

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: 8/11/25 7:00 PM
Received: July 24, 2025
Status: Pending Post
Tracking No. mdh-vv4h-672h
Comments Due: August 08, 2025
Submission Type: API

Docket: DOE-HQ-2025-0240

Importation or Exportation of Liquefied Natural Gas or Electric Energy; Applications, Authorizations, etc.: Mexico Pacific Ltd. LLC

Comment On: DOE-HQ-2025-0240-0001

Importation or Exportation of Liquefied Natural Gas or Electric Energy; Applications, Authorizations, etc.: Mexico Pacific Ltd. LLC

Document: DOE-HQ-2025-0240-DRAFT-0035

Comment on FR Doc # 2025-12763

Submitter Information

Name: Valentina Beneit

Email: vale4751@gmail.com

General Comment

To Amy Sweeney,
To any involved party,

The US should not intervene on Mexican waters to secure its energy independence. Mexicans have loudly opposed this project (physical protests as well as more than 300,000 signatures to be found here:

https://secure.avaaz.org/community_petitions/es/claudia_sheinbaum_y_joe_biden_las_ballenas_de_mexico_estan_en_grave_peligro/).

Saguaro goes against Mexico's climate goals (since we are still on the Paris Agreements) and our sovereignty to protect the Mexican Gulf. It would put native communities, whose livelihood depends on the sea, at risk by the undeniable negative impact project Saguaro would have on the ecosystem. The damage to the native species, as well as to passing-by species like whales, cannot be denied by science nor reason. The above mentioned are constitutional rights that can and should take parties to court if they decide to continue.

Further, the deadline shouldn't be the only thing being negotiated. The Mexican counterpart has not because of the big opposition they are facing. Betting for outdated oil extraction will not save the United States from its massive consumerist culture, its car dependency, its lack of food sovereignty.

This project is synonymous with ecocide. No one with "Sustainability" in their CV should back this.

Might greed not motivate this decision.