



Categorical Exclusion Determination Form

Proposed action title: Aerial Inspections at the Grand Junction, Colorado, Disposal Site

Program or field office: Office of Legacy Management (LM)

LM Categorical Exclusion Evaluation no.: CXE 15 - 25

Location(s) (LM site name or city, county, state): Grand Junction, Colorado, Disposal Site

Proposed Action Description

LM is proposing to conduct aerial inspections at the Grand Junction, Colorado, Disposal Site, located approximately 18 miles southeast of Grand Junction, using small uncrewed aircraft systems (sUASs). As the long-term custodian of the site, LM would conduct sUASs to maintain physical institutional controls and site security features to support ongoing evaluations included in the long-term management of the onsite disposal cell.

Categorical Exclusion(s) Applied

B1.3 – Routine maintenance

B3.1 – Site characterization and environmental monitoring

B3.2 – Aviation activities

For the DOE procedures regarding categorical exclusions, including the full text of each categorical exclusion, see Title 10 *Code of Federal Regulations* Part 1021.102 (10 CFR 1021.102) and Appendix B to [10 CFR 1021](#), and also Section 5.4 (*Applying one or more categorical exclusions to a proposal*) and Appendixes B and C to DOE's [National Environmental Policy Act \(NEPA\) Implementing Procedures](#) (June 30, 2025).

Requirements and guidance in 10 CFR 1021.102 and DOE's NEPA Implementing Procedures: (*see full text in regulation and in implementing procedures*)

- The proposal fits within a class of actions that is listed in Appendix B to 10 CFR 1021 or Appendix B and C to DOE's NEPA implementing procedures.

To fit within the classes of actions listed in Appendix B to 10 CFR 1021, or Appendix B of DOE's NEPA implementing procedures, a proposal must satisfy the conditions that are integral elements of the classes of actions in Appendix B of both 10 CFR 1021 and DOE's NEPA procedures.
- There are no extraordinary circumstances related to the proposal that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal. DOE or an applicant may modify the proposal to avoid reasonably foreseeable adverse significant effects such that the categorical exclusion would apply.
- The proposal has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

[Note: For proposals that fit within the categorical exclusions listed in Appendix C to DOE's NEPA Implementing Procedures, see DOE's notice of adoption for the subject Appendix C categorical exclusion for additional considerations. DOE notices of adoption for other agency categorical exclusions may be found on DOE's [Section 109: Adopting Categorical Exclusions](#) webpage.]

Based on my review of the proposed action, as NEPA compliance officer, I have determined that the proposed action fits within the specified class(es) of action, the other requirements and guidance set forth above are met, and the proposed action is hereby categorically excluded from further NEPA review.


NICOLE OLIN

Digitally signed by NICOLE
 OLIN
 Date: 2025.10.22 09:38:36
 -06'00'

NEPA Compliance Officer
 Select "Lock document after signing" upon signature.

Date determined