Categorical Exclusion Determination

Western Area Power Administration
Department of Energy



Proposed Action: Captain Jack-Olinda 3 Landing Zones for Spacer Replacement

Project No.: 100525878

Project Manager: Ryan Yeager

Location: Rural north-central Shasta County, CA

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B1.3 Routine maintenance

Description of the Proposed Action:

Western Area Power Administration, Sierra Nevada Region (WAPA) is responsible for the operation and maintenance of several federally owned and operated transmission lines throughout California, including the Captain Jack-Olinda (CPJ-ODA) line, located in northeast California. Part of this responsibility includes ensuring WAPA maintains reliable operation of the transmission system.

WAPA proposes to replace spacers on its CPJ-ODA transmission line. To accomplish this task, WAPA intends to use helicopter landing zones at three locations, west of towers 590 and 625, and east of tower 608, for landing and as staging area. Each site is on private land.

Findings:

In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, Jul. 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), WAPA has determined that the proposed action:

(1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);

Date:

- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, WAPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

Signed: DALLDORF

DALLORF

Date: 2023.11.28
09:48:04-08'00'

Attachment: Environmental Checklist

Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: Captain Jack-Olinda 3 Landing Zones for Spacer Replacement

Project Site Description

The proposed project areas are in rural Shasta County, California. Landing zone 1 (west of tower 617) is on private property used as a dog training facility with sparse vegetation and surrounding ponds. Landing zone 2 (east of tower 608) s a disturbed area used by landowner as helicopter landing zone and area appears to be a sand and gravel operation. Landing zone 3 (west of tower 591) is a disturbed area within grasslands.

Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources

	Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions
	Historic and Cultural Resources Explanation:	✓	
	The landing zones are within disturbed areas. ultural resources.	The proposed action would have	e no potential to adversely affect
٨	latural Resources will be contacted immediat	tely if archeological, paleontologic	cal, or historic evidence is found.

	Geology and Soils <u>Explanation:</u>	✓	
	Ground disturbance is not anticipated in this project; therefore	ore, no impacts would occur.	
	Plants (including Federal/state special-status species and habitats) Explanation:	✓	
	The landing zone sites are sparsely vegetated disturbed are required to be clean before entering the project location to proje		ipment would be
	Wildlife (including Federal/state special-status species and habitats)		
	Explanation:	\checkmark	\sqcup
	All three landing zones are in disturbed areas that provide r Natural Diversity Database revealed no known occurrences will be performed outside of nesting season.		
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish		
	(including Federal/state special-status species, ESUs, and habitats)		
-	Explanation:		W. b 27 - 141
	Landing zone 1 is near several ponds or artificial impoundment maximum distance possible from water bodies. Additionally containment around refueling sites as a conservation measure.	r, the crews routinely employ portab	

6.	Wetlands Explanation:	\checkmark	
	No wetlands are known in the project area. See discussion	on about water bodies, #5 above.	
7.	Groundwater and Aquifers <u>Explanation:</u>	\checkmark	
	Spill prevention measures, including secondary containing. The project would not provide a pathway for groundwater material/waste spill, Environment and the COR will be con Federal, State, and local regulating authority notified dependent.	contamination. In the event of a haz ntacted, WAPA Dispatch notified, and	ardous d the appropriate
8.	Land Use and Specially-Designated Areas Explanation:	\checkmark	
	The land use would not change at the project sites. Nor is areas, such as National Scenic Rivers.	the project within, or near, any spec	cially designated
9.	Visual Quality <u>Explanation:</u>	✓	
	There would be no significant change to the visual quality	of the areas.	
1	0. Air Quality <u>Explanation:</u>		✓
	Air quality emissions would be from short-term helicopter exhaust from worker's vehicles along access roads. Air quality for Shasta County AQMD. The contractor is expect AQ-SOP-1: The Contractor will adhere to all applicable requality matters, and any necessary permits for O&M will be AQ-SOP-2: Machinery and vehicles will be kept in good of with equipment meeting applicable emission standards; and maintained for vehicles and equipment, per EPA and/or WAQ-SOP-3: Idle equipment will be shut down when not in will be controlled. AQ-SOP-4: Dust—control measures will be implemented in Trucks transporting loose material will be covered or main visible dust emissions. AQ-SOP-5: There will be no open burning of construction AQ-SOP-6: Grading activities will cease during periods of management districts.	rality modeling emissions were withing ted to adopt WAPA's procedures during the during the desired to adopt wapa's procedures during the obtained. The perating condition and older equipments of the peropriate emissions-control equipments. The peropropriate emissions requirements. The peropropriate emission requirements active use; visible emissions from standard the peropropriate emissions from standard	n the emissions ring the project: g jurisdiction over air ent will be replaced ent will be ationary generators e, as needed. d will not create any
	AQ-SOP-7: Major operations will be avoided on days whe AQ-SOP-8: Include dust-control measures such as water AQ-SOP-9: Re-seeding of ground surfaces that have bee soil.	or chemical suppressants.	
	AQ-SOP-10: Regular watering of exposed soils and unpar AQ-SOP-11: Use reasonably practicable methods and de atmospheric emissions or discharges of air contaminants.	evices to control, prevent, and otherw	

	11. Noise <u>Explanation:</u>	\checkmark			
	There are no residences within the project locations. daylight hours.	Helicopter noise would be to	emporary and would occur during		
	12. Human Health and Safety <u>Explanation:</u>	✓			
	During project activities, all standard safety protocols health or safety. Contractor shall be required to follow 1910.269. Contractor shall also adhere to the require specifically Subchapter 5 and Subchapter 7. Contract described in the above OSHA standards. If a safe wo requested 3 days in advance.	v the OSHA standards set for ments under Title 8 Cal OSI tor shall maintain a safe wor	orth in 29 CFR HA Electrical Safety Order king distance from conductors as		
	Evaluation of 0	Other Integral Elemer	<u>nts</u>		
	The proposed project would also meet conditions The project would not:	s that are integral elemen	ts of the categorical exclusion.		
√	Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regul similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders		ents for environment, safety, and	l health, or	
	Explanation, if necessary:				
√	Require siting and construction or major expansion (including incinerators) that are not otherwise cat		osal, recovery, or treatment facili	ties	
	Explanation, if necessary:				
√	Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contar that preexist in the environment such that there w			products	
	Explanation, if necessary:				
	Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthe species, unless the proposed activity would be connauthorized release into the environment and confide the Department of Agriculture, the Environment	ontained or confined in a conducted in accordance v	manner designed and operated t vith applicable requirements, suc	o prevent h as those	
	Explanation, if necessary:				
	Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination				
	Description: WAPA would work closely with the latiming.	andowners to provide adequ	ate notification of construction		
_	Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significar impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.				
	Signed: KRISTEN Digitally signed by KRISTEN DALLDORF Date: 2023.11.28 09:51:18 -08'00'	Date:			