PMC-ND

(1.08.09.13)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY OFFICE OF ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND RENEWABLE ENERGY NEPA DETERMINATION



RECIPIENT: Pacific Ocean Energy Trust STATE: OR

PROJECT TITLE: Network Director for the TEAMER Program

Notice of Funding Opportunity Number Procurement Instrument Number NEPA Control Number CID Number

DE-EE0008895 GFO-0008895-048 GO8895

Based on my review of the information concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (authorized under DOE Policy 451.1), I have made the following determination:

CX, EA, EIS APPENDIX AND NUMBER:

Description:

B3.6 Small-scale research and development, laboratory operations, and pilot projects Siting, construction, modification, operation, and decommissioning of facilities for smallscale research and development projects; conventional laboratory operations (such as preparation of chemical standards and sample analysis); and small-scale pilot projects (generally less than 2 years) frequently conducted to verify a concept before demonstration actions, provided that construction or modification would be within or contiguous to a previously disturbed or developed area (where active utilities and currently used roads are readily accessible). Not included in this category are demonstration actions, meaning actions that are undertaken at a scale to show whether a technology would be viable on a larger scale and suitable for commercial deployment.

Rationale for determination:

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) is proposing to provide federal funding to the Pacific Ocean Energy Trust (POET) to administer the Testing and Access for Marine Energy Research (TEAMER) program. POET would collaborate with a Technical Board (TB) which would include representatives from DOE, DOE National Laboratories, and National Marine Renewable Energy Centers. The primary objective of TEAMER is to provide marine energy (ME) technology developers access to a network of facilities within the U.S. which provide testing and modeling assistance for ME technologies. Developers would apply for assistance through a competitive process.

DOE previously completed NEPA reviews which apply to all tasks. Considering the dynamic nature of TEAMER, however, POET conducts periodic reviews of facilities that request to join the TEAMER facility network. Any facility that requests to join the network or modify their existing support capabilities is subject to additional NEPA review in addition to a separate review conducted by POET and the TB.

For this review, the facilities identified by POET are:

- 1. Coastal Studies Institute (CSI) Wave Tank, Wanchese, North Carolina. The CSI wave tank would serve as a research and educational facility. Activities would consist of wave technology and marine energy research.
- 2. yet2, Waltham, Massachusetts. yet2 would assist with commercialization of new technology. Activities would be exclusively intellectual and analytical in nature.
- 3. Factor Growth, LLC, Petaluma, California. Factor Growth would assist with commercialization and growth. Activities would be exclusively intellectual and analytical in nature.

Project activities would occur in purpose-built facilities and would be consistent with their use. No new permits, facility modifications, or outdoor installations would be required. DOE has considered the scale, duration, and nature of proposed activities to determine potential impacts on resources, including those of an ecological, historical, cultural, and socioeconomic nature. DOE does not anticipate impacts on these resources which would be considered significant or require DOE to consult with other agencies or stakeholders.

If additional facilities are identified beyond those reviewed in this or previous determinations, or if the type of assistance offered by an approved facility is modified, additional NEPA reviews must be completed regarding those proposed changes.

For Categorical Exclusion Determinations:

- The proposal fits within a class of actions that is listed in Appendix B to 10 CFR Part 1021 or Appendix B and C of DOE's NEPA Implementing Procedures (June 30, 2025). To fit within the classes of actions listed in Appendix B to

10 CFR Part 1021, or Appendix B of DOE's NEPA Implementing Procedures, a proposal must satisfy the conditions that are integral elements of the classes of actions in Appendix B of both 10 CFR Part 1021 and DOE's NEPA Implementing Procedures.

- There are no extraordinary circumstances related to the proposed action that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal.
- The proposed action has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.
- The proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

NEPA PROVISION

DOE has made a conditional NEPA determination.

The NEPA Determination applies to the following Topic Areas, Budget Periods, and/or tasks:

All tasks are approved; however, selection of additional facilities, new activities, and Technical Support Recipients (TSRs) are subject to additional NEPA review.

The following facilities are approved to join the TEAMER facility network and provide technical support as described in this NEPA Determination:

- 1. Coastal Studies Institute (CSI) Wave Tank, Wanchese, North Carolina.
- 2. yet2, Waltham, Massachusetts.
- 3. Factor Growth, LLC, Petaluma, California.

The NEPA Determination does <u>not</u> apply to the following Topic Area, Budget Periods, and/or tasks:

Selections of additional facilities, new activities, and TSRs. Such additions are subject to additional NEPA review. All technical support activities must be completed by pre-approved facilities and must be the type of work to which a signed NEPA Determination applies.

Notes:

Water Power Technologies Office NEPA review completed by Andrew McClellan, 9 October 2025

SIGNATURE OF THIS MEMORANDUM CONSTITUTES A RECORD OF THIS DECISION.

	CONSTITUTES TIMECORD OF TIME DECISION	•	
NEPA Compliance Officer Signature:	Signed By: Nicole Serio	Date:	10/14/2025
	NEPA Compliance Officer	_	
FIELD OFFICE MANAGER DETERMINA	ATION		
✓ Field Office Manager review not required☐ Field Office Manager review required	I		
BASED ON MY REVIEW I CONCUR WIT	TH THE DETERMINATION OF THE NCO:		
Field Office Manager's Signature:		Date:	
	Field Office Manager		