PMC-ND

(1.08.09.13)

# U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY OFFICE OF ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND RENEWABLE ENERGY NEPA DETERMINATION



STATE: CA

**RECIPIENT:** University of California Riverside

PROJECT TITLE: Mild co-solvent pulping to decarbonize the paper and forest products sector

Notice of Funding Opportunity Number Procurement Instrument Number NEPA Control Number GFO-0011228 GO11228 GO11228

Based on my review of the information concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (authorized under DOE Policy 451.1), I have made the following determination:

## CX, EA, EIS APPENDIX AND NUMBER:

Description:

A9 Information gathering, analysis, and dissemination

B1.31 Installation or relocation of machinery and equipment

B3.6 Small-scale research and development, laboratory operations, and pilot projects Information gathering (including, but not limited to, literature surveys, inventories, site visits, and audits), data analysis (including, but not limited to, computer modeling), document preparation (including, but not limited to, conceptual design, feasibility studies, and analytical energy supply and demand studies), and information dissemination (including, but not limited to, document publication and distribution, and classroom training and informational programs), but not including site characterization or environmental monitoring. (See also B3.1 of appendix B to this subpart.)

Installation or relocation and operation of machinery and equipment (including, but not limited to, laboratory equipment, electronic hardware, manufacturing machinery, maintenance equipment, and health and safety equipment), provided that uses of the installed or relocated items are consistent with the general missions of the receiving structure. Covered actions include modifications to an existing building, within or contiguous to a previously disturbed or developed area, that are necessary for equipment installation and relocation. Such modifications would not appreciably increase the footprint or height of the existing building or have the potential to cause significant changes to the type and magnitude of environmental impacts.

Siting, construction, modification, operation, and decommissioning of facilities for smallscale research and development projects; conventional laboratory operations (such as preparation of chemical standards and sample analysis); and small-scale pilot projects (generally less than 2 years) frequently conducted to verify a concept before demonstration actions, provided that construction or modification would be within or contiguous to a previously disturbed or developed area (where active utilities and currently used roads are readily accessible). Not included in this category are demonstration actions, meaning actions that are undertaken at a scale to show whether a technology would be viable on a larger scale and suitable for commercial deployment.

# Rationale for determination:

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) is proposing to provide federal funding to the University of California Riverside (UCR) for the design, development, and assembly of a mild co-solvent paper pulping strategy that can reduce the carbon emissions compared to current pulping processes. Project efforts would include pulp optimization, pulp bleaching, lignin analysis, and technoeconomic and lifecycle analysis.

Design, development, and assembly of a 10-kilogram Co-solvent Enhanced Lignocellulosic Fractionation (CELF) reactor would occur at the College of Engineering Center for Environmental Research and Technology's pilot demonstration unit laboratories located at UCR in Riverside, California. Pulp optimization would occur using a preexisting 300 mL reactor at the State University of New York, College of Environmental Science and Foresty (SUNY-ESF) laboratories in Syracuse, New York. Pulp bleaching would occur at the University of Tennessee, Knoxville (UTK) laboratories in Knoxville Tennessee. Lignin analysis would occur at Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL) in Oak Ridge, Tennessee. Technoeconomic and life cycle analysis would occur at the Georgia Institute of Technology (GIT) laboratories in Atlanta, GA. These activities would occur over a two-year period.

Project efforts at UCR, SUNY-ESF, UTK and ORNL would involve the use and handling of various hazardous materials, including solvents, acids, bases, and bleaching agents. All such handling would occur in a laboratory setting and strictly follow proper hazardous material handling and disposal practices. All hazardous materials would be managed in accordance with federal, state, and local environmental regulations. Existing health and safety policies and procedures would be followed, including employee training, the use of proper protective equipment, engineering controls, monitoring, and internal assessments. Additional policies and procedures would be implemented as necessary as new health and safety risks are identified. No activities involving hazardous materials would occur at GIT.

While the project would include the installation of a 10-kilogram CELF reactor at the UCR laboratory, no ground disturbance, outdoor modifications or equipment retrofits would occur, and no permits would be required for this project award.

DOE has considered the scale, duration, and nature of proposed activities to determine potential impacts on resources, including those of an ecological, historical, cultural, and socioeconomic nature. DOE does not anticipate impacts on these resources that would be considered significant or require DOE to consult with other agencies or stakeholders.

Any work proposed to be conducted at a federal facility may be subject to additional NEPA review by the cognizant federal official and must meet the applicable health and safety requirements of the facility.

EERE is aware of the November 12, 2024, decision of Marin Audubon Society v. FAA, No. 23-1067 (D.C. Cir. Nov. 12, 2024). To the extent that a court may conclude that the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) regulations implementing NEPA are not judicially enforceable or binding on this agency action, EERE has nonetheless elected to follow those regulations at 40 C.F.R. Parts 1500-1508, in addition to DOE's procedures/regulations implementing NEPA at 10 C.F.R. Part 1021, to meet the agency's obligations under NEPA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 4321 et seq.

#### NEPA PROVISION

-	-		1	1	C* 1	TITI	1 , . , .
1 1	"	1 1	hac	madea	tinal		determination.
17	ν.	,,,	mas	illaut a	HIHAI		ucici illination.

Notes:

Industrial Efficiency and Decarbonization Office NEPA review completed by Chris Akios, 1/23/2024

### FOR CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATIONS

The proposed action (or the part of the proposal defined in the Rationale above) fits within a class of actions that is listed in Appendix A or B to 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D. To fit within the classes of actions listed in 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B, a proposal must be one that would not: (1) threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders; (2) require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators), but the proposal may include categorically excluded waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment actions or facilities; (3) disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases; (4) have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources, including, but not limited to, those listed in paragraph B(4) of 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B; (5) involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those listed in paragraph B(5) of 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B.

There are no extraordinary circumstances related to the proposed action that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal.

The proposed action has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion. This proposal is not connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.25(a)(1)), is not related to other actions with individually insignificant but cumulatively significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.27(b)(7)), and is not precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211 concerning limitations on actions during preparation of an environmental impact statement.

The proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

SIGNATURE OF	THIS MEMORAN	IDUM CONSTITUTES	A RECORD	OF THIS DECISION.

NEPA Compliance Officer Signature:	Signed By: Andrew Montano	Date:	2/25/2025	
	NEPA Compliance Officer			

# FIELD OFFICE MANAGER DETERMINATION

☐ Field Office Manager review required							
BASED ON MY REVIEW I CONCUR WITH THE DETERMINATION OF THE NCO:							
Field Office Manager's Signature:		Date:					
	Field Office Manager						