## **PUBLIC SUBMISSION**

As of: 8/11/25 7:02 PM Received: August 03, 2025 Status: Pending Post

Tracking No. mdv-896s-9fyh Comments Due: August 08, 2025 Submission Type: API

**Docket:** DOE-HQ-2025-0240

Importation or Exportation of Liquified Natural Gas or Electric Energy, Applications, Authorizations, etc.: Mexico Pacific Ltd. LLC

Comment On: DOE-HQ-2025-0240-0001

Importation or Exportation of Liquified Natural Gas or Electric Energy, Applications, Authorizations, etc.: Mexico Pacific Ltd. LLC

**Document:** DOE-HQ-2025-0240-DRAFT-0413

Comment on FR Doc # 2025-12763

## **Submitter Information**

Name: Kari Sullinger Address: México city, Email: 23kisp@gmail.com Phone: +52 5541305945

## **General Comment**

## Dear DOE Officials,

I'm writing to ask you to \*\*reject Mexico Pacific Limited's (MPL) request for extra time\*\* to start exporting liquefied natural gas (LNG). Here's why:

- \*\*1. Bad for the Climate\*\*
- LNG leaks \*\*methane\*\*, a gas that's \*\*80+ times worse than CO2\*\* for global warming (IPCC, 2021).
- The U.S. has promised to \*\*cut emissions in half by 2030\*\*—more LNG exports make that harder (White House, 2021).
- \*\*2. Could Raise Energy Bills\*\*
- Sending more U.S. gas overseas \*\*drives up prices at home\*\* (EIA, 2023).
- MPL missed its original deadline, which suggests the project \*\*isn't even needed\*\* (IEA, 2024).
- \*\*3. Out of Step with Clean Energy Goals\*\*
- The U.S. is investing billions in \*\*wind, solar, and clean energy\*\* (Inflation Reduction Act, 2022). Why keep backing fossil fuels?
- \*\*What I'm Asking\*\*
- \*\*Deny MPL's extension request. \*\*
- \*\*Re-evaluate if this project still makes sense\*\* given climate goals.
- \*\*Focus on clean energy\*\* instead of more gas exports.

Thanks for your time.

Sincerely, Kari Sullinger