

# Oak Ridge Site Specific Advisory Board Monthly Meeting



Wednesday, May 13, 2026, 6 p.m.

The mission of the Oak Ridge Site Specific Advisory Board (ORSSAB) is to provide informed advice and recommendations concerning site specific issues related to the Department of Energy's (DOE's) Environmental Management (EM) Program at the Oak Ridge Reservation. In order to provide unbiased evaluation and recommendations on the cleanup efforts related to the Oak Ridge site, the Board seeks opportunities for input through collaborative dialogue with the communities surrounding the Oak Ridge Reservation, governmental regulators, and other stakeholders.

# CONTENTS

## AGENDA

### BOARD BUSINESS

1. EM SSAB Draft Chairs Recommendation on Reuse and Recycling
2. ORSSAB Draft Recommendation on the Construction of the Environmental Management Disposal Facility (EMDF)

### REPORTS & MEMOS

1. Bartholomew Spring Chairs 26 Trip Report
2. FY 2026 Incoming Correspondence

### REFERENCE INFORMATION

1. Calendars: May & June (draft)



**Oak Ridge Site Specific Advisory Board**  
**Wednesday, May 13, 2026, 6:00 p.m.**  
Hybrid meeting  
**AGENDA**

---

- I. Welcome and announcements (K. Bartholomew) ..... 6:00–6:05
- II. Comments from federal and state agency representatives  
(M. Noe, R. Petrie, S. Urquhart-Foster, K. Czartoryski)..... 6:05–6:10
- III. Presentation: FY 2028 Budget Development/prioritization (K. Thompson) ..... 6:10–6:40  
**Issue Group:** *Bartholomew, Butler, Conner, Dill, LaLuzerne, McCurdy, Merz, Moore, Tuck*  
Note: Recommendation is required  
– *Members, please inform staff if you wish to join this or any other topic on the Work Plan.*
- IV. Public comment period (S. Kimel)..... 6:40-6:55
  - i. Questions for DOE on the presentation;
  - ii. Comments on other topics – Comments previously received to be read into the record;
  - iii. *Comments pertaining to this meeting will continue to be accepted by email to [orssab@orem.doe.gov](mailto:orssab@orem.doe.gov) by no later than 5 p.m. EST on **Friday, May 15, 2026.***
- V. Call for additions & motion to approve agenda (K. Bartholomew)..... 6:55
  - A. Any requests for new action items
  - B. Next meeting – 6 p.m. June 10

---

*This ends the presentation portion of the meeting – presenters and subject experts may depart*

---

- VI. Board Business (K. Bartholomew) ..... 7:00–7:10
  - A. Presentation of and vote on Chairs Recommendation on reuse/recycling (K. Bartholomew)
  - B. Discussion and potential vote on waste disposal recommendation (K. Bartholomew)
- VII. Responses to recommendations & DDFO’s report (M. Noe) ..... 7:10–7:15
- VIII. Committee reports..... 7:15–7:20
  - C. Executive (K. Bartholomew)
    - i. Next meeting – June 3
  - D. EM/Stewardship (O. Merz)
    - i. Next meeting – June 24
- IX. Additions to agenda & closing remarks (K. Bartholomew)..... 7:20–7:30
- X. Adjourn ..... 7:30



## **A Community Based Advisory Board Chartered by the Department of Energy**

### *Local Chapters:*

*Hanford · Idaho · Nevada · Northern New Mexico · Oak Ridge · Paducah · Portsmouth · Savannah River*

---

*INSERT DATE*

Mr. Timothy Walsh  
Assistant Secretary for the Office of Environmental Management  
U.S. Department of Energy  
1000 Independence Avenue, SW  
Washington, DC 20585

Dear Mr. Walsh:

During the April 29, 2026, Spring Chairs meeting, the Environmental Site-Specific Advisory Board (EM SSAB) received a presentation about the U.S. Department of Energy Environmental Management's (DOE-EM) Reuse and Recycle Community of Practice (RR CoP). The RR CoP endeavors to collaborate between interested program offices and sites to reduce costs by facilitating the reuse and recycling of excess materials and equipment across the DOE complex.

Each DOE-EM site has its own unique mission, timeline, and budget. These sites may overlook collaboration when immediate material needs arise. The RR CoP aims to bridge this gap by providing a way for the sites to prioritize reuse and recycling among themselves.

The EM SSAB Chairs understand that the RR CoP was informally chartered in 2023 and is relatively new. It is encouraging to see how DOE-EM Office of Infrastructure and D&D is integrating current site activities to ensure the reuse of federal assets across the DOE complex. This effort may ultimately reduce expenses to procure equipment and materials. The EM SSAB Chairs commend DOE-EM's efforts to save taxpayer dollars through the integration of RR CoP.

The EM SSAB Chairs support the RR CoP initiative and recommend that DOE-EM continue to foster the integration of this practice across the complex by increasing the number of sites involved with the goal of improving communication and awareness.

## Who We Are

*The EM SSAB is the DOE-EM's most effective vehicle for fostering two-way communication between DOE-EM and the communities it serves. The EM program is the world's largest environmental cleanup program, and the EM SSAB is its only citizen advisory board. For more than 30 years, the volunteer citizens of the EM SSAB have partnered with EM officials at both the local and national levels to ensure that the public has a meaningful voice in cleanup decisions.*

*Public participation is required/recommended as part of a number of environmental regulations. It is also good business practice, resulting in better decisions that often result in improved cleanup. Over the past three decades, EM SSAB members have volunteered over 50,000 hours of their time and submitted to EM officials over 1,800 recommendations, of which 84% have been fully or partially implemented, resulting in improved cleanup decisions.*

*The EM SSAB comprises approximately 160 people from communities in Georgia, Idaho, Kentucky, Nevada, New Mexico, Ohio, Oregon, South Carolina, Tennessee and Washington. The Board is cumulatively representative of a stakeholder population totaling millions of people who are affected by generator sites, transportation routes and disposal sites. As we move forward, the EM SSAB welcomes the opportunity to highlight the value of this unique volunteer board and discuss its priorities during the months and years ahead.*

Susan Coleman, Chair  
Hanford Advisory Board

Robert Skinner, Chair  
Idaho Cleanup Project CAB

Mark Hilton, Chair  
Nevada SSAB

Beverly Martin, Chair  
Northern New Mexico CAB

Kris Bartholomew, Chair  
Oak Ridge SSAB

Benjamin Stinnett, Chair  
Paducah CAB

Donna Carson, Chair  
Portsmouth SSAB

Deborah Creech, Acting Chair  
Savannah River Site CAB

cc: Kelly Snyder, Designated Federal Officer, EM-2.33  
Shannon Chester, DOE EM, EM-4.11



## Oak Ridge Site Specific Advisory Board Recommendation XXX: On the Construction of the Environmental Management Disposal Facility at the U.S. DOE Oak Ridge Reservation

### **Background**

Much of the Manhattan Project legacy waste for which the Department of Energy (DOE) Oak Ridge Environmental Management (OREM) program is responsible falls under the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) of 1980 (also known as the Superfund Act), which is a federal law regulating the cleanup of designated sites contaminated with hazardous waste.


The DOE Oak Ridge Reservation (ORR) is home to three large industrial sites with numerous buildings, burial grounds, soils, and other contaminated assets for which OREM has responsibility. CERCLA wastes from OREM cleanup activities at these sites [the East Tennessee Technology Park (ETTP), Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL), and the Y-12 National Security Complex (Y-12)] are in large part disposed of in an existing OREM landfill known as the Environmental Management Waste Management Facility (EMWMF) near Y-12.

EMWMF is a dedicated disposal facility in Bear Creek Valley designed to receive low-level radioactive and mixed wastes generated from CERCLA cleanup. EMWMF has been actively accepting ORR CERCLA waste since 2002, but its capacity is not sufficient to complete ORNL and Y-12 cleanup. The 28-acre site is approximately 86 percent full and is projected to reach capacity at the end of the 2020s or early 2030s.

In December 2010, DOE first announced that additional CERCLA waste disposal capacity on the ORR would be necessary because of the expansion of OREM scope in the years since the construction of EMWMF began. This need for additional capacity was initially primarily due to two factors: (1) the availability of American Recovery and Reinvestment Act funds that allowed OREM to accelerate clean-up projects within its scope at that time and (2) expansion of the OREM program in recent years to include the removal of outdated facilities at ORNL and Y-12. DOE estimated that additional capacity for approximately 2.2 million cubic yards of waste will be needed to complete ORR cleanup effort as set forth in the current plan.



*Aerial view of DOE waste disposal sites in Bear Creek Valley, including EMDF, left, and EMWMF, center*



Concept design of the Central Bear Creek Valley Site

Development of a new disposal area, named the Environmental Management Disposal Facility (EMDF), was proposed by DOE to the Tennessee Department of Environment and Conservation (TDEC) and the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), followed by *Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study for CERCLA Waste Disposal*, (DOE/OR/01-2535&D5), in 2012. Discussion of site selection continued in following years, culminating in a field study and updated version of the *Remedial Investigation/Feasibility Study* for the preferred site in early 2017. DOE, EPA, and TDEC

issued a Proposed Plan in September 2018 for public input. Several public events were held and comments were accepted. A final Record of Decision (DOE/OR/01-2794&D2/R2) was signed in 2022.

The project includes three phases: early site preparation, groundwater field demonstration study, and balance of construction. In 2024, employees completed early site preparation and completed field work for the groundwater field demonstration. Work for the study involved clearing a 30-acre area of land and installing a geosynthetic cover system to simulate the effect of the lined disposal cells on groundwater. Monitoring was scheduled over two wet seasons and will be completed in 2026. Results thus far have been positive and showed what DOE projections expected: groundwater elevations steadily declining.

### Discussion

The Oak Ridge Site Specific Advisory Board (ORSSAB) began discussing the need for additional CERCLA waste disposal capacity on the ORR at its December 2010 Environmental Management/Stewardship Committee meeting and has continued to follow developments and correspondence among the three agencies since that time. The board has supported DOE's plan for a new onsite disposal facility with the following recommendations:

- In June 2011, the board issued Recommendation 200: On the Decision Process for Siting a Second CERCLA Waste Disposal Facility.<sup>1</sup> The recommendation suggested early community and stakeholder involvement in the process of selecting a site. It asked DOE to carefully evaluate and reduce future disposal needs and fully consider lifecycle costs.
- In May 2014, ORSSAB issued Recommendation 223: On Additional Waste Disposal Capacity on the Oak Ridge Reservation.<sup>1</sup> It asked DOE to continue planning for an additional onsite disposal facility that would have sufficient capacity to accept all appropriate future generated waste through cleanup of the ORR. It made general recommendations as to safety and siting, and restated the board's position on long-term post-closure stewardship.
- In December 2016 ORSSAB issued Recommendation 233: On the Proposed Environmental Management Disposal Facility at the U.S. DOE Oak Ridge Reservation.<sup>1</sup> It asked DOE to ensure a trust fund for long term-stewardship. DOE responded that continuation of the concept of a trust fund for EMDF was contingent upon the state accepting such an agreement. While this was ultimately not the preferred plan, DOE's response also said it would be responsible for long-term stewardship of the facility in any case.

- In August 2018, ORSSAB issued Recommendation 240: On the Proposed Environmental Management Disposal Facility at the U.S. DOE Oak Ridge Reservation.<sup>1</sup> It asked DOE to aim for a reasonable period of overlap between closure of EMWMF and opening of EMDF to ensure no delay in cleanup. It reiterated the need for community engagement; careful evaluation of the size of the facility to ensure EMDF can accept all waste needed to finish cleanup on the ORR; and the need for a post-closure stewardship plan. It also recommended monitoring of hydrologic conditions at the site prior to completion of the design phase as well as future monitoring.

OREM presented its latest update on waste disposal to the board at its regular meeting on March 11, 2026. The presentation noted that OREM continues to work with regulators at EPA and TDEC on getting EMDF moving forward, and presenters said progress on the planning and design of the main site as well as ongoing water monitoring at the smaller demonstration facility, which should be completed early this year, are proceeding well.

The board heard that an increase in capacity at EMWMF and OREM's focus on reuse and recycling have helped reduce the amount of waste going into the landfill, which are both relevant to previous recommendations. Additionally, work schedules in the next few years will include more deactivation and decontamination, also known as pre-demolition work, which produces less waste that is suitable for EMWMF. These efforts will help ensure the recommended overlap between its closure and EMDF becoming operational.

Members saw the sites in person during a tour on March 19 and heard from employees at EMWMF. OREM guides discussed design improvements being made. As EMWMF has been operating for 24 years, there is significant experience to form best practices that will be used in construction of EMDF. Examples of upgrades to EMDF will include on-site water treatment facilities rather than trucking wastewater and leachate elsewhere for treatment as is done with the current site.

### **Recommendations**

ORSSAB continues to support onsite disposal of OREM CERCLA wastes that meet the onsite waste acceptance criteria. Board members wish to provide the following recommendations:

1. **Funding:** Ensure that funding is secured and prioritized to provide no less than two years overlapping operation of the proposed EMDF and the existing EMWMF given the fact that EMWMF is currently at 86 percent capacity. Whenever possible, DOE should seek efficiencies to accelerate design and construction of EMDF to enable it to open as early as possible.
2. **Community Engagement:** Although communication with stakeholders is part of the CERCLA process, emphasis should be placed on direct and iterative contact with the residential communities that are near the proposed EMDF site. We recommend these meetings include the status of the design, construction efforts, and final timelines for completion of each critical phase. Also, consideration should be given to publicizing dates, times and locations of the meetings to ensure the public is aware they are taking place.
3. **Waste Disposal Capacity:** As the EMDF design is finalized and construction begins, DOE should continue to evaluate and ensure that the facility will have the capacity to complete cleanup of the ORR. Wherever possible, DOE should seek programmatic efficiencies to reduce the volume of materials for onsite disposal.
4. **Contingency Planning:** Current plans presume EMDF will be open in time to provide overlap with closure of EMWMF. However, DOE should continue evolving its contingency plan/scheduling to ensure there will not be a work stoppage in cleanup if EMDF construction is delayed.

5. **Budget for Operation of the Completed Facility:** Funding should be allocated and prioritized for the post-construction phase that includes future monitoring and functioning of the facility for requirements stipulated in the ROD and other relevant documents.
  - a. Ensure monitoring continues during construction and ongoing operation.
6. **Water Treatment:** Since EMDF will have its own water treatment facilities, DOE should strongly consider designing those facilities to include capacity to also treat water from EMWMF. Specifically, piping water directly from EMWMF to EMDF would be ideal. This will be a safer and more cost-effective alternative to the current practice of trucking water to ORNL.
7. **Post-Closure Stewardship:** Develop a plan for the ongoing stewardship and funding mechanism for monitoring and maintenance of the facility once it is closed.

---

<sup>1</sup> Documents available at [energy.gov/orssab](http://energy.gov/orssab).



## Oak Ridge Site Specific Advisory Board

### TRIP REPORT

---

- I. Name of Traveler:** Kris Bartholomew
- II. Date(s) of Travel:** April 27 - May 1, 2026
- III. Location of Meeting:** Pasco, Washington
- IV. Name of Meeting:** 2026 Spring Chairs Meeting
- V. Purpose of Travel:**

Participate in 2026 Spring Chairs meeting and site tour of Hanford Site

**VI. Discussion of Meeting:**

4/28 Tour of Hanford Nuclear Site and the HAMMER Training and Educational Center where specialized training is performed. It is a federal facility that teaches specialized training for EMS, Hanford Site, Military, among other agencies. Some training examples are crane operator, lockout tagout, firefighting, respirator training, just to name a few. This was an extensive 7-hour tour that showcased all of the achievements that have been made along with obstacles that are still to come at the Hanford Nuclear Site.

4/29 & 4/30 Spring Chairs Meeting

Joel Bradburne gave an EM update and answered questions from the board on this topic.

Marianna Du Bosq gave a budget update with priorities in funding across the complex for EM. The budget is in excess of \$8 Billion annually.

Aaron White discussed NEPA changes and amendments that are being enacted. A couple of key changes that were given, (1) remove requirements for a Mitigation Action Plan, (2) establish that a third party may be allowed to prepare an environmental document for DOE approval.

Shannon Chester from the office of infrastructure and D&D talked about reuse and recycled community of practice this is a voluntary collaboration between sites to reduce cost for new acquisitions and disposal by reusing excess materials. (The chairs board has drafted a recommendation in support of implementing this program.)

Mark Bollinger gave an update and overview for WHIPP discussing new modernization efforts for equipment and infrastructure, as well as remaining capacity of WHIPP facility along with progress on panel 11 mining.

Greg Sosson presented an overview for the Defense Nuclear Facilities Safety Board (BNFSB) explaining how the board gives recommendations to DOE regarding safety, compliance, and technical procedures.

Jack Zimmerman who is Acting Associate Principal Deputy Assistant Secretary for Regulatory and Policy Affairs (What a title) gave a comprehensive update on small EM sites with progress reports for 13 sites across the country.

The presentations that were given were very well put together with a lot of detailed information. If any of these topics interest you please let me know and I can provide more detailed information. Additionally, I have the presenter packets and Hanford Site tour information that was given out and can provide copies.

**VII. Significance to ORSSAB:**

To gain a better understanding of current achievements and obstacles at all of the sites represented and to understand new technologies being implemented.

**VIII. Names & Telephone Numbers of Significant Contacts:**

**IX. Action Items:**

None

**X. Traveler's Signature & Date:**

Signature:  \_\_\_\_\_

Date: 5/8/26



## FY 2026 Incoming Correspondence

#	Date	To	From	Description	DOEIC, Notified board officers of receipt
148	3/26/2026	Petrie & Carden, DOE	Dawson, EPA	EPA Approval: EMDF Milestone Modification	DOEIC, Notified board officers of receipt
149	4/1/2026	Petrie, DOE	Marcillo, TDEC	TDEC Approval: EMDF Milestone Modification	DOEIC, Notified board officers of receipt
150	4/2/2026	Petrie, DOE	Marcillo, TDEC	TDEC Comments Federal Facility Agreement Milestone Revision Request for the East Tennessee Technology Park Zone 1 Groundwater Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Study	DOEIC, Notified board officers of receipt
151	4/2/2026	Petrie & McIntosh, DOE; Marcillo, TDEC	Dawson, EPA	EPA Approval: ETPP Zone 1 RIFS Modification	DOEIC, Notified board officers of receipt
152	4/3/2026	Petrie, DOE	Poe, EPA	EPA's Questions and Comments for the Addendum to the Removal Action Work Plan for the Y-12 Facilities Deactivation/Demolition Project, Oak Ridge, Tennessee A19 – Demolition of Facility 9720-17, Warehouse/Industrial (DOE/OR/01-2479&D1/A19)	DOEIC, Notified board officers of receipt
153	4/7/2026	Dawson, EPA & Marcillo, TDEC	Petrie, DOE	Transmittal of the Phased Construction Completion Report for Remediation of Soils in the West Bethel Valley Assessment Unit 8 at the Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge Tennessee (DOE/OR/01-3020&D2)	DOEIC, Notified board officers of receipt
154	4/7/2026	Dawson, EPA & Marcillo, TDEC	McIntosh & Petrie, DOE	Transmittal of the Addendum 4 (Exposure Unit Z2-11) to the Fiscal Year 2009 Phased Construction Completion Report for Zone 2 Exposure Units 11, 12, 17, 18, 29, and 38 at ETPP (DOE/OR/01-2415&D2/A4/R1)	DOEIC, Notified board officers of receipt
155	4/8/2026	Petrie, DOE	Marcillo, TDEC	Federal Facility Agreement Milestone Extension Request for the Oak Ridge National Laboratory Building 3544 Waste Handling Plan	DOEIC, Notified board officers of receipt



## FY 2026 Incoming Correspondence

#	Date	To	From	Description	DOEIC, Notified board officers of receipt
156	4/8/2026	Petrie, DOE	Marcillo, TDEC	Transmittal of the Time-Critical Action Memorandum for the Phase 1 Removal Action at the White Wing Scrap Yard, Oak Ridge National Priorities List Site, Oak Ridge, Tennessee (DOE/OR/01-3015&D1)	DOEIC, Notified board officers of receipt
157	4/9/2026	Petrie, DOE	Marcillo, TDEC	TDEC's priorities for mercury remediation at the Y-12 National Security Complex (Y-12).	DOEIC, Notified board officers of receipt
158	4/13/2026	Petrie, DOE	Amoroso, EPA	EPA's approval letter on the D2/A4/R1 EU Z2-11 PCCR	DOEIC, Notified board officers of receipt
159	4/15/2026	Dawson, EPA & Marcillo, TDEC	Mayton & Petrie, DOE	Submittal of the Explanation of Significant Differences for the Record of the Decision for the Disposal of Oak Ridge Reservation Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act Of 1980 Waste, Oak Ridge, Tennessee: Clean Water Act Part 1 – Radiological Discharge Limits (DOE/OR/01-2972&D3)	DOEIC, Notified board officers of receipt
160	4/15/2026	Dawson, EPA & Marcillo, TDEC	Mayton & Petrie, DOE	Transmittal of the WHP for WWSY, Oak Ridge National Priorities List Site, (DOE-OR-01-3023&D1)	DOEIC, Notified board officers of receipt
161	4/15/2026	Petrie, DOE	Marcillo, TDEC	TDEC Comments RE: Addendum 4 (Exposure Unit Z2-11) to the Fiscal Year 2009 Phased Construction Completion Report for Zone 2 Exposure Units 11, 12, 17, 18, 29, and 38 at East Tennessee Technology Park, Oak Ridge, Tennessee (DOE/OR/01-2415&D2/A4/R1)	DOEIC, Notified board officers of receipt
162	4/16/2026	Dawson, EPA & Marcillo, TDEC	Hill, DOE	Transmittal of the Covenant Deferral Request for the Proposed Title Transfer of the Balance of Property at the East Tennessee Technology Park, Oak Ridge, Tennessee (DOE/OR/01-3031) for Regulatory Review	DOEIC, Notified board officers of receipt



## FY 2026 Incoming Correspondence

#	Date	To	From	Description	DOEIC, Notified board officers of receipt
163	4/16/2026	Petrie, DOE	Poe, EPA	EPA No Additional Comments for Federal Facility Agreement Appendix I 5 Information Assessment for Upper East Fork Poplar Creek and Bear Creek, Oak Ridge, Tennessee (DOE/OR/01-3014&D2)	DOEIC, Notified board officers of receipt
164	4/20/2026	Petrie, DOE	Marcillo, TDEC	TDEC Comments Transmittal of the Federal Facility Agreement Appendix I 5 Information Assessment for Upper East Fork Poplar Creek and Bear Creek Valley, Oak Ridge, Tennessee (DOE/OR/01-3014&D2)	DOEIC, Notified board officers of receipt
165	4/21/2026	Dawson, EPA & Marcillo, TDEC	Clemons & Petrie, DOE	Transmittal of the Phased Construction Completion Report for Decontamination Laundry Demolition at the Oak Ridge National Laboratory (DOE/OR/01-3003&D2)	DOEIC, Notified board officers of receipt
166	4/21/2026	Petrie, DOE	Woolheater, EPA	EPA Approval Phased Construction Completion Report (PCCR) for Remediation of Soils in the West Bethel Valley Assessment Unit 8 (WBV AU 8) at the Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, Tennessee (DOE/OR/01-3020&D2)	DOEIC, Notified board officers of receipt
167	4/27/2026	Petrie, DOE	Marcillo, TDEC	TDEC Comments Re PCCR for Remediation of the Soils in the West Bethel Valley Assessment Unit 8 at the Oak Ridge National Laboratory (DOE-OR-01-3020&D2)	DOEIC, Notified board officers of receipt
168	4/27/2026	Dawson, EPA & Marcillo, TDEC	Petrie, DOE	Transmittal of the 2026 Remediation Effectiveness Report for the U.S. Department of Energy Oak Ridge Site Oak Ridge, Tennessee Data and Evaluations (DOEOR01-3018&D1)	DOEIC, Notified board officers of receipt
169	4/27/2026	Dawson, EPA & Marcillo, TDEC	McIntosh & Petrie, DOE	Transmittal of the RDWP for the Main Plant Area GW Interim ROD at the ETPP, OR, TN DOE-OR-01-3005&D2	DOEIC, Notified board officers of receipt



# Oak Ridge Site Specific Advisory Board

# May

# 2026

## Topic: FY 2028 Budget Development/prioritization

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
					<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b> Executive Committee meeting – 4 p.m. (virtual)	<b>7</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>10</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>13</b> Full Board Meeting – 6 p.m. (hybrid)	<b>14</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>17</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>23</b>
<b>24</b>	<b>25</b> Memorial Day – Board Offices Closed	<b>26</b>	<b>27</b> EM & Stewardship Committee Meeting – 6 p.m. (hybrid)	<b>28</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>30</b>
<b>31</b>						

For information about attending meetings virtually or in person, please email [orssab@orem.doe.gov](mailto:orssab@orem.doe.gov) at least 2 days prior to the scheduled meeting. Topic is tentative and subject to change.

**ORSSAB Support Office:** (865) 241-4583 or 241-4584

**DOE Information Center:** (865) 241-4780



## FY 2026 Incoming Correspondence

#	Date	To	From	Description	DOEIC, Notified board officers of receipt
170	4/27/2026	Dawson, EPA & Marcillo, TDEC	McIntosh & Petrie, DOE	Federal Facility Agreement Milestone Addition Request for the East Tennessee Technology Park Zone 2 Duct Banks Phased Construction Completion Report	DOEIC, Notified board officers of receipt
171	4/28/2026	Petrie, DOE	Marcillo, TDEC	Transmittal of the Addendum to the RmAWP for the Y-12 Facilities D&D Project, Oak Ridge, Tennessee A19 – Demolition of Facility 9720-17, Warehouse-Industrial (DOE-OR-01-2479&D1-A19)	DOEIC, Notified board officers of receipt
172	4/29/2026	McIntosh & Petrie, DOE	Dawson, EPA	EPA approval of the addition of the Milestone with a date of February 15, 2027 for the ETPP Zone 2 Duct Banks PCCR	DOEIC, Notified board officers of receipt
173	4/30/2026	Geimer, DOE Hanford; Schleif, WSDE; Buelow, EPA	Coleman, HAB	Re: Outreach Considerations for 2028 TRU/M and Other Upcoming Shipping Campaigns (HAB Consensus Advice #325)	DOEIC, Notified board officers of receipt
174	5/4/2026	Petrie, DOE	Marcillo, TDEC	TDEC Comments Federal Facility Agreement Milestone Addition Request for the East Tennessee Technology Park Zone 2 Duct Banks Phased Construction Completion Report	DOEIC, Notified board officers of receipt
175	5/4/2026	Petrie, DOE	Dawson, EPA	EPA Letter ETPP Zone 1 Soils Partial Deletion	DOEIC, Notified board officers of receipt
176	5/6/2026	Dawson, EPA & Marcillo, TDEC	Petrie, DOE	Federal Facility Agreement Milestone Appendix E And J Revisions	DOEIC, Notified board officers of receipt
177	5/6/2026	Dawson, EPA & Marcillo, TDEC	Carden & Petrie, DOE	Transmittal of the Remedial Design Report/Remedial Action Work Plan for the Environmental Management Disposal Facility (DOE/OR/01-3030&D1)	DOEIC, Notified board officers of receipt
178	5/7/2026	Petrie, DOE	Marcillo, TDEC	Extension Request for ESD for the ROD for the Disposal of Oak Ridge Reservation CERCLA Waste, Oak Ridge, Tennessee Clean Water Act (DOE-OR-01-2972&D3)	DOEIC, Notified board officers of receipt



# Oak Ridge Site Specific Advisory Board

# May

# 2026

## Topic: FY 2028 Budget Development/prioritization

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
					<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b> Executive Committee meeting – 4 p.m. (virtual)	<b>7</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>10</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>13</b> Full Board Meeting – 6 p.m. (hybrid)	<b>14</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>17</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>23</b>
<b>24</b>	<b>25</b> Memorial Day – Board Offices Closed	<b>26</b>	<b>27</b> EM & Stewardship Committee Meeting – 6 p.m. (hybrid)	<b>28</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>30</b>
<b>31</b>						

For information about attending meetings virtually or in person, please email [orssab@orem.doe.gov](mailto:orssab@orem.doe.gov) at least 2 days prior to the scheduled meeting. Topic is tentative and subject to change.

**ORSSAB Support Office:** (865) 241-4583 or 241-4584

**DOE Information Center:** (865) 241-4780



# Oak Ridge Site Specific Advisory Board

## June (draft)

## 2026

**Topic: Reindustrialization and Historic Preservation Activities at ETPP**

Sunday	Monday	Tuesday	Wednesday	Thursday	Friday	Saturday
	<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b> Executive Committee meeting – 4 p.m. (virtual)	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>10</b> Full Board Meeting – 6 p.m. (hybrid)	<b>11</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>13</b>
<b>14</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>20</b>
<b>21</b>	<b>22</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>24</b> EM & Stewardship Committee Meeting – 6 p.m. (hybrid)	<b>25</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>27</b>
<b>28</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>30</b>				

For information about attending meetings virtually or in person, please email [orssab@orem.doe.gov](mailto:orssab@orem.doe.gov) at least 2 days prior to the scheduled meeting. Topic is tentative and subject to change.

**ORSSAB Support Office: (865) 241-4583 or 241-4584**

**DOE Information Center: (865) 241-4780**