USED FUEL DISPOSITION CAMPAIGN

Review of Used Nuclear Fuel Storage and Transportation Technical Gap Analyses

Fuel Cycle Research & Development

Prepared for

U.S. Department of Energy

Used Fuel Disposition Campaign

July 31, 2012

FCRD-USED-2012-000215

PNNL-21596



Disclaimer

This information was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the U.S. Government. Neither the U.S. Government nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, expressed or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness, of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. References herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trade mark, manufacturer, or otherwise, does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the U.S. Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of the authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the U.S. Government or any agency thereof.

Peer Review:

Steven C. Marschman (Idaho National Laboratory)

Ken B. Sorenson (Sandia National Laboratories)

Storage and Transportation Control Account Manager

Submitted by:

Brady Hanson (Pacific Northwest National Laboratory)

R&D Investigations Team Lead

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

This report fulfills the M2 milestone M2FT12PN0803042, "Review of Technical Data Gaps Relative to Similar External Studies," under Work Package Number FT-12PN080304.

The U.S. Department of Energy Office of Nuclear Energy (DOE-NE), Office of Fuel Cycle Technology, has established the Used Fuel Disposition Campaign (UFDC) to conduct the research and development activities related to storage, transportation, and disposal of used nuclear fuel and high-level radioactive waste. The mission of the UFDC is to identify alternatives and conduct scientific research and technology development to enable storage, transportation, and disposal of used nuclear fuel (UNF) and wastes generated by existing and future nuclear fuel cycles. The Storage and Transportation activities within the UFDC are being developed to address issues regarding the extended storage of UNF and its subsequent transportation. The near-term objectives of the storage and transportation task are to use a science-based, engineering-driven approach to develop the technical bases to support the continued safe and secure storage of UNF for extended periods, subsequent retrieval, and transportation.

While both wet and dry storage have been shown to be safe options for storing UNF, the focus of the program is on dry storage of commercial UNF at reactor or centralized locations. Because limited information is available on the properties of high burnup fuel (exceeding 45 gigawatt-days per metric ton of uranium [GWd/MTU]), and because much of the fuel currently discharged from today's reactors exceeds this burnup threshold, a particular emphasis of this program is on high burnup fuels.

The first step in establishing the technical bases for storage and transportation was to determine the technical data gaps that need to be addressed. The *Gap Analysis to Support Extended Storage of Used Nuclear Fuel* (UFDC 2012a, referred to as the UFDC Gap Analysis) was prepared to document the methodology for determining the data gaps and to assign an initial priority (Low, Medium, High) of importance for additional research and development to close the data gaps. The analysis considered only normal conditions of extended dry storage of commercial light water reactor (LWR) uranium dioxide fuel. An update to the UFDC Gap Analysis report is planned to include data gaps associated with transportation as well as some design-basis phenomena (e.g., design-basis seismic events) and accident conditions (e.g., cask tipover). UFDC also performed a more quantitative prioritization of the research to close the high and medium priority gaps in the *Used Nuclear Fuel Storage and Transportation Data Gap Prioritization* report (UFDC 2012b, referred to as the UFDC Gap Prioritization).

In order to verify that the UFDC identified all of the technical gaps and properly prioritized them, this report was commissioned to compare the UFDC Gap Analysis and UFDC Gap Prioritization reports to those recently published by others, including the U.S. Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board (NWTRB), the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), the Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI), and the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA). The documents reviewed are:

- Evaluation of the Technical Basis for Extended Dry Storage and Transportation of Used Nuclear Fuel (cited as NWTRB 2010)
- Identification and Prioritization of the Technical Information Needs Affecting Potential Regulation of Extended Storage and Transportation of Spent Nuclear Fuel, Draft for comment (cited as NRC 2012a)
- International Perspectives on Technical Data Gaps Associated with Extended Storage and Transportation of Used Nuclear Fuel, Draft (cited as EPRI 2012)
- Extended Storage Collaboration Program (ESCP) Progress Report and Review of Gap Analyses (cited as EPRI 2011)
- Long Term Storage of Spent Nuclear Fuel Survey and Recommendations (cited as IAEA 2002).

The EPRI 2012 report provides the priorities of additional research of Extended Storage Collaboration Program (ESCP) committee members from six countries in addition to the United States: Germany, Hungary, Japan, South Korea, Spain, and the United Kingdom. Priorities given for the six countries are opinions of the EPRI/ESCP International Subcommittee participants and may not represent the official position of the organization or country. Each organization and country has a different focus when evaluating the research needed for closing technical gaps. These differences stem mostly from differences in the storage systems used (e.g., casks, vaults), future waste management needs and strategies, and organizational perspectives (e.g., industry, regulator). Both the NRC report (NRC 2012a) and the international report from EPRI/ESCP (EPRI 2012) are draft reports subject to change.

There are a collective total of 94 technical data gaps identified by the various reports to support extended storage and transportation of UNF. This report focuses on the gaps identified as Medium or High in any of the gap analyses and provides the UFDC's gap description, any alternate gap descriptions or different emphasis by another organization, the rankings by the various organizations, evaluation of the consistency of priority assignment and the bases for any inconsistencies, and UFDC-recommended action based on the comparison. Gaps that are ranked Low by all organizations and countries are not evaluated in this report.

Of the 94 gaps identified in the various gap analyses, there are 14 cross-cutting gaps and 80 structure, system, and component- (SSC-) specific gaps. For the cross-cutting gaps, the UFDC identifies eight and others identify six. Thirteen of the 14 cross-cutting gaps were identified as Medium or High by at least one of the gap analyses. The UFDC assigns a high priority to all the cross-cutting gaps it identified. For most of these, there is general agreement of their high priority. The six gaps identified by others are either covered by other UFDC gaps or are not applicable to UNF storage and transportation in the United States. Therefore, it is concluded that no changes to the UFDC cross-cutting gap analysis are necessary.

For the 80 SSC-specific gaps, the UFDC identifies 52 and others identify 28. The gaps identified by others either do not meet the UFDC's definition of a gap for extended storage and subsequent transportation, are grouped differently by the UFDC, or are given less than low priority by the

UFDC. For example: "Cladding - Oxide Thickness" is a property of UNF, not a degradation mechanism, "Cladding - Propagation of Existing Flaws" is covered by the UFDC under the individual degradation mechanisms, and "Canister - Irradiation Damage" is considered by the UFDC to be insignificant.

Of the 80 SSC-specific gaps, 48 were identified as Medium or High by at least one of the gap analyses. For 25 of these 48 Medium and High priority gaps, there is either consistency in evaluation and priority assignment across the gap analyses or the UFDC assigns a higher priority. Gaps with consistent high priority evaluation receiving five or more high ratings include:

Cross-cutting gaps

- Thermal Profiles
- Examine Fuel After Storage
- Monitoring

SSC-specific gaps

- Cladding Delayed Hydride Cracking
- Cladding Hydride Reorientation and Embrittlement
- Casks/Canisters Atmospheric Corrosion (especially SCC at the welds)

In some instances, the UFDC gives a higher priority for additional research and development to gaps where experts disagree on the mechanisms (e.g., delayed hydride cracking and clad oxidation). Other differences in priorities are mostly because of differences in the various countries' or organizations' storage and transportation programs and ultimate waste disposal strategies. For example, the UFDC places a higher priority on many of the cladding gaps in an effort to maintain retrievability at the fuel assembly level.

For four gaps, the evaluation in the UFDC Gap Analysis (UFDC 2012a) is significantly different from that in other gap analyses. UFDC will address these gaps as follows:

- "Basket Weld Embrittlement" will be evaluated once detailed and realistic thermal profiles have been developed.
- "Bolted Cask MIC [microbiologically influenced corrosion]" and "Welded Canister –
 MIC" will be addressed as part of the various container aqueous and atmospheric corrosion
 gaps.
- "Fuel Helium and Fission Gas Release" will be considered as part of fuel and cladding gaps.
- "Concrete Thermal Degradation of Mechanical Properties, Dry-out" will be analyzed as part of existing concrete gaps.

As stated in the UFDC Gap Analysis (UFDC 2012a) and UFDC Gap Prioritization (UFDC 2012b) reports, as more data are obtained, all gaps are subject to reevaluation of priority. Continued collaboration with other organizations and countries will ensure that the UFDC is pursuing the proper course to obtain the data and analyses necessary to develop the technical bases for continued safe and secure storage.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors, Christine Stockman (Sandia National Laboratories), Halim Alsaed (Idaho National Laboratory), and Brady Hanson (Pacific Northwest National Laboratory) acknowledge and thank the many people who contributed to this report.

First, we thank our U.S. Department of Energy Office of Nuclear Energy (DOE-NE) sponsors: Siegfried Stockinger, DOE-NE-53 Technical Lead, Engineered Systems Team; Timothy Gunter, DOE-NE-53 Lead, Engineered Systems Team; Ned Larson, DOE-NE-53 Lead, Natural Systems Team; John Orchard, DOE-NE-53 Lead, Transportation; Jeff Williams, DOE-NE-53 Deputy Director, Office of Used Nuclear Fuel Disposition R&D; and William Boyle, DOE-NE-53 Director, Office of Used Nuclear Fuel Disposition R&D.

We also thank the Used Fuel Disposition Campaign management: Peter Swift (Sandia National Laboratories), National Technical Director; Mark Nutt (Argonne National Laboratory), Deputy National Technical Director; and Ken Sorenson (Sandia National Laboratories), Manager, Storage and Transportation.

We thank Steve Marschman (Idaho National Laboratory) who performed the technical peer review.

We thank Cornelia Brim, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory scientific and technical communications specialist, for editing assistance.

CONTENTS

EXI	ECUTI	VE SUN	MMARY	v
AC:	KNOW	/LEDGN	MENTS	ix
AC	RONY	MS		XV
1.	INT 1.1 1.2	Purpos	cTIONse and Scoperound	2
2.	GAF	COMP	PARISON EVALUATION	9
3.	DISC 3.1	Cross- 3.1.1 3.1.2 3.1.3 3.1.4 3.1.5 3.1.6 3.1.7 3.1.8 3.1.9 3.1.10 3.1.11 3.1.12	ON OF GAPS AND PRIORITIES Cutting Gaps	
	3.2	3.2.1	Helium and Fission Gas Release Fission Product Attack on Cladding Fragmentation Oxidation Restructuring/Swelling	30 30 31
	3.3	Claddi 3.3.1 3.3.2 3.3.3 3.3.4 3.3.5 3.3.6 3.3.7 3.3.8	Annealing of Radiation Damage Corrosion – Wet (Galvanic/Pitting) Corrosion – Stress Corrosion Cracking Creep – High Temperature/Low Temperature Crud or Oxide Spallation Delayed Hydride Cracking Helium Pressurization Hydride Reorientation/Embrittlement	33 34 35 36 37

R	eview Of Used Nuclear Fuel Storage and Transportation Technical Gap Analyses
xii	July 31, 2012

	3.3.9 Oxidation	39
	3.3.10 Pellet-Cladding Interaction	39
	3.3.11 Propagation of Existing Flaws	40
3.4	Assembly Hardware	40
	3.4.1 Bowing or Twisting	
	3.4.2 Corrosion Including Stress Corrosion Cracking	
	3.4.3 Metal Fatigue Caused by Temperature Fluctuations	
3.5	Fuel Baskets	
3.3	3.5.1 Corrosion	
	3.5.2 Metal Fatigue Caused by Temperature Fluctuations	
	3.5.3 Weld Embrittlement	
3.6	Moisture Absorbers	
2.0	3.6.1 Thermal and Radiation Damage	
3.7	Neutron Poisons	
3.1	3.7.1 Corrosion and Blistering.	
	3.7.2 Creep	
	3.7.3 Embrittlement and Cracking	
	3.7.4 Metal Fatigue Caused by Temperature Fluctuations	
	3.7.5 Thermal Aging Effects	
3.8	Neutron Shields	
3.0	3.8.1 Radiation Embrittlement	
	3.8.2 Thermal Embrittlement, Cracking, Shrinkage, and Decomposition	
3.9	Containers	
3.7	3.9.1 Bolted Cask – Corrosion of Bolts	
	3.9.2 Bolted Cask – Corrosion of Metal Seals	
	3.9.3 Bolted Cask – Microbiologically Influenced Corrosion	
	3.9.4 Bolted Cask – Thermomechanical Degradation of Bolts	
	3.9.5 Bolted Cask - Thermomechanical Degradation of Seals	
	3.9.6 Welded Canister – Aqueous Corrosion	60
	3.9.7 Welded Canister – Atmospheric Corrosion	61
	3.9.8 Welded Canister – Integrity under Accident Conditions	62
	3.9.9 Welded Canister – Stress Corrosion Cracking – Code, Prevention, and	
	Mitigation	
	3.9.10 Welded Canister – Microbiologically Influenced Corrosion	
	3.9.11 Fuel Storage Tube – Corrosion	63
3.10	O Concrete Structures	64
	3.10.1 Carbonation	64
	3.10.2 Corrosion of Embedded Steel	
	3.10.3 Coupled Mechanisms	
	3.10.4 Freeze–Thaw	
	3.10.5 Marine Degradation	
	3.10.6 Thermal Degradation of Mechanical Properties, Dry-out	
	3.10.7 Unspecified Concrete Degradation	69

	FUEL DISPOSITION CAMPAIGN W Of Used Nuclear Fuel Storage and Transportation Technical Gap Analyses	
July:	31, 2012	xiii
4.	CONCLUSIONS	71
5.	REFERENCES	73
APPI	ENDIX A UFDC Top Priority Storage and Transportation Gaps	77
	TABLES	
Table	2.1. Comparison of Gaps and Priorities	10
Table	e A-1. UFDC Top Priority Gaps Sorted on Rank	78

ACRONYMS

AMP aging management program ANL Argonne National Laboratory

BRC Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future

BWR boiling water reactor

CASTOR a trade name that stands for cask for storage and transport of radioactive material

CFR Code of Federal Regulations CoC Certificate of Compliance

CRIEPI Central Research Institute of Electric Power Industry, a research institute of the

Japanese nuclear industry

crud a colloquial term for corrosion and wear products (rust particles, etc.) that become

radioactive (i.e., activated) when exposed to radiation.

DBTT ductile-to-brittle transition temperature
DCSCP Dry Cask Storage Characterization Project

DCSS dry cask storage system
DHC delayed hydride cracking
DOE U.S. Department of Energy

DOE-NE U.S. Department of Energy Office of Nuclear Energy

EPRI Electric Power Research Institute

ESCP Extended Storage Collaboration Program

GWd gigawatt-day

HBS high burnup structure

HLW high-level (radioactive) waste

IAEA International Atomic Energy Agency

INL Idaho National Laboratory

ISFSI independent spent fuel storage installation

ISG interim staff guidance

LWR light water reactor

MIC microbiologically influenced corrosion

mm millimeter(s)

MMC metal matrix composite

MOX mixed oxide

MTU metric tons (Tonnes) of uranium

MVDS modular vault dry storage

N/A not applicable

NRC U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

USED FUEL DISPOSITION CAMPAIGN Review Of Used Nuclear Fuel Storage and Transportation Technical Gap Analyses July 31, 2012

NUREG publication prepared by staff of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

NWTRB Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board

PCI pellet-clad interaction

χvi

PNNL Pacific Northwest National Laboratory

PWR pressurized water reactor

R&D research and development

ROK Republic of Korea (South Korea)

SCC stress corrosion cracking

SFST Spent Fuel Storage and Transportation (a division of the NRC)

SSC structure, system, and component

UFDC Used Fuel Disposition Campaign

UK United Kingdom UNF used nuclear fuel

U.S. United States (adjective)

USED FUEL DISPOSITION CAMPAIGN Review of Used Nuclear Fuel Storage and Transportation Technical Gap Analyses

1. INTRODUCTION

The U.S. Department of Energy Office of Nuclear Energy (DOE-NE), Office of Fuel Cycle Technology has established the Used Fuel Disposition Campaign (UFDC) to conduct the research and development (R&D) activities related to storage, transportation, and disposal of used nuclear fuel (UNF) and high-level radioactive waste (HLW). Within the UFDC, the storage and transportation task has been created to address issues of extended or long-term storage and transportation. The near-term objectives of the storage and transportation task are to use a science-based, engineering-driven approach to

- develop the technical bases to support the continued safe and secure storage of UNF for extended periods
- develop the technical bases for retrieval of UNF after extended storage
- develop the technical bases for transport of high burnup fuel, as well as low and high burnup fuel after dry storage.

These objectives will help formulate the technical bases to support licensing for extended storage of UNF that will facilitate a wide range of disposition options. Under current regulations, it is not sufficient for UNF to simply maintain its integrity during the storage period, it must maintain its integrity in such a way that it can withstand the physical forces of handling and transportation associated with restaging the fuel and moving it to a treatment/recycling facility or a geologic repository. While both wet and dry storage have been shown to be safe options for storing UNF, the program will focus on dry storage at the reactor site or centralized locations with storage times exceeding the current longest licensed dry storage period. Although the initial emphasis of the program will be on commercial light water reactor (LWR) uranium-oxide fuel, DOE-owned research and defense UNF and alternative and advanced fuel concepts being investigated by DOE will be addressed later in this program. Because limited information is available on the properties of high burnup fuel (exceeding 45 gigawatt-days per metric ton of uranium [GWd/MTU]), and because much of the fuel currently discharged from today's reactors exceeds this burnup threshold, a particular emphasis of this program will be focused on high burnup fuels.

The first step in establishing the technical bases for continued safe storage and transportation was to determine the technical data gaps that need to be addressed. The *Gap Analysis to Support Extended Storage of Used Nuclear Fuel* (UFDC 2012a, also known as the UFDC Gap Analysis) was prepared to document the methodology for determining the data gaps and to assign an initial priority (Low, Medium, High) of importance for additional R&D to close the data gaps. The analysis was based on normal conditions of extended storage and informed by subsequent transportation needs. An update of the UFDC Gap Analysis report is planned for fiscal year

(FY) 2012 to fully evaluate data gaps associated with transportation as well as design basis phenomena (e.g., design-basis seismic events) and accident conditions (e.g., cask tipover). UFDC performed a second, more quantitative prioritization of the research to address the High and Medium priority gaps in the draft report Used Nuclear Fuel Storage and Transportation Data Gap Prioritization (UFDC 2012b). This prioritization report also considered anticipated high and medium priority gaps associated with transportation and the design-basis phenomena and accident conditions during extended storage.

Other organizations including the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC), the Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board (NWTRB), and the Electric Power Research Institute (EPRI) performed independent gap analyses to support extended storage and transportation and, in some instances, prioritized these gaps. Several international organizations including those in Germany, Hungary, Japan, South Korea (Republic of Korea [ROK]), Spain, and the United Kingdom (UK), also performed similar independent gap analyses to support extended storage and transportation, and prioritized these gaps as part of the EPRI Extended Storage Collaboration Project (ESCP). The International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) also published a survey and recommendations of member countries' long-term storage needs as part of one of its coordinated research projects.

Among the various analyses performed, there are differences, in some instances significant, in both the gaps identified and in their assigned priorities. These differences stem mostly from differences in the storage systems used (e.g., casks, vaults), future waste management needs and strategies, and organizational perspectives (e.g., industry, regulator). This report compares the various gap analyses to determine if changes to the UFDC Gap Analysis and prioritizations are This comparison report, the issued UFDC Gap Analysis necessary or recommended. (UFDC 2012a) and draft UFDC Gap Prioritization report (UFDC 2012b) present a comprehensive picture of UFDC's current position on the gaps in the technical basis for safe storage and transport of used nuclear fuel. It is important to emphasize that as additional data are gathered and predictive models are developed, it is possible that the priority of identified gaps will change, or new gaps may be identified.

1.1 **Purpose and Scope**

The purpose of this report is to compare the UFDC gap analyses and priorities to those recently published by other organizations and countries including:

- Evaluation of the Technical Basis for Extended Dry Storage and Transportation of Used Nuclear Fuel (cited as NWTRB 2010)
- Identification and Prioritization of the Technical Information Needs Affecting Potential Regulation of Extended Storage and Transportation of Spent Nuclear Fuel. Draft for comment (cited as NRC 2012a)
- Extended Storage Collaboration Program (ESCP) Progress Report and Review of Gap Analyses (cited as EPRI 2011)

- International Perspectives on Technical Data Gaps Associated with Extended Storage and Transportation of Used Nuclear Fuel (cited as EPRI 2012). This draft report provides the priorities of additional research of EPRI/ESCP committee members from six countries in addition to the United States: Germany, Hungary, Japan, ROK, Spain, and the United Kingdom. The priorities of committee members from these countries are considered separately in this report. It is important to note however, that these priorities represent the opinions of the EPRI/ESCP International Subcommittee participants and do not represent any official position of the participant's country.
- Long Term Storage of Spent Nuclear Fuel Survey and Recommendations (cited as IAEA 2002). This report surveyed long-term storage in over 20 countries that had, or planned to have, wet and/or dry storage. These included: Belgium, Bulgaria, Canada, Czech Republic, France, Germany, Hungary, India, Italy, Japan, Lithuania, Mexico, Netherlands, People's Republic of China, ROK, Romania, Russian Federation, Slovak Republic, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Ukraine, United Kingdom, and the United States. Discussions from these countries did not lend themselves to separate representation within this report, so they are discussed collectively.

Section 2 of this report summarizes the combined gaps and assigned priorities from the gap analyses developed by the various U.S. organizations (UFDC, NWTRB, NRC, EPRI), participants of the EPRI ESCP International subcommittee (Germany, Hungary, Japan, ROK, Spain, and the United Kingdom), and the IAEA.

If a gap is ranked Medium or higher by any organization or country, the following is presented in Section 3 for that gap:

- UFDC's gap description
- alternate gap description or different emphasis by another organization
- the rankings by the various organizations that discussed this gap
- an evaluation of the consistency of priority assignment in the various gap analyses and the bases for any inconsistencies. Because this report is intended to evaluate UFDC's gaps and their priorities against others, particular emphasis is placed on the reason for the inconsistency from UFDC's perspective. More significant elaboration is presented for those gaps where UFDC's priority is lower than others.
- UFDC-recommended action based on the comparison.

For gaps that are ranked Low by all organizations and countries that addressed the gap, no additional discussion is provided.

1.2 Background

Dry storage of commercial LWR fuel in the United States is accomplished at independent spent fuel storage installations (ISFSIs), where two types of storage systems are used: direct-loaded

bolted casks and welded canisters housed in overpacks or storage modules. Both systems are deployed outside on concrete storage pads. Both systems have baskets to hold multiple assemblies and neutron absorbers, and use helium to promote heat removal and to provide an inert environment for the fuel. Shielding is provided by the metal shell and borated polymer/resin of the bolted casks and by a reinforced concrete overpack or storage module for the welded canisters. Most welded canisters are designed for both storage and transport. Most direct-loaded bolted casks are for storage only.

In 1986, the first U.S. ISFSI was licensed at the Surry power plant site in Virginia for a period of 20 years, and the license was subsequently renewed for an additional 40 years through an exemption process. Effective May 17, 2011, the storage regulation (10 CFR 72.42(a)) was officially changed to allow an initial license period of up to 40 years and license extensions of up to 40 years. In addition to the safety functions of confinement, shielding, and subcriticality, the U.S. regulations currently include retrievability at the assembly level as important to safety, in order to support all UNF disposition options (reprocessing/recycling and/or geologic disposal).

DOE-NE has established the UFDC to conduct the R&D activities related to storage, transportation, and disposal of UNF and HLW. The near-term objectives of the storage and transportation task within the UFDC are to use a science-based, engineering-driven approach to develop the technical bases to support the continued safe and secure storage of UNF for extended periods, subsequent retrieval, and transportation. Retrievability of UNF at the assembly level is important to DOE in providing safety and flexibility in potential interim storage and final disposition scenarios, whether they be reprocessing or disposal in a geologic repository.

UFDC's current priorities for research are provided in the UFDC Gap Analysis and the Gap Prioritization Reports (UFDC 2012a and 2012b). For the UFDC Gap Analysis (UFDC 2012a), the criteria used to determine the priority for gaps specific to a structure, system, or component (SSC) are:

- Data Needs
- **Regulatory Considerations**
- Likelihood of Occurrence
- Consequences
- Potential for Remediation
- **Cost and Operations**
- Future Waste Management Strategies.

The Gap Prioritization report (UFDC 2012b) narrowed this list to

- Likelihood of Occurrence
- Consequences

Remediation

and added Timing of Data Needs.

Table A-1 shows the results of the Gap Prioritization report (UFDC 2012b, Table 5.5) with the column "Priority" added for this report. Since the score and rank are difficult to use when comparing priorities, they have been converted to priorities of Very High, High, Medium High, and Medium. These gap priorities are combined with the Low priority gaps of the UFDC Gap Analysis report (UFDC 2012a) to obtain the UFDC priority. For the gaps that are prioritized differently in the two reports, the Gap Prioritization report was given precedence.

The NWTRB is tasked to independently evaluate DOE technical activities for managing and disposing of UNF and HLW. The NWTRB report (NWTRB 2010) provides a comprehensive discussion of the U.S. technical issues and research needs for extended dry storage and transportation. In Table 9 of NWTRB 2010, the nine highest-priority research needs are listed. In a few cases, the NWTRB indicated that filling a data gap had low priority, but in most cases, priority is not assigned to the research needs discussed.

The NRC is the U.S. regulatory agency and determines whether an applicant's license meets the regulatory requirements. In this role, the NRC also pursues technical information to inform licensing decisions. Their purpose is not to address the technical issues themselves, but to identify and understand the technical issues that may arise during the review of license applications. Engineering solutions or additional research are both viable means to ensure safety.

NRC staff used two main priority criteria in developing their draft report (NRC 2012a): level of knowledge and regulatory significance. For level of knowledge, the NRC considers the level of knowledge for the time it takes for a degradation mechanism to initiate, the propagation rate of the degradation, and the time when the degradation will result in the component losing its ability to perform its safety functions. NRC staff also considers the capability for monitoring and inspection. For regulatory significance, the NRC considers the potential impact of the degradation phenomena on six safety areas: criticality, thermal, confinement, structural, shielding and retrievability. The overall rankings are provided in Table 5-1 of NRC 2012a. Those degradation phenomena that are rated high in Table 5-1 are further prioritized in Table 6-1 into those that should be addressed first (H1) and those that should be addressed next (H2).

EPRI pursues data needed by the utilities to present their safety cases in their license applications for UNF dry storage and transportation. Since DOE, not the utilities, is responsible for final dispositioning of the UNF, data gaps associated with long-term waste management strategies (e.g., retrievability of the fuel assembly) are ranked less important for EPRI than for UFDC.

In EPRI's report (EPRI 2011), the priority criteria are:

- 1. the importance to maintaining the safety functions with a particular emphasis on confinement
- 2. the amount of existing data
- 3. the amount of ongoing research
- 4. the ability to fairly easily detect, inspect, or mitigate degradation of the safety functions.

The safety functions listed by EPRI in Table 4-1 of EPRI 2011 are confinement, subcriticality, thermal performance, radiological protection, and retrievability. Table 4-2 of EPRI 2011 provides EPRI's priorities for research to close the gaps in knowledge on the SSC-specific degradation mechanisms. EPRI did not directly discuss any cross-cutting issues.

Within the IAEA, the program on Radioactive Waste and Spent Fuel Management provides support to the Member States by establishing safety standards for the management of spent fuel and providing assistance to the Member States on the use and application of these standards. In the technical document *Long Term Storage of Spent Nuclear Fuel – Survey and Recommendations* (IAEA 2002), the IAEA provides an overview of the used fuel storage programs in over 20 of its Member States. "Member States have similar regulatory objectives regarding the management of spent nuclear fuel. Those objectives are to protect public health and safety, by implementing regulations to:

- maintain subcriticality of spent fuel
- prevent the release of radioactive material
- ensure that radiation rates and doses do not exceed acceptable limits
- maintain retrievability of the spent fuel throughout the lifetime of the storage facility." (IAEA 2002, p. 11).

Retrievability is listed as a safety objective even though many of the countries reprocess their used nuclear fuel. "The key conceptual aspect of the long term storage is that it must not be regarded as a final disposal option or solution. This entails the capability to safely re-handle the spent fuel at any point in time after initial storage." (IAEA 2002, p.3) "Retrievability is strongly dependent on the conditioning route for the fuel after storage, individual licensing situation, and licensing practices in Member States, and characteristics of the fuel (e.g., type of defects). Therefore, requirements may depend on the ultimate back end solution for the fuel. Nevertheless, an aspect of retrievability is the integrity of the spent fuel including its structural components." (IAEA 2002, p.1).

In Germany, dry storage of used nuclear fuel employs bolted casks, which are stored in buildings, tunnels, or concrete canopies (IAEA 2007). Dry storage started in 1993 and current storage licenses are for 40 years, but the possibility of longer storage is being investigated.

The United States allows for storage-only licenses (up to 40 years) and requires a separate license for transportation with a 5-year renewal (recertification) requirement. Unlike the United States, some European member states including Germany, require that a transportation

license, renewed every 3 to 5 years, must remain valid throughout the storage period, even if the cask is in storage with no transport planned.

The present solution for dry storage in Hungary is based on modular vault dry storage (MVDS) facilities. The MVDS consists of robust concrete rooms with vertical tubes that hold single fuel assemblies. Each fuel assembly is stored in a steel fuel tube that is sealed and rendered inert with nitrogen gas. The first dry storage was licensed in 1997 for a 50-year period.

Japan has been storing used boiling water reactor (BWR) fuel in dual purpose (storage and transport) bolted metal casks within storage buildings since 1995. In addition, Japan has been actively pursuing the use of multipurpose welded canisters. The dry storage period in Japan is 50 years.

While the ROK started dry storage of pressurized heavy water reactor spent fuel in 1992, dry storage of LWR fuel has not yet started. The type of dry storage system for pressurized water reactor (PWR) fuel is not yet decided. The planned storage period in the ROK is 50 years.

Spain's first dry storage Certificate of Compliance (CoC) was issued in July of 1995 with a license period of 20 years and a possibility of 20-year renewal. Spain stores its used fuel in both welded canisters (HI-STORM 100) and dual-purpose bolted metal casks, but plans for a centralized repository vault system are under way.

Currently, there is no dry storage of used fuel in the United Kingdom. However, for PWR fuel, the intent is to store the fuel in a canister and cask system designed by Holtec International for up to 100 years.

8

2. GAP COMPARISON EVALUATION

Table 2.1 presents the priorities for R&D to close the gaps as necessary to form the technical bases for safe storage and transport of UNF for each of the organizations or countries. Included in the table are both cross-cutting gaps and SSC-specific gaps. The cross-cutting gaps are those that influence the degradation of more than one SSC or are other gaps in knowledge affecting more than one SSC. For example, thermal profiles affect the degradation rates of all the SSCs, while additional research in monitoring could provide further information on many degradation mechanisms.

In some cases, the different organizations or countries grouped the gaps differently. Thus there are general gaps as well as specific gaps. In addition, some of the gap titles were modified to be more general or more specific to facilitate comparison. For example, the UFDC gap "Examination of the fuel at the Idaho National Laboratory (INL)" has been generalized to "Examine fuel after storage". The list of SSCs is somewhat country-dependent and has been expanded to accommodate all the countries included in the EPRI ESCP report (EPRI 2012).

In Table 2.1, when a cell is blank, the organization or country did not specifically identify that gap for prioritization. This may be because the organization rated this gap very low, or because they organized their gaps differently. For example, in general the German authors did not identify specific concrete degradation mechanisms, but did identify concrete degradation as a medium priority. They also stated, "Fields are left blank in cases where a substantial expectation on necessary investigation programs and their importance is not possible by the involved parties at present." (EPRI 2012, p. 59).

In general, the priorities are indicated by an "H" for high, "M" for medium and "L" for low; but there are some exceptions. The UFDC provides greater specificity so priorities of very high and medium high are indicated by "VH", and "MH." Similarly, the NRC highest and second-highest gap priorities are indicated by "H1" and "H2." The NWTRB and IAEA gaps, which are discussed but not prioritized, are indicated by an "X." Finally, Japan presented a number of gaps that it has addressed and now considers closed. These are indicated with a "C" in Table 2.1.

The priorities presented in Table 2.1 are those reported in, or inferred from, the reviewed documents and may not represent the official position of the organization or country. In particular, priorities given for the six countries are opinions of the EPRI/ESCP International Subcommittee participants. For the sake of brevity, the analyses and priorities presented by the authors of these reports will be referred to by the author's organization or country. Finally, both the NRC report (NRC 2012a) and the international report from EPRI/ESCP (EPRI 2012) are draft reports subject to change.

Table 2.1. Comparison of Gaps and Priorities

		UFDC ^a	NWTRB ^b	NRC ^c	EPRI ^d	IAEA ^e	Germany ^f	Hungary	Japan ^{fg}	ROK^f	Spain ^f	UK ^f
Cross- Cutting	Ability of Assembly and Canister to Transport after Storage											M
	Activity Transport in Canister											Н
	Burnup Credit	Н						Н				
	Dry Transfer Development		Н			X					M	
	Drying Issues	VH	Н	H1		X		Н	C			M
	Examine Fuel after Storage	Н	Н			Н		Н	Н		M	M
	Fuel Classification									Н	Н	Н
	Fuel Modeling											Н
	Fuel Transfer Options	VH						Н		M		
	Moderator Exclusion	Н										
	Monitoring	VH	Н	H2		Н		Н	Н			
	Stress Profiles	VH	Н			X			C			
	Tests of Extreme Transportation Accidents		X									
	Thermal Profiles	VH	Н	H1		X		Н	C	Н	M	Н

Table 2.1. (contd.)

		UFDC ^a	NWTRB ^b	NRC ^c	EPRI ^d	IAEA ^e	Germany ^f	Hungary	Japan ^{f,g}	ROK^f	Spain ^f	UK ^f
Fuel	Helium and Fission Gas Release		X	H1		X						L
	Fission Product Attack on Cladding	L	X		L		M					
	Fragmentation	L	X	H1	L				M	L	M	L
	Oxidation	L	X	L	L	X				L	L	M
	Restructuring/Swelling	L	X	H1		X						

Table 2.1. (contd.)

		UFDC ^a	NWTRB ^b	NRC ^c	EPRI ^d	IAEA ^e	Germany ^f	Hungary	Japan ^{f,g}	ROK ^f	Spain ^f	UK ^f
Cladding	Annealing of Radiation Damage	MH	L	M	M	X		M	С	M	M	
	Corrosion - Galvanic	L		H2	L		M					
	Corrosion - Pitting	L	X	L	L		M					
	Corrosion - SCC	L		H2	L	X	M					
	Coupled Mechanisms		X									
	Creep - High Temperature		X	L	L	X			С	M		
	Creep - Low Temperature	MH	L	H2	L	X	M	M		M	M	L
	Crud or Oxide Spallation				L						Н	M
	Delayed Hydride Cracking	Н	Н	H2	M	X		M		Н	M	Н
	Diffusion-controlled Cavity Growth				L							
	Emissivity Changes	L										
	Grid-to-rod Fretting		X		L							
	Helium Pressurization		X	H1	L	X						
	Hydride Reorientation	Н	Н	L	M	X		Н	С	Н		Н
	Hydride Embrittlement	Н	Н	L	M			Н	С	Н	Н	Н
	Metal Fatigue Caused by Temperature Fluctuations	L		L	L							
	Microbiologically Influenced Corrosion (MIC)		X	L								
	Oxidation	M	X	L	L	X	M	M		M		
	Oxide Thickness								С		L	
	Pellet-Cladding Interaction		X		L	X			M	L	M	
	Phase Change	L										
	Propagation of Existing Flaws			H2		X					L	

Table 2.1. (contd.)

		UFDC ^a N	WTRB ^b NRC ^c	EPRI ^d	IAEA ^e	Germany ^f	Hungary	Japan ^{f,g} ROK ^f	Spain ^f UK ^f
Assembly Hardware	Bowing or twisting							M	
	Corrosion Including SCC	MH	H2	L	X		M	Н	
	Creep	L	L	L					
	Hydriding Effects	L	L	L					
	Metal Fatigue Caused by Temperature Fluctuations	L	H2	L					
Baskets	Corrosion	L	M	L		M			
	Creep	L	L	L					
	Metal Fatigue Caused by Temperature Fluctuations	L	H2	L					
	Weld Embrittlement		H2						
Moisture absorbers	Thermal and Radiation Damage					M			

Table 2.1. (contd.)

		UFDC ^a	NWTRB ^b	NRC ^c	EPRI ^d	IAEAe	Germany ^f	Hungary	Japan ^{f,g}	ROK^f	Spain ^f	UK ^f
Neutron Poisons	Corrosion and Blistering	M	X	M	L			M			M	
	Creep	M	X	Н				M				
	Embrittlement and Cracking	MH		L				M				
	Metal Fatigue Caused by Temperature Fluctuations	L		M								
	Poison Burnup	L	L	L								
	Thermal Aging Effects	Н		H2				M		M	M	
Neutron Shields	Corrosion	L		L								
	Poison Burnup	L		L								
	Radiation Embrittlement	L		L	L		M					
	Thermal Embrittlement, Cracking, Shrinkage, and Decomposition	L		L	L		M					

Table 2.1. (contd.)

		UFDC ^a	NWTRB ^b	NRC ^c	EPRI ^d	IAEA ^e	Germany ^f	Hungary	Japan ^{f,g}	ROK^f	Spain ^f	UK ^f
Bolted Cask	Coatings Degradation					X	L					
	Corrosion of Body and Lid			L		X						
	Corrosion of Bolts	VH	X	H1	M		M					
	Corrosion of Metal Seals	VH	X	L	M	X				Н		
	Embrittlement of Elastomer seals	L	X	L	L		L					
	Irradiation Damage			L								
	Microbiologically Influenced Corrosion (MIC)			Н2	M							
	Thermomechanical Degradation of Bolts	VH	X	H1	M		Н			Н		
	Thermomechanical Degradation of Seals	VH	X	L	L/M	X	Н		Н	Н		
Welded Canister	Aqueous Corrosion	VH	X									
	Atmospheric Corrosion	VH	X	H1	Н				Н	Н	L	VH
	Integrity under Accident Conditions											Н
	Irradiation Damage			L								
	SCC Code, Prevention, and Mitigation								Н			
	Microbiologically Influenced Corrosion (MIC)			H2	M							
Fuel Storage Tube	Corrosion							Н				

Table 2.1. (contd.)

		UFDC ^a	NWTRB ^b	NRC ^c	EPRI ^d	IAEA ^e	Germany ^f	Hungary	Japan ^{f,g}	ROK ^f	Spain ^f	UK ^f
Concrete Structures	Aggregate Growth	L	X									
	Aggregate Reaction	L	X	L								
	Calcium Leaching	L	X	L								
	Carbonation		X	L						M		
	Chemical Attack	L	X	L	L							
	Corrosion of Embedded Steel	M	X	M/H2	L			M		M		
	Coupled Mechanisms			M/H2								
	Creep	N/A	X	L								
	Decomposition of Water	L	X									
	Fatigue	L		L								
	Freeze-Thaw	M	X	L	L	X	L			M	L	
	Marine Degradation											M
	Radiation Damage	L	X	L								
	Shrinkage	N/A	X	L	L							
	Spallation				L							
	Thermal Degradation of Mechanical Properties, Dry-out	L	X	M/H2	L	X						
	Unspecified Concrete Degradation						M	M				

^a DOE 2012a and 2012b, ^b NWTRB 2010, ^c NRC 2012, ^d EPRI 2011, ^e IAEA 2002, ^f EPRI 2012, ^g Email message from K Shirai (CRIEPI) to Christine Stockman (Sandia National Laboratories), "Storage Gap Priorities," June 18, 2012, Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, New Mexico.

 $VH = Very \; High, \; H = High, \; H1 = NRC \; highest, \; H2 = NRC \; second \; highest, \; MH \; = - \; Medium \; High, \; M = Medium, \; L = Low, \; N/A = Not \; applicable, \; C = Gap \; addressed \; and \; closed, \; X = Gap \; discussed \; but \; not \; prioritized$

3. DISCUSSION OF GAPS AND PRIORITIES

The priorities presented in Table 2.1 and discussed here are those reported in, or inferred from, the reviewed documents and may not represent the official position of the organization or country. In particular, priorities given for the six countries are opinions of the EPRI/ESCP International Subcommittee participants. For the sake of brevity, the analyses and priorities presented by the authors of these reports will be referred to by the author's organization or country. Finally, both the NRC report (NRC 2012a) and the international report from EPRI/ESCP (EPRI 2012) are draft reports subject to change.

3.1 Cross-Cutting Gaps

The cross-cutting gaps represent a more diverse set of issues than the gaps in knowledge about the degradation mechanisms for the SSCs. A little more than half of the cross-cutting gaps are identified by UFDC, with the remaining are added in response to highly rated issues identified by others. There has been some debate whether particular issues should be considered gaps. For example "examine fuel after storage" is not a gap in knowledge, but a means of addressing gaps. However, it is a significant task that is highly rated by many, so it is retained in the list. Because there is some subjectivity in determining what constitutes a cross-cutting gap, and because the participants were not asked to rate a full list of gaps, there are many blanks in the individual comparisons. For EPRI and Germany, they did not discuss any cross-cutting gaps, and thus blanks do not necessarily indicate a low priority.

3.1.1 Ability of Assembly and Canister to Transport after Storage

UFDC's Gap Description	assemb of the	The UFDC did not identify this specifically as a gap. However, the ability of the assembly and canister to be transported after storage is one of the stated objectives of the UFDC program (see Section 1.0). UFDC uses the cross-cutting gap "Stress Profiles" as the means of addressing this gap.											
Alternate Description	transpo and ca This ga require	The United Kingdom identified the need to determine the condition of the fuel for transportation after approximately 100 years of storage and the ability of the fuel and canister to withstand normal and accident transport conditions (EPRI 2012). This gap is similar to the stress profiles gap (see Section 3.1.12) and closing it requires research into closing the individual SSC degradation gaps as well as the stress profiles gap.											
Priority	UFDC	NWTRB	NRC	EPRI	IAEA	Germany	Hungary	Japan	ROK	Spain	UK		
Thorny											M		
Consistency of Priority	The Ur	nited King	dom is	s the on	nly coun	itry to give	priority to	o this g	gap.				
UFDC Action	This gap is adequately covered by addressing the SSC-related gaps, especially for cladding, assembly hardware, and the cask/canister, as well as the "Stress Profiles" gap. No additional gap will be added and the priorities for the UFDC gaps remain the same.										files"		

3.1.2 Activity Transport in Canister

	The UFDC did not identify this as a gap.										
UFDC's Gap Description	In the United States, regulation requires an "analysis of the potential dose equivalent or committed dose equivalent to an individual outside the controlled area from accidents or natural phenomena events that result in the release of radioactive material to the environment or direct radiation from the ISFSI" (10 CFR 72.24(m)). Table 5-2 of NUREG-1536 rev 1 (NRC 2010) (Table 7.1 of rev 0), provides fractions of radioactive materials available for release from spent fuel that "should be used in the confinement analyses to demonstrate compliance with 10 CFR Part 72" (NRC 2010). However, because of the lack of a credible event that could breach confinement, license applicants either do not perform such a calculation or use conservative release fractions such as those provided in NUREG-1536 for non-mechanistic hypothetical events to show even those conditions result in doses well within the 10 CFR 72.106 limit.										
Alternate Description	In the United Kingdom, the regulator does not publish specific requirements of the utilities other than a list of 36 general License Conditions. "In the UK the utility is required to demonstrate ownership of all aspects of the safety case, and to justify the technical bases of the safety case as well as demonstrating compliance with them." (EPRI 2012). As a consequence, requirements for specific calculations such as those required in 10 CFR 72.24(m) do not exist, and the utility must determine which calculations are necessary to demonstrate safety. For this reason, the United Kingdom is interested in the "need to develop a model of activity transport/behavior in canister following fuel failure" (EPRI 2012). This includes "fission gas transport in the fuel matrix during a fault situation (i.e., can gap release be enhanced by other mechanisms," and the need to determine the actual releases to the environment following a fault scenario (EPRI 2012).										
Priority	UFDC	NWTRB	NRC	EPRI	IAEA	Germany	Hungary	Japan	ROK	Spain	UK
тиониу											Н
Consistency of Priority	The United Kingdom is the only country or organization to identify this as a gap.										
UFDC Action	The release fractions assumed in NUREG-1536 (NRC 2010) are conservative and thus R&D to provide more realistic release fractions under various conditions would be of benefit, but is considered to be of low priority. This priority could increase if further analyses show that such an approach is necessary to counter potential increased failure rates because of materials degradation over extended periods.										

3.1.3 Burnup Credit

UFDC's Gap Description	Burnup credit is allowance in the criticality safety analysis for the decrease in fue reactivity resulting from irradiation. The level of burnup credit depends on the isotopes modeled in the criticality analysis. Actinide-only burnup credit generally refers to calculations employing only actinides with the highest reactivity worth Full burnup credit refers to a combination of the uranium and plutonium isotopes evaluated in actinide-only burnup credit, plus a number of fission products and minor actinides.										
	Although some data are available and have been used to validate and attain regulatory approval for a burnup credit argument, additional data are needed to attain "full burnup credit;" reduce the bias and bias uncertainty in the isotopic concentration predictions, reactivity worth, and cross sections; and reduce the uncertainty/penalty in the assembly burnup assignment.										
Alternate Description	Description of burnup credit is consistent in all the gap reports that discuss it.										
Priority	UFDC H	NWTRB	NRC	EPRI	IAEA	Germany	Hungary H	Japan	ROK	Spain	UK
Consistency of Priority	All the gap analyses that identified burnup credit as important to dry storage and transportation are consistent in priority assignment.										and
UFDC Action	No change in the UFDC priority is needed, based on this comparison. However, if Revision 3 of ISG-8 (NRC 2012b) is implemented as in its current draft form, the need for additional data to support storage and transportation licenses will be lessened and the priority will be lowered. Additional R&D for burnup credit could be necessary to support geologic disposal efforts.										

3.1.4 Dry Transfer Development

UFDC's Gap Description	With the closing of the INL test area north facility, the ability to load and unload assemblies to or from dry storage casks in a dry environment was lost in the United States. There are two categories of needs for dry transfer facilities: retrieval of limited amounts of fuel to support research, and the ability to handle larger amounts of fuel as needed to repackage stored fuel for further storage, transportation, or disposal. The need for the first of these is covered under the fuel transfer options gap (see Section 3.1.9). The second is less immediate but is suggested for: repackaging fuel from "ISFSI-only" sites if needed, post-accident recovery of damaged fuel (NUREG-1536, NRC 2010), and a consolidated storage facility that could have "flexible, safe, and cost-effective waste handling services (i.e., repackaging or sorting of fuel for final disposal) and could facilitate the standardization of cask systems" (BRC 2012).										
Alternate Description	The NWTRB recommends the "design and demonstration of dry-transfer fuel systems for removing fuel from casks and canisters following extended dry storage" (NWTRB 2010, p. 14 and p. 125). Spain notes the need for development of "Inspections, methods and tools required to open the cask and transfer the fuel from the individual (container) to the centralized repository (vault)" (EPRI 2012).										
Priority	UFDC	NWTRB	NRC	EPRI	IAEA	Germany	Hungary	Japan	ROK	Spain	UK
Гионц		Н			X					M	
Consistency of Priority	There is no consensus on the priority of this gap among those who rate it.										
UFDC Action	This gap will not be added explicitly as it is already one of the options under the "Fuel Transfer Options" gap and is being considered as one of the means to address closure of gaps through an engineering-scale demonstration program.										

3.1.5 Drying Issues

UFDC's Gap Description	depend is load drying remain	lent on or ed in a po process.	acceles ol, it is While after	rated best impose there a norm	y the protection to the protection of the protec	ne SSCs waresence of remove as direct eviding process ains.	water. Be s much wa ence that	cause thater as postering the	ne cask ossible ount of	or can during water	ister g the that	
Alternate Description	All ana	ll analyses discussing drying issues are consistent in their description of the gap.										
Duionita	UFDC	NWTRB	NRC	EPRI	IAEA	Germany	Hungary	Japan	ROK	Spain	UK	
Priority	VH	Н	H1		X		Н	С			M	
Consistency of Priority	priority	WH H H1 X H C M Except for Japan and the United Kingdom, this gap has been assigned a high riority by those that rate it. The Japanese have a different drying method than the nited States, and consider this issue closed.										
UFDC Action	No cha	nge in the	UFDO	C prior	ity is re	commende	ed, based o	on this c	ompari	son.		

3.1.6 Examine Fuel after Storage

UFDC's Gap Description	"examinand couburnup after it and classystem baskets	ne fuel aft intries. The fuel that has been in adding, close (DCSS) and the fuel of the properties of the fuel of	he pur has be in stor osing tafter s poisor	rage," pose o en in c age for this ga torage, as, can	which of this go dry store some includingly	mine the f was identificated was to age, but apperiod. Wordes examining the function of the fu	fied by a obtain a specifies as while there ining the nel, claddiack if app	number econd well to is em- entire ng, assolicable	r of or data por high liphasis dry casembly	ganizate oint on ournup on the ask sto hardv pad.	low fuel fuel orage vare, This		
Alternate Description		here is a universal need to examine fuel and the DCSS after a period of storage to lidate models.											
D	UFDC	NWTRB	NRC	EPRI	IAEA	Germany	Hungary	Japan	ROK	Spain	UK		
Priority	Н	Н			Н		Н	Н		M	M		
Consistency of Priority	There is	There is relative consensus that this is a high-priority activity.											
UFDC Action	No cha	To change in the UFDC priority is recommended, based on this comparison.											

3.1.7 Fuel Classification

	cannot		o dry s	storage	if it is	nitions are "damaged	-		• •	•	
UFDC's Gap Description	"undam undam functio the app	naged," or aged base ns. These olicant to a	r "inta ed on e funct meet a	its ab its ab ions ar regula	RC 20 bility to the those thos	d in the NR 107). UNI to meet al e imposed quirement to breached	F is detern l fuel-spe on the fue for storage	nined t cific ar el rods a	o be d nd sys and ass	lamage tem-rel emblie	d or ated s by
Alternate Description	means	he ROK, Spain, and the United Kingdom all express the need to develop the leans to better inspect fuel assemblies for classification purposes. In the United ingdom, this is necessary because only intact fuel is to be placed in dry storage.									
Dwigwith	UFDC	NWTRB	NRC	EPRI	IAEA	Germany	Hungary	Japan	ROK	Spain	UK
Priority									Н	Н	Н
Consistency of Priority	In those countries that rate fuel classification and damage definition, it is assigned a high priority.										
UFDC Action	charact	t present, there is no evidence that the U.S. industry is not able to properly aracterize and classify fuel per the definitions of ISG-1, Revision 2 (NRC 2007). hus, this gap will not be added to the UFDC Gap Analysis.									

3.1.8 Fuel Modeling

UFDC's Gap Description	pursue conditi	d in order on of fue	to lice l as a	ense dr functio	y cask on of d	a gap, but storage. Use storage C 2012b, A	JNF cladd is clearly	ling mo identif	deling	to eval	uate			
Alternate Description	technic under	The United Kingdom identified the "Need to develop fuel characterization echnique i.e., determine fuel is intact," and the "need to develop fuel modeling under dry store conditions accounting for periods spent in reactor and the fuel bond" (EPRI 2012).												
Priority	UFDC	NWTRB	NRC	EPRI	IAEA	Germany	Hungary	Japan	ROK	Spain	UK			
Consistency	Tri I I	H H												
of Priority	The United Kingdom is the only country to identify this issue as a specific gap.													
UFDC Action		The UFDC agrees that fuel modeling is an important option to closing gaps. However, this gap will not be added to the UFDC Gap Analysis.												

3.1.9 Fuel Transfer Options

UFDC's Gap Description	wetting close the to a restransfer the dry transport research properties are preserved from the difference of the difference of the difference of the close of the difference of the close of the difference of the close of the c	g and dryine cladding search labor system (y storage cort. Both lies, thus cort will determine the state mose samp ferent tran	ng on g gaps oratory see Se cask an these obfusce ermined of the less. Tasfer of	claddi , would y. If continue of the continue of the clade This an options	ng proped most oming 3.1.4), the sesses of t	l transfer of perties. Fulkely need from dry she fuel wo to a transpondant redrying storage will then he dry) and a per transfer of pertians to a transfer of tran	nel sample to be tran torage, an uld be rev ortation ca potential from those ng can be enough to elp determallow resea	es, needonsported d in the vetted for sk, and to character sample done in obtain nine the	ed for from a absent or unlood then in the such interpretable from a fro	researce utility ce of a rading freedried e clade e proper a way a retable and con	h to site dry from for ding osed as to data as of		
Alternate Description	about	•	ts of	transfe	-	s UFDC, w fuel betwe							
Priority	UFDC	NWTRB	NRC	EPRI	IAEA	Germany	Hungary	Japan	ROK	Spain	UK		
Friority	VH						Н		M				
Consistency of Priority	This ga	This gap is rated medium or high priority by those who rate it.											
UFDC Action	No cha	o change in the UFDC priority is recommended, based on this comparison.											

3.1.10 Moderator Exclusion

UFDC's Gap Description	demon conditi There of subcrit conditi modera poisons which	strated for ons, mode does not so icality du ons after a tor exclus s, combine requires fu	or nor erator eem to ring na perior alored wither to record to rec	mal cexclusion be a gormal od of song with a value of the contraction	onditio on may eneral t condit torage. th struc lidated al R&I	paskets, income of transfer to a viable a viable dechnical or ions of transfer the basis tural integral full burnur as well as ge systems.	nsport and e way to do regulate ansport and series will like rity of the peredit near the regulator	d hypolemonst ory path hypolemone and hypolemone after the analysis of the hypolemone and hypole	othetica rate su n to der othetic demon skets, a logy.	al accidentical monstrate al accidental acci	dent dity. ating dent n of atron ssue,			
Alternate Description	UFDC	FDC is the only organization that discussed moderator exclusion.												
D .:: '4	UFDC	NWTRB	NRC	EPRI	IAEA	Germany	Hungary	Japan	ROK	Spain	UK			
Priority	Н													
Consistency of Priority	UFDC	UFDC is the only organization that discussed moderator exclusion.												
UFDC Action	No cha	No change in the UFDC priority is recommended, based on this comparison.												

3.1.11 Monitoring

UFDC's Gap Description	project monito usually routine inlets a the extra can pro	s, or more ring of the done by monitoriand outlets erior of the ovide data ps in more termination, a comising the technological properties of the technological properties with the technological properties of the technologi	e gene ne con moning/ins for bl e cask to pro- nitoring respec nd lo ne conf	rally a finementoring pection ockage or over wide in grapalet to ngevity inements.	t the u the pr active perio erpack. put to a coility in sensitive y. M ht barri	ied for re tilities. A ndary for ressure bet ities included dic radiation. For resear and evaluate neclude the wity, envir fonitoring er, is partice power to	t the utility bolted can ween the daily so on surveys the purposion of SSC lack of fix conmental inside the cularly characters.	ties in tasks is a redund surveilla s, and vises, more degraded companie cask allengin	the Unrequire ant se ance of sual inditoring dation of the second	ited Stad. Thin als. Of overpose of overpose of the term of the te	ates, is is other back on of ction are sical hout ield-	
Alternate Description		ny recomi storage op			igation	into press	sure moni	toring c	levices	that fa	iled	
Duionita	UFDC	NWTRB	NRC	EPRI	IAEA	Germany	Hungary	Japan	ROK	Spain	UK	
Priority	VH	Н	H2		Н		Н	Н				
Consistency of Priority	* I Into activity has a high priority to all those that rate if											
UFDC Action	No cha	To change in the UFDC priority is recommended, based on this comparison.										

3.1.12 Stress Profiles

UFDC's Gap Description	needed imparte normal cask tip primary residual handling the matransport. The st boundi safety However to determine the safety and the safety	to determed to variated to variated to variate and viterial and ortation contractions functions functions.	nine the ous S dling, I norm (from bratory struction dition analyses we much R&D es input	he type SCs us cask of al tran pressur fabrically loads ural results to closurs to closurs to,	es of s nder value, s drop, s sportation, ation), s during sponse formed order ign base not use radation se the se and ou	tresses (materious conceismic evention. Accurate thermal I and extern g transport of an SSC for the sto demons sis storage degraded in can occurress profilit tputs from son the stress on the stress on the stress con the stress on the stress con t	agnitude, additions. Ints (include ate inputs oadings), all loading ation) are subjected license aptrate that events are material par and still es gap will, the resea	These coding up and que secondary (from importation to extend norm roperties) I have a provident to the second norm roperties.	cy, durondition to descend antification vacuum ant for ended and training the second training the second training the second and training the second a	ration, on inclusing batton of esses (fum dry evaluation estorage) pically intain the insportation of the information of the in	etc.) lude sis), f the from ving, and use their tion. icult t its
Alternate Description	All ana	lyses disc	ussing	stress	profile	s are consis	stent in the	eir descr	ription	of the g	ap.
Priority	UFDC	NWTRB	NRC	EPRI	IAEA	Germany	Hungary	Japan	ROK	Spain	UK
Гионц	VH	Н			X			C			
Consistency of Priority	There is inconsistency between the UFDC and Japan. Japan considers this gap closed as a result of the testing performed by the Central Research Institute of Electric Power Industry (CRIEPI) between fiscal year (FY) 2001 to FY 2008. Demonstration tests included thermal, drop impact, missile impact, and seismic tests with full-scale concrete cask and metal cask systems. ^a										
UFDC Action	No cha	nge in the	UFDO	C prior	ity is re	commende	ed, based o	on this c	ompari	ison.	

^a Shirai K. 2012. Email message from K Shirai (CRIEPI) to Christine Stockman (Sandia National Laboratories), "Storage Gap Priorities," June 18, 2012, Sandia National Laboratories, Albuquerque, New Mexico.

3.1.13 Thermal Profiles

UFDC's Gap Description	profile data ar through guidance claddir most in those leask st therma	histories e needed in the stora ce on tem ag (NRC in nodelers himits. Ho orage sys	are ne for all ge per peratu 2010b) ave us wever tem (I ions a	eded to SSCs iod, and re limit. The sed control because DCSS) are need to the sed control because the	o predi from the d during ts base erefore, nservatuse some cools leded.	chanisms a ct SSC per ne time the ng subseque d on the na when mal ive ones to be degradat below a th Similarly, on rates.	rformance fuel is lo ent transpo eed to ma king appro o ensure co ion process reshold te	. There aded in ortation. intain the oximation beladding sees only mperature.	efore, to the The Ine interports for does y occurre, mo	empera cask, dr NRC iss grity of model not exc r as the ore real	tture ried, sued the ling, ceed dry istic		
Alternate Description	All ana	all analyses discussing thermal profiles are consistent in their definition.											
Duionita	UFDC	NWTRB	NRC	EPRI	IAEA	Germany	Hungary	Japan	ROK	Spain	UK		
Priority	VH	Н	H1		X		Н	С	Н	M	Н		
Consistency of Priority	Except for Japan, which considers the thermal profiles it currently has as adequate, there is consensus that more thermal modeling is needed. Regulations in Japan limit peak cladding temperature to only 275°C, much lower than the 400 °C peak cladding temperature limit in the United States.												
UFDC Action	No cha	No change in the UFDC priority is recommended, based on this comparison.											

3.2 Fuel

Typical UO₂ fuels undergo significant changes during reactor operations. The fission process generates a myriad of fission products, many of which are soluble in the UO₂ matrix. Those elements that are not soluble in the matrix tend to either diffuse out of the grains to the grain boundaries and eventually out of the fuel pellet to the fuel–clad gap or they form separate metallic or oxide phases within the fuel. As a general rule, the quantity of fission gases, such as xenon and krypton, released from the fuel pellet increases with increasing burnup. In reality, the duty cycle, which is a combination of parameters such as the operating power level, temperature, and other factors, has a larger direct effect than burnup. Actinides such as plutonium, americium, and curium are also generated in the fuel by neutron capture reactions. The quantity of both fission products and higher actinides increases roughly linearly with burnup.

Other changes that occur with irradiation are an initial densification of the fuel pellet, followed by swelling that is primarily a result of buildup of fission products and radiation damage. The thermal conductivity, which is relatively poor for UO₂ and results in very large temperature gradients across the pellet diameter, decreases with increasing burnup, again as fission products and radiation damage increase and disrupt the UO₂ lattice. The nonuniform heating rates and large temperature differentials leads to uneven thermal expansion that first results in cracking of the fuel pellets, followed by possible deformation. The thermal expansion and swelling of the fuel pellet combined with cladding creepdown closes the fuel–clad gap so that the fuel and cladding are in contact with each other. Local stresses on the cladding, combined with chemical reactions between the fuel pellet and cladding can result in pellet–clad interaction (PCI) failures.

Another major change occurs when the local pellet burnup reaches about 40 GWd/MTU. At this burnup, the fuel undergoes a microstructure change with the formation of the high burnup structure (HBS) or pellet rim (Lassman et al. 1995). Typical LWR fuel pellets have grain sizes between 7 μ m and 14 μ m, whereas the HBS forms subgrains on the order of 0.1 μ m to 0.2 μ m and a fine network of small (~1 μ m) fission gas bubbles. The HBS is highly porous, yet it still does not release a significant portion of the fission gases, which remain trapped in the high-pressure bubbles within the fuel matrix.

Because the fuel pellet serves only an indirect role in providing or maintaining safety functions, unless the cladding is breached, its importance to licensing is low, and thus all of the UFDC gaps directly associated with fuel were given a low priority.

3.2.1 Helium and Fission Gas Release

UFDC's Gap Description		Helium and fission gas release, either during normal extended storage or during accidents, is not identified as a gap by the UFDC. NRC identifies helium release resulting from alpha decay over extended periods as											
Alternate Description	a poter given a release high be	ntial mean high price of fission ecause the	s of ir ority be n gas a amou	ncreasine ecause and hel ant of r	ng the knowle lium di elease	internal pro edge of ath uring accid resulting fr	essure of ermal rele ent condition mechanic	the fuel ase is li tions wa	rod. mited. as prio	This ga Simila ritized	ap is arly, as a		
Priority	UFDC												
Triority		X H1 X L L											
Consistency of Priority	degrad pressur to pro- assume should	NRC considers rod pressurization as a means of promoting further clad degradation. The UFDC is examining low-temperature, low-stress (i.e., low pressure) mechanisms that suggest that additional rod pressurization is not required to promote mechanisms such as delayed hydride cracking. The release rates assumed in NUREG-1567 (NRC 2000, Section 9) are considered sufficient. It should be noted that the United Kingdom ranks this gap a low priority stating it can be modeled, but verification would be useful.											
UFDC Action	indicate then Regap v	e that the &D to bet	assum ter qua	ed rele antify r	ease rat elease	FDC Gap A tes result in will be wan through	n exposure rranted. It	above should	regula	tory lired that	nits, this		

3.2.2 Fission Product Attack on Cladding

UFDC's Gap Description	the cla	dding. B	ecause cond	e addit itions a	ional fand be	ote PCI and ission procession procession priority.	duct releas	se is no	ot expe	ected u	nder
Alternate Description	_	is gap is considered by Germany as a potential means of corrosion of the fuel dding, which is the same as the PCI and SCC mechanisms.									
Priority	UFDC	NWTRB	NRC	EPRI	IAEA	Germany	Hungary	Japan	ROK	Spain	UK
Friority	L										
Consistency of Priority	Germa	his gap is given a low priority by UFDC and EPRI, but a medium priority by termany without additional information as to why they considered it more important.									
UFDC Action	No cha	change in the UFDC priority is recommended, based on this comparison.									

3.2.3 Fragmentation

UFDC's Gap Description	gradier result pressur fission	nts across of mechan rization su gases, vo	the penical factorial fact	ellet dia orce, s by gen s, and	ameter. such as neration fuel fi	operation Addition under acc of helium nes under	al fracture cident con n by alpha normal a	s could ditions, decay.	occur or fro Relea	either om inte ase rate	as a ernal es of
Alternate Description	The fo	e focus of the fragmentation gap by other organizations is on impact accidents.									
Don't a saide a	UFDC	NWTRB	NRC	EPRI	IAEA	Germany	Hungary	Japan	ROK	Spain	UK
Priority	L	X	H1	L				M	L	M	L
Consistency of Priority	-	. But the		_		agmentatio prities are f	· ·			_	
UFDC Action	While license claddir	transporta , it is firs	tion act nece such a	ccident ssary t n accid	s are n o deter lent aft	is recomm ecessary ar mine how er extended	nalyses to the cladd	be conding fail	ducted s, and	to obta	in a

3.2.4 Oxidation

UFDC's Gap Description	to an o	oxidizing exidizing erner, excess	environ vironn s wate	nment nent is or pres	at high only p ent aft	e cladding n enough to present in ter drying, lation are w	emperatur the case of or breach	e for lo f mistak n of the	ng end en bac e conta	ough tir kfill of iiner.	mes. the	
Alternate Description	All ana	ll analyses use the same description of the fuel oxidation gap.										
Priority	UFDC	NWTRB	NRC	EPRI	IAEA	Germany	Hungary	Japan	ROK	Spain	UK	
Friority	L	X	L	L	X				L	L	M	
Consistency of Priority	knowle	All organizations with the exception of the United Kingdom agree that the level of knowledge is sufficient to support a low priority. The United Kingdom is focused on post-accident oxidation when breaches are possible.										
UFDC Action	No cha	To change in the UFDC priority is recommended, based on this comparison.										

3.2.5 Restructuring/Swelling

UFDC's Gap Description	PCI.	Fuel pellets swell as fission gas and helium are produced. The swelling can cause PCI. At higher burnups, the fuel undergoes a restructuring with new grains forming that are submicron in size.											
Alternate Description	cause t	The focus of the NRC gap is on helium production from alpha decay that may ause the fuel to swell and become a source for stress to cause delayed hydride racking (DHC). JEDC NWTRB NRC EPRI JAEA Germany Hungary Japan ROK Spain UK											
Duionita	UFDC NWTRB NRC EPRI IAEA Germany Hungary Japan ROK Spain UK												
Priority	L												
Consistency of Priority	UFDC produc	There is a significant disparity in the priorities assigned by UFDC and NRC. UFDC has examined analyses (e.g., Ferry et al. 2005) that have shown that helium production in UO ₂ fuels is not an issue, even at extended times. It is, however, a potential concern for mixed oxide (MOX) fuels.											
UFDC Action	No cha												

3.3 Cladding

Although the NRC does not explicitly consider cladding as a confinement barrier, as evidenced by failed fuel assemblies being allowed in DCSSs as long as they are in a damaged fuel can, the state and material properties of the cladding are still important to licensing. In fact, the NRC regulations require (10 CFR 72.122(h)) that "spent fuel cladding must be protected during storage against degradation that leads to gross ruptures or the fuel must be otherwise confined such that degradation of the fuel during storage will not pose operational safety problems with respect to its removal from storage." Gross ruptures or breaches are defined in NUREG-1536 (NRC 2010) as any cladding breach greater than 1 mm.

For the purposes of the UFDC program, retrievability and operational safety concerns also apply to the fuel after transportation so that the fuel can be transloaded into waste packages for disposal or handled in a reprocessing facility. While the industry is interested in redefining retrievability at the canister (and not fuel assembly) level, the NRC regulations and the uncertainty in the final disposition of UNF dictates that protecting cladding against degradation is of high importance. The UFDC continues to pursue alternatives to individual fuel assembly retrievability (e.g., canning individual or small numbers of assemblies). Such alternatives may facilitate the demonstration of subcriticality in the case of cladding damage and fuel relocation. However, until regulations change and it can be demonstrated that for future waste management needs it is no longer necessary, fuel assembly retrievability remains a key feature for the UFDC.

The mechanical properties of cladding are very interrelated with numerous factors (e.g., radiation damage and annealing, hydride content and orientation, amount of creep and ductility, and oxide layer thickness) affecting cladding performance. There are limited publicly available data on properties of high burnup cladding and the associated newer cladding alloys. Until such data are obtained, it will not be clear whether the listed factors are a concern.

3.3.1 Annealing of Radiation Damage

UFDC's Gap Description	strengt the har mechan indicat temper potenti	Radiation damage of cladding during reactor irradiation is known to affect the strength and ductility of the cladding. Annealing of radiation damage can decrease the hardness and increase ductility, thus lessening the chance of breakage from mechanical shock, but potentially facilitating additional creep. Recent studies have indicated that annealing of much of the radiation damage is possible at the temperatures experienced during dry storage. The extent of annealing could potentially affect other mechanisms and be an important factor in long-term performance.											
Alternate Description	All ana	All analyses use the same description for annealing of radiation damage.											
Duionita	UFDC	FDC NWTRB NRC EPRI IAEA Germany Hungary Japan ROK Spain UK											
Priority	MH	3 2 3 1											
Consistency of Priority	this va anneali states t suppor (DCSC 15 yea Ito et a storage												
UFDC Action	No cha	nge in the	UFDO	C prior	ity is re	commende	ed, based o	on this c	ompari	son.			

3.3.2 Corrosion – Wet (Galvanic/Pitting)

UFDC's Gap Description	always sufficie corrosi oxidizi	Wet corrosion can only occur when water is present in the DCSS. There will always be residual water remaining even after a successful drying operation. If sufficient water is present to promote a galvanic coupling between different metals, corrosion could occur. Radiolysis of water can result in production of highly oxidizing species that could promote pitting corrosion, especially in weld materials.											
Alternate Description	All ana	All analyses use the same description for wet corrosion of cladding.											
Duionita	UFDC	JFDC NWTRB NRC EPRI IAEA Germany Hungary Japan ROK Spain UK											
Priority	L												
Consistency of Priority	The NRC assigns an H2 priority for galvanic corrosion, stating that this is only high if the drying task (Section 3.1.5) indicates that sufficient water remains in the canister and that it may revert to low if sufficient water is not present. Conversely, the UFDC assigns a low priority unless the drying task shows there to be sufficient water to promote wet corrosion. Both organizations agree to change the priority based on the results of the drying gap. NRC rates pitting as a low priority. Germany lists wet corrosion as a medium.												
UFDC Action	No cha	nge in the	UFDO	C priori	ity is re	commende	ed, based o	on this c	ompari	ison.			

3.3.3 Corrosion – Stress Corrosion Cracking

UFDC's Gap Description	include "Corro	ed as part sion – Wo	of "Fet" in	ission Section	Product 3.3.2.	SCC of of cet Attack of In order ing environ	on Claddin for SCC 1	ng" in S to occur	Section r, there	3.2.2 must l	and be a	
Alternate Description		e NRC does not believe that there is sufficient stress in the absence of pellet relling, as discussed in Section 3.2.5, for SCC.										
Davi a arita :	UFDC	NWTRB	NRC	EPRI	IAEA	Germany	Hungary	Japan	ROK	Spain	UK	
Priority	Priority L H2 L X M											
Consistency of Priority	source	the NRC rates the priority for SCC as an H2, but states that this depends on a cource of stress that comes from pellet swelling. The UFDC does not believe that tellet swelling is an issue, based on results in the literature.										
UFDC Action	it in th Wet" (1 0										

3.3.4 Creep – High Temperature/Low Temperature

UFDC's Gap Description	caused decrease claddir therma observe 0.1 per well ur periods	by internates, unless ag creeps, al creep is ed at tempercent creep inderstood, and of ra	al rod s heliu the int consideratur o was howe diation	pressum or finernal verses belowers, quent dama	re. The ission groume self-lime with the self-lime	(high termis will december as a release increases a iting. Typoral of the contract of the cont	from the and the hoo bically, the e DCSCP years. The out the ef Section 3.	pellets pellets permal creation (EPRI hermal fects of 3.1).	as the tincreases will creep has 2002), creep in exten	tempera ses. As decrease as not l only al is gener ded sto	ture the e, so been bout rally rage	
Alternate Description	The NRC states that even low-temperature creep will depend on a source of stress that would come from pellet swelling.											
Priority	UFDC	NWTRB	NRC	EPRI	IAEA	Germany	Hungary	Japan	ROK	Spain	UK	
Friority	/MH	X/L	L/H2	L	X	/M	/M	C/	M	/M	/L	
Consistency of Priority	Because of differing views on the sources of stress and on the applicability of the various low-temperature creep mechanisms, the priorities of the organizations and countries are quite varied. Japan considers the creep issue closed, mostly because their drying and storage temperatures are so much lower than in the United States. However, it is not clear whether Japan has considered the low-temperature creep mechanisms in this assessment.											
UFDC Action	No cha	nge in the	UFDO	C prior	ity is re	commende	ed, based o	on this c	ompar	ison.		

3.3.5 Crud or Oxide Spallation

UFDC's Gap Description	The UFDC does not explicitly account for crud or oxide spallation as a gap. During reactor operations, if crud or the oxide layer spalls, it will affect the local												
Alternate Description	temper of the layers effects The co charact	temperature and may promote hydrogen blisters. The UFDC considers this as part of the initial characterization of fuel going into dry storage. If the crud or oxide layers spall during dry storage, that will again affect local temperatures through effects such as emissivity changes and could result in localized hydride effects. The concerns of Spain and the United Kingdom seem to be focused on the initial characterization of the cladding going into storage and the potential for localized hydride concentrations. UFDC NWTRB NRC EPRI IAEA Germany Hungary Japan ROK Spain UK											
Designity	UFDC	NWTRB	NRC	EPRI	IAEA	Germany	Hungary	Japan	ROK	Spain	UK		
Priority				L						Н	M		
Consistency of Priority	EPRI states that additional spallation during storage is not likely, but any spallation could increase the source term in the event of a container breach. Spain is concerned with localized hydride blisters formed during reactor operations because of crud or oxide spallation that may result in additional cladding failures during storage.												
UFDC Action	storage. This gap will not be added to the UFDC Gap Analysis as it is considered sufficiently covered by the gaps related to hydrides or emissivity changes.												

3.3.6 Delayed Hydride Cracking

UFDC's Gap Description	hydrog the hyd exists t where and a h not hav	en to an indride at the common terms of the co	ncipiente crace hydro ormaticase traces	nt cracl k tip. ogen di on, pri nsition	tip, for the profession or cree all plan	ism traditional tr	nucleation tinues as l (99) propos gher burn DHC. Thi	n, grow ong as sed a ne up, the is new r	th, and a suffi w mod solvus nodel,	fractur cient st el for E hyster which o	re of tress OHC esis, does		
Alternate Description		The NRC states that DHC is possible, but depends on a source of stress that would come from pellet swelling.											
Duiquita	UFDC	NWTRB	NRC	EPRI	IAEA	Germany	Hungary	Japan	ROK	Spain	UK		
Priority	Н	Н	H2	M	X		M		Н	M	Н		
Consistency of Priority		The differences in prioritization stem mainly from differing opinions as to whether Kim's model is valid and whether fuel swelling is necessary to provide additional stress.											
UFDC Action	No cha	o change in the UFDC priority is recommended, based on this comparison.											

3.3.7 Helium Pressurization

See Sections 3.2.1 and 3.2.5.

3.3.8 Hydride Reorientation/Embrittlement

UFDC's Gap Description	As the highly a thick toward these h factors. Claddin reorien temper	concentrate temperature hydride lass the inner hydrides can including the hydride to the race	ation core-depayer at surfa an em g the cluster are dial dish as o	of hydrendent the outline ace. I brittle adding typical rection ccurs i	ogen i, zirconter sur Depend the cla alloy of the alig under n the c	ide of the n zirconium hydri face of the ing on the dding and composition the a stress, es lrying process.	m exceeds des are fo cladding size, dist reduce du n, that infl circumfer pecially w	s the sormed. The and low ribution actility. The uence here active the coordinate of	lubility Typical Ver con I, and There Yeride direction	y, which lly, the acentrat orientate are m behavi n, but	ch is re is ions tion, nany or.	
Alternate Description		The description of hydride reorientation and embrittlement is consistent among the various organizations that analyzed it.										
Priority	UFDC											
Triority	Н	Н	L	M	X/		Н	С	Н	/H	Н	
Consistency of Priority	warran low pri staff's reorien formati under prioriti transiti storage	There is fairly good agreement that hydride embrittlement and reorientation warrant a high priority for additional R&D. The NRC gives these mechanisms a low priority on the basis that the level of knowledge is high, yet states "In the NRC staff's opinion, the wide number of variables that affect the degree of hydride reorientation make it difficult to produce a detailed parametric description of the formation of radial hydrides, and efforts should be made to determine conditions under which the mechanism is benign" (NRC 2012a). They also give the low prioritization based on temperatures remaining above the ductile-to-brittle transition temperature (DBTT); however, that may not be feasible for extended storage. Japan considers this issue closed because their regulations limit the temperatures during drying sufficiently low to supposedly prevent radial hydride										
UFDC Action	No cha	nge in the	UFDO	C priori	ty is re	commende	ed, based o	on this c	ompari	son.		

3.3.9 Oxidation

UFDC's Gap Description	form a metal, thickness to red remain rapid	n oxide la and thus ess of the o in an ine s. The Ul	ayer or affectoxide. ert env FDC ra	the country that the country the country that the country	ladding overal norma ent, so adding	nium clade g. The oxi ll mechani al condition oxidation oxidation ing observ	de layer is ical prope ns in dry so can only as a mediu	s brittle erties, d torage, t occur um until	, complepending the assisting if resident the case.	ared to ing on emblies dual w nuse for	the the are vater the		
Alternate Description	All ana	All analyses use the same description for oxidation of cladding.											
Priority	UFDC												
Triority	M	X	L	L	X	M	M		M				
Consistency of Priority	oxidati agrees oxidati	Prioritization of this gap is fairly consistent. However, the NRC gives cladding oxidation a low priority based on a high level of knowledge. Overall, the UFDC agrees with the NRC. However, it is necessary to determine the cause of the rapid oxidation observed in the ANL tests to be assured that this will not happen under prototypic dry storage conditions.											
UFDC Action	No cha	nge in the	UFDO	C prior	ity is re	ecommende	ed, based o	on this c	ompari	ison.			

3.3.10 Pellet-Cladding Interaction

UFDC's Gap Description	contact claddin interac UFDC mechan	of the fing through tion of the does exp	fuel was SCC e pelloplicitly	ith the (see Set with	e cladd ection the c ify a	s of fission ling) that 3.2.2). Ho ladding, re gap in kn et and clad	then pronowever, it esulting in owledge	notes de can alse localiz about F	egradat be a led stre PCI, b	tion of mechar esses. ut inclu	the nical The udes		
Alternate Description		Spain sees this issue in terms of the overall mechanical response of the cladding-pellet system under pinch loads.											
Duionita	UFDC	NWTRB	NRC	EPRI	IAEA	Germany	Hungary	Japan	ROK	Spain	UK		
Priority		X		L	X			M	L	M			
Consistency of Priority	The UFDC agrees with the assessment by Spain, and testing of cladding (including ring compression tests) with the fuel still in the cladding are planned.												
UFDC Action	PCI will not be added explicitly as a separate gap to the UFD Gap Analysis based on this comparison, but remains a key part of the cladding creep gap.												

3.3.11 Propagation of Existing Flaws

UFDC's Gap Description		The UFDC does not include propagation of existing flaws as an explicit gap, but rather as part of the "Stress Profiles" and "DHC" gaps.											
Alternate Description	flaw si	The NRC (NRC 2012a) states that "There is little current knowledge of the initial flaw size distribution in high burnup cladding, and as a result, it currently cannot be determined whether the cladding will fail in the long term." UEDC NWTRB NRC EPRI JAEA Germany Hungary Japan ROK Spain UK											
Priority	UFDC	C NWTRB NRC EPRI IAEA Germany Hungary Japan ROK Spain UK											
Friority		H2 X L											
Consistency of Priority		NRC and Spain both identify this as a gap, but Spain is focused on identification of ncipient cracks.											
UFDC Action	lead to distribu model under i	While the UFDC agrees that it is important to determine how incipient cracks may lead to failure, it will be extremely difficult to determine the existing crack size distribution in cladding. The UFDC approach in the "Stress Profiles" gap is to model the maximum crack size for the cladding to maintain its safety functions under normal and design basis conditions of handling, storage, and transportation. This gap will not be added explicitly to the UFDC Gap Analysis.											

3.4 Assembly Hardware

The fuel assembly hardware is defined as the balance of fuel assembly materials other than fuel pellets and fuel cladding. The primary components of fuel assembly hardware that serve a safety function for dry storage of UNF are grid spacers, guide and instrumentation tubes, and assembly channels (BWR assemblies only). Other hardware connected to these components lends structural support, such as tie plates, spacer springs, tie rods, and nozzles. Assembly hardware includes a variety of designs, materials of construction, and types of connections that continue to evolve.

Grid spacers are composed of a zirconium alloy (similar to fuel cladding), Inconel[®], or both. The construction of grid spacers includes straps and springs to maintain the spacing between fuel rods, control rod vibration, and provide lateral support. Springs made of Inconel[®] have low stress relaxation rates; whereas springs made of zirconium alloys have higher stress relaxation rates with irradiation. Generally, zirconium alloys are used in the intermediate grid spacers whereas Inconel[®] is used for the top and bottom grid spacers. However, some assembly designs use Inconel[®] in the intermediate grid spacers, and others use a zirconium alloy for all the grid spacers including the top and bottom ones.

It is important to note that in-reactor service substantially alters the condition and material properties of assembly hardware. These altered material properties establish the initial conditions for dry storage. The most significant changes to assembly hardware condition and material properties resulting from reactor service are structural growth, creep, stress relaxation, corrosion, and hydriding.

3.4.1 Bowing or Twisting

UFDC's Gap Description	UFDC	UFDC does not evaluate this degradation mechanism.											
Alternate Description	deform	eformation that could cause handling issues. For fuel assemblies that experienced and operational history, pool side examination is essential (EPRI 2012).											
Priority	UFDC												
Friority		DE NWIKD INKE EIKI IAEA Germany Hungary Japan Kok Spam CK											
Consistency of Priority	importatis influ	The gap analysis conducted by the ROK is the only one that identifies this as an important degradation mechanism. Based on their gap description, this mechanism influenced by reactor operations and is considered an initial condition prior to dry storage. Therefore, it is not clear that any additional R&D is needed beyond assembly inspection prior to dry storage.											
UFDC Action	This ga	This gap will not be added to the UFDC Gap Analysis.											

3.4.2 Corrosion Including Stress Corrosion Cracking

UFDC's Gap Description	moisturods. The period stop. The extended hardway period corrosis not be a during intact to the stop. The period corrosis and the stop in	re presence The rate and of storage Therefore, sed storage are degradd of dry storacking detected, in the lation of the normal are of hold the	te inside and external externa	le the cent of cent of the corross for extra eeds to the exace grid something and instance in the cent of the exace grid something and the exace grid something a	canister corrosic moisting lower ion is ended and the condition of the condition is the condition of the condition in the correspondite in the condition in the corresponding in the condition in the corresponding in the condition in the corresponding	corrosion described by the corrosion described by the corrected by the corrected by the corrected during exteriors if enough ain axial succession basis	of inadequence to be en expended ares and alle a minor wever, its ted. Similaduring reached storatubes may ugh space upport. Ho	ate drying highest highest beence of contributions of the contribution of the contribu	ng or vertical during corrol of mois buttor to during crossion eration on stitutuide tu	vaterlogg the in sion we sture du o assen g the in and stars, but	gged nitial ould oring nbly nitial tress may		
Alternate Description	_	ption of a ent in all t		•		corrosion liscuss it.	and stre	ss corre	osion	cracking	g is		
Priority	UFDC	NWTRB	NRC	EPRI	IAEA	Germany	Hungary	Japan	ROK	Spain	UK		
Гионц	MH		H2	L	X		M		Н				
Consistency of Priority	corrosi priority low pr subject	All the gap analyses that identified assembly hardware corrosion and stress corrosion cracking as important to dry storage and transportation are consistent in priority assignment, with the exception of EPRI. The basis EPRI provides for the low priority is that the industry is already dealing with how to handle PWR fuel subject to top nozzle separation because of SCC. EPRI does not address grid spacers.											
UFDC Action	No cha	nge in the	UFDO	C prior	ity is re	ecommende	ed, based o	on this c	ompari	ison.			

3.4.3 Metal Fatigue Caused by Temperature Fluctuations

UFDC's Gap Description	and incoof the fluctua that as Althou assembly change	ereased like assembly tions, given sembly high temperally hardways are imposed to the semantic semanti	elihoo hardven the a ardwa ature are, th	d of exvare is relative re is a fluctual ey are	not exely largen inte	more summe weather concepted to ge heat capa gral componay result is kely to result assembly heat to assembly heat as a second heat a	be significations. It is a signification of the content of the changes and the changes ardware personal transfer of the changes are the change	However cantly orage sy the hea in mat illure. erforma	er, the taffecte stems a stems	emperad by the tand the rating fropertie al prop	fact fuel. es of		
Alternate Description	change Metal f likely t an inc	he NRC notes that cumulative stress cycles of sufficient magnitude can lead to a hange in material properties, metal fatigue, and failure below yield strength. Itela fatigue because of temperature fluctuations of fuel assembly hardware would kely be more operative during extended storage beyond 40 years, resulting from a increasingly accumulated number of stress/temperature cycles over time NRC 2012a).											
Priority	UFDC	NWTRB	NRC	EPRI	IAEA	Germany	Hungary	Japan	ROK	Spain	UK		
Consistency of Priority	All the temper consist its high temper	All the gap analyses that identified assembly hardware metal fatigue caused by temperature fluctuations as important to dry storage and transportation are consistent in priority assignment, with the exception of the NRC. The NRC bases its higher priority in part on the fact that additional information on temperature profiles during storage is necessary to improve estimates of the magnitude of temperature changes and fatigue on fuel assembly hardware.											
UFDC Action	No cha												

3.5 Fuel Baskets

The safety function of fuel baskets is to hold the fuel assemblies and neutron poisons in a set geometry to meet the subcriticality requirement and thermal performance functions and to allow for fuel loading and retrieval. Baskets are made from a variety of metals such as stainless steel, carbon steel, and aluminum alloys, and have both base metal and welds. Some basket materials, such as Metamic TM, an aluminum-boron-carbide metal matrix composite, also serve as the neutron poison material.

3.5.1 Corrosion

UFDC's Gap Description	sufficie inadequexpecto period stop. Textendo	ent oxyge uate dryin ed to be hi of storage Therefore,	n and ng or ghest t e. On because, wet	or mowaterlost of the corrosi	oisture ogged oon stee moisture	corrosion are preser rods. The el and alumure has been temperate xpected be	nt inside rate and ninum comen expend ares and al	the can extent aponents ed, wet osence o	isters of co s durin c corro of mois	because rrosion g the in sion we sture du	e of are itial ould ring	
Alternate Description		he description of neutron poisons wet corrosion and blistering is consistent in all le gap reports that discuss it.										
Priority	UFDC											
Гионц	L											
Consistency of Priority	baskets judgme confine presence	There is inconsistency between the gap analyses for the priority assignment of fuel baskets corrosion. The basis for the inconsistency is that UFDC is reserving judgment on the significance of this issue until the higher-priority drying gap and confinement gaps are addressed, which will determine the extent of moisture presence after drying and during storage. The NRC's priority assignment links these gaps.										
UFDC Action	priority											

3.5.2 Metal Fatigue Caused by Temperature Fluctuations

UFDC's Gap Description	and inc influence safety f Charact intende investig storage	nger stora reased like ced by the functions. rerization d only to gation con and prese a their safe	elihoode temp For e Project provincluded nted no	d of exerature xamplet (EP) de add that o adve	e fluctuate, observational the craft	weather contions may be cracked cracked 2) appeared stability cks were ty implicated.	onditions by not necessive welds by the during leading to the control of the cont	Fuel becessarily in the le nonstroading avant to	asket affec Dry C uctura and te	degradest any of ask Standesting.	lation of the orage were The -term		
Alternate description	_	escription of fuel baskets metal fatigue caused by temperature fluctuations is onsistent in all the gap reports that discuss it.											
Priority	UFDC												
	L												
Consistency of priority	tempera above, structur thermal that ad- extende	The NRC assigns a higher priority for fuel baskets metal fatigue caused by temperature fluctuations. This priority is based on the same observation discussed above, indicating that there is potential for degradation by metal fatigue in structural components, which is strongly dependent on material properties of thermal expansion coefficients and fatigue resistance. The NRC also identifies that additional data are needed on temperature fluctuations during drying and extended storage, which would enhance the ability to model the magnitude of											
UFDC Action	No cha howeve	temperature changes and assess fatigue. The UFDC agrees with the need for more detailed and realistic thermal profiles. No change in the UFDC priority is recommended based on this comparison, however, if further analysis shows the temperature cycling to be significant, then the priority could change.											

3.5.3 Weld Embrittlement

UFDC's Gap Description	UFDC	UFDC does not identify this gap. This gap is only identified by the NRC. Long-term exposure of austenitic stainless											
Alternate Description	steel w results interme these	elds conta in spinocetallic G-p mechanismal for emb	ining lal dec hase (ns—th	ferrite compos Alexan ne spin	to elev sition o der and nodal	C. Long-tated temper of the α-fer d Nanstad decomposite tal of stail	ratures (30 rrite phase 1995; Char tion and	00–400 e and p ndra et a precipi	°C [57 recipital. 201 attation-	72–752 ation of 1). Bot —have	°F]) f an h of the		
Priority	UFDC												
Friority		H2 Germany Hungary Japan ROK Spain OK											
Consistency of Priority	low-ter to-britt	The NRC assigns this gap a high priority because of the limited available data on low-temperature weld embrittlement. Although, it is unclear whether the ductile-to-brittle behavior of welds would affect the transportation safety basis, additional data are needed to evaluate its effect.											
UFDC Action	profiles 400 °C the el	<u> </u>											

3.6 Moisture Absorbers

In Germany, the absence of free water in the storage cask is ensured by one of two methods. In the usual case, assemblies are confirmed to be intact by sipping tests prior to loading, so there are no waterlogged rods, and drying is straightforward. "In cases where fuel rod defects are identified or no sipping test results are available, encapsulation or the use of additional moisture absorber represent suitable solutions" (Völzke and Wolff 2011).

3.6.1 Thermal and Radiation Damage

UFDC's Gap Description	The UF	FDC does 1	not ide	ntify tł	nis as a	gap.						
Alternate Description		evated temperatures and radiation may cause degradation of the moisture sorbers.										
Dui o vito	UFDC	FDC NWTRB NRC EPRI IAEA Germany Hungary Japan ROK Spain UK										
Priority		M M										
Consistency of Priority	Germai	Germany was the only country/organization to identify this issue.										
UFDC Action	analyse	This gap will not be added to the UFDC Gap Analysis. If drying tests and nalyses indicate that residual water is a significant issue and if the option is to neclude moisture absorbers, then this gap will need to be addressed.										

3.7 Neutron Poisons

The safety function of neutron poisons, in conjunction with the geometry control provided by the fuel structure and baskets, is to maintain subcriticality for flooded configurations. Flooded configurations are credible only during loading and potentially, retrieval operations. Consideration of flooded configurations is presently required for normal conditions of transport and transportation hypothetical accident conditions (unless a moderator exclusion argument is pursued).

Neutron poisons used in dry storage casks are made primarily from borated aluminum alloys, metal matrix composites, aluminum boride carbon cermets, and borated stainless steel materials (limited domestic use). Historically, neutron poisons materials in dry storage casks served only a neutron absorption subcriticality function. However, more recently, with advancements in borated aluminum alloys and borated metal matrix composites, these neutron poison materials serve a load-bearing structural function, maintain the required separation between the fuel assemblies, and provide for heat transfer.

Degradation of neutron poisons during extended storage could affect the storage and transportation safety functional areas by reducing neutron absorption characteristics, reducing heat transfer properties, or changes in material properties resulting in failure to provide the necessary structural support, specifically for accident conditions. For load-bearing alloy and metal matrix composite (MMC) neutron poison materials, no degradation mechanism can change the poison isotope areal density. However, thermal aging effects and creep can reduce the spacing. For non-load bearing cermet neutron poison materials, thermal embrittlement and cracking can reduce poison isotope density, whereas blistering can reduce the spacing.

3.7.1 Corrosion and Blistering

UFDC's Gap Description	materia relative elevate of Al ₂ e casing poison free cl- reducir less lik the we	als. The ely porous d tempera O_3 and hy or claddin isotope arearances in g neutron ely to expetting cycl	mecha poiso tures, ydroge g arou real de in the mode erience e can	nism for mater water in cause and the ensity, to fuel be ration. The exit t	or blistical during in poison they can be carmer form	ant only for ter formation of the formation of the second of the formation of the formation of the material. In cause the second of the formation of the format	on is base g operation ternal corn sure build Although e clad plate entially af- eater as-fa use water to interconne	ed on vons. Dure cosion a lup and blisters e to deffecting bricated hat enter coted position with the coted position of the coted po	vater ering dry nd the blister do not form, re retriev core pers the prosity	ntering y storage producering of change educing ability corosity core du during	the ge at ction the cthe g the and are ring the		
Alternate Description		Description of neutron poisons wet corrosion and blistering is consistent in all the gap reports that discuss it.											
Duionita	UFDC	NWTRB	NRC	EPRI	IAEA	Germany	Hungary	Japan	ROK	Spain	UK		
Priority	M	X	M	L			M			M			
Consistency of Priority	storage of EPR	Il the gap analyses that identified wet corrosion and blistering as important to dry orage and transportation are consistent in priority assignment, with the exception f EPRI. The basis EPRI provides for the low priority assignment is that once dry, eutron absorber degradation ceases to be a significant mechanism.											
UFDC Action	No cha	nge in the	UFDO	C prior	ity is re	ecommende	ed, based o	on this c	ompari	ison.			

3.7.2 Creep

UFDC's Gap Description	matrix must b creep p compo results absorpt betwee	composite e consider properties. nents were for exten tion chara en the fu	e mate red bed Avai e limit ded st cterist	rials. cause of lable to seed	Creep of their ests evaluates hort du the ne	ring structuof borated a inherent local uating creation. Contact known. Contact utron poise ough deformed assemble	aluminum ow ductilit tep for stru onsequentl freep wou ons, but cormation,	neutrony and guctural ly, the all not a could rewards	n poiso enerall borated pplical affect t educe t	n mater y unknot l alumin pility of the neu the space	own num the tron cing	
Alternate Description	it. Th	radiation and is influenced by temperature, therefore, the NRC limits the likely eriod of interest to 40 years.										
Duionita	UFDC	NWTRB	NRC	EPRI	IAEA	Germany	Hungary	Japan	ROK	Spain	UK	
Priority	M	X	Н				M					
Consistency of Priority	evaluat time, p seemed with a	Creep of load-bearing neutron poisons is assigned a higher priority in the NRC's evaluation, even though the NRC assigns a high level of knowledge for initiation time, propagation rate, and degradation or failure complete. The NRC (2012a) seemed to have applied their criteria inconsistently for this gap, mainly "Areas with a high (H) level of knowledge, irrespective of the safety implications, are given an overall rating of low (L) for regulatory need for further research."										
UFDC Action	No cha	nge in the	UFDO	C prior	ity is re	ecommende	ed, based o	on this c	ompari	son.		

3.7.3 Embrittlement and Cracking

UFDC's Gap Description	encased subsequentron to work source	Thermal and radiation embrittlement is important only for non-load-bearing encased cermet neutron poison materials. Thermal and radiation stresses and subsequent cracking could reduce the efficacy of neutron poisons by allowing for neutron streaming. Although thermal and radiation embrittlement is not expected to worsen for longer storage times because of decreasing temperature and neutron source term, the long-term effects and broader ranges associated with extended storage have not been evaluated. Description of neutron poisons embrittlement is consistent in all the gap reports											
Alternate Description	-	Description of neutron poisons embrittlement is consistent in all the gap reports nat discuss it.											
Duionita	UFDC												
Priority	MH												
Consistency of Priority	high le testing cermet because extent present of emb	The NRC assigns a lower priority for neutron poison embrittlement because of the high level of knowledge for initiation and propagation rate based on sufficient testing that has been conducted on neutron poison materials, with the exception of cermet absorber materials. UFDC's position is that the MH priority is warranted because, as acknowledged by the NRC, there are insufficient data to evaluate the extent of embrittlement and cracking for cermet materials. Cermet materials are present in a significant fraction of currently loaded casks. Quantifying the extent of embrittlement and cracking is important for demonstrating subcriticality for both											
UFDC Action	No cha	of embrittlement and cracking is important for demonstrating subcriticality for both normal conditions of transport and hypothetical accident conditions. No change in the UFDC priority is recommended, based on this comparison.											

3.7.4 Metal Fatigue Caused by Temperature Fluctuations

UFDC's Gap Description	and income of the fluctua that ne Addition their s	reased lik neutron tions, give utron pois onal data a tructural	elihoo poison en the i sons a are des propert	d of exacts is not relative re integrited for the times and the times and the times are the times ar	treme value treme value expely large grated or load d respe	more summe weather consected to be to be tween the bearing not onse for seconditions.	nditions. In the significancity of store heat-generated points.	Howeve cantly a brage sy- nerating son mat	er, the to affected stems a g fuel terials	tempera d by the and the assemb to eval	ture nose fact lies. uate
Alternate Description		escription of neutron poisons metal fatigue caused by temperature fluctuations is insistent in all the gap reports that discuss it.									
Priority	UFDC	NWTRB	NRC	EPRI	IAEA	Germany	Hungary	Japan	ROK	Spain	UK
Тионц	L	, , , ,									
Consistency of Priority	temper time and The N fluctua	The NRC's medium priority for neutron poisons metal fatigue caused by temperature fluctuations is based on the medium level of knowledge of initiation time and propagation rate, which are heavily influenced by the thermal profiles. The NRC (NRC 2012a) states "This [metal fatigue caused by temperature fluctuations] should be easily calculated once the variation of the temperature									
UFDC Action	No cha	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									

3.7.5 Thermal Aging Effects

UFDC's Gap Description	elevate propert general excursi results strengt	d temperaties at tending reversions; how in permaner	mperates and an arrangement dependent de dependent dependent dependent dependent dependent dependent de dependent de	Alum cures a after e long-c ecrease alloys a	ninum-love a exposuration duration e of me	eir mechan based mate about 93 ° re to sho n elevated echanical p re susceptib	erials typic C. The rt-duration tempera properties	cally ex se prop n mode ture ex such as	hibit a erty c erate t sposure yield	declin hanges tempera e gener and ter	e in are ture rally nsile		
Alternate Description		he description of neutron poison materials thermal aging effects is consistent in l the gap reports that discuss it.											
Duionita	UFDC	NWTRB	NRC	EPRI	IAEA	Germany	Hungary	Japan	ROK	Spain	UK		
Priority	Н		H2				M		M	M			
Consistency of Priority	howeve	The gap analyses for UFDC and NRC are consistent in priority assignment; nowever Germany, the ROK, and Spain assign only a medium priority to this gap. The basis for the medium priority is not provided.											
UFDC Action	No cha	The basis for the medium priority is not provided. To change in the UFDC priority is recommended, based on this comparison.											

3.8 Neutron Shields

The function of neutron shields is to provide radiation protection by slowing down and absorbing neutrons. Neutron shielding for most storage systems is provided by the concrete overpack. For some dual-purpose (storage and transportation) systems, which make up approximately 15 percent of the currently loaded casks, neutron shields are made from a variety of polymer-based materials composed of an effective neutron moderator, such as hydrogen and carbon, and a neutron poison, such as boron. There are variations within each material based on specific polymer-resin type and fabrication technique, which could have significant impact on material performance.

3.8.1 Radiation Embrittlement

UFDC's Gap Description	Radiation (primarily neutron) stressors could cause embrittlement of neutron shielding polymer and resin materials. Radiation embrittlement leading to cracking could reduce the efficacy of neutron shielding and the radiological protection function it provides. Radiation embrittlement of neutron shielding could occur throughout the period of spent fuel storage. The threshold for radiation embrittlement is about 10 ⁶ rad for polyethylene and potentially lower for other borated polymers or resins. Depending on the fuel, neutron shields could reach this dose by 100 years. Therefore, embrittlement of polymeric neutron shields during extended storage is expected. The rate of damage will be greatest in the short term, when radiation levels are highest, and decrease during extended storage as radiation levels decrease.										
Alternate Description	The description of neutron shields corrosion is consistent in all the gap reports that discuss it. The NRC notes that there is potential for higher poison burnup levels with MOX fuel because of the higher neutron source term.										
Priority	UFDC	NWTRB	NRC	EPRI	IAEA	Germany	Hungary	Japan	ROK	Spain	UK
	L		L	L		M					
Consistency of Priority	With the exception of the German gap analysis, where CASTOR ^a systems are predominantly used, all the gap analyses agree that although there is potential for radiation embrittlement of neutron shields because of the ability to inspect/monitor its performance and remediate it if necessary, radiation embrittlement of neutron shields is assigned a low priority. For the CASTOR systems, neutron shielding is not as easily accessible for remediation, hence the Medium priority.										
UFDC Action	No cha	nge in the	UFDO	C prior	ity is re	commende	ed, based o	n this c	ompari	ison.	

^aCASTOR is a trade name that stands for cask for storage and transport of radioactive material.

3.8.2 Thermal Embrittlement, Cracking, Shrinkage, and Decomposition

UFDC's Gap Description	The nature of the degradation of neutron shielding materials at higher temperatures depends on the specific material. For example, polyethylene rods may experience some shrinkage, which could lead to gaps and local loss of neutron shielding. Other neutron-shielding materials can experience loss of hydrogen at higher temperatures. The lower temperatures associated with extended storage will likely lead to a lower rate of degradation.										
Alternate Description	Description of neutron shields thermal embrittlement, cracking, shrinkage and decomposition is consistent in all the gap reports that discuss it. The NRC notes that there might be higher potential for embrittlement, cracking, shrinkage, and decomposition of neutron shields with higher burnup and MOX UNF.										
Priority	UFDC	NWTRB	NRC	EPRI	IAEA	Germany	Hungary	Japan	ROK	Spain	UK
	L		L	L		M					
Consistency of Priority	With the exception of the German gap analysis, where CASTOR ^a systems are predominantly used, all the gap analyses agree that although there is potential for thermal embrittlement, cracking, shrinkage, and decomposition of neutron shields, because of the inspection/monitoring of its performance and ability to remediate it, it is assigned a low priority. For the CASTOR systems, neutron shielding is not as easily accessible for remediation, hence the medium priority.										
UFDC Action	No change in the UFDC priority is recommended, based on this comparison.										

^aCASTOR is a trade name that stands for cask for storage and transport of radioactive material.

3.9 Containers

The container provides the primary confinement boundary for DCSSs. It provides a physical barrier to prevent release of radionuclides, maintains an inert atmosphere of helium (or nitrogen in Hungary) for the container internals to prevent chemical degradation and enhance heat transfer, and prevents ingress of moderator (water) to provide additional criticality protection. There are two generic types of storage confinement containers currently used in the United States: bolted metal casks and welded metal canisters. In addition, fuel storage tubes are used in vault system of Hungary.

There are a number of key differences between the varieties of storage systems. Welded canisters are stored or transported within a separate overpack that provides both neutron and gamma shielding and physical protection. In contrast, bolted direct-load casks have integral gamma and neutron shielding with a thick metal body and polymer–resin neutron shields. The bolted direct-load casks are mechanically sealed via a combination of lids, bolts, and physical seals (e.g., gaskets to maintain the pressure boundaries). In addition, a weather cover is positioned over the bolts and seals to protect them from rainwater. The bolted casts were thick-walled vessels (10 to 12 inches thick) made of a variety of ferrous alloys including nodular cast iron, carbon steel, and low-alloy steel, while the more recent welded canisters have been constructed with stainless steels. Both the welded canisters and bolted casks contain multiple assemblies, while the steel fuel storage tube contains only one.

The priority given to specific container types varies by country. For example, Germany uses only bolted casks, Hungary uses only fuel storage tubes, the United Kingdom uses only welded canisters, and Spain is converting to a vault system. The countries only give priority to the degradation mechanisms of the container types they use for long-term storage.

3.9.1 Bolted Cask - Corrosion of Bolts

UFDC's Gap Description	Because bolts provide the pressure on the seals necessary for sealing, they are a crucial part of the confinement sealing system of bolted casks. Bolts used to secure the lid/cover on bolted casks are primarily constructed of stainless and low-alloy steels. They are protected from the environment by a weather cover. If failure of the weather cover allows water and/or deliquescing atmospheric contaminants to contact the bolts, then corrosion can occur. The active corrosion mechanisms include SCC and general, galvanic, pitting, and crevice corrosion, depending on the material and the environment. Failure of the bolts has been detected by inter-seal pressure drops (EPRI 2002, p. 4-3), but more direct monitoring of bolts is not routinely conducted.										
Alternate Description	The NRC also notes the possibility of embrittlement of the bolts because of the uptake of H ₂ generated by corrosion.										
Priority	UFDC	NWTRB	NRC	EPRI	IAEA	Germany	Hungary	Japan	ROK	Spain	UK
	VH	X	H1	M		M					
Consistency of Priority	There is some inconsistency in rating corrosion of bolts. The UFDC and the NRC assign a high priority, while EPRI and Germany assign a medium priority. Germany and Japan house their casks in buildings, thus dramatically reducing the likelihood of wet conditions on the bolts, and thus reducing the priority for new research. EPRI assigns medium priority to this gap, noting that periodic inspection and replacement of bolts can be performed if necessary.										
UFDC Action	No change in the UFDC priority is recommended, based on this comparison.										

3.9.2 Bolted Cask – Corrosion of Metal Seals

UFDC's Gap Description	initiation the we general the en	seals maying events eather covil, galvanio vironments quickly ored.	of ins er. T c, pitti . Bed	ufficie he acti ng, and cause t	nt dryi	ng, failure rosion me e corrosic r-lid pres	e of secon echanisms on, depend sure is n	ndary so may iding or nonitoro	eals, o include the m ed, fai	r failur e SCC naterial lure of	e of and and the		
Alternate Description		Description of corrosion of metal seals is consistent in all the gap reports that discuss it. UFDC NWTRB NRC EPRI IAEA Germany Hungary Japan ROK Spain UK											
Priority	UFDC	, , , , ,											
Triority	VH	VH X L M X H H JFDC and the ROK assign a higher priority to corrosion of seals than others.											
Consistency of Priority	the sea "high" atmosp "metal corrosi time." propag resultin UFDC so diff opinion medium already	and the F considers ls to be " resulting pheric corr gasket do n should In con- gation and ng in a "lo why the B erently fron, the un m priority y performed l replacem	the lunkno in a cosion egradad be strast, lexpe w" rat NRC room the certain to inted inte	ikeliho wn," a rating of bolation de analyze the Nected cates the at of cates an extention at order at order areas the at of cates an extention at order at order an extention at order	od of the of "verted case ue to ded in of RC (2) degradate this defended entrosion resions ally, and and the corrosion ally, and the corrosion allows all the corrosion allows allows allows allows all the corrosion allows all the corrosion allows all th	consequency high" if ks. The lask lid lod domestic (012a) rate attion from egradation of knowled in of bolts and hof corrosind the ability of	ng event nces of be for the ga ROK state and and be environmented the est the ke n corrosion mechanic edge for a so (low) (so nigh for ion of se	s leading reach of the service about the service about the service about the service als, cites and service about the se	ng to not confit the act RI 201 and a conditional lige of seals is not con of seve). If EPRI ting the confit confit is the confit confit ting the confit confit confit is the confit confit ting the confit confit confit is the confit c	moisturinemer queous (2) that the third initiates "hickear to be seals (he assignate reseals as "hickear to be assignate reseals as "hickear to be assignate reseals".	re at at as and t the heric long tion, gh," the high) DC's as a arch		
UFDC Action	No cha	inge in the	UFD	C prio	rity is r	ecommend	ded, based	d on thi	is com	parison	1.		

3.9.3 Bolted Cask – Microbiologically Influenced Corrosion

See Section 3.9.10, Welded Canister – Microbiologically Influenced Corrosion.

3.9.4 Bolted Cask – Thermomechanical Degradation of Bolts

UFDC's Gap Description	therma sealing depend	l fatigue. pressure ent, decre during dr	Becau and t asing	se they hus co as the	are ur onfinem cask c	bolts cornder stress, nent. The cools. Fatigummer and	bolts may creep rat gue of the	creep re is high bolts be	esultinghly te	g in los emperat of the	ss of cure- rmal	
Alternate Description	_	Description of thermomechanical degradation of bolts is consistent in all the gap nalyses that discuss it.										
Duionita	UFDC											
Priority	VH	X	H1	M		Н			Н			
Consistency of Priority	that rat	Except by EPRI, this mechanism is consistently assigned a high priority by those that rated it. EPRI assigns medium priority to this gap, noting that periodic inspection and replacement of bolts can be performed if necessary.										
UFDC Action	No cha	No change in the UFDC priority is recommended, based on this comparison.										

3.9.5 Bolted Cask - Thermomechanical Degradation of Seals

UFDC's Gap Description	Thermomechanical degradation of seals considered here includes creep, thermal fatigue, and loss of ductility of seals at lower temperature. These degradation modes are dependent on the temperature history. Creep of the seals in response to the sealing pressure occurs most rapidly at high temperatures where it is well studied. Creep at lower temperatures for long periods of time is being studied by the French, Germans, and Japanese. The Japanese (Shirai et al. 2011) concluded that as long as the initial temperatures remain below 134 °C for aluminum-covered seals and below 125 °C for silver-covered seals, sealing performance would be ensured for 60 years. Fatigue of the seals because thermal cycling during drying and between summer and winter during storage, accumulates with time. Loss of ductility in metals at lower temperatures is a well-studied phenomenon. Description of thermomechanical degradation of seals is consistent in all the gap												
Alternate Description		Description of thermomechanical degradation of seals is consistent in all the gap eports that discuss it.											
Duionita	UFDC												
Priority	VH	X	L	L/M	X	Н		Н	Н				
Consistency of Priority	VH X L L/M X H H H H This mechanism is assigned a high priority from UFDC, Germany, Japan, and the ROK, however the NRC and EPRI rate it lower. The NRC assigns creep a low priority, because it considers the knowledge level to be high (NRC 2012a, p. A6-13): "Sufficient data exist to make initial long-term predictions Additional long-term creep testing data, which are expected to be available as ongoing tests are completed, may be used to refine these predictions." In contrast, the UFDC identifies the need for realistic thermal calculations to determine the likelihood of thermomechanical degradation of seals. EPRI assigns a low priority to investigating metal fatigue, citing the research already done and the ability to detect and remediate degradation if it occurs. It assigns a medium priority to investigating the loss of ductility at low temperatures, because of the lower temperatures that may occur in countries other than Germany and Japan. While there are differences in priorities between the UFDC and the other organizations, there are no significant technical differences.												
UFDC Action	No char	nge in the U	JFDC 1	priority	is reco	ommended	, based or	n this c	ompari	son.			

3.9.6 Welded Canister – Aqueous Corrosion

UFDC's Gap Description	corrosic conden canister water se location localize are we materia	eous corro on. This value on the from rain ource as in the cource as in the cource as in the cource of the cource	vater r drippin, and floodi ing on tainles g: pitt and cown, b	may cong from flooding, or the mass steeling, crillepend	ontact the over the original of the original of the original of the original origina	re surface verpack, fa ntaminants osphericall nd environers, the calvanic, are material	by any of ilure of the in the way delivered ment, corrosion and SCC.	severa e overpater made such rosion of con Aqueo ironme	oack to ay com as salt may be cern is us corn in.	protection in a content general general general cosion in the can	t the the astal al or rally rates ister		
Alternate Description		ne NRC also points out microbiologically influenced corrosion (MIC) may also cur if there are sufficient nutrients at the surface.											
Duionita	UFDC	NWTRB	NRC	EPRI	IAEA	Germany	Hungary	Japan	ROK	Spain	UK		
Priority	VH	X											
Consistency of Priority	organiz	The UFDC is the only one to prioritize this degradation mechanism. Other organizations and countries do not specifically call out aqueous corrosion as distinct from atmospheric corrosion, which is discussed in Section 3.9.7.											
UFDC Action	No cha	No change in the UFDC priority is recommended, based on this comparison.											

3.9.7 Welded Canister – Atmospheric Corrosion

UFDC's Gap Description	the suatmosp electro- corrosi conditi as chlor organic shown support untreat types catmosp	on of con- ons are su orides from es can be s that with t deliques ed welds, of corrosion, but it	deposition the cern is fficient marisignific deposition cence residue on are rosion	rosion sited of at profit locali it, MIC ne loca cant, profited sea and co all stre highly is mat	to occontaminates and to contaminate and to contami	water vape ccur. The inants deli- corrosion. luding: pitraminants nor oxidized g the nutrice elative human of the ce high enounders on the bough to ide conditions	on stain ting, creving include sulfur spectations needed and its sulfur state of anister staugh to sught to sug	forming nless state, galve aggreecies from M 15 percells. In propert SO ature.	signification and significatio	cant woncentral nisters, SCC, are pecies so luted are esearch dispose to esearch esear	when ated the ated if such reas; has can e of all into	
Alternate Description	The de	-	of atm	osphei	ric corr	osion is co	onsistent i	n all th	e gap	reports	that	
Designity	UFDC	NWTRB	NRC	EPRI	IAEA	Germany	Hungary	Japan	ROK	Spain	UK	
Priority	VH X H1 H H L VH											
Consistency of Priority	_			-		d all coun			lded c	anisters	for	
UFDC Action	No cha	No change in the UFDC priority is recommended, based on this comparison.										

3.9.8 Welded Canister – Integrity under Accident Conditions

UFDC's Gap Description	UFDC	does not i	dentify	y this a	s a gap	. It is a con	ndition for	U.S. lic	censing	j.			
Alternate Description	accider	the United Kingdom indicates the need to determine canister integrity under ecident conditions (dropped load, aircraft crash). They propose a dropped cask est and modeling of accident conditions and heat transfer.											
Priority	UFDC												
Гионц											Н		
Consistency of Priority	The Ur	The United Kingdom is the only country identifying this as a gap.											
UFDC Action	This ga	This gap will not be added to the UFDC Gap Analysis.											

3.9.9 Welded Canister – Stress Corrosion Cracking – Code, Prevention, and Mitigation

UFDC's Gap Description				•		ps, howeveric Corrosi			-	these no	eeds	
Alternate Description	initiatic caniste in a rea measur 4. Mor near th surface measur Techno	Japan identified the following (EPRI 2012): "1. Code or guideline to evaluate initiation and propagation of Stress Corrosion Cracking (SCC) of stainless steel canister in a marine environment is needed. 2. SCC data of normal stainless steel in a realistic marine environment are needed. 3. Demonstrative tests of preventive measures for SCC of normal stainless steel with reduced residual stress are needed. 4. Monitoring of salt deposition on canister surface storing spent fuel on real sites near the sea is needed. 5. Formula to estimate the salt deposition on canister surface using salt concentration in the air at the site is needed. 6. Non destructive measurement method of the salt deposition on canister surface is needed. 7. Technology to mitigate salt concentration in the air of canister environment is needed."										
Duionita	UFDC	NWTRB	NRC	EPRI	IAEA	Germany	Hungary	Japan	ROK	Spain	UK	
Priority	Н											
Consistency of Priority	Japan i	Japan is the only country to identify these gaps.										
UFDC Action	This gap will not be added to the UFDC Gap Analysis as it is considered covered by the more general "Atmospheric Corrosion" gaps.											

3.9.10 Welded Canister – Microbiologically Influenced Corrosion

UFDC's Gap Description	UFDC o	does not mont.	ention	this m	echanis	m but con	curs it ma	ıy occu	r if cor	nditions	s are	
Alternate Description	microbi	AIC may occur on steels when there are sufficient water and nutrients to support nicrobial growth. The microbes modify the local chemistry, rendering it more orrosive.										
Priority	UFDC	UFDC NWTRB NRC EPRI IAEA Germany Hungary Japan ROK Spain UK										
Friority		H2 M										
Consistency of Priority	or atmo	The NRC and EPRI identify this mechanism, which may be active during aqueous or atmospheric corrosion. UFDC concurs that if the conditions include sufficient water and nutrients then MIC may occur and result in accelerated corrosion.										
UFDC Action		MIC will be added as a possible mechanism during aqueous and atmospheric corrosion and will need to be addressed through testing and analyses.										

3.9.11 Fuel Storage Tube - Corrosion

UFDC's Gap Description						canisters, a				s corro	sion		
Alternate Description	Only H	Only Hungary discusses this gap.											
Davi a arita :	UFDC	FDC NWTRB NRC EPRI IAEA Germany Hungary Japan ROK Spain UK											
Priority		Н											
Consistency of Priority	priority	Hungary identifies investigation of corrosion of their fuel storage tubes as a high priority. This is consistent with the priority given to investigating degradation of their container by all countries.											
UFDC Action	This ga	This gap will not be added to the UFDC Gap Analysis.											

3.10 Concrete Structures

Reinforced concrete structures include: overpacks, storage modules, vaults, and pads. In most cases concrete structures are outdoors and are exposed to the environment. The concrete overpacks, storage modules, and vaults provide radiation shielding and protection of the casks or canisters from the environment. The temperatures and radiation levels are high for overpacks, storage modules, and vaults, but lower for the pad. In most cases a medium to low priority is assigned to investigating the degradation mechanisms of concrete, because these mechanisms are well understood and can be relatively easily detected and remediated. However in cases where the concrete is inaccessible to monitoring, the NRC ranked investigations into four degradation mechanisms as high priority. These are corrosion of embedded steel, coupled mechanisms, dryout and thermal degradation of mechanical properties, which are discussed below.

3.10.1 Carbonation

UFDC's Gap Description	calcium deleteric passivat rate of c porosity "Carbon	ation occur hydroxide ous effect of tion of the re carbonation by, permeab- nation is e	e in toof carboreinfor dependingles, xpecte	he correction on the correction of the correctio	ncrete, n is the eel, esp several noisture occur in	producing reduction pecially if factors in e content.	calcium of pH th the steel i cluding th Sinde	carbo at can l s not ep ne conc lar et netrate	nate. lead to poxy-c crete co al. (2	The result the lost oated. composite 2011)	main ss of The tion, state		
Alternate Description	Descrip	DESCRIPTION OF CARDONATION IS CONSISTENT IN All the gap reports that discuss it. UFDC NWTRB NRC EPRI IAEA Germany Hungary Japan ROK Spain UK											
Priority	UFDC	, , , , ,											
Triority		X L M											
Consistency of Priority	Table 5- priority expected mechan monitor specific steel. U(AMPs) NRC gicarbona		RC incomplete incomplete RC in	licates er in S v." T in Ta bly de bonation ds add rete de coring c esulting lerated	the level ection his sugable 6-etect e on, but ditional gradation of concrete because	A8.5 it ra gests that 1, which arly degr discussed work on on, which rete. The	owledge ted the le carbonat are assig adation. its role in the aging is consiste ROK giv embedded r levels of	is high vel of ion magned a The n corrose mana ent with ves a mel steel of CO ₂ p	and knowled by be high UFDC sion of gemen the en dedium , indicoroduce	edge of one of priorit does fembed t programphasis priorit cating	erall f the the ty if not dded rams s the ty to that many		
UFDC Action		will not add he degrada											

3.10.2 Corrosion of Embedded Steel

UFDC's Gap Description	which is alkaline carbona reinforc chloride highly a importa permeal (2011) likely. in the o	nforcements passive as environment may e reaches that the collity to againdicate the force oncrete, concrete, concrete, consistents and concrete, consistents as a concrete, consistents as a concrete, concrete, concrete, consistents as a concrete,	ent is defined attack result. The rein order concrete gressivat with a takes ausing	as the caltered altered k, this Simil forcem to de e overlare specinin 300 place, it to	concreted because passive arrangement, containing the cies, CO years the large crack.	e remains ause of laity may be a solution or cosion unterest of the carbonation of the ca	highly all eaching be lost an rich in against in after the ement is recovered by the corrosion of the corrosion acked, tr	caline. of cal d corre ggressi e desp e licer naintai Howeve e over n prod anspor	Howe cium osion over anio ite the asing p ned to er Sind lying oucts in t of o	ever, if hydrox of the sons such pH beeriod, ensure delar econcret duce staygen	this kide, steel ch as eing it is low t al. te is tress and		
	further	ggressive species to the steel accelerates, causing increasing corrosion leading to urther degradation of the concrete. By the time corrosion of embedded steel is etected, damage may be significant.											
Alternate Description		The description of corrosion of embedded steel is consistent in all the gap reports that discuss it.											
Priority	UFDC	NWTRB	NRC	EPRI	IAEA	Germany	Hungary	Japan	ROK	Spain	UK		
Гионц	M	X	M/H2	L			M		M				
Consistency of Priority	UFDC's medium priority is consistent with that of all countries and organizations that prioritized corrosion of embedded steel except the NRC. The UFDC assignment is to the enhancing of the AMPs to inspect and remediate the concrete overlying the embedded steel. The NRC assigns a priority for research as medium or high, depending on the reliability of monitoring for early detection of degradation. Thus, while the UFDC and NRC priorities are somewhat different, monitoring is key to both organizations.												
UFDC Action	No char	nge in the U	FDC p	riority	is reco	mmended,	, based on	this co	ompari	son.			

3.10.3 Coupled Mechanisms

UFDC's Gap Description	howev	er some i	nteract	ions ar	e note	ss coupled d. For ins g can lead t	stance calc	cium hy	droxid	le leach			
Alternate Description	process	The NRC notes that thermal, hydrodynamic, mechanical, chemical, and radiation processes may all act on the concrete at the same time. They give the example of racking from other degradation modes influencing the progression of carbonation. JFDC NWTRB NRC EPRI IAEA Germany Hungary Japan ROK Spain UK											
Priority	UFDC												
Гпопц		M/H2 Strike British and British Sermany Hangary Supun Rotal Spann Off											
Consistency of Priority	accessi empha AMPs thaw o	The NRC considers the priority for research to be medium if the component is accessible to monitoring, but high if the component is not easily monitored. This emphasis on monitoring is consistent with the medium priority UFDC gives to the AMPs to inspect and remediate concrete surface damage before significant freeze-thaw or corrosion of embedded steel can occur, and with the high priority given for monitoring development to detect damage well before it is visible.											
UFDC Action	This ga												

3.10.4 Freeze-Thaw

UFDC's Gap Description	Damage from freeze-thaw occurs when water within the pores of the concrete freezes, creating expansive stresses. It occurs mainly where water may pond, such as on horizontal surfaces. Damage typically initiates at the surface where cracking and scaling are easily discovered and remediated. Freeze-thaw damage may also occur at structural features, such as the roof bolt holes at the ISFSI containing Three Mile Island fuel at INL, where freezing of the water in the holes caused extensive cracking. Initiation of freeze-thaw damage can be minimized through proper design and construction, and propagation can be halted with an adequate AMP.										
Alternate Description	Description of freeze-thaw is consistent in all the gap reports that discuss it.										
Dwigwith	UFDC	NWTRB	NRC	EPRI	IAEA	Germany	Hungary	Japan	ROK	Spain	UK
Priority	M	X	L	L	X	L			M	L	
Consistency of Priority	remedi consist	The UFDC assigns a low priority for new research, but a medium priority to proper remediation of bolt holes and AMPs to detect and remediate damage. This is consistent with the medium to low priority assigned to this mechanism by all other organizations and countries that prioritized it.									
UFDC Action	No cha	nge in the	UFDO	C prior	ity is re	ecommende	ed, based o	on this c	ompari	ison.	

3.10.5 Marine Degradation

UFDC's Gap Description	UFDC did not identify this as a gap.										
Alternate Description	combin product crystall subject corrosic	ed effects ts, alkali ization pr to wettin	of che-aggre ressure g and bedded	emical gate of of sa others I steel	action of expansi lts with to dryi reinfor	vironment of sea wate on if re nin concre ng conditi rement, a 7, p. 39).	er constitue eactive a te if one ions, frost	ents on ggregat face o action	cemer tes ar of the in col	nt hydra re pres structur ld clim	ation sent, re is ates,
Priority	UFDC	NWTRB	NRC	EPRI	IAEA	Germany	Hungary	Japan	ROK	Spain	UK
Triority											M
Consistency of Priority	specific crystall	cally ident	ify thi	s gap,	but co	ntry to ide vers all th nysical eros	e degrada	tion m	echani	sms ex	cept
UFDC Action	This ga	p will not	be add	led to t	he UFD	OC Gap An	alysis.				

3.10.6 Thermal Degradation of Mechanical Properties, Dry-out

At least since 1997, when NUREG 1536 (NRC 2010) was published, the industry has used ACI-349 (ACI 2007) for design and construction of dry storage concrete structures. ACI-349 provides limits to concrete temperatures: ≤ 150 °F for general locations under normal conditions, ≤ 200 °F for local areas under normal conditions, and ≤ 350 °F for surface locations under accident conditions. ASTM C1562-10 indicates that long-term exposure to temperatures above these limits under normal conditions may cause changes in concrete material properties such as the compressive strength, tensile strength, and modulus of elasticity. Long-term exposure above 149 °C (300 °F) may cause concrete surface scaling and cracking. (ASTM, 2010 A5.4.7) However, Bertero and Polivka (1972) and others report that if the free moisture is able to escape at temperatures below 149 °C, the mechanical characteristics of the concrete are not significantly degraded. Under normal conditions, peak temperatures of concrete in DCSSs are not expected to go above 93 °C (EPRI 2002) and dry-out is the only significant thermal degradation mechanism.

UFDC's Gap Description Concrete dry-out is a well-studied phenomenon. Exposure to elevated temperatures (100 °C) results in a loss of pore water from within the concrete, followed by dehydration of chemically bound water (EPRI 2002; Naus 2005 and 2007). This dehydration causes weakening of the bond between the gel and cement phases within the concrete, resulting in lower strength. However, if the concrete is rehydrated after the temperature has decreased (e.g., from rainwater), research has demonstrated that the changes in the chemical and physical properties of the concrete will be reversed (Farage et al. 2003; Alonso and Fernandez 2004). If the temperatures remain below 93 °C, the consequences of dry-out at ISFSIs are expected to be at most a temporary and slight reduction in concrete strength and shielding.

NUREG 1536 (NRC 2010) indicates that the accident condition of blockage or air inlets and outlets should be evaluated in safety analysis reports. Applicants have typically used bounding parameters when evaluating the thermal response to this accident, including high ambient temperatures, design basis heat loads, and greater than 24-hour duration, while still remaining below the 350 °F limit for accident conditions. However, ACI 349-06 (ACI 2007) indicates that "After exposure to these temperatures, the serviceability of the structure needs to be assessed before resuming the operation...."

Alternate Description The NRC discusses higher-temperature degradation mechanisms, including changes in aggregate and cement paste physical (e.g., thermal conductivity and thermal expansion) and chemical (e.g., chemical stability at temperature) properties between room temperature and 1000 °C. Although they note that "Any degradation due to temperature effects, if possible, would be operative only in the short term." (NRC 2012a).

Duionita	UFDC	NWTRB	NRC	EPRI	IAEA	Germany	Hungary	Japan	ROK	Spain	UK
Priority	L	X	M/H2	L	X						
Consistency of Priority	degrad temper known result, depend conside normal acceler assigns signific or acce	ation incorature on to be depthe NRC ling on weers the like operation atted another amedium and dama ident conditions.	luding the pr penden gives r whether elihood ns to l ner deg m prior ge to co- ditions	dry-o operties ton coresearch the color low radation rete that re	ut. Ses of concrete h on the concrete onseque. Min modenhance overly esult in	on to give The NRC concrete has chemistry nermal degree is accessed as concrete of the nor cracking ement of the higher tent of	(2012a) ave significand constradation a sible to remail degrang would corrosion one AMPs ded steel.	states icant variation medium monitori adation of only be f embed to ident In the of s, howe	"The ariabili practi m or h ng. "of conce signided stify and case of	effects ty and ces." A igh pric The UI crete du ficant eel. UI d remed off-nor	of are As a prity FDC ring if it FDC liate rmal
UFDC Action	Inspec	tion and re	emedia	tion of	damag	is recomm ge from this pedded stee	and other			-	

3.10.7 Unspecified Concrete Degradation

UFDC's Gap Description	UFDC does not identify this as a separate gap.										
Alternate Description	_	This gap includes all concrete degradation mechanisms operative in the identifying country.									
Davi a arita :	UFDC	NWTRB	NRC	EPRI	IAEA	Germany	Hungary	Japan	ROK	Spain	UK
Priority						M	M				
Consistency of Priority	Germany and Hungary indicate medium priority for research into concrete degradation without specifying the individual mechanisms. This is in the middle of the range of priorities for the specific mechanisms.										
UFDC Action		No action necessary as UFDC has considered multiple concrete degradation mechanisms.									

4. CONCLUSIONS

This report compares the UFDC Gap Analysis (UFDC 2012a) and UFDC Gap Prioritization (UFDC 2012b) reports to those recently published by others, including the NWTRB (2010), the NRC (2012a), the IAEA (2002), and EPRI (2012). The EPRI report (2011) provides the priorities of additional research of ESCP committee members from six countries (Germany, Hungary, Japan, ROK, Spain, and the United Kingdom). It is important to note that these priorities represent the opinions of the EPRI/ESCP International Subcommittee participants and do not represent any official position of the participant's country. Both the NRC and EPRI reports are still in draft form as of this review and are subject to change.

There are a collective total of 94 technical data gaps identified by the various reports to support extended storage and transportation of UNF. This report focuses on the gaps identified as Medium or High in any of the gap analyses and provides the UFDC's gap description, any alternate gap descriptions or different emphasis by another organization, the rankings by the various organizations, evaluation of the consistency of priority assignment and the bases for any inconsistencies, and UFDC-recommended action based on the comparison. Gaps that are ranked Low by all organizations and countries are not evaluated in this report.

Of the 94 gaps identified in the various gap analyses, there are 14 cross-cutting gaps and 80 SSC-specific gaps. For the cross-cutting gaps, the UFDC identifies eight and others identify six. Thirteen of the 14 cross-cutting gaps were identified as Medium or High by at least one of the gap analyses. The UFDC assigns a high priority to all the cross-cutting gaps it identified. For most of these, there is general agreement of their high priority. The six gaps identified by others are either covered by other UFDC gaps or are not applicable to UNF storage and transportation in the United States. Therefore, it is concluded that no changes to the UFDC cross-cutting gap analysis are necessary.

For the 80 SSC-specific gaps, the UFDC identifies 52 and others identify 28. The gaps identified by others either do not meet the UFDC's definition of a gap for extended storage and subsequent transportation, are grouped differently by the UFDC, or are given less than low priority by the UFDC. For example: "Cladding – Oxide Thickness" is a property of UNF, not a degradation mechanism; "Cladding – Propagation of Existing Flaws" is covered by the UFDC under the individual degradation mechanisms; and "Canister - Irradiation Damage" is considered by the UFDC to be insignificant.

Of the 80 SSC-specific gaps, 48 were identified as Medium or High by at least one of the gap analyses. For 25 of these 48 Medium and High priority gaps, there is either consistency in evaluation and priority assignment across the gap analyses or the UFDC assigns a higher priority. Gaps with consistent high priority evaluation receiving five or more high ratings include:

Cross-cutting gaps

- Thermal Profiles
- Examine Fuel After Storage
- Monitoring

SSC-specific gaps

- Cladding Delayed Hydride Cracking
- Cladding Hydride Reorientation and Embrittlement
- Casks/Canisters Atmospheric Corrosion (especially SCC at the welds)

In some instances, the UFDC gives a higher priority for additional R&D to gaps where experts disagree on the mechanisms (e.g., DHC and clad oxidation). Other differences in priorities are mostly because of differences in the various countries' or organizations' storage and transportation programs and ultimate waste disposal strategies. For example, the UFDC places a higher priority on many of the cladding gaps in an effort to maintain retrievability at the fuel assembly level.

For four gaps, the evaluation in the UFDC Gap Analysis (UFDC 2012a) is significantly different from that in other gap analyses. UFDC will address these gaps as follows:

- "Basket Weld Embrittlement" will be evaluated once detailed and realistic thermal profiles have been developed.
- "Bolted Cask MIC" and "Welded Canister MIC" will be addressed as part of the various container aqueous and atmospheric corrosion gaps.
- "Fuel Helium and Fission Gas Release" will be considered as part of fuel and cladding gaps.
- "Concrete Thermal Degradation of Mechanical Properties, Dry-out" will be analyzed as part of existing concrete gaps.

As stated in the UFDC Gap Analysis (UFDC 2012a) and UFDC Gap Prioritization (UFDC 2012b) reports, as more data are obtained, all gaps are subject to reevaluation of priority. Continued collaboration with other organizations and countries will ensure that the UFDC is pursuing the proper course to obtain the data and analyses necessary to develop the technical bases for continued safe and secure storage.

5. REFERENCES

10 CFR Part 72. Licensing Requirements for the Independent Storage of Spent Nuclear Fuel and High-Level Radioactive Waste. U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C.

ACI . 2007. *Code Requirements for Nuclear Safety Related Concrete Structures*. ACI349-06, American Concrete Institute, Farmington, Michigan.

Alexander DJ and RK Nanstand. 1995. "The Effects of Aging for 50,000 Hours at 343 °C on the Mechanical Properties of Type 308 Stainless Steel Weldments." In *The Seventh International Symposium on Environmental Degradation of Materials in Nuclear Power Systems—Water Reactors, Houston, Texas, August 7–10. Houston, Texas: NACE*. pp. 747–758.

Alonso C and L Fernandez. 2004. "Dehydration and Rehydration Processes of Cement Paste Exposed to High Temperature Environments." *Journal of Materials Science* 39:3015-3024.

ASTM. 2010. Standard Guide for the Evaluation of Materials Used in Extended Service of Interim Spent Nuclear Fuel Dry Storage Systems. ASTM C1562-10, ASTM International, West Conshohocken, Pennsylvania.

Bertero VV and M Polivka. 1972. *Influence of Thermal Exposures on Mechanical Characteristics of Concrete*. ACI Special Publication SP34, American Concrete Institute, Detroit, Michigan.

BRC. 2012. Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future, Report to the Secretary of Energy. Prepared by the Blue Ribbon Commission on America's Nuclear Future for the U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, D.C.

Chandra K, K Vivekanand, VS Raja, R Tewari, and GK Dey. 2011. "Low Temperature Thermal Ageing Embrittlement of Austenitic Stainless Steel Welds and Its Electrochemical Assessment." *Corrosion Science* 54:278–290.

EPRI. 2012. International Perspectives on Technical Data Gaps Associated with Extended Storage and Transportation of Used Nuclear Fuel. Draft, Electric Power Research Institute, Palo Alto, California.

EPRI. 2011. Extended Storage Collaboration Program (ESCP) Progress Report and Review of Gap Analyses. TR1022914, Electric Power Research Institute, Palo Alto, California.

EPRI. 2002. Technical Bases for Extended Dry Storage of Spent Nuclear Fuel. TR-1003416, Electric Power Research Institute, Palo Alto, California.

Farage MCR, J Secombe, and C Galle. 2003. "Rehydration and Microstructure of Cement Paste After Heating at Temperatures up to 300 °C." *Cement and Concrete Research* 33:1047-1056.

Ferry C, C Poinssot, V Broudic, C Cappelaere, L Desgranges, P Garcia, C Jegou, P Lovera, P Marimbeau, J-P Piron, A Poulesquen, D Roudil, J-M Gras, and P Bouffioux. 2005. *Synthesis on the Spent Fuel Long Term Evolution*. CEA-R-6084, Commissariat À L'Énergie Atomique, Paris, France.

IAEA. 2007. Operation and Maintenance of Spent Fuel Storage and Transportation Casks/Containers. IAEA-TECDOC-1532, International Atomic Energy Agency, Vienna, Austria.

IAEA. 2002. Long Term Storage of Spent Nuclear Fuel - Survey and Recommendations. IAEA-TECDOC-1293, International Atomic Energy Agency, Vienna, Austria.

Ito K, K Kamimura, and Y Tsukuda. 2004. "Evaluation of Irradiation Effect on Spent Fuel Cladding Creep Properties." In *Proceedings of the 2004 International Meeting on LWR Fuel Performance*, p. 440. American Nuclear Society, La Grange Park, Illinois.

Kim YS. 2009. "Hydride Reorientation and Delayed Hydride Cracking of Spent Fuel Rods in Dry Storage." *Metallurgical and Materials Transactions A* 40A:2867-2875.

Lassmann K, CT Walker, J van de Laar, and F Lindström. 1985. "Modelling the High Burnup UO₂ Structure in LWR Fuel." *Journal of Nuclear Materials* 226:1-8.

Naus DJ. 2007. Primer on Durability of Nuclear Power Plant Reinforced Concrete Structures – A Review of Pertinent Factors. NUREG/CR-6927, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C.

Naus DJ. 2005. The Effect of Elevated Temperature on Concrete Materials and Structures – A Literature Review, Oak Ridge National Laboratory. NUREG/CR-6900, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C.

NRC. 2012a. *Identification and Prioritization of the Technical Information Needs Affecting Potential Regulation of Extended Storage and Transportation of Spent Nuclear Fuel.* Draft for comment. U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C.

NRC 2012b. Burnup Credit in the Criticality Safety Analyses of PWR Spent Fuel in Transport and Storage Casks. SFST-ISG-8, Rev 3 Draft, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C.

NRC. 2010. Standard Review Plan for Spent Fuel Dry Storage Systems at a General License Facility. NUREG-1536, Rev 1, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C.

NRC. 2007. Classifying the Condition of Spent Nuclear Fuel for Interim Storage and Transportation based on Function (formerly entitled "Damaged Fuel"). SFST-ISG-1, Rev. 2, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C.

NRC. 2000. Standard Review Plan for Spent Fuel Dry Storage Facilities. NUREG-1567, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C.

NWTRB. 2010. Evaluation of the Technical Basis for Extended Dry Storage and Transportation of Used Nuclear Fuel. U.S. Nuclear Waste Technical Review Board, Arlington, Virginia.

Shirai K, JTani, M Wataru, T Saegusa, and C Ito. 2011. "Long-Term Containment Performance of Test Metal Cask." In *Proceedings of the 13th International High-Level Radioactive Waste Management Conference (IHLRWMC) April 10-14, 2011, Albuquerque, New Mexico*, pp. 816-823. American Nuclear Society, La Grange Park, Illinois.

Sindelar RL, AJ Duncan, ME Dupont, PS Lam, MR Louthan Jr., and TE Skidmore. 2011. *Materials Aging Issues and Ageing Management for Extended Storage and Transportation of Spent Nuclear Fuel – Draft Report.* NUREG/CR-7116, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C.

UFDC. 2012a. *Gap Analysis to Support Extended Storage of Used Nuclear Fuel.* FCRD-USED-2011-000136 Rev. 0, PNNL-20509, Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy Used Fuel Disposition Campaign, Washington, D.C.

UFDC. 2012b. *Used Nuclear Fuel Storage and Transportation Data Gap Prioritization*. FCRD-USED-2012-000109 Draft, PNNL-21360, Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy Used Fuel Disposition Campaign, Washington, D.C.

Völzke H and D Wolff. 2011. Safety Aspects of Long Dry Interim Cask Storage of Spent Fuel in Germany. In *Proceedings of the 13th International High-Level Radioactive Waste Management Conference (IHLRWMC) April 10-14, 2011, Albuquerque, New Mexico*, pp. 712-719. American Nuclear Society, La Grange Park, Illinois.

APPENDIX A

UFDC Top Priority Storage and Transportation Gaps

Table A-1. UFDC Top Priority Gaps Sorted on Rank

Gap	Rank	Priority
Thermal profiles	1	Very High
Stress profiles	1	Very High
Monitoring – External	2	Very High
Welded canister – Atmospheric corrosion	2	Very High
Fuel Transfer Options	3	Very High
Monitoring – Internal	4	Very High
Welded canister – Aqueous corrosion	5	Very High
Bolted casks - Fatigue of seals & bolts	5	Very High
Bolted casks - Atmospheric corrosion	5	Very High
Bolted casks - Aqueous corrosion	5	Very High
Drying issues	6	Very High
Burnup credit	7	High
Cladding – H ₂ Effects: Hydride reorientation & embrittlement	7	High
Neutron poisons – Thermal aging	7	High
Moderator exclusion	8	High
Cladding – H ₂ Effects: DHC	9	High
Examination of the fuel at the INL	10	High
Cladding – Creep	11	Medium High
Fuel Assembly hardware – SCC for lifting hardware and spacer grids	11	Medium High
Neutron poisons – Embrittlement	11	Medium High
Cladding – Annealing of radiation damage	12	Medium High
Cladding – Oxidation	13	Medium
Neutron poisons – Creep	13	Medium
Neutron poisons – Corrosion (blistering)	13	Medium
Overpack - Freeze-thaw	14	Medium
Overpack - Corrosion of embedded steel	14	Medium