**DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY** 

Nonavailability

PROPOSED Nonavailability Waiver applicable to Domestically Assembled Solar Photovoltaics (PV) panels referred to as "Solar Modules" under Build America, Buy America Manufactured Product Provisions as Applied to Recipients of Department of Energy Federal

Financial Assistance under the Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant and Fiscal

Year 2022-2023 Congressionally Directed Spending Program

**AGENCY**: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY.

**ACTION**: Notice and request for public comment.

**DATES:** The proposed duration of the waiver would be from the effective date ("Effective

Date") of the proposed waiver until December 31, 2025 ("Expiration Date"). The waiver applies

to solar modules with Final Assembly in the United States (as defined below in the "Proposed

Waiver" section).

I. Proposed Waiver:

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY is proposing to issue a temporary, limited non-availability

partial waiver of the manufactured product requirements of Section 70914(a) of the Build

America, Buy America Act ("BABA") included in the Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act

(IIJA) (Pub. L. No. 117-58) for domestically assembled solar modules used in federal financial

assistance for infrastructure projects selected as of the Effective Date for an award by DOE

under the Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant Program (EECBG) and infrastructure

projects identified to be funded pursuant to Congressionally Directed Spending (CDS) for Fiscal

Years 2022-23 (CDS FY22-23), including all projects listed on the appendix to this proposed

waiver. This proposed waiver combines for efficiency multiple project specific non-availability

waivers into one waiver document to reduce paperwork and reduce administrative burdens for

project recipients and the U.S. Government.

1

EECBG is designed to assist states, local governments, and Tribes in implementing strategies to reduce energy use, to reduce fossil fuel emissions, and to improve energy efficiency. The program includes projects under several categories of activities such as energy distribution technologies, replacement of traffic signals and lights, and on-site renewable on or in a government building.

CDS FY22-23 refers to funding under provisions in the ENERGY AND WATER DEVELOPMENT APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2022 and THE ENERGY AND WATER DEVELOPMENT APPROPRIATIONS BILL, 2023, which provisions designate funds for a particular recipient, such as a nonprofit organization or a local government, for use on a specific project. These provisions are referenced as "Congressionally Directed Spending" in the U.S. Senate and "Community Project Funding" in the U.S. House of Representatives. Members of Congress were required to satisfy specific requirements under Senate and House rules in order to have their requests included in the above Appropriations Bills. Such requirements included publicly posting requests online and certifying the absence of financial interests in projects. The House rules also require Members to demonstrate community support for requests. These projects may have solar aspects such as lighting and energy generation.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY 's proposed waiver *requires domestic assembly* versus a waiver of the full manufactured product requirements, which would allow assembly to occur outside the United States. This waiver is intended to provide time needed for domestic solar module manufacturing capability to meet demand for BABA-compliant solar modules by supporting and encouraging continued investments while bringing the benefits of solar power to the U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY 's financial assistance recipients.

This proposed waiver would apply on or after the Effective Date until December 31, 2025, the Expiration Date for all new solar modules with Final Assembly in the United States. Solar modules where final assembly occurred outside the United States are not eligible for coverage under this waiver. "Final Assembly" means all operations involved in the transformation of individual solar cells and all other module components into a fully functional encapsulated module. For recipient expenditures to be covered by this waiver, the solar modules will need to

be installed by June 30, 2026. "Installed by" means modules being permanently fastened to an outdoor support structure at the project site. The U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY proposes to apply this waiver, if approved, to awards or selections made on or before the Effective Date under EECBG and to projects identified to be funded pursuant to CDS FY22-23.

In accordance with Section 70914(c) of the BABA, the U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY is providing notice that it is seeking a combined nonavailability waiver of the BABA manufactured product requirements for domestically assembled solar modules used in federal financial assistance awards for infrastructure projects under EECBG and CDS FY22-23, as stated above, due to the determination that compliant solar modules are not available in sufficient quality or quantity for use in U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY - funded infrastructure projects. The U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY conducted market research to determine availability of BABA compliant solar modules which included subject matter expert analysis of domestic solar production based on announcements and non-public manufacturing plans disclosed by manufacturers. Based on this market research, the U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY proposes to find that BABA-compliant solar modules are not produced in the United States in sufficient and reasonably available quantities for use in U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY assisted solar projects and will not become available in sufficient and reasonably available quantities until December 2025 or later. This proposed waiver, if finalized, will ensure recipients can effectively carry out the activities of their award in a timely manner while promoting domestic solar module manufacturing. The U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY seeks to issue this waiver on the basis of nonavailability in accordance with Section 70914(b)(2) of the BABA.

# II. Background

The Buy America preference set forth in section 70914(a) of BABA, requires all iron, steel, manufactured products, and construction materials used for infrastructure projects under federal financial assistance awards be produced in the United States.

Under section 70914(b) of BABA, 2 CFR 184.7 & 200.322, and in accordance with the Office of Management and Budget (OMB)'s Guidance Memorandum M-24-02, *Implementation Guidance* 

on Application of Buy America Preference in Federal Financial Assistance Programs for Infrastructure, the U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY may waive the BABA Buy America preference under an infrastructure program in any case in which it finds that: (i) applying the domestic content procurement preference would be inconsistent with the public interest ("public interest waiver"); (ii) types of iron, steel, manufactured products, or construction materials are not produced in the U.S. in sufficient and reasonably available quantities or of a satisfactory quality ("nonavailability waiver"); or (iii) the inclusion of iron, steel, manufactured products, or construction materials produced in the U.S. will increase the cost of the overall project by more than 25 percent ("unreasonable cost waiver"). All waivers must have a written explanation for the proposed determination; provide a period of not less than fifteen (15) calendar days for public comment on the proposed waiver; and submit the proposed waiver to the OMB Made in America Office for review to determine if the waiver is consistent with policy. The U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY is providing fifteen (15) calendar days for public comment on this waiver.

With \$98 billion in funding from Infrastructure Investment and Jobs Act ("IIJA"), Pub. L. No. 117-58, and H.R. 5376- Inflation Reduction Act of 2022 ("IRA"), the U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY is focused primarily on research and development, demonstration, and deployment programs to help to achieve carbon-free electricity in the U.S. by 2035 and a net-zero economy by 2050. The U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY is also responsible for strengthening and securing manufacturing and energy supply chains through financial assistance opportunities. This is consistent with Executive Order (EO) 14005 titled *Ensuring the Future is Made in All of America by All of America's Workers (86 FR 7475)* (Jan. 28, 2021). EO 14005 provides that the U.S. Government "should, consistent with applicable law, use terms and conditions of Federal financial assistance awards and Federal procurements to maximize the use of goods, products, and materials produced in, and services offered in, the United States." The U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY is committed to ensuring strong and effective domestic solar model domestic manufacturing capabilities consistent with EO 14005.

The U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY also provides grants to multiple recipients with individual projects that utilize solar modules. Nationwide demand includes use by other federal

agencies, state, local, and tribal governments in addition to private consumers. The U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY, in collaboration with the Environmental Protection (EPA) and the United States Department of Agriculture (USDA), analyzed anticipated demand for projects that may include demand for BABA-compliant solar modules. The U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY requirement is estimated to be approximately 75 MW<sub>dc</sub> to 150 MW<sub>dc</sub> through 2026 for BABA-compliant modules. During this timeframe, the expected total capacity of overall U.S. installations is 82,000 MW<sub>dc</sub>, of which U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY's BABA-compliant demand is only 0.1% of total domestic demand in this timeframe. For EPA, the estimate is approximately 3,300 MW<sub>dc</sub>. During this shorter timeframe, the expected total capacity of overall U.S. installations is 41,000 MW<sub>dc</sub>, of which EPA's BABA-compliant demand is estimated to be only approximately 8% of total domestic demand in this (shorter) timeframe. For USDA, the estimate is \$80 million through 2025, corresponding to a nameplate capacity of 300 MW<sub>dc</sub>. During this same timeframe the expected total capacity of overall U.S. installations is 41,000 MW<sub>dc</sub>, of which USDA's BABA-compliant demand is less than 0.7% of total domestic demand in this timeframe. The major driver for domestic solar supply-chain growth is the IRA tax credits, including the IRC §§48 and 45 clean energy investment and production tax credits and the IRC §§48E and 45Y "technology neutral" clean electricity investment and production tax credits, and the IRC §45X advanced manufacturing production tax credit, which provides perunit tax credits for the domestic production of polysilicon, wafers, cells, modules, backsheet, tracker components, and inverters, with rates of \$0.07 per W<sub>dc</sub> for modules and \$0.04 per W<sub>dc</sub> for cells. Moreover, the 10% domestic content bonus in IRA tax credits will increase competition for domestically produced modules from private developers, which could further impact grant recipients' ability to procure BABA-compliant modules.

Solar modules are manufactured products. Per BABA sections 70912(6)(A) and (B), manufactured products are considered to be produced in the United States if (i) the manufactured product was manufactured in the United States; and (ii) the cost of the components of the manufactured product that are mined, produced, or manufactured in the United States is greater than 55 percent of the total cost of all components of the manufactured product, unless another standard for determining the minimum amount of domestic content of the manufactured product has been established under applicable law or regulation.

Solar module components were analyzed by the U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY. Market research included subject matter expert analysis of domestic solar production based on announcements and non-public manufacturing plans disclosed by manufacturers. The cost of the cell is estimated to constitute the majority (67%) of the component cost of a module. U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY subject matter experts concluded cells will not likely be available from U.S. manufacturers in sufficient quantities until December 2025 or later. The next highest estimated module cost component is the metal frame, at 10%. Metal frames for c-Si modules are expected to be unavailable at a significant quantity from anywhere other than China for several years. The cost of the front glass and backsheet are each estimated at 7%, of the encapsulant at 4%, of the junction box at 3%, and all other components less than 1% each.

In order to support BABA compliance verification, U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY is considering step-certification following the expiration of this waiver, which is a type of certification process under which each handler (supplier, fabricator, manufacturer, processor, etc.) of the subject products and materials certifies that their step in the process was domestically performed. Each time a step in the manufacturing process takes place, the manufacturer delivers its work along with a certification of its origin. This process is common practice for verifying Buy America requirements for iron and steel.

# III. Waiver Justification

The U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY is proposing a temporary, limited partial nonavailability waiver of BABA manufactured product requirements for solar modules to apply to the use of domestically assembled modules that may incorporate foreign components. The United States is the second largest market for solar hardware, representing about 10%-15% of global solar demand. Developing and enhancing United States solar manufacturing will mitigate global supply chain challenges and meet decarbonization goals as well as benefit United States' workers, employers, and the economy. To reestablish domestic solar manufacturing in the United States, entities that produce and sell solar components will require a holistic industrial strategy to offset the 30-40% higher cost of domestic solar production relative to imported components. A

narrowly tailored BABA waiver will meet immediate solar demands while the domestic solar industry expands supply.

Domestically, the United States currently has 10,600 MW<sub>dc</sub>/year nameplate production capacity for CdTe modules and 47,000 MW<sub>dc</sub>/yr nameplate production capacity for c-Si modules. Market research indicates c-Si module production capacity was historically underutilized for a variety of reasons including foreign competition, workforce shortages, and obsolete production equipment, with about 3,700 MW<sub>dc</sub> actually produced and sold in 2023 compared to a nameplate capacity of 15,000 MW<sub>dc</sub>/yr at the end of 2023. Capacity for c-Si modules has continued growing significantly in 2024 and as production is ramping, utilization rates are expected to grow. As of November 2024, domestic c-Si cell production in the United States has just restarted and production is also anticipated to grow.

In addition to current production capacity, future domestic manufacturing indicates growth will result in substantially more BABA-compliant module supply. As of November 2024, over \$20 billion in planned solar investments have been announced at over 148 new and expanded manufacturing plants for modules, module parts and other hardware. U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY subject matter experts performed a probabilistic analysis of these announcements to identify a date when full BABA compliance may be achievable. Subject matter expert review identified technical delays from announced dates due to site readiness as well as likelihood of project success and considered the time required to ramp to full production capacities as well as announced offtake agreements. Overall analysis concludes that domestic manufactures will likely be capable of producing fully BABA-compliant modules in sufficient quantities for U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY financial assistance recipients no sooner than December 31, 2025. Thus, the U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY proposes to find that BABA-compliant solar modules are not produced in the United States in sufficient and reasonably available quantities for use in U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY assisted solar projects under EECBG and CDS FY22-23 and will not become available in sufficient and reasonably available quantities until December 2025 or later.

# IV. Impact Absent the Waiver

Without a waiver, the U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY anticipates most recipients with solar projects subject to BABA will develop, implement, and submit unavailability waiver packages for solar modules. This conclusion is based upon widely reported domestic sourcing challenges for BABA-compliant solar modules. The corresponding administrative burden will impact the cost and schedule of recipients, and in some cases diminish the use of solar projects, or, in extreme cases, deter overall participation. For those that participate and propose solar projects, recipient resources will be required to perform market research and submit unavailability packages. Project schedules will need to be extended to account for waiver development and waiver processing though final approval. These anticipated delays adversely impact numerous U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY goals of these projects, including climate action and energy justice.

The absence of a narrowly tailored BABA waiver will result in missed strategic opportunities to advance goals such as those within EO 14017 *American's Strategy to Secure the Supply Chain for a Robust Clean Energy Transition* and EO 14057 *Catalyzing Clean Energy Industries and Jobs Through Federal Sustainability,* in addition to the goals of EO 14005.

A narrowly tailored BABA waiver will support the establishment of a domestic solar supply chain. Fundamentally, the domestic content provisions in the IRA clean energy production and investment tax credits, including relating to IRC §§ 45, 45X, 45Y, 48, and 48E, including the domestic content bonus credit, constitute the significant driver for increasing the overall demand for domestic solar modules. Requiring full BABA compliance for federal financial assistance projects, as opposed to the narrowly tailored BABA compliance proposed in this waiver, would produce limited benefits for domestic solar manufacturing while potentially placing projects targeting vulnerable populations at risk.

# V. Assessment of Cost Advantage of a Foreign-Sourced Product

Under OMB Memorandum M-24-02, agencies are expected to assess "whether a significant portion of any cost advantage of a foreign-sourced product is the result of the use of dumped steel, iron, or manufactured products or the use of injuriously subsidized steel, iron, or

manufactured products' as appropriate before granting a waiver. The U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY 's analysis has concluded that this assessment is not applicable to this waiver, because this waiver is not based on cost advantage of foreign sourced products.

# VI. Duration of Waiver

This proposed waiver, if finalized, applies to expenditures on solar panels after the Effective Date and by December 31, 2025 the Expiration Date, so long as those panels are installed by June 30, 2026.

#### VII. Solicitation of Comments

The U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY has proposed to issue this waiver on the basis of nonavailability: This notice, posted on **December 13, 2024,** satisfies the requirement under section 70914 of BABA to publish any proposed BABA waiver and provide the public with a reasonable period of time for notice and comment. The U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY seeks public comment from all interested parties.

Input is sought from stakeholders, including, but not limited to, federal financial assistant applicants and recipients, manufacturers, installers and other stakeholders across sectors and geographies. In particular, the U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY seeks comment regarding the scope of this waiver and the following:

- Proposed dates of applicability, including effective date of the waiver and installed by date.
- Recommendations and comments regarding certification for BABA compliant solar
  modules. The U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY is considering step-certification
  following the expiration of this waiver, a type of certification process under which each
  handler (supplier, fabricator, manufacturer, processor, etc.) of the subject products and
  materials certifies that their step in the process was domestically performed. Each time a
  step in the manufacturing process takes place, the manufacturer delivers its work along
  with a certification of its origin.

Relevant information and comments will help the U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY to understand completely the facts surrounding the waiver request and the U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY 's proposed finding of nonavailability. This notice will be closed for comments on **December 28, 2024**.

To receive consideration as a public comment, Written comments should be sent to **buyamericangawaiver@hq.doe.gov.** Please place "2024 Solar Waiver" in the subject line when sending an email

For more information on the Build America, Buy America preference, please reference <a href="https://www.energy.gov/management/build-america-buy-america">https://www.energy.gov/management/build-america-buy-america</a> or <a href="www.MadeinAmerica.gov">www.MadeinAmerica.gov</a>
Confidential Business Information: Pursuant to 10 CFR 1004.11, any person submitting information that he or she believes to be confidential and exempt by law from public discourse should submit via email two well-marked copies: one copy of the document marked "confidential" including all the information believed to be confidential, and one copy of the document marked "non-confidential" with the information believed to be confidential deleted. Submit these documents via email. The U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY will make its own determination about the confidential status of the information and treat that information in accordance with the determination made based on all legal requirements.

# APPENDIX: Awards and Selections under the Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant and Fiscal Year 2022-2023 Congressionally Directed Spending Program

Program	Recipient
Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block	Anchorage, AK
Grant Program	Anchorage, Aix
Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block	Jefferson, AL
Grant Program	serieson, 112
Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block	Birmingham, AL
Grant Program	2g, <u>.</u>
Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block	Maricopa, AZ
Grant Program	1 /
Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block	Pima, AZ
Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Pinal, AZ
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Chandler, AZ
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Gilbert, Town of, AZ
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Glendale, AZ
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Mesa, AZ
Block Grant Program	DI : 47
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Phoenix, AZ
Block Grant Program	C 4. 1.1. A 7
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Scottsdale, AZ
Block Grant Program	Tugger A7
Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant Program	Tucson, AZ
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Contra Costa, CA
Block Grant Program	Contra Costa, CA
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Fresno, CA
Block Grant Program	Treshe, err
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Kern, CA
Block Grant Program	,
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Los Angeles, CA
Block Grant Program	2
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Monterey, CA
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Orange, CA
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Riverside, CA
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Sacramento, CA
Block Grant Program	

Energy Efficiency and Conservation	San Bernardino, CA
Block Grant Program	C D. CA
Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant Program	San Diego, CA
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	San Luis Obispo, CA
Block Grant Program	San Luis Obispo, CA
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	San Mateo, CA
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Anaheim, CA
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Bakersfield, CA
Block Grant Program	·
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Chula Vista, CA
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Fremont, CA
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Fresno, CA
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Irvine, CA
Block Grant Program	·
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Long Beach, CA
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Los Angeles, CA
Block Grant Program	<b>G</b> ,
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Oakland, CA
Block Grant Program	·
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Riverside, CA
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Sacramento, CA
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	San Diego, CA
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	San Francisco, CA
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	San Jose, CA
Block Grant Program	g
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Santa Ana, CA
Block Grant Program	g. 1. G.
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Stockton, CA
Block Grant Program	ELD CO
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	El Paso, CO
Block Grant Program	Lefference CO
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Jefferson, CO
Block Grant Program	A CO
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Aurora, CO
Block Grant Program	

Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Colorado Springs, CO
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Denver, CO
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	New Castle, DE
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Brevard, FL
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Collier, FL
Block Grant Program	*
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Escambia, FL
Block Grant Program	,
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Hillsborough, FL
Block Grant Program	2 ,
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Lake, FL
Block Grant Program	,
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Lee, FL
Block Grant Program	,
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Manatee, FL
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Marion, FL
Block Grant Program	11.1.1.1.1.1.1.1.1
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Miami-Dade, FL
Block Grant Program	Main Budy, 12
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Orange, FL
Block Grant Program	574450, 12
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Osceola, FL
Block Grant Program	550001, 12
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Palm Beach, FL
Block Grant Program	Tunn Bouon, TE
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Pasco, FL
Block Grant Program	1 4600, 1 2
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Pinellas, FL
Block Grant Program	1 menas, 1 E
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Polk, FL
Block Grant Program	- ·····, · -
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Sarasota, FL
Block Grant Program	~ 01000009 1 2
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Seminole, FL
Block Grant Program	~~····································
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	St. Johns, FL
Block Grant Program	20. 00mil., 1 L
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Volusia, FL
Block Grant Program	. 010010, 1 2
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Jacksonville, FL
Block Grant Program	buokboliville, i L
Diook Grant Program	

7 707 1 10	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Miami, FL
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Orlando, FL
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	St. Petersburg, FL
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Tampa, FL
Block Grant Program	1 /
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Clayton, GA
Block Grant Program	<b>,</b> ,
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Cobb, GA
Block Grant Program	C000, G/1
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	DeKalb, GA
Block Grant Program	Dekalo, GA
	Equavitle CA
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Forsyth, GA
Block Grant Program	Coming att CA
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Gwinnett, GA
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Atlanta, GA
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Honolulu, HI
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Boise City, ID
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Cook, IL
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	DuPage, IL
Block Grant Program	_
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Kane, IL
Block Grant Program	•
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Lake, IL
Block Grant Program	,
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Madison, IL
Block Grant Program	iviacioni, il
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	McHenry, IL
Block Grant Program	Wierremy, IL
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Will, IL
	W III, 1L
Block Grant Program	Chiana II
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Chicago, IL
Block Grant Program	I I DI
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Lake, IN
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Fort Wayne, IN
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Indianapolis, IN
Block Grant Program	

Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Wichita, KS
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Lexington-Fayette, Urban County
Block Grant Program	Government of, KY
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Louisville/Jefferson, Metropolitan
Block Grant Program	Government of, KY
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Jefferson, LA
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	St. Tammany, LA
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Baton Rouge, LA
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Lafayette, LA
Block Grant Program	,
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	New Orleans, LA
Block Grant Program	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Boston, MA
Block Grant Program	203011, 1.11 1
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Anne Arundel, MD
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Baltimore, MD
Block Grant Program	,
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Harford, MD
Block Grant Program	,
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Howard, MD
Block Grant Program	,
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Montgomery, MD
Block Grant Program	<b>5</b>
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Prince George's, MD
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Baltimore, MD
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Genesee, MI
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Kent, MI
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Oakland, MI
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Wayne, MI
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Detroit, MI
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Hennepin, MN
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Minneapolis, MN
Block Grant Program	

Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant Program	St. Paul, MN
	C. I. ' MO
Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant Program	St. Louis, MO
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Kansas City, MO
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	St. Louis, MO
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Wake, NC
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Charlotte, NC
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Durham, NC
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Greensboro, NC
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Raleigh, NC
Block Grant Program	<b>C</b> ,
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Winston-Salem, NC
Block Grant Program	,
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Lincoln, NE
Block Grant Program	,
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Omaha, NE
Block Grant Program	,
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Bergen, NJ
Block Grant Program	8,
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Burlington, NJ
Block Grant Program	8,
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Essex, NJ
Block Grant Program	,
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Monmouth, NJ
Block Grant Program	,
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Morris, NJ
Block Grant Program	,
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Union, NJ
Block Grant Program	·
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Jersey City, NJ
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Newark, NJ
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Albuquerque, NM
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Clark, NV
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Henderson, NV
Block Grant Program	
	·

Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Las Vegas, NV
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	North Las Vegas, NV
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Reno, NV
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Dutchess, NY
Block Grant Program	•
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Onondaga, NY
Block Grant Program	5-2-28, 5
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Orange, NY
Block Grant Program	Grange, 141
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Westchester, NY
Block Grant Program	westenester, ivi
<u> </u>	Decothorse Torre of NV
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Brookhaven, Town of, NY
Block Grant Program	D (C.1 NIV
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Buffalo, NY
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Hempstead, Town of, NY
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Islip, Town of, NY
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	New York, NY
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	North Hempstead, Town of, NY
Block Grant Program	•
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Oyster Bay, Town of, NY
Block Grant Program	<b>3</b>
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Cuyahoga, OH
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Hamilton, OH
Block Grant Program	Trainition, OTT
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Montgomery, OH
Block Grant Program	Wontgomery, Off
	Ctouls OII
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Stark, OH
Block Grant Program	0 4 01
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Summit, OH
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Warren, OH
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Cincinnati, OH
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Cleveland, OH
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Columbus, OH
Block Grant Program	·

Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Toledo, OH
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Toledo, Off
Block Grant Program	Oldahama City OV
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Oklahoma City, OK
Block Grant Program	Tulas OV
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Tulsa, OK
Block Grant Program	Cl. 1 OP
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Clackamas, OR
Block Grant Program	W. 11 OP
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Washington, OR
Block Grant Program	D 1 1 0 D
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Portland, OR
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Allegheny, PA
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Berks, PA
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Bucks, PA
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Chester, PA
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Cumberland, PA
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Delaware, PA
Block Grant Program	,
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Lancaster, PA
Block Grant Program	,
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Lehigh, PA
Block Grant Program	5 )
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Luzerne, PA
Block Grant Program	20241114, 111
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Montgomery, PA
Block Grant Program	Weingemery, 111
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Northampton, PA
Block Grant Program	1 tormanipton, 171
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Westmoreland, PA
Block Grant Program	wesunorciand, i A
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Vorle DA
•	York, PA
Block Grant Program  Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Dhiladalphia DA
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Philadelphia, PA
Block Grant Program	Dittalarmal DA
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Pittsburgh, PA
Block Grant Program	C I DD
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	San Juan, PR
Block Grant Program	0 11 00
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Greenville, SC
Block Grant Program	

Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Horry, SC
Block Grant Program	T
Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant Program	Lexington, SC
Č	D:-1.1 1 GC
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Richland, SC
Block Grant Program	0 4 1 00
Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant Program	Spartanburg, SC
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Knox, TN
Block Grant Program	,
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Memphis, TN
Block Grant Program	1
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Nashville-Davidson, Metropolitan
Block Grant Program	Government of, TN
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Bexar, TX
Block Grant Program	,
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Brazoria, TX
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Denton, TX
Block Grant Program	Benton, 111
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Fort Bend, TX
Block Grant Program	Tott Bond, 171
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Harris, TX
Block Grant Program	Hailis, 1A
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Hidalgo, TX
Block Grant Program	mango, 1A
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Montgomery, TX
Block Grant Program	Montgomery, 1A
	Towart TV
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Tarrant, TX
Block Grant Program	Trovic TV
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Travis, TX
Block Grant Program	Auliunton TV
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Arlington, TX
Block Grant Program	A4: TV
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Austin, TX
Block Grant Program	C CI '.' TW
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Corpus Christi, TX
Block Grant Program	D 11 TV
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Dallas, TX
Block Grant Program	EID TEXT
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	El Paso, TX
Block Grant Program	7
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Fort Worth, TX
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Garland, TX
Block Grant Program	

Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant Program Energy Effi		
Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant Program  Energy Efficiency and Conservation Spokane, WA	Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Houston, TX
Block Grant Program Energy Efficiency and Conservation Sonhomish, WA Block Grant Program Energy Efficiency and Conservation Sonhomish, WA		
Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant Program Energy Efficiency and Conservation Sonhomish, WA  Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant Program Energy Efficiency and Conservation Sonhomish, WA  Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant Program Energy Efficiency and	Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Irving, TX
Block Grant Program Energy Efficiency and Conservation Sonhomish,	Block Grant Program	
Block Grant Program Energy Efficiency and Conservation Sonhomish,	Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Laredo, TX
Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant Program  Energy Efficiency and Conserva		
Block Grant Program Energy Efficiency and Conservation Snohomish, WA Seattle, WA Block Grant Program Energy Efficiency and Conservation Spokane, WA		Lubbock, TX
Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant Program  Energy Efficiency and Conservation Sonhomish, WA  Scattle, WA  Spokane, WA		,
Block Grant Program Energy Efficiency and Conservation Sondomish, WA Seattle, WA  Souther Market City, UT  Arlington, VA  Salt Lake City, UT  Salt Lake City, UT  Arlington, VA  Salt Lake City, UT  Salt Lake City, UT  Arlington		Plano, TX
Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant Program  Energy Efficiency and Conservation Spokanc, WA		114110, 111
Block Grant Program Energy Efficiency and Conservation Spokane, WA		San Antonio TX
Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant Program  Energy Efficiency and Conservation Spokane, WA		Sun Mitomo, 171
Block Grant Program Energy Efficiency and Conservation Spokane, WA		Salt Lake City UT
Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant Program Energy Efficiency and Conservation Spokane, WA		San Lake City, O1
Block Grant Program Energy Efficiency and Conservation Sonhomish, WA Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant Program Energy Efficiency and Conservation Sonhomish, WA Energy Efficiency and Conservation Sonhomish, WA Energy Efficiency and Conservation Sonhomish, WA		Arlington VA
Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant Program  Energy Efficiency and Conservation Spokane, WA		Allington, VA
Block Grant Program Energy Efficiency and Conservation Spokane, WA		Chapterfield VA
Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant Program  Energy Efficiency and Conservation Snohomish, WA  Energy Efficiency and Conservation Souther Wa  Souther Wa  Souther Wa  Souther Wa  Souther Wa  Souther Wa		Chesterfield, VA
Block Grant Program Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant Program Energy Efficiency Spokane, WA	<u> </u>	D. C. XII
Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant Program  Energy Efficiency and Conserva		Fairfax, VA
Block Grant Program  Energy Efficiency and Conservation Snohomish, WA  Block Grant Program  Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant Program  Energy Efficiency and Conservation Snohomish, WA  Seattle, WA  Energy Efficiency and Conservation Spokane, WA		
Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant Program  Energy Efficiency and Conservation Sonohomish, WA  Seattle, WA  Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant Program  Energy Efficiency and Conservation Sonohomish, WA		Henrico, VA
Block Grant Program Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant Program Energy Efficiency and Energy Efficiency and Energy Efficiency and Energy Efficiency and Energy Effici		
Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant Program Energy Efficiency Spokane, WA		Loudoun, VA
Block Grant Program Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant		
Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant Program  Energy Efficiency and Conserva	Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Prince William, VA
Block Grant Program  Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant Program  Energy Ef	Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant Program Energy Efficiency and Conservation Spokane, WA	Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Chesapeake, VA
Block Grant Program  Energy Efficiency and Conservation Spokane, WA	Block Grant Program	
Block Grant Program  Energy Efficiency and Conservation Spokane, WA	Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Norfolk, VA
Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant Program Energy Efficiency and Conservation Seattle, WA Block Grant Program Energy Efficiency and Conservation Spokane, WA		
Block Grant Program  Energy Efficiency and Conservation Spokane, WA		Richmond, VA
Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant Program  Energy Efficiency and Conservation Seattle, WA Block Grant Program  Energy Efficiency and Conservation Spokane, WA		,
Block Grant Program Energy Efficiency and Conservation Seattle, WA Block Grant Program Energy Efficiency and Conservation Spokane, WA		Virginia Beach, VA
Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant Program  Energy Efficiency and Conservation Seattle, WA  Block Grant Program  Energy Efficiency and Conservation Spokane, WA	•	8
Block Grant Program  Energy Efficiency and Conservation Seattle, WA  Block Grant Program Energy Efficiency and Conservation Spokane, WA		Clark, WA
Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant Program Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant Program Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant Program Energy Efficiency and Conservation Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant Program Energy Efficiency and Conservation Energy Efficiency and Conservation Spokane, WA		<del>,</del> ···
Block Grant Program  Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant Program  Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant Program  Energy Efficiency and Conservation Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant Program  Energy Efficiency and Conservation Seattle, WA Block Grant Program Energy Efficiency and Conservation Spokane, WA		King WA
Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant Program Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant Program Energy Efficiency and Conservation Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant Program Energy Efficiency and Conservation Energy Efficiency and Conservation Spokane, WA		11115, 1111
Block Grant Program  Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant Program  Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant Program  Energy Efficiency and Conservation Seattle, WA  Block Grant Program Energy Efficiency and Conservation Spokane, WA		Pierce WA
Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant Program Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant Program Energy Efficiency and Conservation Energy Efficiency and Conservation Spokane, WA		Ticioc, WA
Block Grant Program  Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant Program  Energy Efficiency and Conservation  Spokane, WA		Snohomish WA
Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant Program Energy Efficiency and Conservation Spokane, WA	•	Shoholiish, WA
Block Grant Program Energy Efficiency and Conservation Spokane, WA		Coettle WA
Energy Efficiency and Conservation Spokane, WA		Seattle, WA
	· ·	C 1 WA
Block Grant Program		Spokane, WA
	Block Grant Program	

Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Dane, WI
Block Grant Program	,
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Madison, WI
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Milwaukee, WI
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Alabama
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Alaska
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Arizona
Block Grant Program	. 1
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Arkansas
Block Grant Program	0.1.0
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	California
Block Grant Program Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Colorado
Block Grant Program	Colorado
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Connecticut
Block Grant Program	Connecticut
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Delaware
Block Grant Program	Delaware
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	District of Columbia
Block Grant Program	District of Columnia
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Florida
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Georgia
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Hawaii
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Idaho
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Illinois
Block Grant Program	·
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Indiana
Block Grant Program	T
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Iowa
Block Grant Program	V
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Kansas
Block Grant Program  Energy Efficiency and Conservation	K ontualry
Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant Program	Kentucky
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Louisiana
Block Grant Program	Louisiana
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Maine
Block Grant Program	Manie
Dioth Giant Hogiani	

E ECC : 1C :	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Maryland
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Massachusetts
Block Grant Program	M: 1 '
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Michigan
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Minnesota
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Mississippi
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Missouri
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Montana
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Nebraska
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Nevada
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	New Hampshire
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	New Jersey
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	New Mexico
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	New York
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	North Carolina
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	North Dakota
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Ohio
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Oklahoma
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Oregon
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Pennsylvania
Block Grant Program	-
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Rhode Island
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	South Carolina
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	South Dakota
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Tennessee
Block Grant Program	

Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Texas
Block Grant Program	1 01.00
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Utah
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Vermont
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Virginia
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Washington
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	West Virginia
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Wisconsin
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Wyoming
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	American Samoa
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Puerto Rico
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Northern Mariana Islands
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	Guam
Block Grant Program	
Energy Efficiency and Conservation	U.S. Virgin Islands
Block Grant Program	

FY22 EERE Congressionally Directed Spending		
Congressionally Directed	Cogency Power Solar Project; Town of Rangely	
Spending		
Congressionally Directed	Fuel for Seniors: Energy Efficiency; The Towers Foundation	
Spending		
Congressionally Directed	New Jersey Green Hydrogen Dem- onstration Project; New	
Spending	Jersey Clean Cities Coalition	
Congressionally Directed	Heartland Green Energy and Man- ufacturing Valley	
Spending	Initiative; Southern Ohio Diversification Initiative	
Congressionally Directed	Twin Lakes Reservoir Floating Solar Study; City of Lima	
Spending		
Congressionally Directed	Overland Industrial park Solar Community Project; The	
Spending	Greater Toledo Community Foundation	
Congressionally Directed	Development of an Electric Vehicle Associate's Degree	
Spending	Curriculum Standards and Educational Ma- terials for	
	Automotive Edu- cators and Technicians Nation- wide; West	
	Virginia University	

Congressionally Directed Spending	Reducing Inequity in Access to Solar Power; Delaware DNREC	
Congressionally Directed	Electrical Substation for Garrison Oak Business and	
Spending	Technology Park; City of Dover	
Congressionally Directed	Built to Last Pilot Project; Phila-delphia Energy Authority	
Spending	Zano to Zano i neo i rejetti, i mia atapina Zneigj i manerity	
Congressionally Directed	Energy Efficient Community Cross- Laminated Timber	
Spending Spending	Demonstra- tion Project/Wood-fiber Insu- lated Panels for	
ap and mg	Modular Con- struction and Retrofit Applica- tions;	
	University of Maine Sys- tem	
Congressionally Directed	Evanston Accessible Solar Pro- gram; City of Evanston	
Spending Spending	Evansion reconstite Solar Tro gram, City of Evansion	
Congressionally Directed	Chicago Clean Energy Retrofits Program; City of Chicago	
Spending Spending	Cineago Cican Energy Retronts Program, City of Cineago	
Congressionally Directed	Municipal Building Upgrades; City of Salamanca	
Spending Spending	Withhelpar Banding Opgrades, City of Salamanea	
Congressionally Directed	Microgrid Integration with Bio- mass Gasification as a Path-	
Spending Spending	way to Hydrogen Production; City of Ithaca	
Congressionally Directed	San Juan College Electric Vehicle Technician Certification	
Spending Spending	Pro- gram; San Juan College	
Congressionally Directed	Updated Renewable Energy Devel- opment Feasibility Study	
Spending Spending	by the Pueblo of Zia; Pueblo of Zia	
Congressionally Directed	San Juan College Clean Hydrogen Workforce Development	
Spending Congressionally Directed	Pro- gram; San Juan College  Off Crid regidential color project on the Neveric Nations	
Congressionally Directed	Off-Grid residential solar project on the Navajo Nation;	
Spending Congressionally Directed	Navajo Tribal Utility Authority	
Congressionally Directed Spending	Asia-Pacific Microgrid Develop- ment and Training; Hawaii	
Congressionally Directed	Nat- ural Energy Institute, University of Hawaii	
· ·	Blue Earth County's Energy Effi- ciency Project; Blue Earth County	
Spending Compagainmelly Directed		
Congressionally Directed	Hybrid Solar Testing Platform for Cold Weather Climates; Univer- sity of Vermont	
Spending Congressionally Directed	Thermal Energy Storage to Sup- port Renewable Energy	
Congressionally Directed		
Spending	Deploy- ment; Vermont Energy Invest- ment Corporation	
Compressionally Directed	(VEIC)  District Engagy Constructions Dyn. lington Floatnic	
Congressionally Directed	District Energy Construction; Bur-lington Electric	
Spending  Company of the Directed	Department  Northwest Visual and Home Weeth, existing a Partland Weeth	
Congressionally Directed	Northeast Kingdom Home Weath- erization; Rutland West	
Spending	Neigh- borhood Housing Service, Inc.	
Congressionally Directed	Salisbury Square Redevelopment: Achieving Home	
Spending	Affordability and Energy Resilience via a Microgrid;	
Compagnion aller D' 1	Randolph Area Com- munity Development Corpora- tion	
Congressionally Directed	Community of Hope Solar Parking Structure; Mesilla Valley	
Spending	Com- munity of Hope	
Congressionally Directed	Rio Arriba County Energy Efficient Vehicle & Solar	
Spending	Charging Sta- tions; Rio Arriba County Gov- ernment	

Congressionally Directed Spending	Solar Testbed; High Technology Foundation	
Congressionally Directed	Grid Paciliance and Equity in the Energy Transition:	
	Grid Resilience and Equity in the Energy Transition;	
Spending	University of Massachusetts at Amherst	
Congressionally Directed	Ductless Heat Pump Installation; Verde	
Spending		
Congressionally Directed	Cully Community Solar Pilot; Verde	
Spending		
Congressionally Directed	Electric Future for America's Rural Mobility Stakeholders	
Spending	(E–FARMS); Forth	
Congressionally Directed	Kivalina Biomass Reactor; City of Kivalina	
Spending	, ,	
Congressionally Directed	Accelerating Heat Pump Adoption by Lower-Income	
Spending	Households; Alaska Heat Smart	
Congressionally Directed	Heat Recovery System; City of Togiak	
Spending	Theat Recovery System, City of Tograx	
	Malarahia Caathamaal Busiasti Osayalanaia Taiha af Unalaska	
Congressionally Directed	Makushin Geothermal Project; Qawalangin Tribe of Unalaska	
Spending		
Congressionally Directed	Tacoma Public Utilities EV charg- ing program; Tacoma	
Spending	Public Utilities	
Congressionally Directed	Klickitat Valley Health Central Utility Plant Modernization;	
Spending	Klickitat Valley Health	
Congressionally Directed	DWCPA Solar Energy Project; De- troit/Wayne County Port	
Spending	Author- ity	
Congressionally Directed	WMU Center for Interdisciplinary Research on Secure,	
Spending	Efficient and Sustainable Energy Tech- nology; Western	
	Michigan Uni- versity	
Congressionally Directed	DWCPA Hydrokinetic Energy Har- vester; Detroit/Wayne	
Spending Spending	County Port Authority	
Congressionally Directed	Energy Improvements for Rhode Island Schools; Rhode	
Spending Congressionally Directed	Island Office of Energy Resources	
	Enhanced Biogas Collection and Energy Recovery Project;	
Spending	Narra- gansett Bay Commission	
Congressionally Directed	Expanding Solar Research and Generation for a Brighter En-	
Spending	ergy Future; University of Vermont	
Congressionally Directed	Sustainable Energy in Schools and Public Buildings; Vermont	
Spending	De- partment of Public Service	
Congressionally Directed	Vermont Electrification and Clean Energy Deployment;	
Spending	Vermont Public Power Supply Authority	
Congressionally Directed	Kauai North Shore Energy Resil- iency Project; Kauai Island	
Spending	Util- ity Cooperative	
Congressionally Directed	Newport Town Office Energy Im- provements; Town of	
Spending	Newport	
Congressionally Directed	Hanover LED Streetlight Conver- sion; Town of Hanover	
Spending	Time of BBB streement conver sion, 10vin of francover	
Spending		

Congressionally Directed	Derry Landfill Solar Project; Town of Derry	
Spending		
Congressionally Directed	Utility Upgrades for the Bedford Landfill Solar Project; Town	
Spending	of Bedford	
Congressionally Directed	Oyster River Resiliency Project; University of New	
Spending	Hampshire	
Congressionally Directed	Marquette Affordable Solar Clean Energy Planning Grant;	
Spending	Com- munity Action Alger-Marquette	
FY23 EERE Congressionally Directed Spending		
Congressionally Directed	City of Racine Storage Garage Site; City of Racine	
Spending		
Congressionally Directed	City of Madison Truax Apartment Solar Project; City of	
Spending	Madison	
Congressionally Directed	City of Kenosha Solar Panels; City of Kenosha	
Spending		
Congressionally Directed	Denver and Arapahoe Disposal Site Renewable Natural Gas;	
Spending	City and County of Denver	
Congressionally Directed	Lower Willow Creek Micro-Hydro Electric Generation	
Spending	Project; City of Creede	
Congressionally Directed	Pinewood Springs Energy Resil- iency Microgrid; Poudre	
Spending	Valley Rural Electric Association	
Congressionally Directed	El Paso County LED Retrofit En- ergy Efficiency Project; El	
Spending	Paso County	
Congressionally Directed	Clean Energy for Facilities Project; City of Northglenn, CO	
Spending		
Congressionally Directed	Solar Panels at Childcare Center; Children's Community	
Spending	Develop- ment Center, Inc.	
Congressionally Directed	Emergency Shelter Improvements in Madison, Connecticut;	
Spending	Town of Madison	
Congressionally Directed	Net-Zero Emissions at Public Schools in Manchester, CT;	
Spending	Town of Manchester	
Congressionally Directed	Stamford LED Streetlighting Project; City of Stamford	
Spending		
Congressionally Directed	Solar Panel Installation at Depart- ment of Public Works	
Spending	Canopy; Township of Piscataway	
Congressionally Directed	Cybersecurity Consortium for Inno- vation, University of	
Spending	Arkansas Little Rock; University of Arkan- sas at Little Rock	
Congressionally Directed	University of Akron Research Foundation Managed Sustain-	
Spending	able Electric Powered System for Summit County Multi-Unit	
	Affordable Sustainable Housing; University of Akron	
Communicated District	Research Foundation	
Congressionally Directed	Euclid Microgrid; Cuyahoga County	
Spending Commercianally Directed	MultiCone Many Daidge Heartist Electrical Information	
Congressionally Directed	MultiCare Mary Bridge Hospital Electrical Infrastructure;	
Spending	MultiCare Mary Bridge Chil- dren's Hospital	

Congressionally Directed	Bluefield Battery Prototyping Lab- oratory—Phase 1; Center	
Spending	for Applied Research & Technology, Inc.	
Congressionally Directed	West Virginia Regional Technology Energy Efficiency and	
Spending	Decarbonization Project; West Virginia Regional Technology	
	Park Corporation	
Congressionally Directed	Town of Wardensville Photovoltaic Solar Field; Town of	
Spending	Wardensville	
Congressionally Directed	Solar at Capitol Market; Capitol Market Inc.	
Spending		
Congressionally Directed	Hardwood Cross Laminated Tim- bers for Energy Efficient	
Spending	Mod- ular Homes; West Virginia Uni- versity	
Congressionally Directed	Solar Panel Installation at Goucher College; Goucher Col-	
Spending	lege	
Congressionally Directed	Luzerne County Transportation Au- thority Solar Panel	
Spending Spending	Installation; Luzerne County Transportation Authority	
Congressionally Directed	Cyber-PERTT Technology; Lou- isiana State University	
	Cyber-1 ERTT Technology, Lou-Island State Oniversity	
Spending	II-1	
Congressionally Directed	Hydrogen Infused Active Energy Emission Technology;	
Spending	Louisiana Tech University	
Congressionally Directed	Brewer Recreational Facility En- ergy Modernization Project;	
Spending	Town of Brewer	
Congressionally Directed	Electric Vehicle Automotive Certifi- cation Expansion;	
Spending	Southern Maine Community College	
Congressionally Directed	Combined Heat and Power System for One North	
Spending	Commercializa- tion Hub; Our Katahdin	
Congressionally Directed	Caliente—Advanced Metering In- frastructure; City of	
Spending	Caliente	
Congressionally Directed	Clark County—Energy Efficiency; Clark County	
Spending	3 33 37	
Congressionally Directed	University of Nevada, Reno—Lith- ium Characterization	
	Analysis; University of Nevada, Reno	
Spending Congressionally Directed	Lincoln County Power District—Solar; Lincoln County	
Spending	Power District	
Congressionally Directed	Chicago Libraries Solar Power Project; City of Chicago	
Spending	Chicago Libraries Solar Fower Froject, City of Chicago	
	Ovinov Solon Forma Projects City of Ovinov	
Congressionally Directed	Quincy Solar Farm Project; City of Quincy	
Spending		
Congressionally Directed	City of Santa Clara—Fire Station Microgrid Project; City of	
Spending	Santa Clara	
Congressionally Directed	Marin Clean Energy Storage Pro- gram; Marin Clean Energy	
Spending		
Congressionally Directed	South Coast Air Quality Manage- ment District: Zero	
Spending	Emission Fuel Cell Locomotive; South Coast Air Quality	
	Management District	
Congressionally Directed	California State Maritime Academy Academic Microgrid;	
Spending	California State University Maritime Acad- emy	

Congressionally Directed Spending	Tompkins County EV ARC; Tomp- kins County	
Congressionally Directed Spending	Accelerating Hydrogen Research in NY to Support Deployment of Clean Energy and Clean Indus- try; University at Buffalo	
Congressionally Directed Spending	Electrifying Homes in Low-Income Areas of Santa Fe; City o Santa Fe	
Congressionally Directed Spending	New Mexico State University Agrivoltaics Research Program; New Mexico State University	
Congressionally Directed Spending	Testbed for Clean Energy and Grid Modernization; New Mexico State Universitym	
Congressionally Directed Spending	Albuquerque Public Housing Elec- trification; Albuquerque Hous- ing Authority	
Congressionally Directed Spending	Ho'ahu Energy Cooperative Molokai's community-based renewable energy; Ho'ahu Energy Cooperative Molokai	
Congressionally Directed Spending	University of Tulsa CO2 Transport ation and Storage; University of Tulsa	
Congressionally Directed Spending	University of Tulsa Utilization of Existing Pipelines in Hydrogen Transport; University of Tulsa	
Congressionally Directed Spending	Electric Power Testbed to Secure the U.S. Power Grid against Cyber Attacks; University of Tulsa	
Congressionally Directed Spending	University of Tulsa Produced Water Treatment using Compact Separator System; Univer- sity of Tulsa	
Congressionally Directed Spending	SmartFlower Solar Installation and Renewable Energy Program- ming; Girl Scouts of the Colo- nial Coast	
Congressionally Directed Spending	Cybersecurity Center for Offshore Wind energy; Old Dominion Uni- versity	
Congressionally Directed Spending	Energy DELTA Lab—Project Oasis; Energy DELTA Lab	
Congressionally Directed Spending	Central Maine Community Col- lege—Renewable Energy Project; Central Maine Commu- nity College	
Congressionally Directed Spending	St. Louis Park Electrify Community Cohort Grant Program; City of St. Louis Park	
Congressionally Directed Spending	District Energy Solar and Geo- thermal Improvements in Roch- ester, MN; City of Rochester	
Congressionally Directed Spending	Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy Upgrades; Leahy Center for Lake Champlain, Inc.	
Congressionally Directed Spending	Clean Heat Homes; Vermont En- ergy Investment Corporation	
Congressionally Directed Spending	Medford Irrigation District Com- munity Solar; Medford Irrigation District	
Congressionally Directed Spending	Forging Oregon's Renewable En- ergy Source Transition Through Reimagining Education ∂ En- ergy (FOREST TREE); Southern Oregon University	
Congressionally Directed Spending	Ambler Tank Farm; City of Ambler	

Congressionally Directed Spending	Hydrokinetic Power System; City of False Pass	
	M : E E 1111 Ot 1 C D + A1 1	
Congressionally Directed	Marine Energy Feasibility Study for Remote Alaskan	
Spending	Villages; Alas- ka Village Electric Cooperative, Inc.	
Congressionally Directed	Unalaska Aging Infrastructure Re- placement; City of	
Spending	Unalaska	
Congressionally Directed	Alaska Liquid Natural Gas Pipe- line Front-End Engineering	
Spending	and Design (FEED); Alaska Gasline Development	
	Corporation	
Congressionally Directed	Solar Array for Higher Education; Lake Washington Institute	
Spending Spending	of Technology	
Congressionally Directed	Decatur Police Department Energy Improvement Project;	
Spending	City of Decatur, Georgia	
Congressionally Directed	Enhancing the Royal Oak Farmers Market as a Community	
Spending	Resil- iency Hub; City of Royal Oak	
Congressionally Directed	Energy Efficient Retrofits; The Groden Network	
Spending		
Congressionally Directed	Energy Efficient Upgrades; Provi- dence Performing Arts	
Spending	Center	
Congressionally Directed	Energy Improvements for Rhode Island Public Buildings;	
Spending	Rhode Island Office of Energy Re- sources	
Congressionally Directed	Brandon Senior Citizens Center Solar Project; Brandon	
Spending Spending	Senior Citizens Center Solar Project , Brandon	
Congressionally Directed	Solar Energy Demonstration Project for Public Libraries;	
Spending	South Hero Library Foundation	
Congressionally Directed	Resilient Power for Community Health Centers; Clean	
Spending	Energy Group, Inc	
Congressionally Directed	YWCA Kauai solar-plus-storage re- silience project; YWCA	
Spending	Kauai	
Congressionally Directed	Town of DeWitt Hydrogen Fueling Station; Town of DeWitt	
Spending		
Congressionally Directed	Energy Assessments for Low In- come Neighborhoods and	
Spending	Dis- advantaged Communities; City of Ithaca	
Congressionally Directed	Historic Colonial Theatre Clean Energy Solar Array;	
Spending Spending	Bethlehem Redevelopment Association	
Congressionally Directed	Ground Mount Solar; Town of Stratford	
Spending Spending	Storing intonic Solar, Town of Shanola	
Congressionally Directed	Roof-Top Solar Array Gorham Pub- lic Works Garage; Town	
	of Gor- ham	
Spending  Company of the Director of the Direc		
Congressionally Directed	Edward Fenn Elementary School Solar Project; Gorham	
Spending	Randolph Shelburne Cooperative School Dist.	
Congressionally Directed	Rindge Recreation Light Replace- ment; Rindge Recreation	
Spending	De- partment	
Congressionally Directed	Opportunity of Hope for Mental Health Solar Array;	
Spending	Monadnock Family Services	
_	·	

Congressionally Directed	YMCA of Greater Nashua Solar F	Panel Installation: YMCA of
Spending Spending	Greater Nashua	and instantation, Tivicit of
Congressionally Directed	Solar Energy and Affordable Hous- ing in Barrington and	
Spending	Keene; NH Community Loan Fun	
Congressionally Directed	BioGas Turbine Driven Blower; City of Flint	
Spending Spending	Blodds Turblic Driven Blower, City of Filit	
Congressionally Directed	Northwestern Michigan College Campus Geothermal Project;	
Spending	Northwestern Michigan College  Northwestern Michigan College	
Congressionally Directed	Town Hall—Energy Efficiency Up- grades; Town of Lincoln	
Spending		
	SCEP Congressionally Directed Spen	nding
Congressionally Directed	Clark County	Clark County -
Spending		Energy Efficiency
Congressionally Directed	City of St. Louis Park	St. Louis Park
Spending		Electrify Community
		Cohort Grant Program
Congressionally Directed	Manchester	MCC Renewable
Spending	Community College	Energy Outdoor Lab
Congressionally Directed	Town of Brewer	Brewer Recreational
Spending		Facility Energy
		Modernization Project
Congressionally Directed	Hawaii State Energy	Clean Energy
Spending	Office	Wayfinders Program
Congressionally Directed	Vermont Energy	Clean Heat Homes
Spending	Investment	
	Corporation	
Congressionally Directed	City of Santa Fe	Electrifying Homes in
Spending		Low-Income Areas of
		Santa Fe
Congressionally Directed	City of Ithaca	Energy Assessments
Spending		for Low Income
		Neighborhoods and
		Disadvantaged
C ' 11 D' 4 1	C'A CNAIL A CA	Communities
Congressionally Directed	City of Milpitas, CA	Milpitas Carbon
Spending		Neutral Homes
Consumation of the Discost of	Community Maniers	Retrofit Program
Congressionally Directed Spending	Sacramento Municipal Utility District	SMUD Neighborhood Electrification Project
Congressionally	City of Stamford	Stamford LED
Directed Spending	City of Stannoid	Streetlighting Project
Congressionally	City of Schenectady,	Schenectady
Directed Spending	NY	Community Virtual
Directed Spending	111	Power Plant
		1 O W CI 1 Iaiit

Congressionally	Albuquerque Housing	Albuquerque Public
Directed Spending	Authority	Housing
	-	Electrification
Congressionally	El Paso County	El Paso County LED
Directed Spending		Retrofit Energy
		Efficiency Project