(collectively, "Petitioners") and Ugine & ALZ Belgium, NV (Respondent), the Department of Commerce (the Department) initiated an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on stainless steel plate in coils (SSPC) from Belgium. See Notice of Initiation of Antidumping and Countervailing Duty Administrative Reviews, 70 FR 37749 (Initiation Notice). This administrative review covered the period of May 1, 2004, through April 30, 2005. We are now rescinding this review as a result of both Petitioners' and Respondent's withdrawal of their requests for an administrative review.

EFFECTIVE DATE: December 30, 2005.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Toni Page or Scott Lindsay, AD/CVD Operations, Office 6, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, US Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, NW., Room 7866, Washington, DC 20230; telephone: (202) 482–1398 and (202) 482–0780, respectively.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

The Department published an antidumping duty order on SSPC from Belgium on May 21, 1999. See Antidumping Duty Orders; Certain Stainless Steel Plate in Coils from Belgium, Canada, Italy, the Republic of Korea, South Africa, and Taiwan, 67 FR 27756 (May 21, 1999). On May 2, 2005, the Department published a notice of "Opportunity to Request Administrative Review" of the antidumping duty order for the period of May 1, 2004, through April 30, 2005. See Notice of **Opportunity to Request Administrative** Review of Antidumping or Countervailing Duty Order, Finding or Suspended Investigation, 70 FR 22631 (May 2, 2005). Both Petitioners and Respondent requested that the Department conduct an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on SSPC from Belgium on May 31, 2005. In response to these requests, the Department initiated an antidumping duty administrative review on SSPC from Belgium on June 30, 2005. See Initiation Notice, 70 FR 37749.

On August 2, 2005, Petitioners withdrew their request for an administrative review. On August 27, 2005, Respondent requested, pursuant to section 351.213(d)(1) of the Department's regulations, an extension of the deadline to withdraw its request for an administrative review. On September 27, 2005, we extended the deadline to withdraw until no later than December 2, 2005. See Letter from the Department to Ugine & ALZ Belgium dated September 27, 2005. On December 2, 2005, Respondent submitted a letter withdrawing its request for an administrative review. These were the only requests for an administrative review of this order for the period May 1, 2004 through April 30, 2005.

Rescission of the Administrative Review

Pursuant to section 351.213(d)(1) of the Department's regulations, the Secretary will rescind an administrative review, in whole or in part, if a party that requested the review withdraws the request within 90 days of the date of publication of notice of initiation of the requested review. Section 351.213(d)(1) of the Department's regulations also states that the Secretary may extend this time limit if the Secretary decides that it is reasonable to do so. The initiation notice for this review was published on June 30, 2005. We received Petitioners' withdrawal request on August 2, 2005, within the 90 days after publication of the initiation notice. We received Respondent's request for withdrawal on December 2, 2005, within the extended time period granted by the Department. Since all parties who requested this administrative review have withdrawn their requests in a timely manner, we are rescinding this review. The Department will issue appropriate assessment instructions to U.S. Customs and Border Protection within 15 days of publication of this notice.

Administrative Protective Orders

This notice serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective order (APO) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with Section 351.305(a)(3) of the Department's regulation. Timely written notification of the return or destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This notice is issued and published in accordance with Section 777(i) of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended, and Section 351.213(d)(4) of the Department's regulations.

Dated: December 23, 2005.

Stephen J. Clays,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 05–24673 Filed 12–29–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DS–M

DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

National Nuclear Security Administration

Extension of Scoping Period for the Notice of Intent to Prepare an Environmental Impact Statement for the Operation of a Biosafety Level 3 Facility at Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM

SUMMARY: The National Nuclear Security Administration (NNSA), an agency within the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE), is extending the scoping period for the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) on the Operation of a Biosafety Level 3 Facility at Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL), Los Alamos, New Mexico.

DATES: The scoping period for the EIS is extended from December 29, 2005, to January 17, 2006. Comments received after that date will be considered to the extent practicable.

ADDRESSES: Written comments or suggestions concerning the scope of the Biosafety Level 3 Facility (BSL–3) EIS or requests for more information on the EIS and public scoping process may be directed to: Ms. Lisa Cummings, EIS Document Manager, U.S. Department of Energy, National Nuclear Security Administration, Office of Los Alamos Site Operations, 528 35th Street, Los Alamos, New Mexico 87544; facsimile at (505) 665–4873; or e-mail at *lcummings@doeal.gov.* A message may be left for Ms. Cummings at 1–866–506– 2862.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: For information about the DOE NEPA process, please contact: Ms. Carol Borgstrom, Director, Office of NEPA Policy and Compliance (EH-42), U.S. Department of Energy, 1000 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20585, (202) 586-4600, or leave a message at 1-800-472-2756.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Subsequent to issuing an Environmental Assessment and Finding of No Significant Impact in February 2002, NNSA constructed a BSL-3 Facility at LANL. The BSL-3 Facility has never been operated. On November 29, 2005 (70 FR 71490), NNSA issued an NOI to prepare an EIS for the proposed operation of the BSL-3 Facility. As originally announced in the Notice of Intent, DOE has conducted public scoping meetings on the EIS in Los Alamos, Santa Fe, and Española. The original public scoping period was to continue until December 29, 2005. However, in response to public comments and to ensure that the public has ample opportunity to provide

comments, DOE is extending the public scoping period until January 17, 2006.

Issued in Washington, DC, on December 28, 2005.

Alice C. Williams,

NNSA NEPA Compliance Officer. [FR Doc. 05–24689 Filed 12–29–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6450-01–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6670-8]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under section 309 of the Clean Air Act and section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the Office of Federal Activities at 202–564–7167.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in the **Federal Register** dated April 1, 2005 (70 FR 16815).

Draft EISs

EIS No. 20050328, ERP No. D-FHW-G40186-LA, U.S. 90 Corridor, Proposed Interstate Highway 49 (I49) South Improvement from Raceland to the Davis Pond Diversion Canal, Section of Independent Utility 1 (SIU 1), Lafourche and St. Charles Parishes, LA.

Summary: EPA does not object to the proposed project.

Rating LO.

EIS No. 20050359, ERP No. D–NPS– L61229–AK, Denali National Park and Preserve, Draft South Denali Implementation Plan, Matanuska-Susitna Borough, AK.

Summary: EPA does not object to the proposed action.

Rating LO.

EIS No. 20050385, ERP No. D-COE-D35061-VA, Craney Island Eastward Expansion, Construction of a 580-acre Eastward Expansion of the Existing Dredged Material Management Area, Port of Hampton Roads, Norfolk Harbor and Channels, VA. Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns over potential impacts to aquatic resources and environmental justice communities. EPA also requested additional information concerning air toxic, particulates, and wetland impacts.

Rating EC2.

EIS No. 20050453, ERP No. D-AFS-L65497-ID, South Fork Salmon River Sub-basin Noxious and Invasive Weed Management Program, Implementation, Krassel and McCall Ranger Districts, Payette National Forest and Cascade Ranger District, Valley and Idaho Counties, ID Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns about potential adverse impacts to water quality and fishery resources. Unintended impacts to non-target species, especially salmonids, and persistence in the soils should be addressed in the Final EIS. EPA encourages expanding the use of Integrated Pest Management. Rating EC1.

Final EISs

EIS No. 20050478, ERP No. F1–FHW– H40397–MO, Interstate 70 Corridor Improvements, Section of Independent Utility #4, from Missouri Route BB Interchange to Eastern Columbia, Funding, Boone County, MO.

Summary: No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

- EIS No. 20050329, ERP No. F–NPS– L65458–ID, Craters of the Moon National Monument and Preserve, Update and Consolidate Management Plans, into One Comprehensive Plan, Snake River Plain, Butte, Blaine, Lincoln, and Minidoka Counties, ID. Summary: No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.
- EIS No. 20050409, ERP No. F–AFS– L64057–OR, Joseph Creek Rangeland Analysis Project, Proposal to Allocate Forage for Commercial Livestock Grazing on Eleven Allotment, Wallowa-Whitman National Forests, Wallowa Valley Ranger District, Wallowa County, OR. Summary: EPA does not object to the proposed project.
- EIS No. 20050456, ERP No. F–FHW– F40416–00, U.S. 24 Transportation Improvements Project, I–469 in New Haven, Indiana to Ohio Route 15 in Defiance, Funding, NPDES Permit and U.S. Army COE Section 404 Permit Issuance, Westenmost and Allen Counties, IN and Paulding and Defiance Counties, OH.

Summary: The Final EIS addressed EPA's concerns with wetland mitigation, noise mitigation, and storm water management. However, EPA continues to have concerns about post project monitoring and suggests details be included in the ROD.

EIS No. 20050475, ERP No. F–AFS– G65092–NM, Invasive Plant Control Project, Protection of the Abundance and Biological Diversity of Desired Native Plant, Carson National Forest and Santa Fe National Forest, Rio Arriba, Colfax, Los Alamos, Mora, San Miguel and Santa Fe Counties, NM. *Summary:* No formal comment letter was sent to the preparing agency.

EIS No. 20050502, ERP No. F–AFS– J65424–MT, Fishtrap Project, Proposed Timber Harvest, Prescribed Burning, Road Construction and Other Restoration Activities, Lolo National Forest, Plains/Thompson Falls Ranger District, Sanders County, MT.

Summary: The Final EIS addressed EPA's concerns about sedimentation, road density, hydro logic processes, and impacts to wildlife habitat although there still may be some short-term water quality effects.

EIS No. 20050465, ERP No. FS-FHW-J40145-UT, Legacy Parkway Project, Construction from 1–215 at 2100 North in Salt Lake City to 1–15 and US-89 near Farmington, Updated Information, Funding and U.S. Army COE Section 404 Permit, Salt Lake and Davis Counties, UT.

Summary: EPA has no objections to the preferred alternative, and indicated the practicability determination for the Denver and Rio Grande alternatives was appropriate. EPA recommended that the details of 150 acres of land proposed for addition to the Legacy Nature Preserve be described in the Record of Decision. *EIS No. 20050476, ERP No. FS–AFS–*

L65344–AK, Emerald Bay Timber Sale, Implementation, Additional Information on the Potential Effects of the Project Alternatives, Ketchikan-Misty Fiords Ranger District, Tongass National Forest, AK.

Summary: The Final EIS addressed EPA's concerns about the range of alternatives and Tribal consultation.

Dated: December 27, 2005.

Elaine Suriano,

Environmental Scientist, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. E5–8126 Filed 12–29–05; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 6560–50–P

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

[ER-FRL-6670-7]

Environmental Impacts Statements; Notice of Availability

Responsible Agency: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564–7167 or http://www.epa.gov/ compliance/nepa/.

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements Filed 12/19/2005 Through