

# PUBLIC SUBMISSION

<b>As of:</b> 8/11/25 7:02 PM
<b>Received:</b> July 31, 2025
<b>Status:</b> Pending Post
<b>Tracking No.</b> mds-7ckf-zjj0
<b>Comments Due:</b> August 08, 2025
<b>Submission Type:</b> API

**Docket:** DOE-HQ-2025-0240

Importation or Exportation of Liquefied Natural Gas or Electric Energy; Applications, Authorizations, etc.: Mexico Pacific Ltd. LLC

**Comment On:** DOE-HQ-2025-0240-0001

Importation or Exportation of Liquefied Natural Gas or Electric Energy; Applications, Authorizations, etc.: Mexico Pacific Ltd. LLC

**Document:** DOE-HQ-2025-0240-DRAFT-0372

Comment on FR Doc # 2025-12763

---

## Submitter Information

**Name:** Anonymous Anonymous

**Email:** axelcortes420@gmail.com

---

## General Comment

Hello,

I don't believe this project's commencement deadline should only be extended, but entirely canceled, its environmental impact (by contributing to the incredible CO2 emissions from LNG shipment) regarding global climate change but also to the Gulf of California's territory would certainly have an irreparable negative impact to the fauna (whales and other sea life) and on the more sustainable local economy that a lot of the local population (in the states of Baja California, Baja California Sur, Sonora and Sinaloa) relies on, like fishing, sea food exporting and eco tourism. Nature's well being is directly related to the well being of the population, and this project is inherently antagonistic to the environment, thus also to the local population surrounding it.

To also appeal to the sensitivities of the US government, certainly the current one but not at all excluding previous administrations: disrupting the local sea-based economy of this territory (mainly fishing, exporting of seafood and eco tourism) will undoubtedly mean an erasure of jobs and even greater precarity of the northwestern population in Mexico, which leaves way to more people turning to dangerous local criminal organizations (narcos) and also to more people willing to risk crossing the northern border for a better economic opportunity, and both outcomes are clearly not in the interest of the US government or its people.