Appendix	J. Natural Resou	irces Conserv	ation Service	(NRCS) Wild	llife Working	Lands

## IOWA WILDLIFE WORKING LANDS HABITAT EVALUATION

I. This habitat evaluation will be used to decide if the quality criterion for wildlife is being met under either the current or planned future management for various land uses. It is used to document if wildlife component of an RMS plan is being met or to document if an area meets the Upland Wildlife Habitat Management Standard (645).

It is to be completed by NRCS staff or by partner agencies staff as part of developing a farm plan. It is not intended for landowner self-certification for any USDA programs.

- II. This evaluation system applies to the following land uses:
- A. Cropland
- B. Grasslands (Pasture, Permanent or Rotational Hayland, and Idle Grasslands)
- C. Woodland (Managed Timber Stands and Wildlife/Unmanaged Woodland)
- D. Riverine Use SVAP to measure impacts to streams on producer's property
- III. Deciding if quality criteria is met:

When wildlife is not a primary concern for planning, then the minimum Habitat Suitability Index (HSI) score is 0.50 to meet the quality criterion for wildlife for any land use(s) on a farm or fields within a tract.

Where wildlife is a primary concern for a farm or field(s) within a tract, then the minimum HSI is a 0.75.

See appendix for some general discussion of Wildlife needs that this appraisal is designed to address.

## **GRASSLAND HABITAT - Permanent Pastureland**

PRODUCER: NA	DAT	E:		
FARM#:	TRACT#:	Field #(s	):	
evaluated. NOTE: Species r	d: ominant condition of fields be must be a substantial compor cattered plants to be counted	nent of whole	<u>Existing</u>	<u>Planned</u>
a. Mixed native grasses and I	egumes ( > 5 species total)		10	10
	ed grasses with legumes <u><b>OR</b> mi</u> numes ( > 5 species total for eith		8	8
c. Mixed native grasses w/o lo mixed introduced grasses v	egumes ( > 3 species total) OF with legumes ( > 3 species)	}	5	5
d. Mixed introduced grasses	w/o legumes ( ≥ 3 species)		3	3
e. Monoculture of one species	s of native or introduced grasse	s	1 X	1
f. None of the above <u><b>OR</b></u> Past or canary grass (> 65% of st	ture is composed of mostly fesc and)	ue	0	0
2. <u>Vegetative Height or</u> (Choose one that reflects <u>d</u>	n May 1: ominant_condition of fields b	eing evaluated)		
a. Predominant stand height i	s > 12 inches		10	10
b. Predominant stand height i	s 8 - 12 inches		7	7
c. Predominant stand height is	s 4 – 8 inches		4 X	4
d. Predominant stand height i	s < 4 inches		0	0
3. <u>Stand Management</u> : (Choose one that reflects <u>d</u>	ominant condition of fields b	eing evaluated)		
a. Rotational grazing, light to (average forage height > 6'	moderate use ' CSG or > 10" WSG during gro	owing season)	10	10
b. Continuous grazing with lig (average forage height > 6'	ht to moderate use ' CSG or > 10" WSG during gro	owing season)	7	7
c. Rotational grazing, modera (average forage height 3 –	te to heavy use 6" CSG or 6-10" WSG during g	rowing season)	4 X	4
d. Rotational grazing, heavy u (average forage height < 3'	use ' CSG or < 6" WSG during grow	ving season)	2	2
e. Continuous grazing with he (average forage height < 3'	eavy use ' CSG or < 6" WSG during grov	ving season)	0	0

CLEAR PAGE 5

## Choose either 4A or 4B as appropriate. If have both pasture types need to do two sheets

4A. <u>FIEID SIZE</u> : (upland pastures only) (Choose one that reflects <u>dominant</u> condition of fields being evaluated)	Existing	Planned
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
a. More than 80 acres	10 X	10
b. 40 to 80 acres	7	7
c. 20 to 40 acres	5	5
d. 10 to 20 acres	3	3
e. Less than 10 acres	1	1
4B. <u>Field Configuration</u> ( <i>riparian pastures only</i> ): (Choose one that reflects <u>dominant</u> condition of fields being evaluated)		
a. Average width of pasture > 300 feet	10	10
b. Average width of pasture > 200 - 300 feet	7	7
c. Average width of pasture > 100 to 200 feet	5	5
c. Average width of pasture > 50 to 100 feet	3	3
d. Average width of pasture < 50 feet	1	1
5. <u>Water</u> : (Choose one that reflects <u>dominant</u> condition of fields being evaluated)		
a. Livestock are watered without having direct water contact access to any ponds or streams as applicable to site	10	10
<ul> <li>b. Livestock access to ponds or streams is through a single controlled access point to minimize water quality degradation from livestock waste and sediment</li> </ul>	5	5
c. Livestock have free access to water bodies or streams	0 X	0

6. <u>Proximity to Other Cover Types</u> : (Choose one that reflects <u>dominant</u> condition of fields being evaluated. Distances are from field edges)		
<ul> <li>a. Non-fall tilled cropland, food plot ( ≥ 1acre) or ungrazed woodland ( &gt; 5acres) adjacent</li> </ul>	10 X	10
<ul> <li>b. Non-fall tilled cropland, food plot ( ≥ 1acre) or ungrazed woodland ( &gt; 5acres) &lt; 660 feet</li> </ul>	7	7
<ul> <li>c. Non-fall tilled cropland, food plot ( ≥ 1acre) or ungrazed woodland ( &gt; 5acres) &lt; 1320 feet <u>OR</u> cropland &gt; 50% residue adjacent</li> </ul>	5	5
<ul> <li>d. Non-fall tilled cropland, food plot ( ≥ 1acre) or ungrazed woodland ( &gt; 5acres) &lt; 2640 feet <u>OR</u> cropland &gt; 50% residue &lt; 660 feet</li> </ul>	2	2
e. None of the above	0	0
	Existing	<u>Planned</u>
Total Points from 1- 6	29	0
HABITAT SUITABILITY INDEX:		
Total Possible Points: 60		
To derive HSI, divide total points by 60		
Initial HSI	→ 0.48	0.00
Bonus Points:		
Add 0.1 to HSI value if any of the following apply to the evaluated fields (ma	x 0.1):	
*Using Integrated Pest Management		
*Following a Prescribed Grazing Plan that meets 528 Standard		
Final HSI:	→ 0.48	
If wildlife is secondary concern, then the Minimum Wildlife HSI for Pa	astureland HSI <u>:</u>	≥ 0.5
Meets Planning Criterion?	No X	No Yes