

**U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**  
**OFFICE OF ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND RENEWABLE ENERGY**  
**NEPA DETERMINATION**



**RECIPIENT:** University of Texas at Austin

**STATE:** TX

**PROJECT TITLE:** Thin Film Composite Membranes for Removal, Purification, and Recovery of Unsaturated Hydrocarbons

**Notice of Funding Opportunity Number**  
DE-FOA-0003206

**Procurement Instrument Number**  
DE-EE0011610

**NEPA Control Number**  
GFO-0011610-001

**CID Number**  
GO11610

**Based on my review of the information concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (authorized under DOE Policy 451.1), I have made the following determination:**

**CX, EA, EIS APPENDIX AND NUMBER:**

**Description:**

**A9 Information gathering, analysis, and dissemination**

Information gathering (including, but not limited to, literature surveys, inventories, site visits, and audits), data analysis (including, but not limited to, computer modeling), document preparation (including, but not limited to, conceptual design, feasibility studies, and analytical energy supply and demand studies), and information dissemination (including, but not limited to, document publication and distribution, and classroom training and informational programs), but not including site characterization or environmental monitoring. (See also B3.1 of appendix B to this subpart.)

**B1.31 Installation or relocation of machinery and equipment**

Installation or relocation and operation of machinery and equipment (including, but not limited to, laboratory equipment, electronic hardware, manufacturing machinery, maintenance equipment, and health and safety equipment), provided that uses of the installed or relocated items are consistent with the general missions of the receiving structure. Covered actions include modifications to an existing building, within or contiguous to a previously disturbed or developed area, that are necessary for equipment installation and relocation. Such modifications would not appreciably increase the footprint or height of the existing building or have the potential to cause significant changes to the type and magnitude of environmental impacts.

**B3.6 Small-scale research and development, laboratory operations, and pilot projects**

Siting, construction, modification, operation, and decommissioning of facilities for smallscale research and development projects; conventional laboratory operations (such as preparation of chemical standards and sample analysis); and small-scale pilot projects (generally less than 2 years) frequently conducted to verify a concept before demonstration actions, provided that construction or modification would be within or contiguous to a previously disturbed or developed area (where active utilities and currently used roads are readily accessible). Not included in this category are demonstration actions, meaning actions that are undertaken at a scale to show whether a technology would be viable on a larger scale and suitable for commercial deployment.

**Rationale for determination:**

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) is proposing to provide federal funding to the University of Texas at Austin (UT Austin) for the development and evaluation of new polymeric membrane materials with applications in olefin/paraffin separation, and fresh fruit and vegetable shipping.

Chemical synthesis, solvent processing of membrane materials, and membrane performance characterization would occur at UT Austin Laboratories in Austin, TX. Industrial scale solvent processing of membrane materials and pilot scale membrane module performance evaluation would occur at Membrane Technology and Research, Inc. (MTR) in Newark, NJ. Process modeling, technical economic analysis, and life cycle analysis would occur at BASF Corporate Headquarters in Houston, TX.

Project activities would include the use and handling of hazardous materials, such as organic chemical precursors, flammable/toxic solvents, and flammable/toxic gases under pressure (including ethylene, ethane, propylene, propane, hydrogen, hydrogen sulfide and acetylene). These efforts would occur exclusively within a laboratory setting and follow established environmental health and safety rules and guidelines. All hazardous materials would be managed in accordance with federal, state, and local regulations. Personal protective equipment including flame resistant lab coats, goggles, gloves, and respirators would be provided to protect researchers from exposure. The laboratories where project efforts would be completed are equipped with fume hoods and monitoring alarms for working with volatile materials. The project would also involve working with industrial machinery, which could cause bodily injury or death if misused. All project-related equipment would meet federal, state, and local requirements for industrial safety and project staff would be properly trained on their safe use.

While the project would include the installation of pilot scale apparatus at the MTR facility, no ground disturbance,

outdoor modifications or equipment retrofits would occur, and no permits would be required for this project award.

DOE has considered the scale, duration, and nature of proposed activities to determine potential impacts on resources, including those of an ecological, historical, cultural, and socioeconomic nature. DOE does not anticipate impacts on these resources that would be considered significant or require DOE to consult with other agencies or stakeholders.

EERE is aware of the November 12, 2024, decision of *Marin Audubon Society v. FAA*, No. 23-1067 (D.C. Cir. Nov. 12, 2024). To the extent that a court may conclude that the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) regulations implementing NEPA are not judicially enforceable or binding on this agency action, EERE has nonetheless elected to follow those regulations at 40 C.F.R. Parts 1500-1508, in addition to DOE's procedures/regulations implementing NEPA at 10 C.F.R. Part 1021, to meet the agency's obligations under NEPA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 4321 et seq.

## NEPA PROVISION

DOE has made a final NEPA determination.

Notes:

Industrial Efficiency and Decarbonization Office  
NEPA review completed by Chris Akios, 12/26/2024

## FOR CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATIONS

The proposed action (or the part of the proposal defined in the Rationale above) fits within a class of actions that is listed in Appendix A or B to 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D. To fit within the classes of actions listed in 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B, a proposal must be one that would not: (1) threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders; (2) require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators), but the proposal may include categorically excluded waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment actions or facilities; (3) disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases; (4) have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources, including, but not limited to, those listed in paragraph B(4) of 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B; (5) involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those listed in paragraph B(5) of 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B.

There are no extraordinary circumstances related to the proposed action that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal.

The proposed action has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion. This proposal is not connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.25(a)(1)), is not related to other actions with individually insignificant but cumulatively significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.27(b)(7)), and is not precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211 concerning limitations on actions during preparation of an environmental impact statement.

The proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

## SIGNATURE OF THIS MEMORANDUM CONSTITUTES A RECORD OF THIS DECISION.

NEPA Compliance Officer Signature:



Andrew Montano

NEPA Compliance Officer

Date: 1/2/2025

## FIELD OFFICE MANAGER DETERMINATION

- ☒ Field Office Manager review not required  
☐ Field Office Manager review required

## BASED ON MY REVIEW I CONCUR WITH THE DETERMINATION OF THE NCO :

Field Office Manager's Signature:

Field Office Manager

Date: