

Posting of Late-Filed Form Letter Comments

Between approximately January 30, 2024 and February 16, 2024, DOE received over 66 similarly worded emails from individuals expressing their opposition to the pending application to export liquefied natural gas (LNG) filed by Venture Global CP2 LNG, LLC (CP2 LNG) on December 2, 2021, and subsequently supplemented (Docket No. 21-131-LNG). The public comment period for the application closed prior to the submission of these comments, on March 11, 2022.

DOE's review indicates that these opposition comments are largely form letters, with their language being similar, if not identical. DOE is attaching 10 of the comments received for representative purposes. For any questions about the comments received, please contact fergas@hq.doe.gov.

From: [Andie](#)
To: [Secretary](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] THANK YOU!!!
Date: Tuesday, January 30, 2024 8:02:07 AM

Dear Secretary Granholm,

I'm a licensed professional counselor who just wants a livable future for me and my generation.

I'm writing to express my deep, heartfelt gratitude for the Administration's recent decision to back down on approving CP2 and other LNG "climate bombs." THANK YOU!!!

So-called Liquified "Natural" Gas was once thought to be a sensible "bridge fuel", burning cleaner than coal or oil. However, according to new research by Dr. Robert Howarth at Cornell, LNG leaks profusely at every stage of the supply chain, releasing methane - a super-warming gas that is 80 times more potent than CO2 over a 10-year period. Because of this, LNG is as bad or WORSE for the climate than burning coal!

Under the Biden Administration, the U.S. has made powerful progress on climate and on methane in particular - but the unprecedented buildout of LNG threatened to erase all these gains and more.

Until now.

This wise and powerful decision to put the breaks on CP2 is a game-changer. Assuming you ultimately reject the terminal - and use the same criteria to other proposed LNG projects - the single greatest threat to our climate future will be extinguished. I have every confidence that you will do just that.

Once again, THANK YOU! I cannot overstate my excitement, relief, and profound hope at hearing this news. This is the right choice, and millions of climate-concerned Americans like me have your back!

Sincerely,

Andie Logan

Westland, Michigan

This message does not originate from a known Department of Energy email system.
Use caution if this message contains attachments, links or requests for information.

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Secretary](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] THANK YOU!!!
Date: Tuesday, January 30, 2024 8:01:56 AM

Dear Secretary Granholm,

I'm writing to express my deep, heartfelt gratitude for the Administration's recent decision to back down on approving CP2 and other LNG "climate bombs." THANK YOU!!!

So-called Liquified "Natural" Gas was once thought to be a sensible "bridge fuel", burning cleaner than coal or oil. However, according to new research by Dr. Robert Howarth at Cornell, LNG leaks profusely at every stage of the supply chain, releasing methane - a super-warming gas that is 80 times more potent than CO2 over a 10-year period. Because of this, LNG is as bad or WORSE for the climate than burning coal!

Under the Biden Administration, the U.S. has made powerful progress on climate and on methane in particular - but the unprecedented buildout of LNG threatened to erase all these gains and more.

Until now.

This wise and powerful decision to put the breaks on CP2 is a game-changer. Assuming you ultimately reject the terminal - and use the same criteria to other proposed LNG projects - the single greatest threat to our climate future will be extinguished. I have every confidence that you will do just that.

Once again, THANK YOU! I cannot overstate my excitement, relief, and profound hope at hearing this news. This is the right choice, and millions of climate-concerned Americans like me have your back!

Sincerely,

Lori Stephens

Waynesville, North Carolina

Sent from my iPhone

This message does not originate from a known Department of Energy email system.
Use caution if this message contains attachments, links or requests for information.

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Secretary](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] THANK YOU!!!
Date: Tuesday, January 30, 2024 8:01:54 AM

Dear Secretary Granholm,

All I can say is thank you!!!! I worry about my kids future and it helps to see leadership like yours! Stay strong!

I'm writing to express my deep, heartfelt gratitude for the Administration's recent decision to back down on approving CP2 and other LNG "climate bombs." THANK YOU!!!

So-called Liquified "Natural" Gas was once thought to be a sensible "bridge fuel", burning cleaner than coal or oil. However, according to new research by Dr. Robert Howarth at Cornell, LNG leaks profusely at every stage of the supply chain, releasing methane - a super-warming gas that is 80 times more potent than CO2 over a 10-year period. Because of this, LNG is as bad or WORSE for the climate than burning coal!

Under the Biden Administration, the U.S. has made powerful progress on climate and on methane in particular - but the unprecedented buildout of LNG threatened to erase all these gains and more.

Until now.

This wise and powerful decision to put the breaks on CP2 is a game-changer. Assuming you ultimately reject the terminal - and use the same criteria to other proposed LNG projects - the single greatest threat to our climate future will be extinguished. I have every confidence that you will do just that.

Once again, THANK YOU! I cannot overstate my excitement, relief, and profound hope at hearing this news. This is the right choice, and millions of climate-concerned Americans like me have your back!

Sincerely,

Preeti Jaggi

Decatur, Georgia

This message does not originate from a known Department of Energy email system.
Use caution if this message contains attachments, links or requests for information.

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Secretary](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] THANK YOU!!!
Date: Tuesday, January 30, 2024 8:01:38 AM

Dear Secretary Granholm,

I'm a mother who wants a livable future for our children.

I'm writing to express my deep, heartfelt gratitude for the Administration's recent decision to back down on approving CP2 and other LNG "climate bombs." THANK YOU!!!

So-called Liquified "Natural" Gas was once thought to be a sensible "bridge fuel", burning cleaner than coal or oil. However, according to new research by Dr. Robert Howarth at Cornell, LNG leaks profusely at every stage of the supply chain, releasing methane - a super-warming gas that is 80 times more potent than CO2 over a 10-year period. Because of this, LNG is as bad or WORSE for the climate than burning coal!

Under the Biden Administration, the U.S. has made powerful progress on climate and on methane in particular - but the unprecedented buildout of LNG threatened to erase all these gains and more.

Until now.

This wise and powerful decision to put the breaks on CP2 is a game-changer. Assuming you ultimately reject the terminal - and use the same criteria to other proposed LNG projects - the single greatest threat to our climate future will be extinguished. I have every confidence that you will do just that.

Once again, THANK YOU! I cannot overstate my excitement, relief, and profound hope at hearing this news. This is the right choice, and millions of climate-concerned Americans like me have your back!

Sincerely,

Jane Gorrell

New York, New York

Sent from my iPhone

This message does not originate from a known Department of Energy email system.
Use caution if this message contains attachments, links or requests for information.

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Secretary](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] THANK YOU!!!
Date: Tuesday, January 30, 2024 8:00:51 AM

Dear Secretary Granholm,

I'm a concerned human who just wants a livable future for me and my generation.

I'm writing to express my deep, heartfelt gratitude for the Administration's recent decision to back down on approving CP2 and other LNG "climate bombs." THANK YOU!!!

So-called Liquified "Natural" Gas was once thought to be a sensible "bridge fuel", burning cleaner than coal or oil. However, according to new research by Dr. Robert Howarth at Cornell, LNG leaks profusely at every stage of the supply chain, releasing methane - a super-warming gas that is 80 times more potent than CO2 over a 10-year period. Because of this, LNG is as bad or WORSE for the climate than burning coal!

Under the Biden Administration, the U.S. has made powerful progress on climate and on methane in particular - but the unprecedented buildout of LNG threatened to erase all these gains and more.

Until now.

This wise and powerful decision to put the breaks on CP2 is a game-changer. Assuming you ultimately reject the terminal - and use the same criteria to other proposed LNG projects - the single greatest threat to our climate future will be extinguished. I have every confidence that you will do just that.

Once again, THANK YOU! I cannot overstate my excitement, relief, and profound hope at hearing this news. This is the right choice, and millions of climate-concerned Americans like me have your back!

Sincerely,

Coleman Rogers

Lowell, Massachusetts

This message does not originate from a known Department of Energy email system.
Use caution if this message contains attachments, links or requests for information.

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Secretary](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] THANK YOU!!!
Date: Tuesday, January 30, 2024 7:57:15 AM

Dear Secretary Granholm,

I'm a 72 year old who wants a just and livable future for all

I'm writing to express my deep, heartfelt gratitude for the Administration's recent decision to back down on approving CP2 and other LNG "climate bombs." THANK YOU!!!

So-called Liquified "Natural" Gas was once thought to be a sensible "bridge fuel", burning cleaner than coal or oil. However, according to new research by Dr. Robert Howarth at Cornell, LNG leaks profusely at every stage of the supply chain, releasing methane - a super-warming gas that is 80 times more potent than CO2 over a 10-year period. Because of this, LNG is as bad or WORSE for the climate than burning coal!

Under the Biden Administration, the U.S. has made powerful progress on climate and on methane in particular - but the unprecedented buildout of LNG threatened to erase all these gains and more.

Until now.

This wise and powerful decision to put the breaks on CP2 is a game-changer. Assuming you ultimately reject the terminal - and use the same criteria to other proposed LNG projects - the single greatest threat to our climate future will be extinguished. I have every confidence that you will do just that.

Once again, THANK YOU! I cannot overstate my excitement, relief, and profound hope at hearing this news. This is the right choice, and millions of climate-concerned Americans like me have your back!

Sincerely,

Leslie Wharton

Bethesda, Maryland

Sent from my iPhone

This message does not originate from a known Department of Energy email system.
Use caution if this message contains attachments, links or requests for information.

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Secretary](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] THANK YOU!!!
Date: Tuesday, January 30, 2024 7:52:54 AM

Dear Secretary Granholm,

I'm a mother who fears for my family in a warming world.

I'm writing to express my deep, heartfelt gratitude for the Administration's recent decision to back down on approving CP2 and other LNG "climate bombs." THANK YOU!!!

So-called Liquified "Natural" Gas was once thought to be a sensible "bridge fuel", burning cleaner than coal or oil. However, according to new research by Dr. Robert Howarth at Cornell, LNG leaks profusely at every stage of the supply chain, releasing methane - a super-warming gas that is 80 times more potent than CO2 over a 10-year period. Because of this, LNG is as bad or WORSE for the climate than burning coal!

Under the Biden Administration, the U.S. has made powerful progress on climate and on methane in particular - but the unprecedented buildout of LNG threatened to erase all these gains and more.

Until now.

This wise and powerful decision to put the breaks on CP2 is a game-changer. Assuming you ultimately reject the terminal - and use the same criteria to other proposed LNG projects - the single greatest threat to our climate future will be extinguished. I have every confidence that you will do just that.

Once again, THANK YOU! I cannot overstate my excitement, relief, and profound hope at hearing this news. This is the right choice, and millions of climate-concerned Americans like me have your back!

Sincerely,

Judy Harris

Albany, New York

Sent from my iPhone

This message does not originate from a known Department of Energy email system.
Use caution if this message contains attachments, links or requests for information.

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Secretary](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] THANK YOU!!!
Date: Tuesday, January 30, 2024 7:49:31 AM

Dear Secretary Granholm,

I'm a writer and mother who fears for my family in a warming world.

I'm writing to express my deep, heartfelt gratitude for the Administration's recent decision to back down on approving CP2 and other LNG "climate bombs." THANK YOU!!!

So-called Liquified "Natural" Gas was once thought to be a sensible "bridge fuel", burning cleaner than coal or oil. However, according to new research by Dr. Robert Howarth at Cornell, LNG leaks profusely at every stage of the supply chain, releasing methane - a super-warming gas that is 80 times more potent than CO2 over a 10-year period. Because of this, LNG is as bad or WORSE for the climate than burning coal!

Under the Biden Administration, the U.S. has made powerful progress on climate and on methane in particular - but the unprecedented buildout of LNG threatened to erase all these gains and more.

Until now.

This wise and powerful decision to put the breaks on CP2 is a game-changer. Assuming you ultimately reject the terminal - and use the same criteria to other proposed LNG projects - the single greatest threat to our climate future will be extinguished. I have every confidence that you will do just that.

Once again, THANK YOU! I cannot overstate my excitement, relief, and profound hope at hearing this news. This is the right choice, and millions of climate-concerned Americans like me have your back!

Sincerely,

Susan Bernstein

Brookline, Massachusetts

Sent from my iPhone

This message does not originate from a known Department of Energy email system.
Use caution if this message contains attachments, links or requests for information.

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Secretary](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] THANK YOU!!!
Date: Tuesday, January 30, 2024 7:37:17 AM

Dear Secretary Granholm,

I'm a social scientist who fears for my family in a warming world.

I'm writing to express my deep, heartfelt gratitude for the Administration's recent decision to back down on approving CP2 and other LNG "climate bombs." THANK YOU!!!

So-called Liquified "Natural" Gas was once thought to be a sensible "bridge fuel", burning cleaner than coal or oil. However, according to new research by Dr. Robert Howarth at Cornell, LNG leaks profusely at every stage of the supply chain, releasing methane - a super-warming gas that is 80 times more potent than CO2 over a 10-year period. Because of this, LNG is as bad or WORSE for the climate than burning coal!

Under the Biden Administration, the U.S. has made powerful progress on climate and on methane in particular - but the unprecedented buildout of LNG threatened to erase all these gains and more.

Until now.

This wise and powerful decision to put the breaks on CP2 is a game-changer. Assuming you ultimately reject the terminal - and use the same criteria to other proposed LNG projects - the single greatest threat to our climate future will be extinguished. I have every confidence that you will do just that.

Once again, THANK YOU! I cannot overstate my excitement, relief, and profound hope at hearing this news. This is the right choice, and millions of climate-concerned Americans like me have your back!

Sincerely,

Julia Fine

Bethesda, Maryland

Sent from my iPhone

This message does not originate from a known Department of Energy email system.
Use caution if this message contains attachments, links or requests for information.

From: [REDACTED]
To: [Secretary](#)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] THANK YOU!!!
Date: Tuesday, January 30, 2024 7:29:48 AM

Dear Secretary Granholm,

I'm a grandfather who is angry about the lack of action on climate.

I'm writing to express my deep, heartfelt gratitude for the Administration's recent decision to back down on approving CP2 and other LNG "climate bombs." THANK YOU!!!

So-called Liquified "Natural" Gas was once thought to be a sensible "bridge fuel", burning cleaner than coal or oil. However, according to new research by Dr. Robert Howarth at Cornell, LNG leaks profusely at every stage of the supply chain, releasing methane - a super-warming gas that is 80 times more potent than CO2 over a 10-year period. Because of this, LNG is as bad or WORSE for the climate than burning coal!

Under the Biden Administration, the U.S. has made powerful progress on climate and on methane in particular - but the unprecedented buildout of LNG threatened to erase all these gains and more.

Until now.

This wise and powerful decision to put the breaks on CP2 is a game-changer. Assuming you ultimately reject the terminal - and use the same criteria to other proposed LNG projects - the single greatest threat to our climate future will be extinguished. I have every confidence that you will do just that.

Once again, THANK YOU! I cannot overstate my excitement, relief, and profound hope at hearing this news. This is the right choice, and millions of climate-concerned Americans like me have your back!

Sincerely,

Paul Cannaley

Westfield, Indiana

Sent from my iPhone

This message does not originate from a known Department of Energy email system.
Use caution if this message contains attachments, links or requests for information.
