# Overview - Capture R&D

## **Duty** (paraphrased)

Develop recommendations for relevant Federal agencies on **how to develop and research technologies** that can capture carbon dioxide and would be able to be deployed within the region covered by the task forces

## **Progress to Date**

- Convened a subset of volunteer members to begin working
- Compiled information from resources such as the NETL/DOE R&D Compendium and GAO's review of CCUS R&D programs
- Compared experiences with numerous federal R&D funding opportunities and analyzed the similarities and differences
- Identified major themes and topics for future discussion

#### **Example Framework Criteria**

Zampto Francovork Ortona	
	• TRL
(\$)	<ul><li>Cost</li><li>High Capture Efficiency</li><li>Energy Efficiency</li></ul>
	<ul> <li>Water, Chemical, Refrigerant Use</li> <li>Environmental Emission Concerns</li> <li>Co-Pollutant Capture</li> </ul>
£07.607	<ul><li>Durability/Robustness</li><li>Integration/Impact to Plant</li><li>Modular Scalability</li></ul>

The group has identified the following themes which future recommendations will seek to address:

- Acceleration of progression from lab 

   full deployment
- Ensuring diversity of tech and ecosystem
- Promoting community engagement and environmental equity and justice through R&D

### **Next Steps**

- Solicit input from participants
- Meet with DOE to discuss R&D priorities
- Confirm themes and draft recommendations for review and input

**Recommended Deliverable: Roadmap Format** 

## Input and Feedback Request

### **R&D Strategies**

- Experiences in applying for funding and the qualification criteria
  - Technological qualification
  - Company qualification
  - What's working well? What could be improved?
- Strategies to accelerate pilot success
   → (more) guaranteed scale-up funding
  - How ensure a smooth "conveyor belt" with appropriate down-selects
  - E.g., Matched exit/entry criteria
  - Consider in context of funding pools (VC vs. infra)
  - What testing centers are needed for scale-up?

## **Specific R&D Priorities**

- Specific perspectives sought:
  - Communities and stakeholders
  - Technology developers
  - Project developers
- Input sought
  - Items of concern
  - Items of hope
  - How is capture being addressed with communities, and what is the feedback? Does this identify gaps in knowledge/education, or gaps in R&D?
  - What are emerging issues that could be addressed through R&D?
- Input on R&D capture tech themes
- Input on trade-offs of criteria and R&D priorities
  - · How do developers prioritize across criteria?
  - How to balance or navigate competing community/stakeholder priorities?
    - Examples?