

## **Department of Energy**

Argonne Site Office 9800 South Cass Avenue Argonne, Illinois 60439

MAR 1 1 2015

Dr. Peter B. Littlewood Director, Argonne National Laboratory President, UChicago Argonne, LLC 9700 South Cass Avenue Argonne, IL 60439

Dear Dr. Littlewood:

SUBJECT: NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT (NEPA) DETERMINATION FOR ARGONNE NATIONAL LABORATORY (ARGONNE)

The Argonne Site Office (ASO) approves the following as a categorical exclusion (CX) under Appendix B (to 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Integrated DOE NEPA Implementing Procedures, December 1996), Category B 3.8 "Outdoor ecological/ environmental research in small area."

- Biomimetic Approaches for Water Smart Landscapes, ASO-CX-311

Therefore, no further NEPA review is required. However, if any modification or an expansion of the scope is made to the above project, additional NEPA review will be necessary.

Enclosed please find a copy of the approved Environmental Review Form (ERF) for the project. If you have any questions, please contact Kaushik Joshi of my staff at (630) 252-4226.

Sincerely, eng

Joanna M. Livengood Manager

Enclosure: As Stated

cc: J. Stauber, ANL, w/encl.
M. Negri, ANL, w/encl.
W. Brocker, ANL, w/encl.
K. Joshi, ASO, w/encl.
M. McKown, SC-CH, w/encl.
P. Siebach, SC-CH, w/encl.



## Environmental Review Form for Argonne National Laboratory

Project/Activity Title: BiomImetic approaches for water smart landscapes			
ASO NEPA Tracking No. ASO-CX-311 Type of Funding: LDRD			
B&R Code			
Identifying number: 015-170-NO WFO proposal # CRADA proposal #			
Work Project # ANL accounting # (item 3a in Field Work Proposal)			
Other (explain)			
Project Manager: Maria C. Negri Signature: Cherop C.C. Date: 2/17/15			
NEPA Owner: William A. Brocker Signature: Will Burk Date: 2/12/2015			
ANL NEPA Reviewer: Joel Stauber Signature: Joel Atothy Date: 2/17/15			
<ol> <li><u>Description of Proposed Action</u>: This project will develop a computer model of root distribution patterns under drought conditions and use data from green roofs and other green infrastructure to establish a parallel between the natural, modeled case and the engineered one. As part of this project Argonne will collect samples from existing green roofs and green infrastructure to analyze root development and soil parameters.</li> <li><u>Description of Affected Environment</u>: Existing installations in the Chicagoland area including potentially those owned by other organizations such as City of Chicago, Botanic Garden or others who will allow us to collect samples from their structures.</li> </ol>			
III. <u>Potential Environmental Effects:</u> (Attach explanation for each "yes" response.)			
A. Complete Section A for all projects.			
<ol> <li>Project evaluated for Pollution Prevention and Waste Minimization Yes X No poportunities and details provided under items 2, 4, 6, 7, 8, 16, and 20 below, as applicable</li> </ol>			
No opportunities for P3 were found.			
2. Air Pollutant Emissions			
3. Noise Yes No X			

÷

1

ANL-985 (12/06/2012)

4. Chemical/Oil Storage/Use	Yes No <u>X</u>
5. Pesticide Use	Yes No_X
6. Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs)	Yes No <u>X</u>
7. Biohazards	Yes No <u>X</u>
<ol> <li>Effluent/Wastewater (If yes, see question #12 and contact Gregg Kulma (FMS-SEP) at 2-9147 or gkulma@anl.gov</li> <li>Waste Management</li> </ol>	Yes No <u>X</u>
a) Construction or Demolition Waste	Yes No <u>X</u>
b) Hazardous Waste	Yes No <u>X</u>
c) Radioactive Mixed Waste	Yes No <u>X</u>
d) Radioactive Waste	Yes No <u>X</u>
e) PCB or Asbestos Waste	Yes No <u>X</u>
f) Biological Waste	Yes No <u>X</u>
g) No Path to Disposal Waste	Yes No <u>X</u>
h) Nano-material Waste	Yes No <u>X</u>
10. Radiation	Yes No_X
11. Threatened Violation of ES&H Regulations or Permit Requirement	S Yes No X
12. New or Modified Federal or State Permits	Yes No <u>X</u>
13. Siting, Construction, or Major Modification of Facility to Recover, Treat, Store, or Dispose of Waste	Yes No <u>X</u>
14. Public Controversy	Yes No <u>X</u>
15. Historic Structures and Objects	Yes No <u>X</u>
16. Disturbance of Pre-existing Contamination	Yes No <u>X</u>
17. Energy Efficiency, Resource Conserving, and Sustainable Design Features	Yes No <u>X</u>

18.	Threatened or Endangered Species, Critical Habitats, and/or other Protected Species	Yes	No <u>X</u>
19.	Wetlands	Yes	No <u>X</u>
20.	Floodplain	Yes	No X
21.	Landscaping	Yes	No <u>X</u>
22.	Navigable Air Space	Yes	No X
23.	Clearing or Excavation	Yes X	No
	Soil samples will be hand-collected using a soil corer.		
24.	Archaeological Resources	Yes	No X
25.	Underground Injection	Yes	No <u>X</u>
26.	Underground Storage Tanks	Yes	No <u>X</u>
27.	Public Utilities or Services	Yes	No <u>X</u>
28.	Depletion of a Non-Renewable Resource	Yes	No <u>X</u>
c.	For projects occurring outside of ANL complete Section C as well as Section	ons A and	В.
29.	Prime, Unique, or Locally Important Farmland	Yes	No <u>X</u>
30.	Special Sources of Groundwater (such as sole source aquifer)	Yes	No <u>X</u>
31.	Coastal Zones	Yes	No <u>X</u>
32.	Areas with Special National Designations (such as National Forests, Parks, or Trails)	Yes	No <u>X</u>
33.	Action of a State Agency in a State with NEPA-type Law	Yes	No <u>X</u>
34.	Class I Air Quality Control Region	Yes	No X

B. For projects that will occur outdoors, complete Section B as well as Section A.

## IV. <u>Subpart D Determination</u>: (to be completed by DOE/ASO)

Are there any extraordinary circumstances may affect the significance of the environ		Yes	No X
Is the project connected to other actions of or related to other proposed action with c		Yes	No X
If yes, is a categorical exclusion determina or 10 CFR 1021.211?	tion precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1	Yes	No
Can the project or activity be categorically of an Environment Assessment or Environ under Subpart D of the DOE NEPA Regulat	mental Impact Statement	Yes X	No
If yes, indicate the class or classes of actio project may be excluded. <u>APPENDIX</u> ENVIRONMENTAL RESEAR	n from Appendix A or B of Subpart D <u>B</u> , <u>B</u> <u>3</u> , <u>8</u> <u>OutDoc</u> RCH IN SMALL AREA ".	under wh	ich the <u>0 L0 &amp; I</u> CAL/

If no, indicate the NEPA recommendation and class(es) of action from Appendix C or D to Subpart D to Part 1021 of 10 CFR.

ASO NEPA C	oordinator Review: Kaushik Joshi			
Signature: _	KMJUSh.	Date: _	3-9-	2015

## ASO NCO Approval of CX Determination:

The preceding pages are a record of documentation that an action may be categorically excluded from further NEPA review under DOE NEPA Regulation 10 CFR Part 1021.400. I have determined that the proposed action meets the requirements for the Categorical Exclusion identified above.

Signature:

2015 Date:

Peter R. Siebach Acting Argonne Site Office NCO

ASO NCO EA or EIS Recommendation: NOT APPLI	CABLE
Class of Action:	
Signature:	Date:
Peter R. Siebach	
Acting Argonne Site Office NCO	
Concurrence with EA or EIS Recommendation: NOT AP	PLICABLE
CH GLD:	
Signature:	Date:
ASO Manager Approval of EA or EIS Recommendation:	NOT APPLICABLE
	/
An EA EIS shall be prepared for the proposed	and
shall serve as the document manager.	
Signature:	Date:
Dr. Joanna M. Livengood	
Manager	