In all cases, the quantity of feedstock was so low that very little H<sub>2</sub> was produced as compared with the 10 tonne/day photosynthesis beds. For the B-1 and B-2 integrations, output was  $\sim$ 7kg/day and for the B-5 integration, output was  $\sim$ 18 kg/day. An additional benefit of the B-5 integration was that the fermentor produced acetic acid byproduct, providing 3% of the B-5 acetic acid requirement.

# 32.7 Integrated Lignocellulosic Fermentor and MEC

The baseline lignocellulosic fermentor and baseline MEC were sized to allow integration of the two such that the organic liquid byproduct of the fermentor could be used as the entire feedstock for the MEC. This byproduct has been shown in NREL experiments to be 51% acetic acid and 21% ethanol, with the remainder being other organic acids. Thus, the 2,000 tonnes/day corn stover plus water produced 37 tonnes  $H_2$ /day from the fermentor and 88 tonnes  $H_2$ /day from the MEC.

### 32.8 Gas Processing

For each of these systems, the reactor gaseous outputs were ducted to compression and gas separation systems. The compressors were two stage units, using intercoolers to reduce gas temperature and to condense water vapor, thus minimizing compression power requirement. The final separation was carried out with PSA (Pressure Swing Adsorption) units that would produce 99.9% pure H<sub>2</sub>.

### 32.9 Hydrogen Production Cost Comparisons

Given the systems and performance assumptions listed above, the feasibility, performance, capital cost, and resultant  $k_{\rm H_2}$  were evaluated for each system and integrated system. System hydrogen production costs are summarized in Figure 32-2.

	$H_2$	Hydrogen Cost, \$/kg	
System	Production kg/day	Near Term Performance	Upper Bound Performance
Photobiological H <sub>2</sub> Production			
B-1: Algal O <sub>2</sub> -tolerant Hydrogenase	10,000	<b>\$8.15</b>	<b>\$2.99</b>
B-2: Cyanobacterium O2-tolerant Hydrogenase	10,000	<b>\$8.15</b>	<b>\$2.99</b>
B-3: Algal Sulfate Permease	10,000	<b>\$10.48</b>	<b>\$4.17</b>
B-4: Immobilized Algal, Sulfer deprived	10,000	<b>\$8.44</b>	<b>\$6.02</b>
B-5: PNS Bacteria	10,000	\$13.95	\$10.36
Fermentation of Waste Algae/Photobacteria			
C-1 or C-2 (Effluent from B-1 or B-2)	7		<b>\$172.73</b>
C-5 (Effluent from B-5)	19		<mark>\$66.17</mark>
Fermentation of Lignocellulose			
System with no byproduct credit	37,181		\$4.33
System with byproduct sales (\$0.12/kg acetate byproduct)	37,181		\$2.09
MEC - Microbial Electrolysis Cell (Acetic Acid Feedstock)	88,055		<b>\$12.43</b>
Integrated Systems			
Integrated Photobiological - Stacked System (B-3 over B-5)	10,600		\$5.25
Integrated Photobiological/Fermentor			
B1-C1 or B2-C2 Integration	10,007		\$3.21
B5-C5 Integration	10,019		\$11.04
Integrated Lignocellulosic Fermentor/MEC	125,266		\$6.61

Figure 32-2. H<sub>2</sub> Production Costs

For the pure photobiological systems, the upper bound performance B-1/B-2 system<sup>101</sup> achieved the lowest hydrogen cost, however, these results are predicated on major improvements in organism mutations achieving truncated antenna reductions, elimination of cell light saturation due to Electron Transfer Rate (ETR) limits, and satisfactory chemostat II operation. The B-3 and B-4 system costs are slightly higher and the systems are more complex, but the components have been more completely demonstrated. The B-5 system has the highest cost of the photobiological systems due the larger amount of photons needed (11 to 15 vs. 4) and the high

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>101</sup> While the B-1 and B-2 pathways utilize different organisms, all system engineering parameters are identical. Consequently, predicted hydrogen cost is the same.

cost of the acetic acid feedstock. This system definition also assumed a satisfactory Chemostat II.

Not surprisingly, the photobiological systems achieving near term STH energy efficiency are observed to be substantially higher cost than those achieving upper bound STH efficiency. The cost difference is a function of both required reactor area and scaling affects of non-bed components, thus the cost difference cannot be determined by simply ratioing STH efficiencies.

The algae/bacteria fermentation systems have high costs due to the low organism feedstock input resulting in low  $H_2$  output. A large part of the resulting  $H_2$  cost is due to the labor costs, (94-96% of total cost) since we are analyzing it as a stand-alone system. The cost contribution of the labor drops drastically when integrated with the Photobiological system. The current results are based on a projected fermentation output of highly sulfur-deprived organisms and do not exploit fully the organic components of the algae feedstock. It is expected that future bacteria and processing developments can facilitate more extensive conversion of the starch, lipid and protein content of the algae into  $H_2$ . Note that lignocellulose was not added to the algal fermentor to supplement the feedstock due to different bacterium needed and significant transportation costs from lignocellulosic sources to the high solar intensity photobiological sites.

The lignocellulosic fermentation achieved a moderately low  $H_2$  cost, using bacteria and processing that has been proven in lab environments, but not in large scale demonstrations. There is also high potential for cost reduction from sales of the 51% acetic acid content liquid byproduct. If the byproduct had a market value of \$0.12/kg (as compared to the market price of ~ \$0.60/kg for acetic acid), the net  $H_2$  cost would be reduced to near \$2.00/kg.

For the MEC system, the moderately high  $H_2$  cost resulted from the very dilute acetic acid/water reactant, and necessitated a very large reactor volume and correspondingly very large anode and cathode areas. This high capital cost was coupled with high acetate market price, which could potentially be reduced by lowering acetic acid purity, which is not marketed, but is available as a fermentor byproduct. The immaturity of the full scale system concepts and components indicated that there is extensive potential for future cost reductions. Cost saving could also arise from higher concentration of electrolyte and higher pressure operation.

For the integrated stacked photobiological system, the  $H_2$  cost is between the cost of the individual stand-alone systems. This indicates that there is no cost benefit to integration as a scaled-up version of the lowest cost non-integrated configuration is most preferable.

For the integrated photobiological algae/fermentor system, the resulting  $H_2$  costs are higher than the stand-alone photobiological system, also indicated that integration is not preferred.

For the integrated fermentor/MEC system, the MEC's  $H_2$  production cost is reduced significantly due to supply of a "free" feedstock. However, due to high MEC capital costs, the cost of the combined system is still significantly higher than the fermentor alone.

# 33. <u>Recommendations for Future Work</u>

Key recommendations for future work are summarized below:

Photobiological Systems

- Conduct research to further reduce the truncated antenna of mutants
- Conduct research to eliminate or alleviate the current Electron Transfer Rate (ETR) limitations for organisms and resulting light saturation
- Conduct sensitivity testing on advanced mutants to more fully assess the effects of algae photon utilization rate saturation
- Demonstrate the Chemostat II operation at larger scale
- Evaluate alternative reactor bed concepts
- Consider the use of an alternative immobilization mat for use in the B-4 system. As currently postulated, B-4 uses a fibrous, high surface area polypropylene mat on which to cultivate algae. This mat has been shown to work well with other algal organisms and can be purchased at low cost as opposed to having to be fabricated on-site (at an anticipated high cost). However, alternate mats, perhaps made of alginate, offer several advantages: they can be used as a nutrient source for the algae and/or used as feedstock for the fermentor when the photobiological system is integrated with an algal fermentor. For these reasons, consideration of alternate B-4 systems is warranted.
- Conduct more comprehensive systems designs, addressing details of mixing, pH control, bed temperature control, and CO<sub>2</sub> absorption

Future Algae Fermentation Systems

- Evaluate feedstock algae pre-treatment processes (e.g. hydrolysis and saccharification) to significantly increase the algae-to-hydrogen conversion rate (by greater conversion of lipids and other components)
- Consider stand-alone large-scale hydrogen production using high glucose content, rapid growing, denser concentration algae as a substrate, grown specifically for fermentation
- Use non-sulfur deprived algae, greatly increasing the easily fermentable glucose content
- Reduce fermentation time from 72 hours to at least the 40 hour batch time characteristic of the lignocellulosic fermentation

Lignocellulose Fermentation Systems

- Conduct further analysis to verify the benefit of the lignocellulose fermentation liquid organic byproduct sale to dramatically reduce the cost of hydrogen. This would include evaluation of potential byproduct component selling price reductions due to increases in worldwide supply from fermentation processors
- Conduct further analysis to address the separation of byproduct components (acids, ethanol, etc.), as in a distillation process

MEC Systems

- Through research and analysis, develop optimized production process and components for low capital cost systems, addressing issues such as:
  - Increased solution density
  - Higher pressure operation
  - Low cost cathodes and anodes
  - Cathode and anode optimization for large reactors
- Determine the extent of ion transport loss increases as reactor size grows to production scales

Integrated Systems

- Postulate additional synergistic integrated system combinations to achieve reduced hydrogen cost
- Analyze integration of reactor bed configurations (dual beds, other) that may not be optimal for stand-alone photobiological reactors, but are advantageous when combined in an integrated system

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE				Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
The public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing the burden, to Department of Defense, Executive Services and Communications Directorate (0704-0188). Respondents should be aware that notwithstanding any other provision of law, no person shall be subject to any penalty for failing to comply with a collection of information if it does not display a currently valid OMB control number.					
1. REPORT DATE (DD-MM-YYYY)	2. REPORT TYPE			3. DATES COVERED (From - To)	
September 2009	Subcontract Repo	rt		3/27/08 - 8/31/09	
<ol> <li>TITLE AND SUBTITLE Technoeconomic Boundary Analysis of Biological Pathways to Hydrogen Production: March 27, 2008 – August 31, 2009</li> <li>5b.</li> <li>5c.</li> </ol>		5a. CONTRACT NUMBER DE-AC36-08-GO28308			
		5b. GR/	5b. GRANT NUMBER		
		5c. PRC	ROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER		
6. AUTHOR(S) 5d. PRC					
B.D. James, G.N. Baum, J. Perez, and K.N. Baum 5e. TAS H2 5f. WO		NR	REL/SR-560-46674		
		<b>5K NUMBER</b> 714000			
		RK UNIT NUMBER			
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)       8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION         Directed Technologies, Inc.       REPORT NUMBER         One Virginia Square       AFH-8-88601-01         3601 Wilson Boulevard, Suite 650       Arlington, Virginia, 22201					
<ul> <li>9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) National Renewable Energy Laboratory 1617 Cole Blvd. Golden, CO 80401-3393</li> </ul>			10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S) NREL		
			11. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER NREL/SR-560-46674		
12. DISTRIBUTION AVAILABILITY STATEMENT National Technical Information Service U.S. Department of Commerce 5285 Port Royal Road Springfield, VA 22161					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES NREL Technical Monitor: Ali Jalalzadeh-Azar					
14. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 Words) Report documenting the biological and engineering characteristics of five algal and bacterial hydrogen production systems selected by DOE and NREL for evaluation.					
15. SUBJECT TERMS technoeconomic analysis; boundary analysis; biological pathways; hydrogen production; Directed Technologies, Inc.					
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:     17. LIMITATION       a. REPORT     b. ABSTRACT     c. THIS PAGE		18. NUMBER OF PAGES	19a. NAME OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON		
Unclassified Unclassified Unclas	sified UL		19b. TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include area code)		

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8/98) Prescribed by ANSI Std. Z39.18