

Posting of Late-Filed Form Letter Comments

Between approximately February 15, 2024 and June 28, 2024, DOE received over 3,287 similarly worded emails from individuals expressing their opposition to the pending application to export liquefied natural gas (LNG) filed by Venture Global CP2 LNG, LLC (CP2 LNG) on December 2, 2021, and subsequently supplemented (Docket No. 21-131-LNG). The public comment period for the application closed prior to the submission of these comments, on March 11, 2022.

DOE's review indicates that these opposition comments are largely form letters, with their language being similar, if not identical. DOE is attaching 10 of the comments received for representative purposes. For any questions about the comments received, please contact fergas@hq.doe.gov.

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Secretary](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Please stop the CP2 LNG export project
Date: Sunday, April 21, 2024 8:18:18 AM

Dear Secretary Jennifer Granholm,

Thank you for pausing the approvals of pending LNG export projects, including the Calcasieu Pass 2 (CP2) project, while you review your process for determining if an application is in the public interest. This is an important step forward to ensure that DOE fully and accurately considers how LNG exports impact the climate, environmental justice, and domestic energy prices.

The current process has led to the near-universal approval of LNG exports, at the expense of communities harmed by extraction, transport, and export facilities; consumers facing rising energy prices; and the climate. U.S. LNG exports have doubled over the past four years, and projects currently under development are set to nearly double exports again. Analysis from the Sierra Club has found that lifecycle emissions of all existing and proposed LNG export terminals would be equivalent to 539 coal plants annually, putting the Biden administration's climate commitments out of reach. The U.S. Energy Information Administration (EIA) has also found that LNG exports are the driving force behind forecasted gas production growth. At a time when Americans across the country are experiencing ever-harsher climate impacts, it is imperative that DOE makes decisions about additional LNG infrastructure in a way that takes into account the climate costs of these projects.

Increased LNG exports also have significant ramifications for environmental justice communities and DOE should ensure that its public interest determinations follow the letter and spirit of President Biden's Executive Order on Revitalizing our Nation's Commitment to Environmental Justice for All. LNG exports pollute communities along the whole value chain of LNG production, including those located near fracking wells and pipelines, in the areas where LNG is liquefied and processed for export, and in the communities overseas where it is imported and combusted. By exacerbating climate change, LNG exports also pose a threat to environmental justice at home and abroad, because the impacts of climate change fall most heavily on low-income communities and communities of color.

LNG exports drive up household energy burdens across the country, and those effects can trickle down to other essential goods, such as food and fertilizer. Americans rank inflation as the top problem facing the country, and nearly 25% of Americans had to choose between paying for food and medicine or their energy bill at least once in the past year. DOE's public interest determination for LNG exports should consider the effect that these exports will have on US consumers already suffering from inflation.

Given the ramifications of the LNG export buildout on communities, the environment, and climate progress, it is clear that more LNG exports are not in the public interest.

I urge you to undertake substantial reforms to how DOE evaluates LNG exports and ultimately deny Venture Global's application to the Department of Energy to export LNG from their proposed CP2 facility and all similar applications due to the numerous negative impacts they would have on Gulf communities, the economy, and the climate.

Sincerely,
Sandra Overhoff
Onalaska, TX

This message does not originate from a known Department of Energy email system.
Use caution if this message contains attachments, links or requests for information.

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Secretary](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Please stop the CP2 LNG export project
Date: Saturday, April 20, 2024 11:36:02 AM

Dear Secretary Jennifer Granholm,

Thank you for pausing the approvals of pending LNG export projects, including the Calcasieu Pass 2 (CP2) project, while you review your process for determining if an application is in the public interest. This is an important step forward to ensure that DOE fully and accurately considers how LNG exports impact the climate, environmental justice, and domestic energy prices.

The current process has led to the near-universal approval of LNG exports, at the expense of communities harmed by extraction, transport, and export facilities; consumers facing rising energy prices; and the climate. U.S. LNG exports have doubled over the past four years, and projects currently under development are set to nearly double exports again. Analysis from the Sierra Club has found that lifecycle emissions of all existing and proposed LNG export terminals would be equivalent to 539 coal plants annually, putting the Biden administration's climate commitments out of reach. The U.S. Energy Information Administration (EIA) has also found that LNG exports are the driving force behind forecasted gas production growth. At a time when Americans across the country are experiencing ever-harsher climate impacts, it is imperative that DOE makes decisions about additional LNG infrastructure in a way that takes into account the climate costs of these projects.

Increased LNG exports also have significant ramifications for environmental justice communities and DOE should ensure that its public interest determinations follow the letter and spirit of President Biden's Executive Order on Revitalizing our Nation's Commitment to Environmental Justice for All. LNG exports pollute communities along the whole value chain of LNG production, including those located near fracking wells and pipelines, in the areas where LNG is liquefied and processed for export, and in the communities overseas where it is imported and combusted. By exacerbating climate change, LNG exports also pose a threat to environmental justice at home and abroad, because the impacts of climate change fall most heavily on low-income communities and communities of color.

LNG exports drive up household energy burdens across the country, and those effects can trickle down to other essential goods, such as food and fertilizer. Americans rank inflation as the top problem facing the country, and nearly 25% of Americans had to choose between paying for food and medicine or their energy bill at least once in the past year. DOE's public interest determination for LNG exports should consider the effect that these exports will have on US consumers already suffering from inflation.

Given the ramifications of the LNG export buildout on communities, the environment, and climate progress, it is clear that more LNG exports are not in the public interest.

I urge you to undertake substantial reforms to how DOE evaluates LNG exports and ultimately deny Venture Global's application to the Department of Energy to export LNG from their proposed CP2 facility and all similar applications due to the numerous negative impacts they would have on Gulf communities, the economy, and the climate.

Sincerely,
Carol Williams
Buffalo, NY

This message does not originate from a known Department of Energy email system.
Use caution if this message contains attachments, links or requests for information.

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Secretary](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Please stop the CP2 LNG export project
Date: Saturday, April 20, 2024 9:10:59 AM

Dear Secretary Jennifer Granholm,

Thank you for pausing the approvals of pending LNG export projects, including the Calcasieu Pass 2 (CP2) project, while you review your process for determining if an application is in the public interest. This is an important step forward to ensure that DOE fully and accurately considers how LNG exports impact the climate, environmental justice, and domestic energy prices.

The current process has led to the near-universal approval of LNG exports, at the expense of communities harmed by extraction, transport, and export facilities; consumers facing rising energy prices; and the climate. U.S. LNG exports have doubled over the past four years, and projects currently under development are set to nearly double exports again. Analysis from the Sierra Club has found that lifecycle emissions of all existing and proposed LNG export terminals would be equivalent to 539 coal plants annually, putting the Biden administration's climate commitments out of reach. The U.S. Energy Information Administration (EIA) has also found that LNG exports are the driving force behind forecasted gas production growth. At a time when Americans across the country are experiencing ever-harsher climate impacts, it is imperative that DOE makes decisions about additional LNG infrastructure in a way that takes into account the climate costs of these projects.

Increased LNG exports also have significant ramifications for environmental justice communities and DOE should ensure that its public interest determinations follow the letter and spirit of President Biden's Executive Order on Revitalizing our Nation's Commitment to Environmental Justice for All. LNG exports pollute communities along the whole value chain of LNG production, including those located near fracking wells and pipelines, in the areas where LNG is liquefied and processed for export, and in the communities overseas where it is imported and combusted. By exacerbating climate change, LNG exports also pose a threat to environmental justice at home and abroad, because the impacts of climate change fall most heavily on low-income communities and communities of color.

LNG exports drive up household energy burdens across the country, and those effects can trickle down to other essential goods, such as food and fertilizer. Americans rank inflation as the top problem facing the country, and nearly 25% of Americans had to choose between paying for food and medicine or their energy bill at least once in the past year. DOE's public interest determination for LNG exports should consider the effect that these exports will have on US consumers already suffering from inflation.

Given the ramifications of the LNG export buildout on communities, the environment, and climate progress, it is clear that more LNG exports are not in the public interest.

I urge you to undertake substantial reforms to how DOE evaluates LNG exports and ultimately deny Venture Global's application to the Department of Energy to export LNG from their proposed CP2 facility and all similar applications due to the numerous negative impacts they would have on Gulf communities, the economy, and the climate.

Sincerely,
rebecca gillan
Hammond, LA

This message does not originate from a known Department of Energy email system.
Use caution if this message contains attachments, links or requests for information.

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Secretary](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Please stop the CP2 LNG export project
Date: Saturday, April 20, 2024 8:58:18 AM

Dear Secretary Jennifer Granholm,

Thank you for pausing the approvals of pending LNG export projects, including the Calcasieu Pass 2 (CP2) project, while you review your process for determining if an application is in the public interest. This is an important step forward to ensure that DOE fully and accurately considers how LNG exports impact the climate, environmental justice, and domestic energy prices.

The current process has led to the near-universal approval of LNG exports, at the expense of communities harmed by extraction, transport, and export facilities; consumers facing rising energy prices; and the climate. U.S. LNG exports have doubled over the past four years, and projects currently under development are set to nearly double exports again. Analysis from the Sierra Club has found that lifecycle emissions of all existing and proposed LNG export terminals would be equivalent to 539 coal plants annually, putting the Biden administration's climate commitments out of reach. The U.S. Energy Information Administration (EIA) has also found that LNG exports are the driving force behind forecasted gas production growth. At a time when Americans across the country are experiencing ever-harsher climate impacts, it is imperative that DOE makes decisions about additional LNG infrastructure in a way that takes into account the climate costs of these projects.

Increased LNG exports also have significant ramifications for environmental justice communities and DOE should ensure that its public interest determinations follow the letter and spirit of President Biden's Executive Order on Revitalizing our Nation's Commitment to Environmental Justice for All. LNG exports pollute communities along the whole value chain of LNG production, including those located near fracking wells and pipelines, in the areas where LNG is liquefied and processed for export, and in the communities overseas where it is imported and combusted. By exacerbating climate change, LNG exports also pose a threat to environmental justice at home and abroad, because the impacts of climate change fall most heavily on low-income communities and communities of color.

LNG exports drive up household energy burdens across the country, and those effects can trickle down to other essential goods, such as food and fertilizer. Americans rank inflation as the top problem facing the country, and nearly 25% of Americans had to choose between paying for food and medicine or their energy bill at least once in the past year. DOE's public interest determination for LNG exports should consider the effect that these exports will have on US consumers already suffering from inflation.

Given the ramifications of the LNG export buildout on communities, the environment, and climate progress, it is clear that more LNG exports are not in the public interest.

I urge you to undertake substantial reforms to how DOE evaluates LNG exports and ultimately deny Venture Global's application to the Department of Energy to export LNG from their proposed CP2 facility and all similar applications due to the numerous negative impacts they would have on Gulf communities, the economy, and the climate.

Sincerely,
Laurie Anderson
Georgetown, TX

This message does not originate from a known Department of Energy email system.
Use caution if this message contains attachments, links or requests for information.

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Secretary](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Please stop the CP2 LNG export project
Date: Saturday, April 20, 2024 1:26:57 AM

Dear Secretary Jennifer Granholm,

Thank you for pausing the approvals of pending LNG export projects, including the Calcasieu Pass 2 (CP2) project, while you review your process for determining if an application is in the public interest. This is an important step forward to ensure that DOE fully and accurately considers how LNG exports impact the climate, environmental justice, and domestic energy prices.

The current process has led to the near-universal approval of LNG exports, at the expense of communities harmed by extraction, transport, and export facilities; consumers facing rising energy prices; and the climate. U.S. LNG exports have doubled over the past four years, and projects currently under development are set to nearly double exports again. Analysis from the Sierra Club has found that lifecycle emissions of all existing and proposed LNG export terminals would be equivalent to 539 coal plants annually, putting the Biden administration's climate commitments out of reach. The U.S. Energy Information Administration (EIA) has also found that LNG exports are the driving force behind forecasted gas production growth. At a time when Americans across the country are experiencing ever-harsher climate impacts, it is imperative that DOE makes decisions about additional LNG infrastructure in a way that takes into account the climate costs of these projects.

Increased LNG exports also have significant ramifications for environmental justice communities and DOE should ensure that its public interest determinations follow the letter and spirit of President Biden's Executive Order on Revitalizing our Nation's Commitment to Environmental Justice for All. LNG exports pollute communities along the whole value chain of LNG production, including those located near fracking wells and pipelines, in the areas where LNG is liquefied and processed for export, and in the communities overseas where it is imported and combusted. By exacerbating climate change, LNG exports also pose a threat to environmental justice at home and abroad, because the impacts of climate change fall most heavily on low-income communities and communities of color.

LNG exports drive up household energy burdens across the country, and those effects can trickle down to other essential goods, such as food and fertilizer. Americans rank inflation as the top problem facing the country, and nearly 25% of Americans had to choose between paying for food and medicine or their energy bill at least once in the past year. DOE's public interest determination for LNG exports should consider the effect that these exports will have on US consumers already suffering from inflation.

Given the ramifications of the LNG export buildout on communities, the environment, and climate progress, it is clear that more LNG exports are not in the public interest.

I urge you to undertake substantial reforms to how DOE evaluates LNG exports and ultimately deny Venture Global's application to the Department of Energy to export LNG from their proposed CP2 facility and all similar applications due to the numerous negative impacts they would have on Gulf communities, the economy, and the climate.

Sincerely,
Carrol Shaw
Westerville, OH

This message does not originate from a known Department of Energy email system.
Use caution if this message contains attachments, links or requests for information.

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Secretary](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Please stop the CP2 LNG export project
Date: Saturday, April 20, 2024 1:17:08 AM

Dear Secretary Jennifer Granholm,

Thank you for pausing the approvals of pending LNG export projects, including the Calcasieu Pass 2 (CP2) project, while you review your process for determining if an application is in the public interest. This is an important step forward to ensure that DOE fully and accurately considers how LNG exports impact the climate, environmental justice, and domestic energy prices.

The current process has led to the near-universal approval of LNG exports, at the expense of communities harmed by extraction, transport, and export facilities; consumers facing rising energy prices; and the climate. U.S. LNG exports have doubled over the past four years, and projects currently under development are set to nearly double exports again. Analysis from the Sierra Club has found that lifecycle emissions of all existing and proposed LNG export terminals would be equivalent to 539 coal plants annually, putting the Biden administration's climate commitments out of reach. The U.S. Energy Information Administration (EIA) has also found that LNG exports are the driving force behind forecasted gas production growth. At a time when Americans across the country are experiencing ever-harsher climate impacts, it is imperative that DOE makes decisions about additional LNG infrastructure in a way that takes into account the climate costs of these projects.

Increased LNG exports also have significant ramifications for environmental justice communities and DOE should ensure that its public interest determinations follow the letter and spirit of President Biden's Executive Order on Revitalizing our Nation's Commitment to Environmental Justice for All. LNG exports pollute communities along the whole value chain of LNG production, including those located near fracking wells and pipelines, in the areas where LNG is liquefied and processed for export, and in the communities overseas where it is imported and combusted. By exacerbating climate change, LNG exports also pose a threat to environmental justice at home and abroad, because the impacts of climate change fall most heavily on low-income communities and communities of color.

LNG exports drive up household energy burdens across the country, and those effects can trickle down to other essential goods, such as food and fertilizer. Americans rank inflation as the top problem facing the country, and nearly 25% of Americans had to choose between paying for food and medicine or their energy bill at least once in the past year. DOE's public interest determination for LNG exports should consider the effect that these exports will have on US consumers already suffering from inflation.

Given the ramifications of the LNG export buildout on communities, the environment, and climate progress, it is clear that more LNG exports are not in the public interest.

I urge you to undertake substantial reforms to how DOE evaluates LNG exports and ultimately deny Venture Global's application to the Department of Energy to export LNG from their proposed CP2 facility and all similar applications due to the numerous negative impacts they would have on Gulf communities, the economy, and the climate.

Sincerely,
Lindsay Harissis
Rochester, NY

This message does not originate from a known Department of Energy email system.
Use caution if this message contains attachments, links or requests for information.

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Secretary](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Please stop the CP2 LNG export project
Date: Friday, April 19, 2024 8:39:09 PM

Dear Secretary Jennifer Granholm,

Thank you for pausing the approvals of pending LNG export projects, including the Calcasieu Pass 2 (CP2) project, while you review your process for determining if an application is in the public interest. This is an important step forward to ensure that DOE fully and accurately considers how LNG exports impact the climate, environmental justice, and domestic energy prices.

The current process has led to the near-universal approval of LNG exports, at the expense of communities harmed by extraction, transport, and export facilities; consumers facing rising energy prices; and the climate. U.S. LNG exports have doubled over the past four years, and projects currently under development are set to nearly double exports again. Analysis from the Sierra Club has found that lifecycle emissions of all existing and proposed LNG export terminals would be equivalent to 539 coal plants annually, putting the Biden administration's climate commitments out of reach. The U.S. Energy Information Administration (EIA) has also found that LNG exports are the driving force behind forecasted gas production growth. At a time when Americans across the country are experiencing ever-harsher climate impacts, it is imperative that DOE makes decisions about additional LNG infrastructure in a way that takes into account the climate costs of these projects.

Increased LNG exports also have significant ramifications for environmental justice communities and DOE should ensure that its public interest determinations follow the letter and spirit of President Biden's Executive Order on Revitalizing our Nation's Commitment to Environmental Justice for All. LNG exports pollute communities along the whole value chain of LNG production, including those located near fracking wells and pipelines, in the areas where LNG is liquefied and processed for export, and in the communities overseas where it is imported and combusted. By exacerbating climate change, LNG exports also pose a threat to environmental justice at home and abroad, because the impacts of climate change fall most heavily on low-income communities and communities of color.

LNG exports drive up household energy burdens across the country, and those effects can trickle down to other essential goods, such as food and fertilizer. Americans rank inflation as the top problem facing the country, and nearly 25% of Americans had to choose between paying for food and medicine or their energy bill at least once in the past year. DOE's public interest determination for LNG exports should consider the effect that these exports will have on US consumers already suffering from inflation.

Given the ramifications of the LNG export buildout on communities, the environment, and climate progress, it is clear that more LNG exports are not in the public interest.

I urge you to undertake substantial reforms to how DOE evaluates LNG exports and ultimately deny Venture Global's application to the Department of Energy to export LNG from their proposed CP2 facility and all similar applications due to the numerous negative impacts they would have on Gulf communities, the economy, and the climate.

Sincerely,
Kathleen Scafidi
Gulfport, MS

This message does not originate from a known Department of Energy email system.
Use caution if this message contains attachments, links or requests for information.

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Secretary](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Please stop the CP2 LNG export project
Date: Friday, April 19, 2024 7:09:44 PM

Dear Secretary Jennifer Granholm,

Thank you for pausing the approvals of pending LNG export projects, including the Calcasieu Pass 2 (CP2) project, while you review your process for determining if an application is in the public interest. This is an important step forward to ensure that DOE fully and accurately considers how LNG exports impact the climate, environmental justice, and domestic energy prices.

The current process has led to the near-universal approval of LNG exports, at the expense of communities harmed by extraction, transport, and export facilities; consumers facing rising energy prices; and the climate. U.S. LNG exports have doubled over the past four years, and projects currently under development are set to nearly double exports again. Analysis from the Sierra Club has found that lifecycle emissions of all existing and proposed LNG export terminals would be equivalent to 539 coal plants annually, putting the Biden administration's climate commitments out of reach. The U.S. Energy Information Administration (EIA) has also found that LNG exports are the driving force behind forecasted gas production growth. At a time when Americans across the country are experiencing ever-harsher climate impacts, it is imperative that DOE makes decisions about additional LNG infrastructure in a way that takes into account the climate costs of these projects.

Increased LNG exports also have significant ramifications for environmental justice communities and DOE should ensure that its public interest determinations follow the letter and spirit of President Biden's Executive Order on Revitalizing our Nation's Commitment to Environmental Justice for All. LNG exports pollute communities along the whole value chain of LNG production, including those located near fracking wells and pipelines, in the areas where LNG is liquefied and processed for export, and in the communities overseas where it is imported and combusted. By exacerbating climate change, LNG exports also pose a threat to environmental justice at home and abroad, because the impacts of climate change fall most heavily on low-income communities and communities of color.

LNG exports drive up household energy burdens across the country, and those effects can trickle down to other essential goods, such as food and fertilizer. Americans rank inflation as the top problem facing the country, and nearly 25% of Americans had to choose between paying for food and medicine or their energy bill at least once in the past year. DOE's public interest determination for LNG exports should consider the effect that these exports will have on US consumers already suffering from inflation.

Given the ramifications of the LNG export buildout on communities, the environment, and climate progress, it is clear that more LNG exports are not in the public interest.

I urge you to undertake substantial reforms to how DOE evaluates LNG exports and ultimately deny Venture Global's application to the Department of Energy to export LNG from their proposed CP2 facility and all similar applications due to the numerous negative impacts they would have on Gulf communities, the economy, and the climate.

Sincerely,
Charlotte Bippert
Wimberley, TX

This message does not originate from a known Department of Energy email system.
Use caution if this message contains attachments, links or requests for information.

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Secretary](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Please stop the CP2 LNG export project
Date: Thursday, April 18, 2024 7:19:49 AM

Dear Secretary Jennifer Granholm,

Thank you for pausing the approvals of pending LNG export projects, including the Calcasieu Pass 2 (CP2) project, while you review your process for determining if an application is in the public interest. This is an important step forward to ensure that DOE fully and accurately considers how LNG exports impact the climate, environmental justice, and domestic energy prices.

The current process has led to the near-universal approval of LNG exports, at the expense of communities harmed by extraction, transport, and export facilities; consumers facing rising energy prices; and the climate. U.S. LNG exports have doubled over the past four years, and projects currently under development are set to nearly double exports again. Analysis from the Sierra Club has found that lifecycle emissions of all existing and proposed LNG export terminals would be equivalent to 539 coal plants annually, putting the Biden administration's climate commitments out of reach. The U.S. Energy Information Administration (EIA) has also found that LNG exports are the driving force behind forecasted gas production growth. At a time when Americans across the country are experiencing ever-harsher climate impacts, it is imperative that DOE makes decisions about additional LNG infrastructure in a way that takes into account the climate costs of these projects.

Increased LNG exports also have significant ramifications for environmental justice communities and DOE should ensure that its public interest determinations follow the letter and spirit of President Biden's Executive Order on Revitalizing our Nation's Commitment to Environmental Justice for All. LNG exports pollute communities along the whole value chain of LNG production, including those located near fracking wells and pipelines, in the areas where LNG is liquefied and processed for export, and in the communities overseas where it is imported and combusted. By exacerbating climate change, LNG exports also pose a threat to environmental justice at home and abroad, because the impacts of climate change fall most heavily on low-income communities and communities of color.

LNG exports drive up household energy burdens across the country, and those effects can trickle down to other essential goods, such as food and fertilizer. Americans rank inflation as the top problem facing the country, and nearly 25% of Americans had to choose between paying for food and medicine or their energy bill at least once in the past year. DOE's public interest determination for LNG exports should consider the effect that these exports will have on US consumers already suffering from inflation.

Given the ramifications of the LNG export buildout on communities, the environment, and climate progress, it is clear that more LNG exports are not in the public interest.

I urge you to undertake substantial reforms to how DOE evaluates LNG exports and ultimately deny Venture Global's application to the Department of Energy to export LNG from their proposed CP2 facility and all similar applications due to the numerous negative impacts they would have on Gulf communities, the economy, and the climate.

Sincerely,
Bret Windhauser
New York, NY

This message does not originate from a known Department of Energy email system.
Use caution if this message contains attachments, links or requests for information.

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Secretary](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Please stop the CP2 LNG export project
Date: Wednesday, April 24, 2024 11:44:45 PM

Dear Secretary Jennifer Granholm,

Thank you for pausing the approvals of pending LNG export projects, including the Calcasieu Pass 2 (CP2) project, while you review your process for determining if an application is in the public interest. This is an important step forward to ensure that DOE fully and accurately considers how LNG exports impact the climate, environmental justice, and domestic energy prices.

The current process has led to the near-universal approval of LNG exports, at the expense of communities harmed by extraction, transport, and export facilities; consumers facing rising energy prices; and the climate. U.S. LNG exports have doubled over the past four years, and projects currently under development are set to nearly double exports again. Analysis from the Sierra Club has found that lifecycle emissions of all existing and proposed LNG export terminals would be equivalent to 539 coal plants annually, putting the Biden administration's climate commitments out of reach. The U.S. Energy Information Administration (EIA) has also found that LNG exports are the driving force behind forecasted gas production growth. At a time when Americans across the country are experiencing ever-harsher climate impacts, it is imperative that DOE makes decisions about additional LNG infrastructure in a way that takes into account the climate costs of these projects.

Increased LNG exports also have significant ramifications for environmental justice communities and DOE should ensure that its public interest determinations follow the letter and spirit of President Biden's Executive Order on Revitalizing our Nation's Commitment to Environmental Justice for All. LNG exports pollute communities along the whole value chain of LNG production, including those located near fracking wells and pipelines, in the areas where LNG is liquefied and processed for export, and in the communities overseas where it is imported and combusted. By exacerbating climate change, LNG exports also pose a threat to environmental justice at home and abroad, because the impacts of climate change fall most heavily on low-income communities and communities of color.

LNG exports drive up household energy burdens across the country, and those effects can trickle down to other essential goods, such as food and fertilizer. Americans rank inflation as the top problem facing the country, and nearly 25% of Americans had to choose between paying for food and medicine or their energy bill at least once in the past year. DOE's public interest determination for LNG exports should consider the effect that these exports will have on US consumers already suffering from inflation.

Given the ramifications of the LNG export buildout on communities, the environment, and climate progress, it is clear that more LNG exports are not in the public interest.

I urge you to undertake substantial reforms to how DOE evaluates LNG exports and ultimately deny Venture Global's application to the Department of Energy to export LNG from their proposed CP2 facility and all similar applications due to the numerous negative impacts they would have on Gulf communities, the economy, and the climate.

Sincerely,
Wendy Thiessen
Houston, TX

This message does not originate from a known Department of Energy email system.
Use caution if this message contains attachments, links or requests for information.
