

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY BUILDING TECHNOLOGIES OFFICE

## **BTO Peer Review:**

Novel Compact Flooded Evaporators for Commercial Refrigeration



### Novel Compact Flooded Evaporators for Commercial Refrigeration



Oak Ridge National Laboratory Kashif Nawaz, Section Head, Building Technologies Research 865-241-0972, nawazk@ornl.gov WBS 03.02.02.79.02

## **Project Summary**

#### **OBJECTIVE, OUTCOME, AND IMPACT**

- Design and demonstrate a next-generation flooded evaporator with more than 40% reduction in refrigerant charge for commercial and process cooling use.
- Evaluate the performance of equipment for ultralow GWP (<10) refrigerants and identify the performance improvement opportunities.

#### **TEAM AND PARTNERS**

Oak Ridge National Laboratory: Kashif Nawaz, Cheng-Min

Yang, Muneeshwaran M., Brian Fricke Johnson Controls: Patrick Marks Isotherm Inc.: Zahid Ayub Copeland: Drew Welch



#### STATS

Performance Period: Oct. 2022–Sept. 2025 DOE Budget: \$250k, Cost Share: \$100k Milestone 1: Single tube and bundle experiments (completed) Milestone 2: Fabrication of large-scale metal foam tubes (completed) Milestone 3: Testing of large-scale tubes with low-GWP refrigerants (in progress)



### Problem

- Development of energy-efficient equipment is critical to enhancing national energy security
  - Commercial processes such as refrigeration/process cooling (~2.67 Quads/year) are major energy users
- A flooded evaporator configuration is more common than a direct expansion configuration because of improved system efficiency
- The large flooded evaporator in such systems is a major disadvantage
  - Results in excessive refrigerant charge and increases pumping work



Flooded evaporator operation for water cooling

Vapor refrigerant

Water inlet

Water outlet



### Problem

- Evaporator size depends on rate of heat transfer from fluid flowing through tubes to the refrigerant; heat transfer rate, in turn, is a function of heat transfer surface area and nucleation site density
- Most existing tubes in flooded evaporators have surface enhancements; however, these enhancements are not cost effective and provide limited advantages





## **Alignment and Impact**

Aligned with BTO goal to develop energy-efficient technology to achieve net-zero GHG emissions by 2050 (~400 Mton CO<sub>2</sub> emission reduction)

- An improved refrigeration/commercial cooling technology
  - Unprecedented thermal-hydraulic performance (demonstrated 291% improvement)
  - Reduced footprints (~40% smaller equipment size)
  - Reduced manufacturing cost (20%-30%)
  - Reduced CO<sub>2</sub> footprints (20%-30%)
- Enables development for deployment of A2L and A3 refrigerants
  - Reduction in refrigerant charge (at least 40%)
  - Reduced maintenance owing to improved superheat
- Implications for additional processes
  - Power generation, waste heat recovery, electronics cooling







## ×××

## Approach

- Metal foam has shown promising results for thermal applications
- Greater surface area (~2,500 m<sup>2</sup>/m<sup>3</sup>) and tortuous structure provide higher nucleation site density
- Variable porosity achieved through appropriate compression process is another obvious advantage



Complex structure of a metal foam (x-ray TC image)



Metal foam with variable pore size



Metal foam can provide a  $\sim 35\% - 45\%$ enhancement in heat transfer coefficient, higher surface-area-to-volume ratio, and higher heat transfer coefficient leading to 40% higher heat transfer rate



## Approach

- Deployment of metal foam–enhanced tubes can lead to ≥40% reduction in flooded evaporator size owing to improved heat transfer rate
- Volume occupied by foam material can further reduce refrigerant charge by 30%–40%; the design allows easy substitution of A2L and A3 refrigerants
- Wicking effect accommodates a larger heat flux to keep liquid always in contact with boiling surface → *Delayed dry-out*



Neutron radiograph of flow boiling for enhanced and plain tube



Wicking structures assist in delaying dry-out



#### Metal foam-enhanced tube bundle

Intellectual property, 2021, "High efficiency compact boilers/evaporators and condensers."



### Approach



Design, demonstrate, and analyze performance of ultracompact flooded evaporator that can lead to at least 20% increased efficiency with 40% reduction in total system refrigerant charge

## $\odot$

### **Progress** Characterization of metal foam tubes





X-ray computed tomography (3D scanning) for metal foam–enhanced tubes

- Material of tube: aluminum (6101)
- Outer diameter of tube: 9.52 mm
- Length of tube: 76.5 mm
- Aluminum (6101) metal foam with 40 PPI was brazed around tube's outer surface
- Metal foam thickness: 2.54 mm
- Porosity of metal foam quantified using x-ray computed tomography

#### Metal foam porosities

Metal foam enhanced tube	Porosity
Uncompressed metal foam	81%
2× compressed metal foam	75%
3× compressed metal foam	62%

## **Progress** Development of experimental facility



### **Progress** Performance of metal foam tubes



- Metal foam tube bundles provide a maximum of 291% enhancement in HTC compared with bare tube bundle
- HTC increases with decreasing porosity
- Larger surface area and greater number of nucleation sites cause increased HTC in metal foam tubes

#### Plain bundle, q = 7.3 kW/m<sup>2</sup>



Plain bundle, q = 84.9 kW/m<sup>2</sup>



Metal foam bundle, q = 7.3 kW/m<sup>2</sup>



These images will be replaced by videos

#### 12 | EERE

 $\odot$ 

### Progress R-134a vs. R-1234yf vs. R-1234ze(E)



- Both bare and metal foam– enhanced tube bundles were tested using three refrigerants:
  - R-134a (GWP = 1,430)
  - R-1234yf (GWP = 4)
  - R-1234ze(E) (GWP = 7)
- R-1234yf performance is nearly 10% higher than that of R-134a for both bare and metal foam tubes, whereas R-1234ze(E) performance is nearly 5% lower than that of R-134a
- In summary:
  - ✓ R-1234yf > R-134a > R-1234ze(E)
  - ✓ 3× compressed ≈ 2× compressed > uncompressed

## **Progress** Scaling up development of large specimens

Aluminum metal foam tubes—Fabrication

 $\odot$ 



Copper metal foam tubes—Fabrication





Parameters	Values
Tube outer diameter	3/4 in.
Total length	6 in.
Metal foam thickness	1.5 mm
Metal foam PPI	40 PPI (3× compressed)



- FY25 will focus on testing commercial scale tube size (3/4 in.)
- Inline tube arrangement with P/D ratio of 1.3 selected
- Tests will be conducted on ultra low-GWP refrigerants (e.g., R-290, R-1234yf, R-1234ze(E), and R-1233zd)
- Four tubes will be tested
  - Smooth
  - Aluminum foam
  - Copper foam
  - Commercial (e.g., GEWA, Turbo)



## Publications Two journal and four conference articles

	Applied Thermal Engineering 236 (2024) 121812 Contents lists available at ScienceDirect	AppLied
	Applied Thermal Engineering	THERMAL ENGINEERING
ELSEVIER	journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/apthermeng	Banching Barray and Anna Anna Anna. Parameter 17 Canada - Canada
Research Paper		
Augmentati	on of pool boiling heat transfer on tube bundles using	

Augmentation of pool boiling heat transfer on tube bundles using metal foam

M. Muneeshwaran<sup>a,\*</sup>, Cheng-Min Yang<sup>a</sup>, Ercan Cakmak<sup>b</sup>, Kashif Nawaz<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Building Technologies Research and Integration Center, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, TN, USA <sup>b</sup> Materials Science and Technology Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, TN, USA



Research Paper

Pool boiling heat transfer characteristics of low-GWP refrigerants in a horizontal tube bundle configuration

M. Muneeshwaran<sup>a,\*</sup>, Cheng-Min Yang<sup>a</sup>, Ercan Cakmak<sup>b</sup>, Kashif Nawaz<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Building Technologies Research and Integration Center, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, TN, USA <sup>b</sup> Material Science and Technology Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, TN, USA

#### **Conference papers**

- Zhang, Mingkan; Nawaz, Kashif; Yang, Cheng-Min; Sandlin, Matthew; Asher, William; Fricke, Brian; and Gehl, Anthony, "A Numerical Study on the Pool Boiling with Foam Surface Enhancement Using Different Refrigerants" (2021). International Refrigeration and Air Conditioning Conference. Paper 2179.
- Yang, Cheng-Min; Asher, William; Sandlin, Matthew; and Nawaz, Kashif, "Enhanced Pool Boiling of Low-Pressure Refrigerants on Round Tubes—An Experimental Evaluation" (2022). International Refrigeration and Air Conditioning Conference. Paper 2397.
- Yang, Cheng-Min; Muneeshwaran, M.; Wang, Pengtao; and Nawaz, Kashif, "Pool Boiling On Metal-Foam Enhanced Tube Bundle: Heat Transfer Characteristics and Flow Visualization" (2023). 14th IEA Heat Pump Conference. Paper 1098.
- Yang, Cheng-Min; Muneeshwaran, M.; and Nawaz, Kashif, "Experimental Investigation on Nucleate Boiling Heat Transfer of Low-GWP Refrigerants over Metal-Foam Enhanced Tube Bundles" (2023). ICR2023, 26th International Congress of Refrigeration.

#### Intellectual property

• US nonprovisional patent application, 6321-548, "Enhanced Pool Boiling System and Method."

# Thank you

Oak Ridge National Laboratory

Kashif Nawaz, Section Head, Building Technologies Research 865-241-0972, nawazk@ornl.gov

WBS 03.02.02.79.02



The **Building Technologies Research and Integration Center (BTRIC)** at ORNL has supported DOE BTO since 1993. BTRIC is comprised of more than 60,000 square feet of lab facilities conducting RD&D to develop affordable, efficient, and resilient buildings while reducing their greenhouse gas emissions 65% by 2035 and 90% by 2050.

#### Scientific and Economic Results

139 publications in FY24140+ industry partners60+ university partners16 R&D 100 awards64 active CRADAs

BTRIC is a DOE-Designated National User Facility

### **Reference Slides**



### **Project Execution**

	FY2023				FY2024				FY2025			
Planned budget (\$)	250,000			250,000				250,000				
Spent budget (\$)	230,000			260,000								
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
Past Work												
Q1 Milestone: Evaluation of pool boiling on flat surfaces												
Q2 Milestone: Single tube experiments (low pressure fluids)												
Q3 Milestone: Tube bundle experiments (low pressure fluids)												
Q4 Milestone: Single enhanced tube experiments (HFOs)												
Q1 Milestone: Enhanced tube bundle experiments (HFOs)												
Current/Future Work												
Q3 Milestone: Large scale tube bundle preparation												
Q4 Milestone: Experiments using R290 and ammonia												

💒 Team



Kashif Nawaz

Section Head





**Brian Fricke** 

Group Leader

Muneesh Murugan

Associate Research Staff Cheng-Min Yang

Associate Research Staff