

PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: 8/11/25 7:00 PM
Received: July 24, 2025
Status: Pending Post
Tracking No. mdh-ug2x-2rpl
Comments Due: August 08, 2025
Submission Type: API

Docket: DOE-HQ-2025-0240

Importation or Exportation of Liquefied Natural Gas or Electric Energy; Applications, Authorizations, etc.: Mexico Pacific Ltd. LLC

Comment On: DOE-HQ-2025-0240-0001

Importation or Exportation of Liquefied Natural Gas or Electric Energy; Applications, Authorizations, etc.: Mexico Pacific Ltd. LLC

Document: DOE-HQ-2025-0240-DRAFT-0030

Comment on FR Doc # 2025-12763

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

Email: dbzflores5@gmail.com

General Comment

I strongly oppose the approval of any further deadline extensions for Mexico Pacific Limited LLC regarding the Saguaro LNG project under Docket No. 18-70-LNG. This project has demonstrated persistent administrative inefficiencies, poor strategic coordination, and an inability to meet fundamental project milestones — clear indicators of systemic mismanagement.

Granting yet another extension would not only reward organizational failure but also set a dangerous precedent of leniency in the enforcement of long-term export authorizations. After several years, the applicant has failed to demonstrate credible progress or establish the infrastructure required for LNG re-export operations. This raises serious doubts about the project's viability and the applicant's operational capacity.

Moreover, the environmental impact of the Saguaro project remains deeply concerning. The region faces significant ecological sensitivity, and expanding LNG infrastructure — including cross-border transport and coastal terminal operations — poses severe risks to marine biodiversity, air quality, and local communities. It is unacceptable to continue supporting a project with such high carbon intensity at a time when the U.S. is working toward climate goals and transitioning away from fossil fuel dependency.

This application does not merit further accommodation. I urge to reject the extension and reevaluate the authorization entirely based on both environmental harm and proven project mismanagement.