thereto, are mandatory and applicable according to their terms following approval
by the Commission and shall remain in effect until withdrawn, disapproved or
superseded by standards issued by the Electric Reliability Organization. Standards
in effect pursuant to the transitional provisions of this subsection shall be
enforceable by the Commission.

“(k) Coordination with Canada and Mexico.--The Electric Reliability
Organization shall take all appropriate steps to gain recognition in Canada and
Mexico. The United States shall seek to enter into international agreements with
the governments of Canada and Mexico to provide for effective compliance with
standards and to provide for the effectiveness of the Electric Reliability
Organization in carrying out its mission and responsibilities.

(b) Sections 316 and 316A of the FPA are amended by striking “or 214” each
place it appears and inserting “214, or 218”.

SEC. 2. APPLICATION OF ANTITRUST LAWS

Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the following activities are
rebuttably presumed to be in compliance with the antitrust laws of the United
States:

(1) activities undertaken by the Electric Reliability Organization
under section 218 of the FPA or delegated person operating under an
agreement in effect under section 218(i) of the FPA, and

(2) activities of a member of the Electric Reliability Organization in
pursuit of organization objectives under section 218 of the FPA undertaken
in good faith under the rules of the organization.
CORE PRINCIPLES FOR RELIABILITY LEGISLATION

Accreditation of a single North American SRRO

- FERC to approve a single SRRO.
- Procedures for an applicant to apply for SRRO status, and the procedures and requirements for FERC to approve such an application.
- Requires that all system operators be members of the SRRO.
- Provides procedures for the SRRO to modify its procedural, governance and funding rules.

Authority for that SRRO to set and enforce standards

- Specifies the procedures for the SRRO to file with FERC for approval of reliability standards.
- Provides that such proposed standards are to be approved unless FERC finds that they are unjust, unreasonable, unduly discriminatory or preferential, or otherwise not in the public interest.
- Provides that FERC is to give due weight to the technical expertise of the SRRO.
- Provides for the optional filing with FERC of existing standards by NERC and regional councils prior to approval of an SRRO, which FERC could approve and enforce.
- Gives the SRRO the authority to enforce its standards, subject to FERC review.

Allowance for the SRRO to delegate authority for implementation of standards and enforcement of compliance to regional organizations

- Permits the SRRO to delegate certain authority to regional entities by agreement.
- Such agreements would be filed with FERC for approval.

Funding authority

- Provides for the assessment and allocation of SRRO and regional entity costs to system operators, to be recovered from system users, through a non-bypassable charge.

International arrangements

- Governs international agreements and recognition of the SRRO.

Anti-trust protections

- Provides for a rebuttable presumption that activities undertaken under the Act are in compliance with the antitrust laws.

March 1, 2001
Hi Joe,

I enjoyed lunch. Hope it was of some help as you try to sort things out. Per your request, attached is an earlier, shorter (sorry, it is 12 pages, not 6) version of the NERC reliability legislation, and a list of the key principles on which it is built. I will forward separately to you a paragraph that we have sent to Andrew Lundquist and Bob McNally for possible use in describing Administration support for the concept of this legislation.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks,
Linda

-----Original Message-----
From: Linda Stuntz <lstuntz@sdsatty.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 12, 2001 2:12 PM
To: Kelliher, Joseph
Cc: Dave Nevius; David Cook
Subject: Core Principles and Short Form Bill

Hi Joe,

I enjoyed lunch. Hope it was of some help as you try to sort things out. Per your request, attached is an earlier, shorter (sorry, it is 12 pages, not 6) version of the NERC reliability legislation, and a list of the key principles on which it is built. I will forward separately to you a paragraph that we have sent to Andrew Lundquist and Bob McNally for possible use in describing Administration support for the concept of this legislation.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks,
Linda

-----Original Message-----
From: Linda Stuntz <lstuntz@sdsatty.com>
Sent: Thursday, April 12, 2001 2:12 PM
To: Kelliher, Joseph
Cc: Dave Nevius; David Cook
Subject: Core Principles and Short Form Bill

Hi Joe,

I enjoyed lunch. Hope it was of some help as you try to sort things out. Per your request, attached is an earlier, shorter (sorry, it is 12 pages, not 6) version of the NERC reliability legislation, and a list of the key principles on which it is built. I will forward separately to you a paragraph that we have sent to Andrew Lundquist and Bob McNally for possible use in describing Administration support for the concept of this legislation.

Please let me know if you have any questions.

Thanks,
Linda
As per our discussion. Thanks for you review and consideration.

Mark W. Menezes  
Vice President and Associate General Counsel  
American Electric Power  
801 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W., Suite 320  
Washington DC 20004  
PH: 202.383.3430  
FX: 202.383.3459  
email: mwmenezes@aep.com

This e-mail message from the Legal Department of American Electric Power is for the sole use of the intended recipient(s) and may contain confidential and privileged information. Any unauthorized review, use, disclosure or distribution is prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender by reply e-mail and destroy all copies of the original message.
Memo to Cesar Conda and Jim Sims

From Jim Lucier

Wall Street’s views on the energy crisis

From my daily conversations with institutional investors around the country, I believe the investment community is ready-in principle-to enthusiastically embrace a sound national energy strategy. There is only one important proviso, which is that investors and the investing public have to see a clear connection between the “top-line” policy proposals and the “bottom-line” issues of economic growth and value creation.

Having worked with Wall Street investors for two years now, I have come to realize that investors see the fundamental problem of an energy crisis in ways that are fundamentally different from those of an energy industry CEO or those of a strictly Washington-based policy analyst, such as I used to be.

Wall Street filters everything through market cap and growth potential. They want to know where the value is, and where new value is being created.

That is part of the business of allocating capital to the most efficient uses. Wall Street investors are not interested in the fate of a particular industry per se—even an industry that seems big to Washington, such as “coal,” “oil,” or “nuclear.”

Rather, what they will find most attractive about the national energy strategy is 1) moving the whole domestic economy to a higher state of efficiency and 2) creating a new generation of energy companies that will meet the nation’s needs in hitherto unrealized ways.

An efficient economy is good for stock valuations across the board. Ending restrictions that inhibit today’s energy companies from combining, operating, and deploying new concepts in ways that meet market demand could unleash an enormous new round of value creation.

The Wall Street mindset implies a set of do’s and don’ts in presenting the National Energy Strategy to institutional investors. I will start with the don’ts, before getting to the more obvious do, which is the absolute mandate of explaining how a coherent set of policies, maintained over an extended
period of time, will in fact open the door to significant - if not enormous - new investment opportunities.

1. First, don't forget that Wall Street cares about large publicly traded companies. This may seem obvious, but Washingtonians may not realize its full import. Wall Street defines large in terms of market cap. Coal companies may be large employers, large in significance to regional economies, and large in their importance as fuel suppliers to electric utilities, but they are not large in terms of market cap. Likewise, independent oil producers may be important as a group, but most IPAA companies have near-zero presence on Wall Street. The integrated oil companies do have a large presence on Wall Street, but it is much diminished from their days of glory, plus the sense from investors is that they are so large, and so exposed to global markets, that domestic U.S. policies won't have a major impact on them. The U.S. refining industry is enjoying an Indian summer of sorts, with a brief period of high margins and favorable prices in what is normally a low-margin business with little potential, due to regulatory constraints, to attract and reward new investments in the longer term.

2. Second, investors dislike anything that looks like direct intervention in the marketplace with a potential to distort market outcomes. Tax incentives and subsidies for uneconomic activities are especially unpopular. There is a sense that with natural gas prices, in particular, at the current levels, companies should have all the incentives to produce they need. Investors worry that too much reliance on tax preferences will lead to a classic boom and bust cycle in the subsidized industries, in which overbuilding ultimately leads to a collapse in valuation.

3. Taking points one and two together, you have a very serious problem in perceptions of what the National Energy Strategy is all about. In the press, investors read only about oil and the Arctic National Wildlife Refuge. When investors look at the legislation in Congress, they see their interests, mostly a hodge-podge of special interest legislation from the oil-patch states operating in cooperation with the coal states. In other words, investors see what appears to them as a confusing mass of junk that isn't directly relevant to the energy problem as they define it. which is creating a fully integrated, deregulated, diversified national market for energy: the infrastructure necessary to support such a market; and growth opportunities for firms that produce and distribute energy, which is implied in the possibility these firms can offer new services and site new facilities.

4. Investors would welcome opening ANWR to drilling in an environmentally
responsible way. However, they are much more concerned with drilling for
natural gas in the continental U.S. There is a sense of confusion about how
much land is off-limits for drilling and why, which you could profitably
address. However, there is a definite sense that reserves are not growing,
and that new wells being drilled are not as productive as the older
wells were in the prime, largely because the new wells are not in the most
promising areas. Making some kind of coherent statement about federal
lands irrespective of ANWR would serve you well.

5. Another theme that resonates with investors is the need to reverse
thirty years' chronic under-investment in facilities and infrastructure of all
kinds. Investors want to know what you are going to do about the
pipelines and electric transmission lines needed to support the national
marketplace they look forward to. No one I have ever talked to has the slightest
sympathy for the fecklessness of the state of California; they can't
believe Davis won't do the obviously right thing (as far as they are
concerned) and let retail electricity prices float. You have an opportunity
to make a powerful case that some kind of long term, market oriented
plan is needed to allow private investment flows to build the new facilities.

6. Now you can see we are getting to some of the do's. A key variable
in the equation for stock valuations is the potential for future growth.
The way the equation works, even a little increased growth can mean sharply
higher price-multiples. But the energy industry has had to deal with
physical constraints on growth (no new drilling, siting allowed, etc.) and
financial constraints on growth due to regulatory costs. Though
companies have consistently increased their efficiency over time, and grown
earnings despite limited upside in revenues; by cutting costs and increasing
productivity, these incremental cash flows have been eaten up by higher
regulatory costs. As a result, the "g" factor in the P/E equation has been
near zero. The investment community will therefore take note if you can
make credible case that 1) you will permit the industry to build out and
expand capacity subject to undistorted market forces over an extended period
1: you will do something about regulatory cost creep, so that
companies which can meet or exceed environmental standards while cutting
costs and improving productivity can actually return that increased
"value" to their shareholders. Our analysts believe that such a policy shift
would allow a rise in energy industry P/E's across the board.

In summary, claiming that P/E's should go up or attempting to jawbone
them is something the White House should absolutely not do on its own.
But if you provide reasonable, credible, and reliable basis that your
policies will allow capacity growth, earnings growth, and efficient new
combinations, Wall Street analysts can connect the dots and perform the
requisite calculations on their own.
So cut through the clutter. Don't make us think the entire energy policy is about ANWR, stripper wells in Texas, and employment in Appalachia. These are all important concerns, but things which investors consider to be second-tier elements of a package that should properly focus on capacity and distribution, natural gas and electricity, and ending unjustified constraints on growth.

PS-It wouldn't hurt to tell us more about what a "hemispheric" energy policy means, because if an integrated national marketplace is a good thing, an integrated hemisphere would seem to be even better.

FPS-We would like to hear about the "comprehensiveness" of your energy policy in terms that recall the way businesses have undergone comprehensive restructurings and reorganizations in the context of a six-sigma or total quality management plan.
Joe,

Thanks much. I will follow up with John Fenzel. Second, I am surprisingly here all of next week. Please let me know what time (anytime for my purposes) would be convenient for me to come visit with you on the subject of TVA reform.

Dana

-----Original Message-----
From: Kelliher, Joseph [mailto:Joseph.Kelliher@hq.doe.gov]
Sent: Thursday, March 01, 2001 8:17 AM
To: 'Dana Contracto'
Subject: RE: TVA

I am handling electricity and energy policy at DOE. Andrew Lundquist and Karen Knutson are handling energy policy for the Task Force. We could sit down next week. With respect to Andrew and Karen, I suggest you call John Fenzel. Emphasize that the Valley delegation strongly supports the TVA title, mention that we are meeting, ask for a meeting with Andrew and/or Karen (Andrew is the head, Karen is number #2). John's number is 456-7933. If the direct approach does not work, let me know and I will recommend they meet with you. If that does not work, then have a member of the delegation request the meeting.

-----Original Message-----
From: Dana Contracto [mailto:dcontracto@msn.com]
Sent: Thursday, March 01, 2001 12:42 AM
To: Kelliher, Joseph
Subject: TVA
Importance: High

Joe,

This is a business matter. As you know, I represent Memphis and Knoxville on TVA matters. Also, and of course, Mr. Murkowski has now introduced the Republican energy policy bill, actually two bills with one being a tax bill.

Mr. Bingaman has introduced a Democrat alternative, and there is the Administration -- DOE and the Vice President's energy policy task force. So, my simple-minded question is who should I be talking to in either DOE or the VP's task force to at least present my most persuasive arguments as
to

why there should be a TVA title in whatever is proposed?

Any guidance you can give me would be greatly appreciated.

Dana
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From: Kelliher, Joseph
Sent: Tuesday, July 03, 2001 8:24 PM
To: Tripodi, Cathy
Subject: FW: Recommendations on National Energy Policy

Importance: High

-----Original Message-----
From: Jim Ford (mailto:Fordj@api.org)
Sent: Tuesday, March 20, 2001 2:51 PM
To: Kelliher, Joseph
Subject: Recommendations on National Energy Policy

Hi, Joe. As we discussed, attached are a set of papers on national energy policy recommendations. Much of it is designed to be self-explanatory. The last document is a suggested executive order to ensure that energy implications are considered and acted on in rulemakings and other executive actions. This draft has DOE as the coordinator. Probably also need to make energy a major portfolio item for a senior White House aide.

Let me know if you have questions or additional info needs. Thanks.

Jim Ford
dj@dsc.com
fordj@api.org <mailto:fordj@api.org>
May 15, 2001

The Honorable Richard Cheney
Vice President of the United States
The Old Executive Office Building
Washington, DC 20501

Dear Mr. Vice President:

I am disturbed by early reports that the Energy Task Force recommendations fail to recognize the need to include a path forward for assuring that this country is capable of providing a reliable and economic source of nuclear fuel for commercial nuclear reactors. As you know, nuclear power is the second largest supplier of electricity generation in the country. Unfortunately, it is not unreasonable to expect that the U.S. could have an OPEC-like dependency on foreign sources of nuclear fuel supplies in the near future. To prevent such a situation, the U.S. needs to deploy cost competitive uranium enrichment technology or we will rely on foreign supplies to meet nearly one quarter of our electricity needs.

There have been adverse consequences to the nation’s energy security as a result of the privatization of the United States Enrichment Corporation (USEC) in July 1998. USEC is the only domestic supplier of uranium enrichment services in the U.S. When it was privatized, USEC operated two gaseous diffusion plants located in Piketon, Ohio and Paducah, Kentucky. However, last June, USEC made the decision to cease operations at the Piketon Gaseous Diffusion Plant (GDP) ignoring the advice of the Departments of Energy and Treasury. The targeted date for turning the key to the “off position” is June 1, 2001.

A Department of Energy report issued on January 19, 2001 describes the need for the U.S. “to be able to reliably meet the continuing demand for approximately 11 million separative work units (SWU) per year.” However, the Paducah plant can only produce approximately 4.5 million SWU per year in an economic manner. The balance of requirements comes from 5.5 million SWU derived from blended down weapons grade uranium imported from Russia under the U.S.-Russia HEU Agreement and some European supplies. It is evident that the operation of a single enrichment plant in the country, coupled with a history of five interruptions in the delivery of enriched uranium under the Highly Enriched Uranium Purchase Agreement with Russia, raises questions about the vulnerability of the U.S. to a disruption in the supply of enriched uranium.
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This supply mix may change even further to the detriment of energy security. First, an August 2000 Nuclear Regulatory Commission report on USEC’s viability suggests that USEC is unlikely to enrich uranium profitably at the Paducah plant beyond 2003. Second, USEC is trying to expand U.S. dependency on Russian nuclear fuel supplies beyond the 5.5 million separative work units (SWU) that it imports each year as Executive Agent under the U.S.-Russia HEU Agreement. USEC has been proposing additional imports of commercial enriched uranium through Tenex, the Russian export agent. One interim solution to maintain insurance against nuclear fuel supply disruptions from Russia is through a cold standby operation for the Piketon, Ohio enrichment plant. I am pleased that Secretary Abraham has taken the steps to provide for cold standby through fiscal year 2002. However, this standby plan must be linked to deployment of cost-competitive technology, such as gas centrifuge technology, and must be extended until the new technology is fully deployed. I fear there has not been adequate attention given to what happens beyond fall 2002 and this is particularly troubling because the Department of Energy’s testimony before the Energy and Air Quality Subcommittee on March 27, 2001 indicates that after five or six years of cold standby there would be significant degradation to the Piketon plant.

I am aware that the Europeans have competitive centrifuge technology, and I understand that the Oak Ridge National Laboratories have a plan to develop cost-competitive U.S.-origin centrifuge technology within a three year time period. However, at present, there is virtually no effort toward domestic self-sufficiency in enrichment services, no clear path forward for deployment from the private sector, and no government policy in effect to address the matter. Privatization has failed to deploy the advanced laser enrichment technology (AVLIS) that received nearly $2 billion in federal R&D. Indeed, two years have passed since USEC announced it was terminating the AVLIS Program and nothing has emerged to replace the WW-II era gaseous diffusion plants in the next decade. Indeed, USEC’s impaired credit ratings make it unlikely that they could obtain financing to deploy any technology.

Given the short-term nature of the Administration’s cold standby plan and the absence of any long-term strategy to utilize the Piketon facility and deploy next generation enrichment technology, the plan for Piketon presently falls well-short of the commitment made by President Bush during the campaign. In an enclosed October 4, 2001 letter to Ohio Governor Bob Taft, then-Governor Bush expressed his concern about USEC’s decision to cease operations at the Piketon plant. He stated, “I am concerned that the closure of the Piketon site, which would leave only one uranium enrichment plant operational in the United States, would compromise our long-term national security interest in a continued safe supply of enriched uranium for our defense and energy needs.” He further committed in that letter, “If I am elected President, my Administration will aggressively explore how the workforce and facilities at the Piketon site can continue to serve our national interest. I believe that our nation must continue to pursue research and development of new technologies for use in uranium enrichment.” Release of the Energy Task Force report is the best opportunity for the Administration to follow through on its commitment to the Piketon community and our nation’s nuclear energy security.
The need for a secure, domestic uranium enrichment supply is underscored by the fact that nuclear power is enjoying improved operating economics and increased average efficiency of reactors. Demand is likely to remain stable or grow, as approximately 40% of the domestic nuclear reactors are currently seeking license renewals. During a hearing on nuclear power before the Energy and Air Quality Subcommittee on March 27, 2001, there was discussion about building the next generation nuclear reactors in the not-so-distant future. These next generation reactors will require 8-10% U-235 enrichment, compared with the 4-5% levels required for the current generation of boiling water reactors. It is troubling that USEC is closing the Piketon facility which is the only U.S. enrichment plant that is licensed to enrich uranium to 10% assay, when there is a trend toward higher assay fuel.

During the March 27, 2001 Energy and Air Quality Subcommittee hearing, testimony was offered which stated:

"USEC utilized only about 29% of its nameplate GDP capacity in 2000, and over the next year will supply a majority of its customers needs from Russian and U.S. HEU blending." (Testimony of John R Longenecker, former USEC official).

Mr. Longenecker further states:

"USEC is finding it more profitable to operate as a trader of blended HEU rather than as a primary producer. This approach appears to lead inevitably to USEC exiting the market as a primary producer. As a result, constructing replacement enrichment capacity in the U.S. should be the key focus for the decade ahead."

In addition, during a June 8, 2000 hearing before the Commerce Subcommittee on Energy and Power, testimony was submitted stating that the front end of the nuclear fuel cycle is endangered:

"Since 1998, expenditures for uranium exploration and mine development have declined by 59%; three uranium processing facilities have closed during 1999 (two in Texas and one in Louisiana); employment in U.S. uranium exploration, mining, milling and process has decreased by almost 30%. Last year, production at ConverDyn, the sole remaining uranium converter in the U.S. was cut back by 25% and employment was reduced by over 12%." (Testimony of Mr. James Graham, President and CEO of ConverDyn).

If this nation's energy policy is going to place a greater emphasis on nuclear power, it must do so in a comprehensive fashion. An energy policy that ignores the reliability of the front end of the domestic nuclear fuel industry falls short of assuring needed energy security in this country. I urge you to carefully consider the needs of the entire nuclear fuel cycle as you prepare
to issue your recommendations for a national energy strategy. I know you will agree that Americans would find it unwise and unacceptable to depend on foreign sources for the second largest supplier of U.S. electricity generation, nuclear power.

Thank you for your attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,

Ted Strickland
Member of Congress

cc: The Honorable Spencer Abraham
    The Honorable Bob Taft
    The Honorable Mike DeWine
    The Honorable George Voinovich
    The Honorable W. J. "Billy" Tauzin
    The Honorable John Dingell
    The Honorable Joe Barton
    The Honorable Rick Boucher
October 4, 2000

The Honorable Bob Taft
Governor, State of Ohio
77 South High Street, 36th Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215-0117

Dear Governor Taft:

I am writing regarding my previous concern over the events surrounding the decision by the United States Enrichment Corporation (USEC) to shut down the Portsmouth Chemical Disposition Facility at Piketon. As you know, on September 29, 2000, USEC gave notice to the United States Department of Energy (DOE) to cancel its contract for atomic power. At the current and projected appropriation process for fiscal year 2001 comes to a close, I am further concerned that the DOE has yet to announce a plan for future clean-up and use of the site in Portsmouth, as well as for the more than 2,000 employees at the Portsmouth facility.

I am concerned that the closure of the Piketon site, which would leave only one uranium enrichment plant operational in the United States, would compromise our long-term national security interests in a continual safe supply of enriched uranium for our defense and energy needs. The Clinton-Gore Administration has not articulated a robust and aggressive plan for the highly skilled workforce and the highly specialized equipment at the Piketon plant. This demonstrates a lack of leadership relative to a key national security issue that I will not tolerate as President.

If I am elected President, my Administration will aggressively explore how the workforce and facilities at the Piketon site can continue to serve our national interests. I believe that our nation must continue to pursue research and development of new technologies for use in uranium enrichment. Furthermore, I will ensure that the resources that have been committed by Congress for Decommissioning and Decommissioning (D&D) of the site will be available in a timely manner. Finally, I will direct the DOE to explore other research opportunities that will continue to utilize the resources at Piketon. Simply stated, if I am elected President, I will work with Congress to help the Piketon workforce remain productive, ensure federal D&D obligations are met and ensure new opportunities for D&D are explored.

I know you are actively working at the state level to ensure opportunities for the workers at Piketon and their families. I look forward to working with you on this critical Ohio issue in the future.

Sincerely,

George W. Bush
The Business Roundtable strongly commends this Administration for forming a special White House energy task force that will address critical energy issues. We encourage the development of a coherent and comprehensive strategy that effectively responds to the daunting economic, technological and environmental challenges ahead.

Below, the BRT outlines the long-term goals that should shape this strategy, and we offer some short-term recommendations. We are guided by three principles. First, a diverse energy supply promotes energy security and supports economic stability. Second, the Federal Government and private sector should engage in science and technology R&D to address long-term energy and environmental concerns. Third, processes should be developed and followed to align energy and environmental policies.

National Energy Security and Economic Stability is a goal that is now at risk. The wrong policy actions, such as unnecessary federal land use restrictions, popular consumer price caps, and casual opening of national emergency energy reserves, only exacerbate the energy supply and demand problem and undermine market mechanisms. For the most part, this can, and should, be corrected through promoting diverse energy supplies, vigilantly maintaining competitive markets, avoiding price controls, and minimizing or eliminating regulatory, tax and trade disincentives to improving energy efficiency and spurring technology innovation.
Energy Technology Research and Development is a goal that should be actively pursued by the Federal Government. The U.S. has substantial human resources dedicated to technological innovation, public and private. Public resources should be applied to productive and diverse energy technology R&D, including broad climate change R&D of emission reductions, carbon sequestration and adaptation technologies. These resources should be deployed in collaboration with business to assure that new and existing energy supply and energy conservation technologies are accepted by global markets. However, the government should avoid "picking winners and losers," therefore, transparent processes should be established which develop and prioritize an energy technology R&D agenda and which continually assess and improve returns on government R&D investments.

Energy and Environmental Policy Alignment is imperative in the current energy crisis. The Federal Government should better align energy and environmental policies and the associated regulatory processes with a view to optimizing the synergies between these areas in policy decision-making. Risk-based analytical methods should be used across Federal agencies to compare, assess and communicate energy technology benefits and human health and environmental risks. Furthermore, ongoing risk analysis can point to the challenges and opportunities for long-term technological innovation, and perhaps, help avoid accelerating and/or escalating, crisis-like swings and clashes.

Finally, the BRT makes the following short-term strategy recommendations.

- Review regulations and regulatory processes both to identify and remove unjustifiable barriers to bringing energy technologies and services to market and to develop incentives that will not only enhance the functioning of the marketplace for energy, but also, achieve greater environmental results. In particular, rationalize and streamline Clean Air Act new source review requirements to produce a simpler, more workable permitting program - one that will not impede the ability of businesses to apply technology to increase process and operational efficiency and improve environmental performance.

- Develop energy and environmental policies that are fully informed by our historical experience with, and understanding of the consequences of, using market interventions such as price caps, natural resource management bans and mandates.

- Establish a balanced and transparent science and technology advisory process of government, industry and academia to identify and prioritize energy and environmental risks and recommend an R&D agenda.

- Bring these actions into a realistic global perspective. National energy independence does not, and will not, exist for the foreseeable future. Such a goal would distort markets and misallocate global resources. Meeting our national energy security needs necessitates supply diversification within a global energy market. Efforts to impose unilateral trade sanctions should be avoided. Foreign direct investment by the U.S. in prospective oil producing countries will be essential to meet future U.S. energy requirements.
The Business Roundtable has long been studying these issues. We have several publications (www.brt.org) that address many of our goals. These include: "Unleashing Innovation: The Right Approach to Global Climate Change," "Environmental Blueprint 2001," "Towards Smarter Regulation," and several others on subjects such as climate change and information management. Please know that we are committed to thoughtfully and constructively engaging these issues and stand ready to participate with you in shaping and executing a strategy that addresses the serious energy problems that confront us.

Sincerely,

Earnest W. Deavenport
Chairman & CEO
Eastman Chemical Company
Chairman, Environment, Technology &
The Economy Task Force
The Business Roundtable

William Cavanaugh
Chairman, President & CEO
Progress Energy, Inc.
Chairman, Energy Committee
The Business Roundtable

cc: The Honorable Spencer Abraham
The Honorable Joe M. Allbaugh
The Honorable Josh Bolten
The Honorable Mitchell Daniels
The Honorable Donald L. Evans
The Honorable Lawrence Lindsey
The Honorable Norman Y. Mineta
The Honorable Gale Norton
The Honorable Paul Henry O'Neill
The Honorable Colin L. Powell
The Honorable Donald H. Rumsfeld
The Honorable Ann M. Veneman
The Honorable Christine Todd Whitman
Mr. Ruben S. Barrales
Mr. Andrew D. Lundquist

Enclosures (3)
Tripodi, Cathy

From: Ketliher, Joseph
Sent: Tuesday, July 03, 2001 7:35 PM
To: Tripodi, Cathy
Subject: FW: Bi-annual Potential Gas Resources Report (Advance Copy) & follow up on DG info.

PR-14Embargo.doc potential gas.pdf PIC07094.PCX

Predecisional: draft NEP recommendations

-----Original Message-----
From: Charles M. Smith@ovp.eop.gov\internet
Sent: Wednesday, April 04, 2001 4:27 PM
To: Kelliher, Joseph
Subject: Bi-annual Potential Gas Resources Report (Advance Copy) & follow up on DG info.

---------------------- Forwarded by Charles M. Smith/OVP/EOP on 04/04/2001 04:26 PM ----------------------

Anrew D. Lundquist
C4/04/2001 01:37:45 PM

Record Type: Record
To: Charles M. Smith/OVP/EOP@EOP
cc: Subject: Bi-annual Potential Gas Resources Report (Advance Copy) & follow up on DG info.

---------------------- Forwarded by Andrew D. Lundquist/OVP/EOP on 04/04/2001 01:37 PM ----------------------

Record Type: Record
To: Andrew D. Lundquist/OVP/EOP
cc: "Shelby, Rick" <Rshelby@aga.org>, "Watson, Kate" <KWatson@aga.org>
Andrew,

We wanted to give you a head's up that we have sent the attached embargoed press release to Karen this morning, and we will be forwarding the whole report over to her this afternoon. The report will be released tomorrow morning. We are also sharing this information with the Senate and House energy committees and Secretary Abraham's office. Per your request, you will find that on page 8 of the report is a map of the major natural gas transmission lines in the United States, and those leading to Canada and Mexico. We can make this available to you if you would like to use it in your report.

You may also note on page 66 & 67, reference access restrictions and the impact of Clinton's roadless initiative. A study showed that modifications of less than 5% of the designated roadless areas would greatly reduce the amount of natural gas potential that would be lost."

Please let me know if the materials on distributed generation that we provided were adequate for your purposes?? We can make any modifications or provide additional information.

Darrell Henry
Director, Public Affairs
American Gas Association
100 N. Capitol St., NW
Washington, DC 20001
202-524-7219
dherry@aga.org
Potential Gas Report Shows Ample Natural Gas Resources –
But America Must Develop Infrastructure to Produce and Deliver It,
American Gas Association Says

Washington, D.C. – The U.S. natural gas resource base is estimated to be even larger than previously thought, but the size of the resource base is immaterial unless the nation can access supplies and can build the infrastructure needed to deliver it, the American Gas Association (AGA) said today. AGA's comment followed the release of the Potential Gas Committee's (PGC) biennial report on long-range supplies of natural gas, released today.

The Potential Gas Committee's report showed 1,258 trillion cubic feet (Tcf) in total natural gas resources in the United States at the end of 2000, the equivalent of a 63-year supply of natural gas at current rates of production. The size of the resource base actually increased since the committee's last report at year end 1998, even though 38 Tcf of natural gas have been drawn down through production of natural gas since that time. The PGC has increased its estimate of the U.S. natural gas resource base with each successive report over the last 10 years. This year's increase is attributable to 4 percent growth in traditional reservoirs and 10 percent growth in coal bed methane resources.

"These figures are a testament to the sustainability of future natural gas production at even greater annual rates," said Roger Cooper, executive vice president of AGA. "That's great news, since more homes, businesses and power facilities are looking to natural gas because it is cleaner-burning, efficient, reliable and available in abundance here in the United States."

Cooper praised the natural gas provisions of Sen. Murkowski's energy policy bills (S.399/389) and Sen. Bingaman's Energy bills (S.597/597), as well as the effort currently underway by the Administrations' energy task force. "All the increases in the world don't add up to much if we can't transport those supplies to market," Cooper said. "It's vital to gain greater access to our tremendous resource base, expand our pipeline delivery system and support development of energy-efficient technologies," he said. Cooper said that AGA supports the passage of a comprehensive balanced energy bill this year, one that uses all energy sources to the best advantage.

- more -
The Potential Gas Committee consists of more than 170 volunteer members from the natural gas industry, government agencies and academic institutions. The committee functions independently, but with the guidance and technical assistance of the Potential Gas Agency of the Colorado School of Mines. The committee receives financial support from AGA, the Gas Technology Institute and other companies, organizations and individuals.

For information on purchasing the full report by the Potential Gas Committee, please call (303) 273-3886, fax (303) 273-3574. Information about the Potential Gas Committee's previous publications can be found at www.mines.edu/research/pgc.

The American Gas Association represents 185 local natural gas utilities that provide natural gas to nearly 50 million homes and businesses in all 50 states. Additional information is available on the AGA web site at www.aga.org.

- AGA -
Potential Gas Committee Natural Gas Resource Estimates
(as of December 31, 2000)

The Potential Gas Committee (PGC) reports gas resource estimates biennially in categories of decreasing certainty: Probable, Possible and Speculative. For each category, a minimum, most likely, and maximum resource volume is estimated for each of 89 geological provinces. The mean values shown on the following table were calculated by statistical aggregation of the minimum, most likely and maximum values for each category of potential resource. This procedure allows for direct comparison of PGC's estimates with gas resource assessments made by other organizations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(Mean Values, Tcf)</th>
<th>Change</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Traditional Resources:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probable resources (current fields)</td>
<td>207.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Possible resources (new fields)</td>
<td>322.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speculative resources (new fields)</td>
<td>385.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal Traditional</td>
<td>915.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coalbed Methane:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probable resources</td>
<td>16.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Possible resources</td>
<td>54.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Speculative resources</td>
<td>84.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subtotal Coalbed Methane</td>
<td>155.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proved Reserves (DOE estimates)</td>
<td>167.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grand Total</td>
<td>1294.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Totals subject to rounding and slight differences due to statistical aggregation of distributions.
The PGC reports these estimates in three categories: Probable, Possible and Speculative for 89 assessed provinces that are grouped into seven geographic areas for traditional resources and coalbed methane.

The comparison of the statistically aggregated mean values for these seven areas for 1998 and 2000 are as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Area</th>
<th>2000 (Tcf)</th>
<th>1998 (Tcf)</th>
<th>Change (% Change)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Atlantic</td>
<td>103.9</td>
<td>103.9</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North Central</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gulf Coast</td>
<td>259.4</td>
<td>265.5</td>
<td>-2.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-Continent</td>
<td>124.4</td>
<td>122.1</td>
<td>+1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rocky Mountain</td>
<td>176.6</td>
<td>150.0</td>
<td>+17.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pacific</td>
<td>55.0</td>
<td>37.2</td>
<td>+47.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alaska</td>
<td>193.8</td>
<td>193.8</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coalbed Methane (all areas)</td>
<td>155.2</td>
<td>141.4</td>
<td>+9.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proved Reserves (DOE Estimates)</td>
<td>167.4</td>
<td>167.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total U.S.</td>
<td>1204.5</td>
<td>1204.5</td>
<td>+4.5%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Totals subject to rounding and slight differences due to statistical aggregation of distributions.
The Potential Gas Committee today also released the latest in a series of reports comparing five sets of natural gas resource estimates published by various U.S. natural gas industry and government organizations. The report, A Comparison of Estimates of Ultimately Recoverable Quantities of Natural Gas in the United States (Gas Resources Studies No. 8, March, 2001), examines the results, assumptions and methodologies of each of the sets of estimates. The report concludes that, while different organizations do not estimate the same precise categories of natural gas under the same set of assumptions, there is a consensus that a large, accessible potential gas resource exists which backs up the current inventory of U.S. proved reserves and is available to make a larger contribution to our Nation's energy supply.

The PGC report, Potential Supply of Natural Gas in the United States (December 37, 2000) and the estimates comparison report are now available and may be ordered as a set from the Potential Gas Agency, Colorado School of Mines, Golden, CO 80401-1 887, for $295 ($315 for foreign shipment), if payment accompanies the order.

For additional information about ordering these and previous reports, contact John B. Curtis, Director, jbcurtis@mines.edu or Linda D'Epagnier, ldepagni@mines.edu Program Assistant, at the Potential Gas Agency, telephone 303-273-3886, fax 303-273-3574.
Subject: RE: Reformatted Chapters
All right, let's try this again. I believe last Friday, March 30, 2001, I requested the following:

Message follows:

Could you, by Monday noon, send me your Chapter(s) in the format that I requested on 3/26/01. As an example of what I'd like to see, I am attaching Treasury's latest submission. We've got to start plugging in where graphics and photographs go in the chapters and seeing it laid out like that will help. One last thing, please date your draft. That too will help.

~Charlie
Margot:

I think that this is what is being asked for

Charlie

Record Type: Record

To: Charles M. Smith/OVP/EOP

cc: "Kelliher, Joseph" <Joseph.Kelliher@hq.doe.gov>

Subject: revised chapter 1/2

<<new sect Ma 81.doc>>

Charlie - with Joan's edits (see front page).
Charles_M_Smith@ovp.eop.gov%internet [Charles_M_Smith@ovp.eop.gov]
Kelliher, Joseph; Kolevar, Kevin; Anderson, Margot; Juleanna_R_Glover@ovp.eop.gov%internet; Kmurphy@osec.doc.gov%internet; Dina.Ellis@do.treas.gov%internet; Sue.Ellen_Woolndngoe@IOS.DOI.gov%internet; Joel_D_Kaplan@who.eop.gov%internet; Keith.Collins@USDA.gov%internet; Joseph.Glauber@USDA.gov%internet; Galloglysj@State.gov%internet; McManusmt@State.gov%internet; Michelle.Poche@OST.DOT.Gov%internet; Patricia.Stahlschmidt@FEMA.gov%internet; Brenner.Rob@EPA.gov%internet; Symons.Jeremy@EPA.gov%internet; Beale.John@EPA.gov%internet; MPeacock@omb.eop.gov%internet; Mark_A_Weatherly@omb.eop.gov%internet; Robert_C_McNally@epd.eop.gov%internet; Jhoward@ceq.eop.gov%internet; William_bettenberg@IOS.DOI.gov%internet; Tom_fulton@IOS.DOI.gov%internet; Mleblanc@ceq.eop.gov%internet; Bruce.Baughman@FEMA.gov%internet; Charles.m.Hess@USACE.army.mil%internet; comcoll@aol.com%internet; Carol_J_Thompson@who.eop.gov%internet; Sandra_L_Via@omb.eop.gov%internet; Megan_D_Moran@ovp.eop.gov%internet; Ronald_L_Silberman@omb.eop.gov%internet; LorT_A_Krauss@omb.eop.gov%internet; WheelerE@State.gov%internet; Mark_J_Sullivan@ovp.eop.gov%internet

Subject: RE: Reformatted Chapters

To: Charles M. Smith/OVP/EOP
cc: "McManus, Matthew T" <McManusMT@state.gov>, "Gallogly, Stephen J" <GalloglySJ@state.gov>, "comcoll@aol.com" <comcoll@aol.com>
Subject: RE: Reformatted Chapters

Charlie.
All right, let's try this again. I believe last Friday, March 30, 2001, I requested the following:

Message follows:

Could you, by Monday noon, send me your Chapter(s) in the format that I requested on 3/26/01. As an example of what I'd like to see, I am attaching Treasury's latest submission.

We've got to start plugging in where graphics and photographs go in the chapters and seeing it laid out like that will help.

One last thing, please date your draft. That too will help.
Attached is a document that lists all of the outstanding info. we need from DOE to complete Chapter One. Please e-mail me the pertinent information ASAP as I am keeping track of everything outstanding for Andrew and Karen.

Thanks, Kjersten
The next NEPD Principals Meeting will be held in the Vice President's Ceremonial Office on Wednesday, April 11, 2001 from 4:00-5:30pm.

Due to space constraints, one agency representative may accompany their principal to this meeting.

An agenda for this meeting is forthcoming.

Sincerely,

John Fenzel
I'm available for discussion, further explanation, etc.

Charley -- Please forward a copy of this to DOE in case I'm not getting my e-mails through. You probably will want to share this with Andrew and Karen, as well.

Bill

----- Forwarded by William Bettenberg/PPA/OS/DOI on 04/06/2001 12:04 PM
-----

Deborah Weinstein
To: William Bettenberg/PPA/OS/DOI
cc: 04/06/2001 12:01 PM
Subject: Chapter 8 [Virus checked]

(See attached file: Chapter8-revisions.doc)
Margot - hello! A few questions for your team on the first two chapters.
From: Angulo, Veronica  
Sent: Wednesday, April 25, 2001 2:27 PM  
To: Pumphrey, David  
Cc: Anderson, Margot  
Subject: RE: NEP international chapter

Please have all send comments to me (or to you and then you can send to me) and I will get them to Margot. I will include my comments. Thanks.

-----Original Message-----  
From: Pumphrey, David  
Sent: Wednesday, April 25, 2001 2:22 PM  
To: Anderson, Margot; Lockwood, Andrea; Angulo, Veronica  
Cc: Hart, James; Person, George  
Subject: RE: NEP international chapter

We'll coordinate. Veronica, do you have a copy of your comments.

-----Original Message-----  
From: Anderson, Margot  
Sent: Wednesday, April 25, 2001 2:10 PM  
To: Lockwood, Andrea; Pumphrey, David  
Cc: Hart, James; Person, George  
Subject: RE: NEP international chapter

Can't promise anything but I will surely send them over. Please speak with Veronica, she has comments as well and it would sure help if you agree as a group what is critical to change.

-----Original Message-----  
From: Lockwood, Andrea  
Sent: Wednesday, April 25, 2001 2:08 PM  
To: Anderson, Margot; Pumphrey, David  
Cc: Hart, James; Person, George  
Subject: RE: NEP international chapter

Margot--We are getting comments in from staff. Do we have until tomorrow to get our "high priority" (I promise to keep them down) comments to you? Please let me know. Thanks Andrea

-----Original Message-----  
From: Pumphrey, David  
Sent: Tuesday, April 24, 2001 5:24 PM  
To: Hart, James; Lockwood, Andrea  
Subject: FW NEP international chapter

thanks

-----Original Message-----  
From: Anderson, Margot  
Sent: Friday, April 20, 2001 4:57 PM  
To: Pumphrey, David; Angulo, Veronica; Kellieher, Joseph  
Subject: NEP international chapter

All,

Margot
From: Charles_M_Smith@ovp.eop.gov%internet [Charles_M_Smith@ovp.eop.gov]
Sent: Friday, April 06, 2001 1:31 PM
To: Kelliher, Joseph; Anderson, Margot
Cc: Andrew_D._Lundquist@ovp.eop.gov%internet; Karen_Y._Knutson@ovp.eop.gov%internet; John_Fenzel@ovp.eop.gov%internet
Subject: Chapter 8 [Virus checked]

--- Forwarded by Charles M. Smith/OVP/EOP on 04/06/2001 01:30 PM ---

(Embedded image moved William_Bettenberg@ios.doi.gov to file: 04/06/2001 12:14:03 PM PIC17616.PCX)

Record Type: Record

To: Joseph.Kelliher@HQ.DOE.gov, Margot.Anderson@HQ.DOE.gov
cc: Charles M. Smith/OVP/EOP
Subject: Chapter 8 [Virus checked]

--- Forwarded by William Bettenberg/PPA/OS/DOI on 04/06/2001 12:04 PM ---

Deborah Weinstein To: William Bettenberg/PPA/OS/DOI@DOI
cc: 04/06/2001 Subject: Chapter 8 [Virus checked] 12:01 PM

(See attached file: Chapter8-revisions.doc)
Sincerely,

Christopher J. Freitas
Program Manager, Natural Gas Infrastructure
(202) 586-1657

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Braitsch, Jay 
Sent: Friday, April 20, 2001 5:00 PM 
To: Krpowicz, Robert; DeHoratiiis, Guido; Johnson, Nancy; Freitas, Christopher; Rudins, George; Carter, Douglas 
Cc: Bajura, Rita 
Subject: FW: Edited chapter 9 

FYI

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Anderson, Margot 
Sent: Friday, April 20, 2001 4:54 PM 
To: Breitsch, Jay; Breed, William; Kelliher, Joseph 
Subject: FW: Edited chapter 9 

All, 
All, 

Margot

----- Original Message ----- 
From: Charles M. Smith@ovp.eop.gov%internet
[mailto:Charles_M_Smith@ovp.eop.gov]
Sent: Friday, April 20, 2001 4:44 PM 
To: Anderson, Margot 
Subject: Edited chapter 9 

---------------------- Forwarded by Charles M. Smith/OVPIEOP on 04/20/2001 04.44 PM ----------------------
To: Charles M. Smith/OVP/EOP

cc:

Subject: Edited chapter 9

Charlie-
Attached is the edited chapter 9. Please note a few things:

TEXT

That's about it.

Joan

12288

DOE016-2040
Many Thanks for your assistance,

John Fenzel
Andrew,

---------------------------------------------
Jeremy Symons
EPA, Office of Air and Radiation
(202) 564-9301
Fax: (202) 501-0394
Thanks,

Will you be sending more comments? It really helps me to have all the comments compiled at once or I can't keep track once I start changing the document. Just let me know (and by when)

Margot

--- Original Message ---
From: MaryBeth Zimmerman
Sent: Monday, March 26, 2001 10:01 AM
To: Anderson, Margot
Cc: Parks, William; Kaarsberg, Tina; York, Michael; Garland, Buddy; BP Sullivan/OU=SMTP/O=NRELEX@NRELEXExchange@DOE%HQ-NOTES; Haspel, Abe; Jeffery, Nancy
Subject: Re: Chapter 8 (Increased production of U.S. Energy Resources).

On first quick perusal here:

Thanks for the review copy. I will forward any comments received by others on this distribution list.

Margot Anderson@HQMAIL on 03/24/2001 10:40:57 AM
To: Abe Haspel/EE/DOE@DOE@HQMAIL, MaryBeth Zimmerman/EE/DOE@DOE@HQMAIL, Michael York/EE/DOE@DOE@HQMAIL, John Conti@HQMAIL, Andrea Lockwood@HQMAIL, William Breed@HQMAIL, Michael Whatley@HQMAIL, Douglas Carter@HQMAIL, Jay Braitsch@HQMAIL, Elena Meichter@HQMAIL, TREVOR COOK@HQMAIL, 'kstier@bpa.gov'@internet@HQMAIL, Christopher Freitas@HQMAIL, Mark FRIEDRICH@HQMAIL, David Pumphrey@HQMAIL, Kevin Kolevar@HQMAIL, ANDY KYDES@HQMAIL
Cc: Joseph Keliher@HQMAIL

Subject: Chapter 8 (Increased production of U.S. Energy Resources).

Chapter 8 (Increased production of U.S. Energy Resources).
Michelle,

Margot

---Original Message---
From: Poche, Michelle [mailto:Michelle.Poche@ost.dot.gov]
Sent: Saturday, March 24, 2001 2:49 PM
To: Anderson, Margot
Subject: RE: DOE comments/edits

Michelle,

Thanks very much!

--Michelle

---Original Message---
From: Anderson, Margot [mailto:Margot.Anderson@hq.doe.gov]
Sent: Saturday, March 24, 2001 8:59 AM
To: 'Poche, Michelle@OST.DOT.Gov\%internet'
Cc: Charles Smith (E-mail); Kelliher, Joseph
Subject: RE: DOE comments/edits

Michelle,

Here's a nice graphic to use in chapter 9 on pipelines. We'll be sending more to you Monday. Hope our edits you received from Charlie were useful.

-- Margot
Michelle:

Some suggested comments/edits on your chapter from DOE.

(See attached file: energyinfrastructure2.doc)
Martin, Adrienne

From: Anderson, Margot
Sent: Monday, March 26, 2001 12:47 PM
To: Conti, John; Haspel, Abe; Zimmerman, MaryBeth; Lockwood, Andrea; Breed, William; KYDES, ANDY; Whatley, Michael; Carter, Douglas; Braitsch, Jay; Melchert, Elena; Cook, Trevor; Breed, William; 'ksber@bpa.gov'; York, Michael; Freitas, Christopher; Friedrichs, Mark; Pumphrey, David; Kolevar, Kevin
Subject: FW: New NEP chapter

All,

Is anyone in DOE (EE, FE, NE, EIA, SO, PO) going to provide comments on this? Please let me know if you are and by when. Thanks.

Margot

---Original Message---
From: Anderson, Margot
Sent: Friday, March 23, 2001 2:36 PM
To: Conti, John; Haspel, Abe; Zimmerman, MaryBeth; Lockwood, Andrea; Breed, William; KYDES, ANDY; Whatley, Michael; Carter, Douglas; Braitsch, Jay; Melchert, Elena; Cook, Trevor; Breed, William; 'ksber@bpa.gov'; York, Michael; Freitas, Christopher; Friedrichs, Mark; Pumphrey, David; Kolevar, Kevin
Cc: Kelheir, Joseph
Subject: New NEP chapter

All
From: Anderson, Margot
Sent: Tuesday, March 27, 2001 10:53 AM
To: Conti, John; Haspel, Abe; Zimmerman, MaryBeth; Lockwood, Andrea; Breed, William; KYDES, ANDY; Whatley, Michael; Carter, Douglas; Braitsch, Jay; Melchert, Elena; Cook, Trevor; Breed, William; 'jkstier@bpa.gov'; York, Michael; Freitas, Christopher; Friedrichs, Mark; Pumphrey, David; Kolevar, Kevin; Paik, Inja
Cc: Kelliher, Joseph
Subject: NEP chapter 5

Margot
Chapter 5: "Economic Impact of Energy Price Increase"

10 pages
Subject: FW: DOT Comments

Sent directly to Michelle yesterday and cc to you (and Joe of course). Please acknowledge receipts. "Final" for TF review should come to you from Michelle, not DOE. We are just helping out.

Margot

---Original Message---
From: Anderson, Margot
Sent: Monday, March 26, 2001 6:04 PM
To: 'Poche, Michelle
Cc: Charles Smith (E-mail); Kelliher, Joseph
Subject: RE: DOT Comments

Michelle,

Margot

---Original Message---
From: Poche, Michelle [mailto:Michelle.Poche@ost.dot.gov]
Sent: Monday, March 26, 2001 5:42 PM
To: Anderson, Margot
Subject: FW: DOT Comments

---Original Message---
From: Poche, Michelle
Sent: Monday, March 26, 2001 3:18 PM
To: 'Anderson, Margot
Subject: RE: DOT Comments

<<chapter 6 sources.doc>>

---Original Message---
From: Anderson, Margot [mailto:Margot.Anderson@hq.doe.gov]
Sent: Sunday, March 25, 2001 1:29 PM
To: 'Poche, Michelle'; Kelliher, Joseph
Cc: Symons Jeremy(a)EPA.gov
Subject: RE: DOT Comments
Michelle,

Margot

---Original Message---
From: Poche, Michelle [mailto:Michelle.Poche@ost.dot.gov]
Sent: Saturday, March 24, 2001 4:18 PM
To: Kelliher, Joseph
Cc: Anderson, Margot; Symons.Jeremy(a)EPA.gov
Subject: DOT Comments

Joe and Margot,

Here are some comments from DOT policy staff on your chapters. Since our systems don't always talk to each other, I'll paste them below into this email as well as attaching a document. Please let me know if you have questions, and I'll run them down with the folks who have offered these suggestions.

Jeremv, Joe and Margot.

Thanks.
Michelle

Chapter 3

Chapter 6
Charlie said send to you - he has some trouble sending form OVA. Please let me know if you receive.

Margot

---Original Message---
From: Poche, Michelle [mailto:Michelle.Poche@ost.dot.gov]
Sent: Monday, March 26, 2001 5:28 PM
To: Anderson, Margot
Subject: RE: DOT Comments

Margot,
This is wonderful! Thank you.
--Michelle

---Original Message---
From: Anderson, Margot [mailto:Margot.Anderson@hq.doe.gov]
Sent: Monday, March 26, 2001 6:04 PM
To: “Poche, Michelle”
Cc: Charles Smith (E-mail); Kelliher, Joseph
Subject: RE: DOT Comments

Michelle,

Margot

---Original Message---
From: Poche, Michelle [mailto:Michelle.Poche@ost.dot.gov]
Sent: Monday, March 26, 2001 5:42 PM
To: Anderson, Margot
Subject: FW: DOT Comments

---Original Message---
From: Poche, Michelle [mailto:Michelle.Poche@ost.dot.gov]
Sent: Monday, March 26, 2001 3:18 PM
To: “Anderson, Margot”
Subject: RE: DOT Comments
-- Original Message---
From: Anderson, Margot [mailto:Margot.Anderson@hq.doe.gov]
Sent: Sunday, March 25, 2001 1:29 PM
To: 'Poche, Michelle'; Kelliher, Joseph
Cc: 'Symons.Jeremy@EPA.gov'
Subject: RE: DOT Comments

Michelle,

Margot

-- Original Message---
From: Poche, Michelle [mailto:Michelle.Poche@ost.dot.gov]
Sent: Saturday, March 24, 2001 4:18 PM
To: Kelliher, Joseph
Cc: Anderson, Margot; 'Symons.Jeremy@EPA.gov'
Subject: DOT Comments

Joe and Margot,
Here are some comments from DOT policy staff on your chapters. Since our systems don't always talk to each other, I'll paste them below into this email as well as attaching a document. Please let me know if you have questions, and I'll run them down with the folks who have offered these suggestions.

Jeremy, Joe and Margot.

Thanks,
Michelle

Chapter 3

Chapter 6
From: Anderson, Margot
Sent: Wednesday, March 28, 2001 12:58 PM
To: York, Michael
Subject: RE: FW: NEP issues

--- Original Message ---
From: Michael York
Sent: Wednesday, March 28, 2001 12:49 PM
To: Anderson, Margot
Subject: Re: FW: NEP issues

See below, our responses in **bold**.

--- Original Message ---
From: Margot Anderson@HQMAIL on 03/27/2001 01:53:45 PM
To: Michael York/EE/DOE@DOE@HQMAIL
cc.

--- Original Message ---
From: Anderson, Margot
Sent: Tuesday, March 27, 2001 9:08 AM
To: Zimmerman, MaryBeth
Subject: NEP issues
From: Anderson, Margot
Sent: Wednesday, March 28, 2001 1:27 PM
To: 'Charles Smith (E-mail)'
Subject: chapter 4

1) DOE comments on chapter 4
2) We messenger the cheaper 8 graphics and captions.
3) Chapter 6 graphics to follow.
4) Did you send out chapter 6 for review? I just didn't see the e-mail but that's because I have so much.
Task Force Charlie,

Please circulate for review.
Martin, Adrienne

From: Anderson, Margot
Sent: Thursday, March 29, 2001 1:49 PM
To: Braitsch, Jay
Cc: Juckett, Donald
Subject: RE: chapter 10 (international)

---Original Message---
From: Braitsch, Jay
Sent: Thursday, March 29, 2001 12:47 PM
To: Anderson, Margot
Cc: Juckett, Donald
Subject: RE: chapter 10 (international)
Importance: High

<< File ch10 march 27 FE Comments.doc >>

---Original Message---
From: Anderson, Margot
Sent: Thursday, March 29, 2001 10:20 AM
To: Conti, John; Haspel, Abe; Zimmerman, MaryBeth; Lockwood, Andrea; Breed, William; KYDES, ANDY; Whatley, Michael; Carter, Douglas; Braitsch, Jay; Melchert, Elena; Cook, Trevor; Breed, William; 'jkster@bpa.gov'; York, Michael; Freitas, Christopher; Friedrichs, Mark; Pumphrey, David; Kolevar, Kevin
Cc: Kelliher, Joseph
Subject: chapter 10 (international)

All,

Margot

<< File: 03_29_01_NEPG Study_R4.doc >>
All,

Margot
Margot
Margot

-----Original Message-----
From: Charles_M._Smith@ovp.eop.gov
Sent: Thursday, March 29, 2001 4:26 PM
To: Anderson, Margot
Subject: photo coordination

Margot:

Can you give me a POC for each chapter that I can deal with directly on selection of photographs? I'd like to get them in gear on Monday/Tuesday with DOE's Photo Librarian and Joan O'Callaghan to do an initial pass.

Thanks

Charlie
From: Anderson, Margot
Sent: Thursday, March 29, 2001 6:46 PM
To: 'michelle.poche@dot.gov'
Cc: 'Charles Smith (E-mail)'
Subject: DOE comments and new oil refinery section

-----Original Message-----
From: Breed, William
Sent: Thursday, March 29, 2001 12:51 PM
To: Anderson, Margot
Subject: NEP chap 9 - refinery section

Margot:

Bill

William Breed  
Acting Director, Office of Energy Efficiency,  
Alternative Fuels, and Oil Analysis (PO-22)  
202-586-4763
I will try to get the graphics printed out here and delivered to Charlie.

Margot

Sincerely,

Christopher J. Freitas
Program Manager, Natural Gas Infrastructure
(202) 586-1657

Thanks,

Margot

<< File: Ch9.03.28.doc >> << File: Silicon Valley.doc >> << File: transmissionprobmap.doc >>
Martin, Adrienne

From: Anderson, Margot
Sent: Tuesday, February 13, 2001 2:59 PM
To: Zimmerman, MaryBeth
Subject: FW: Draft outline

Draft outline.doc MB.

Margot

--- Original Message ---
From: Kelliher, Joseph
Sent: Tuesday, February 13, 2001 1:05 PM
To: Anderson, Margot
Subject: FW: Draft outline

--- Original Message ---
From: Karen_Y.Knutson@ovp.eop.gov
[mailto:Karen_Y.Knutson@ovp.eop.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 13, 2001 12:53 PM
To: Kelliher, Joseph; Bruce.Baughman@FEMA.gov; Beale.John@EPA.gov; Brenner.Rob@EPA.gov; Keith.Collins@USDA.gov; Dina.Ellis@do.treas.gov; John.Fenzel@ovp.eop.gov; Tom.Fulton@iosiscns1.osi.DOI.gov; Galloglysj@State.gov; Joseph.Glauber@USDA.gov; Karen_Y.Knutson@ovp.eop.gov;
Andrew.D.Lundquist@ovp.eop.gov; McManusml@State.gov; Robert.C._McNally@opd.eop.gov; KMurphy@Osec.doc.gov; MPeacock@omb.eop.gov; Michelle.Poche@OST.DOT.gov; Patricia.Stahischmidt@FEMA.gov; Symons.Jeremy@EPA.gov; Sue_Ellen_Wooldridge@IOS.DOI.gov
Subject: Draft outline

(See attached file: Draft outline.doc)

Attached is the draft outline.

Karen
All,

Margot

---Original Message---
From: Kelliher, Joseph
Sent: Tuesday, February 13, 2001 1:05 PM
To: Anderson, Margot
Subject: FW: Draft outline

(See attached file: Draft outline.doc)

Attached is the draft outline.

Karen
From: Keliher, Joseph
Sent: Tuesday, April 17, 2001 1:23 PM
To: 'Symons.Jeremy@epamail.epa.gov%internet', 'brenner.rob@epa.gov'
Cc: 'Karen.Y.Knutson@OVP.EOP.Gov%internet', 'Andrew_D._Lundquist@OVP.EOP.Gov'; Anderson, Margot
Subject: NSR
Importance: High
Martin, Adrienne

From: Carrier, Paul
Sent: Friday, April 06, 2001 10:24 PM
To: Mansueti, Lawrence
Cc: Anderson, Margot
Subject: FW: Hydro - Energy Task Force
Importance: High

--- Original Message ---

From: KMurphy@doc.gov<mailto:KMurphy@doc.gov> [mailto:KMurphy@doc.gov]
Sent: Friday, April 06, 2001 6:14 PM
To: Carrier, Paul; Mansueti, Lawrence; william_bettenberg@ios.doio.gov<mailto:william_bettenberg@ios.doio.gov>; charles.m.hess@usace.army.mil<mailto:charles.m.hess@usace.army.mil>; michael.r.walsh@wrc01.usace.army.mil<mailto:michael.r.walsh@wrc01.usace.army.mil>; darrell.g.nolton@wrc01.usace.army.mil<mailto:darrell.g.nolton@wrc01.usace.army.mil>; manopaul@fs.fed.us<mailto:manopaul@fs.fed.us>
Cc: andrew_d.lundquist@ovp.eop.ov<mailto:andrew_d.lundquist@ovp.eop.ov>; charles.m.hess@usace.army.mil<mailto:charles.m.hess@usace.army.mil>; michael.r.walsh@wrc01.usace.army.mil<mailto:michael.r.walsh@wrc01.usace.army.mil>; darrell.g.nolton@wrc01.usace.army.mil<mailto:darrell.g.nolton@wrc01.usace.army.mil>; manopaul@fs.fed.us<mailto:manopaul@fs.fed.us>
Subject: Hydro - Energy Task Force

----- Forwarded by Kevin Murphy/HCHB/Osnet on 04/06/01 06:13 PM -----

Dan Cohen

To: Kevin
Murphy/HCHB/Osnet<mailto:Kevin.Murphy/HCHB/Osnet>; Jane.S.Hannuksela@noaa.gov
04/06/01
cc: Peter
Robbins/HCHB/Osnet<mailto:Peter.Robbins/HCHB/Osnet>; Craig.R.O'Connor@noaa.gov
04/06/01 05:38 PM
Subject: Hydro - Energy Task Force

Attached is a revised version of the document Jane sent yesterday. Please provide comments ASAP.

Thanks,
Dan

(See attached file: hydro.docstaffdraft.version1.wpd)

Daniel Cohen
Office of the General Counsel
U.S. Department of Commerce
14th and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Room 5875
Washington, D.C. 20230
202-482-4144 - Phone
202-482-0512 - FAX
DCOHEN1@DOC.GOV

(See attached file: hydro.docstaffdraft.version1.wpd)
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DOE016-2256
From: Carrier, Paul  
Sent: Friday, April 06, 2001 10:40 PM  
To: Mansueti, Lawrence  
Cc: Anderson, Margot  
Subject: FW: Hydro - Energy Task Force  
Importance: High

Larry,

Thanks,
Paul

---Original Message---

From: KMurphy@doc.gov%internet [mailto:KMurphyQdoc.gov]  
Sent: Friday, April 06, 2001 6:14 PM  
To: Carrier, Paul; Mansueti, Lawrence; william_bettenberg@ios.doi.gov%internet; charles_m_hess@usace.army.mil%internet; michael_r_walsh@wrc01.usace.army.mil%internet; darrell_g_nelson@wrc01.usace.army.mil%internet; manopaul@fs.fed.us%internet  
Cc: andrew_d_lundquist@oep.eop.gov%internet; karen_v_knutson@oep.eop.gov%internet  
Subject: Hydro - Energy Task Force

Attached is a revised version of the document Jane sent yesterday. Please provide comments ASAP.

Thanks,
Dan

(See attached file: hydro.docstaffdraft.version1.wpd)

Daniel Cohen  
Office of the General Counsel

12506
(See attached file: hydro.docstaffdraft.version1.wpd)
Next Week's NEPD Principals Meeting will now be held in the Vice President's Ceremonial Office on Wednesday, April 18th, 2001 from 10:00-11:30am.

Due to space constraints, one representative per agency may accompany their principal to this meeting.

An agenda for this meeting is forthcoming.

This week's NEPD Principals Meeting remains scheduled for this Wednesday, 11 April at 4:00pm.

Sincerely,

John Fenzel
Darrell Beschen
Tuesday, April 17, 2001 12:03 PM
Zimmerman, MaryBeth

MaryBeth Zimmerman
04/16/2001 04:02 PM
To: Darrell Beschen/EE/DOE@DOE, Tom Kimbis/EE/DOE@DOE
Cc: Margot Anderson@HQMAIL @ HQDOE

Subject: FW: Chapter 7 graphics

Darrell, please take 2nd question. This is ASAP. Thanks. Mary Beth

MaryBeth Zimmerman
04/16/2001 04:02 PM
To: Darrell Beschen/EE/DOE@DOE, Tom Kimbis/EE/DOE@DOE
Cc: Margot Anderson@HQMAIL @ HQDOE

Subject: FW: Chapter 7 graphics

MaryBeth.
Margot:

Can do?

-------------------------- Forwarded by Charles M. Smith/OVP/EOP on 04/16/2001
03:03 PM --------------------------

(Embedded
image moved CommColl@aol.com
to file: 04/16/2001 02:56:09 PM
PIC21361.PCX)

Record Type: Record

To: Charles M. Smith/OVP/EOP

cc:

Subject: Chapter 7 graphics

Charlie...
Charlie and Karen,

Margot
From: Faulkner, Doug
Sent: Thursday, March 01, 2001 9:02 AM
To: McSlarrow, Kyle; Kelliher, Joseph; Garrish, Ted; Hutto, Chase; Kolevar, Kevin; Davis, Joseph; Whatley, Michael
Subject: FW.

--- Original Message ---
From: Buddy Garland
Sent: Thursday, March 01, 2001 8:35 AM
To: Faulkner, Doug
Cc: Abe.Kaspel@ee.doe.gov@DOEHQ-NOTES; Sullivan, John
Subject: Doug.

THanks,
Buddy

------------- Forwarded by Buddy Garland/EE/DOE on 03/01/2001 08:28 AM -------------

Michael York
02/28/2001 02:45 PM
To: Buddy Garland/EE/DOE@DOE, John Sullivan/EE/DOE@DOE
Cc: 
Subject

12514

DOE016-2266
DATE: May 29, 2000, Monday

--- Attachments ---

Embedded image file: 1522 bytes

---


---------- Message body ----------

---

Subject: Energy Papers Coming Later Tonight

---------- Forwarded by Abe Haspel/OU=EE/O=DOE——><CN=MaryBeth Zimmerman/OU=EE/O=DOE>——><06/13/2000 06:13:34 PM>——>Energy Papers Coming Later Tonight

---------- Message body ----------

---

Subject: Energy Papers Coming Later Tonight

---------- Forwarded by David Leiter/EE/DOE on 06/13/2000 06:13:34 PM——>Energy Papers Coming Later Tonight

---------- Message body ----------
Forwarded by David Leiter/EE/DOE on 06/13/2000 05:10 PM
---

-- Message body --

Can either of you review this for Ron?--thanks--David

---

Extracted energy.speech.short.backgrounder2.doc: 55608 bytes
Extracted Energy.speech.long.backgrounder2.doc: 93696 bytes

---

12517

DOE016-2269
Can you provide comments to me ASAP? I'm working from home this morning, so send you're marked up version by e-mail (to my office e-mail) & I'll take it from there. Thanks.

David Leiter
06/14/2000 08:16 AM
To: Abe Haspel/EE/DOE/DOE
MaryBeth Zimmerman/EE/DOE/DOE

Subject: energy background materials

Can either of you review this for Ron?--thanks--David

Forwarded by David Leiter/EE/DOE on 06/14/2000 08:17 AM

------------------------------- Message body -------------------------------

Ca. can you provide comments to me ASAP? I'm working from home this morning, so send you're marked up version by e-mail (to my office e-mail) & I'll take it from there. Thanks.

David Leiter
06/14/2000 08:16 AM
To: Abe Haspel/EE/DOE/DOE
MaryBeth Zimmerman/EE/DOE/DOE

Subject: energy background materials

Can either of you review this for Ron?--thanks--David

------------------------------- Message body -------------------------------
Subject: energy background materials Can either of you review this for Ron?--thanks--David

--- Attachments ----------------
Extracted energy.speech.short.backgrounder2.doc: 55808 bytes
- Renamed to "energy.speech.short.backgrounder22.doc" to preserve uniqueness
Extracted Energy.speech.long.backgrounder2.doc: 93696 bytes
- Renamed to "Energy.speech.long.backgrounder22.doc" to preserve uniqueness

Message body

DOE016-2271
Dear MaryBeth Zimmerman,

I'm following up on our conversation this morning regarding the NEPP assignments from our meeting last week with Monic...
- Renamed to "srw-UTT Peer Review0.xls" to preserve uniqueness
- Extracted SERW-FBM Peer Review.xls: 38912 bytes
- Renamed to "SERW-FBM Peer Review0.xls" to preserve uniqueness
- Extracted Bibliography.Peer Review.doc: 25600 bytes
- Renamed to "Bibliography.Peer Review0.doc" to preserve uniqueness
We need this soonest, so send what you have as you get it. No need to wait until the whole list is completed. I did receive cites for #31-43 from DOE.
Please call me if you have any questions.
Thanks for your help on this.
Elena
202/456-5348
NEPMaster

CN=MaryBeth Zimmerman/OU=EE/O=DOE--<CN=Joel Rubin/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE>---<Re: Photographs for National Energy Policy Development Report
------- Message body -------
Consumer impacts (your chapter), energy efficiency, renewable energy

JOEL RUBIN 03/30/2001 06:03 PM To: Marybeth Zimmerman cc: Subject: Photographs for National Energy Policy Development Report MBZ - could you tell me the titles of Chapters 3, 6 & 7? thanks, Joel

--- Forwarded by Joel Rubin/EE/DOE on 03/30/2001 05:59 PM ---

Nancy Jeffery 03/30/2001 05:52 PM To: Joel Rubin/EE/DOE@1OE cc: Subject: Photographs for National Energy Policy Development Report follow up for MBZ project

MaryBeth Zimmerman 03/30/2001 05:28 PM To: Nancy Jeffery/EE/DOE@DOE cc: Subject: Photographs for National Energy Policy Development Report Here's Charlie's request on pictures

MaryBeth Zimmerman/EE/DOE on 03/30/2001 05:27 PM To: Jay Brister@DOE

Charles M. Smith@ovd.eoe.gov on 03/30/2001 02:46:28 PM To: Jay Brister@DOE

CN=MaryBeth Zimmerman/OU=EE/O=DOE--<CN=Joel Rubin/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE>---<NEP photos meeting
------- Message body -------
Can you meet with Charlie Smith of the Vice President's office on Wed. @ 10:00 re the photos for the NEP

CN=MaryBeth Zimmerman/OU=EE/O=DOE--<MARGARET THOMSON@HQMAIL @ HQDOE>---<tax package review
------- Message body -------

CN=MaryBeth Zimmerman/OU=EE/O=DOE--<CN=Joel Rubin/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE>---<Re: NEP Photos
------- Message body -------
Sorry, these are mostly not helpful. The one of the roofers laying PV is good, but the one of the energy efficient home looks like public housing. Have you contacted the sectors? BTS has great photos for their posters i
in the hallway) How about the photos hanging in the hallways outside your office? Have you contacted Marilyn Brown at ORNL re photos they have? She's at 865/576.82152.

JOEL RUBIN 04/02/2001 07:39 PM To: Marybeth Zimmerman cc: Nancy Jeffery Subject: NEP Photos MBZ - Attached is a file of some of the photos that I found... please let me know if you'd like me to change them or add new ones to the mix... thanks,

Joel

-------------- Attachments --------------

Extracted NEP Photos_Chapters 3 6 7.ppt: 370176 bytes

Marybeth Zimmerman/OU=EE/O=DOE>-----<Margot Anderson@HQMAIL @ HQDOE>-----<Re: FW: How many copies of the NEP do you need?

Our outreach person (Nancy) has left for the day, so here's my estimate: 200 (My breakout for our internal distribution: (31 program offices x 2) + (5 sector offices x 5) + (EE.3.1 x 20) + (EE.1 x 25) + (Outreach x 40) + (Planning library x 25) - Margot Anderson@HQMAIL on 05/03/2001 02:36:49 PM To: Marybeth Zimmerman/EE/DOE@DOE@HQMAIL, Jay Braitsch@HQMAIL, TREVOR COOK@HQMAIL, Michael Whatley@HQMAIL, ANDY KYDES@HQMAIL cc: Joseph Kelliher@HQMAIL Subject: FW: How many copies of the NEP do you need? All, This is a note from the WH asking how many copies of the NEP we would like (no cost). They will put it on the WEB as well. Can you give me a ballpark? Margot

-----Original Message----- From: Charles_M._Smith@ovp.eop.gov To: Nancy Jeffery@sec.doc.gov; Kelliher, Joseph; Anderson, Margot; kmurphy@sec.doc.gov; Dina.Ellis@do.treas.gov; Keith.Collins@USDA.gov; Joseph.Glauber@USDA.gov; Gallogly@State.gov; McManusmt@State.gov; Michelle.Poche@State.Gov; Patricia.Stahlhammer@FEMA.gov; William_Bettenberg@ios.doio.gov; Tom_Fulton@ios.doio.gov; Bruce.Baughman@FEMA.gov; Charles.m.Hess@USACE.army.mil; moss.jacob@epa.gov; Karen.Y._Knutson@ovp.eop.gov; Charles_M._Smith@ovp.eop.gov; Andrew_D._Lundquist@ovp.eop.gov; Charles_M._Smith@ovp.eop.gov; Andrew_D._Lundquist@ovp.eop.gov; Charles_M._Smith@ovp.eop.gov; Andrew_D._Lundquist@ovp.eop.gov; Charles_M._Smith@ovp.eop.gov Sent: Thursday, May 03, 2001 12:52 PM

To: Kelliher, Joseph; Anderson, Margot; kmurphy@sec.doc.gov; Dina.Ellis@do.treas.gov; Keith.Collins@USDA.gov; Joseph.Glauber@USDA.gov; Gallogly@State.gov; McManusmt@State.gov; Michelle.Poche@State.Gov; Patricia.Stahlhammer@FEMA.gov; William_Bettenberg@ios.doio.gov; Tom_Fulton@ios.doio.gov; Bruce.Baughman@FEMA.gov; Charles.m.Hess@USACE.army.mil; moss.jacob@epa.gov; Karen.Y._Knutson@ovp.eop.gov; Charles_M._Smith@ovp.eop.gov; Andrew_D._Lundquist@ovp.eop.gov Subject: How many copies of the report do you need? As we get closer to printing this thing, I need to know how many copies of the report each agency needs and to whom you want them to go and where (address including room #). Let me know by COB Thursday, May 3, 2001. Thanks Charlie

--

Margot Anderson@HQMAIL on 05/04/2001 05:00 PM

---------------- Forwarded by MaryBeth Zimmerman/EE/DOE on 05/07/2001 04:46 PM ------------------

Margot Anderson@HQMAIL on 05/04/2001 04:26:41 PM To: MaryBeth Zimmerman/EE/DOE

Page 2
NEPMASTER
DOE@HQMAIL, TREVOR COOK@HQMAIL, William Breed@HQMAIL, Jay Braitsch@HQMAIL, Douglas Carter@HQMAIL, ANDY KYDES@HQMAIL cc: Abe Haspel/EE/DOE@HQMAIL, WILLIAM MAGWOOD@HQMAIL, Robert Kripowicz@HQMAIL, Kyle McSlarrow@HQMAIL, L

CN=MaryBeth Zimmerman/OU=EE/O=DOE>---<CN=John Sullivan/OU=EE/O=DOE>---<
EERE Strategic Plan Update
--------------- Message body ---------------
I wish to discuss this memo with you when we meet at 10:00 on Wednesday (tomorrow). 

--------------- Message body ---------------
Thanks, in return, for your efforts to pull everything together for us on the NEP. For our purposes, I've asked Tom Kimbis to go through the full report to identify any other errors/misprints (we've found a couple already). I wanted to let you know we are doing this in case you get any questions on these items. Will be glad to cc: you when we are done.
Attached is a draft response to your requests regarding EERE program activities and their relationship to the NEP recommendations. The lack of time available, and the multiple requests received, made it difficult to process this request. Much of this information should be revised and we will try to do that early next week. Please give me a call if you have any questions.

I am at 6-7249. Wendy Butler
05/17/2001 05:22 PM


Forwarded by Wendy Butler/EE/DOE on 05/17/2001 05:19 PM

----- Forwarded by wendy Butler/EE/DOE on 05/17/2001 05:19 PM -----

--------------- Message body ---------------

working on it. Do you want everyone who weighed in on something, in some way, or just people who were tasked to address requests? Margot Anderson on 05/21/2001 12:44:57 PM To: Abe Haspel/EE/DOE, Robert Kripowicz/DOE, William Magwood/DOE, David Pumphrey/DOE, ANDY KYDES@HQMAIL, Joseph Kelliher@HQMAIL, Kevin Kolevar@HQMAIL. Subject: Revised NEP list

All. I heard from NE and FE. Please let me know if I have the complete list. Joe K. - did you want me to add Kyle? Margot

-------- Original Message --------
From: Anderson, Margot
Sent: Friday, May 18, 2001 12:10 PM
To: Haspel, Abe; Kripowicz, Robert; Magwood, William; KYDES, ANDY; Pumphrey, David
Cc: Zimmerman, MaryBeth; Braitsch, Jay; Kelliher, Joseph; Kolevar, Kevin
Subject: who worked on the NEP? All. As part of the FOIAS underway on the NEP, I need to submit a list of everyone who worked on it. Please review and edit accordingly. If you could return by Monday, I would appreciate it. Thanks. Margot

<< File: NEP people.xls >>

-------- Attachments --------

Extracted NEP people.xls: 14848 bytes

CN=MaryBeth Zimmerman /OU=EE/O=DOE

--------------- Message body ---------------

-------- Original Message --------
From: Anderson, Margot
Sent: Friday, May 18, 2001 12:10 PM
To: Haspel, Abe; Kripowicz, Robert; Magwood, William; KYDES, ANDY; Pumphrey, David
Cc: Zimmerman, MaryBeth; Braitsch, Jay; Kelliher, Joseph; Kolevar, Kevin
Subject: who worked on the NEP? All. As part of the FOIAS underway on the NEP, I need to submit a list of everyone who worked on it. Please review and edit accordingly. If you could return by Monday, I would appreciate it. Thanks. Margot

<< File: NEP people.xls >>

-------- Attachments --------

Extracted NEP people.xls: 14848 bytes

CN=MaryBeth Zimmerman /OU=EE/O=DOE
Here are the people that I heard from in the course of the various NEP assignments. Some of these people provided tangential support on specific questions/data checks. This list does not include people that were on e-mail lists for requests for help, but from whom we did not receive anything. Finally, this list does not include employees to whom these individuals may have forwarded requests. Joel Rubin, Michael York, Darrell Beschen, Phil Tseng, Sam Baldwin, Buddy Garland, Jerry Dion, Larry Mansuetti, David Rodgers, Phil Patterson, Dave Bassett, Judy Oduulamy, Mark Ginsberg, Ed Pollock, Michael McCabe, Michael Raymond (checked refrigerator #s), John Talbot (checked various #s), Nancy Jeffry (pictures), Bill Parks, Pat Hoffman (text boxes), Peggy Podolak, Tina Kaarsberg, Tien Nguyen, Ellyn Krevitz Gail McKinley, Ed Wall, Ken Freidman, Phil Overholt.

To: Robert Dixon/EE/DOE@DOE, Lawrence Mansuetti/EE/DOE@DOE, William Parks/EE/DOE@DOE, Tina Kaarsberg/EE/DOE@DOE, John Millhone/EE/DOE@DOE, Phillip Tseng/EE/DOE@DOE, Michael York/EE/DOE@DOE, Margaret Anderson@HQMAIL on 05/21/2001 12:44:57 PM To: Abe Haspel/EE/DOE@HQMAIL, Robert Kripowicz@HQMAIL, William Magwood@HQMAIL, David Pumphrey@HQMAIL, ANDY KYDES@HQMAIL cc: MaryBeth Zimmerman/EE/DOE@DOE, Jay Braitsch@HQMAIL, Joseph Kelliher@HQMAIL, Kevin Kolevar@HQMAIL Subject: Revised NEP list

All, I heard from NE and FE. Please let me know if I have the complete list. Joe K. - did you want me to add Kyle? Margot

----- Original Message-----
From: Anderson, Margot
Sent: Friday, May 18, 2001 12:10 PM To: Haspel, Abe; Kripowicz, Robert; Magwood, William; KYDES, ANDY; Pumphrey, David CC: Zimmerman, MaryBeth; Braitsch, Jay; Kelliher, Joseph; Kolevar, Kevin Subject: who worked on the NEP?

All, As part of the FOIAS underway on the NEP, I need to submit a list of everyone who worked on it. Please review and edit accordingly. I could return by Monday, I would appreciate it. Thanks. Margot

File: NEP people.xls

-------- Attachments --------
Extracted NEP people.xls: 14848 bytes
- Renamed to "NEP people0.xls" to preserve uniqueness

CN=MaryBeth Zimmerman/OU=EE/O=DOE>---<Margot Anderson@HQMAIL @ HQDOE>---<RE: Revised NEP list

Yes. Also, you can add Randy Steer to my list (I just an e-mail from him).

Margot Anderson@HQMAIL on 05/21/2001 04:40:08 PM To: MaryBeth Zimmerman/EE/DOE@DOE, William Parks/EE/DOE@DOE, Tina Kaarsberg/EE/DOE@DOE, John Millhone/EE/DOE@DOE, Phillip Tseng/EE/DOE@DOE, Michael York/EE/DOE@DOE Subject: Revised NEP list

Here are the people that I heard from in the course of the various NEP assignments. Some of these people provided tangential support on specific questions/data checks. This list does not include people that were on e-mail lists for requests for help, but from whom we did not receive anything. Finally, this list does not include employees to whom these individuals may have forwarded requests. Joel Rubin, Michael York, Darrell Beschen, Phil Tseng, Sam Baldwin, Buddy Garland, Jerry Dion, Larry Mansuetti, David Rodgers, Phil Patterson, Dave Bassett, Judy Oduulamy, Mark Ginsberg, Ed Pollock, Michael McCabe, Michael Raymond (checked refrigerator or #s), Nancy Jeffry (pictures), Bill Parks, Pat Hoffman (text boxes), Peggy Podolak, Tina Kaarsberg, Tien Nguyen, Ellyn Krevitz Gail McKinley, Ed Wall, Ken Freidman, Phil Overholt.
Anderson, Margot  
Sent: Friday, May 18, 2001 12:10 PM  
To: Haspel, Abe; Kripowicz, Robert; Magwood, William; KYDES, ANDY; Pumphrey, David  
Cc: Zimmerman, MaryBeth; Braitsch, Jay; Kelliher, Joseph; Kolevar, Kevin  
Subject: who worked on the NEP?  

All, As part of the FOIAs underway on the NEP, I need to submit a list of everyone who worked on it. Please review and edit accordingly. If you could return by Monday, I would appreciate it. Thanks.

Margot

<< File: NEP people.xls >>

--------------- Attachments ---------------

Extracted bpo4til3: 3584 bytes

CN=MaryBeth Zimmerman/OU=EE/O=DOE>---<macauley@rff.org;toman@rff.org;gruenspecht@rff.org;newell@rff.org>---<Follow-up to this morning

and Evaluation), or through any of the sector offices. The lead analysts for each sector are: Buildings: Jerry Dion (586-9470) Industry: Ken Friedman (586-0379) or Peggy Podolak (586-6430) Power: Tina Kaarsberg (586-3802) [at the meeting] Transportation Phil Patterson (586-9121) [at the meeting] Federal Ellyn Krevitz (586-4740), Phil Tseng, Darrell Beschen, and Mike York are in the Planning office. Tim Kimbis is from TMS and on-site with us full time for on-the-spot analysis. For your information, I've CC:ed everyone from EERE & NREL who were present.

CN=John Sullivan/OU=EE/O=DOE>---<MSHAPIRO43@cs.com>---<05/30/2001 11:06:01 AM>---<Re: Program Evaluation Schedule

--------------- Message body --------------

O: John Sullivan/EE/DOE@DOE  
Cc: Keller Staley/EE/DOE@DOE  
Subject: Program Evaluation Schedule  

John, Attached is a wordPerfect file with a draft schedule for the Public Forums, Program Evaluations, and Strategic Plan. Let me know if the "rock" is reasonable. Also, who else in EERE would you like me to be working with on this? Thanks. ---Michael  

Extracted att1.htm: 374 bytes

Extracted NEP ProgRev Sched053001.wpd: 30696 bytes

CN=Gail Mckinley/OU=EE/O=DOE>---<CN=Randy Steer/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE>---<05/25/2001 06:38:08 PM>---<Re: Ten-Year Funding Increase for weatherization

--------------- Message body --------------
This report relates to a message you sent with the following header fields:

Message-id: <171050CA40615-02@Department_of_Energy_E-Mail_Security_Server>
Date: Fri, 25 May 2001 12:51:59 -0400
From: MaryBeth.Zimmerman@ee.doe.gov
To: Philip.Patterson@ee.doe.gov, Noel.Cole@ee.doe.gov, Kenneth.Friedman@ee.doe.gov, Peggy.Podolak@ee.doe.gov, Tina.Kaarsberg@ee.doe.gov, Patrick.Booher@ee.doe.gov, Jerry.Dion@ee.doe.gov, Thomas.Heavey@ee.doe.gov, Tanya.Sadler@ee.doe.gov, Ellyn.Krevitz@ee.doe.gov
Subject: Reminder: Performance Measure/Benefits Estimation mtgs.

Your message cannot be delivered to the following recipients:
Recipient address: shapiro@hargray.com
Reason: translated to illegal address: SHAPIROCInfoAve.Net
Reason translated address failed: user disabled; cannot receive new mail - atti.
Return-path: <MaryBeth.Zimmerman@ee.doe.gov>

This is a typical scenario when sending messages in a corporate environment where certain addresses are flagged as invalid or restricted. It's important to ensure that all relevant parties are notified about upcoming meetings or tasks, as indicated by the reminder in the message. In this case, Mary Beth Zimmerman reminds everyone about the upcoming performance measure and benefits estimation meetings scheduled for May 29 and May 31, each from 1:00 to 4:00. The meetings will focus on reviewing terminology and definitions related to performance measures and budget preparation.
nce measures for: FY03 CRB Budget Justification FY03 Performance Plan NEP Performance Reviews Estimating EERE Benefits (Sam Baldwin) WHEN: Friday, June 1, 9:30 - 12:00 WHERE: 5E-069 OR: Monday, June 4, 9:30 - 12:00 WHERE: 5E-069 WHY: Discuss a range of up-coming benefit estimation needs Program reviews for National Energy Plan NAS study release & EERE response (July) FY03 GPRA guidance

-------------- Attachments --------------

Extracted att1.unk: 348 bytes

<akmeier@lbl.gov>@internet@HQMAIL>---<CN=MaryBeth Zimmerman/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE@HQMAIL>---<05/24/2001 02:27:20 PM>---<Re: my electricity chart

-------------- Message body --------------

California 94720 USA Tel. +1 (510) 486-4740 Fax +1 (510) 486-4673 e-mail: AKMeier@LBL.gov http://www.lbl.gov/~akmeier

CN=Abe Haspel/OU=EE/O=DOE>---<MaryBeth Zimmerman/EE/DOE>---<05/23/2001 10:30:16 AM>---<Re: NEP Chart

-------------- Message body --------------

Extracted NEP EVENT CHART.xls: 98816 bytes
NEPMASTER
- Renamed to "NEP EVENT CHART0.xls" to preserve uniqueness

John.Sullivan@ee.doe.gov>---<CN=MaryBeth Zimmerman/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE;CN=Sam Baldwin/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE;CN=Buddy Garland/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE;CN=Brian Connor/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE;CN=Randy Steer/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE;CN=Steven Lee/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE;M SHAPIRO43@cs.com>---<05/23/2001 09:10:06 AM>---<Re: Program Reviews Discussion Paper>
------------------------- Message body -------------------------

/22/2001 02:51:12 PM To: Brian Connor/EE/DOE@DOE cc: 
John Sullivan/EE/DOE@DOE, sam.baldwin@hq.doe.gov, Buddy Garland/EE/DOE@DOE, Steven Lee/EE/DOE@DOE, Randy Steer/EE/DOE@DOE, mshapiro
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12798
I wanted to thank you again for coming by today and discussing areas of possible areas of research. The timing was perfect, following up on the NEP release, for identifying areas of analytical need and opportunity. I apologize again for having to leave a bit early, but I am pleased we finally got a chance to have everyone in the room together. Phil Tseng and I would like to get back to you soon regarding Planning office analysis needs. I would also like to get copies of the quarterly reports from the work that Molly Macauley is doing for us from the competitive solicitation so we can discuss that in more detail. I have concerns about describing the approach as a budget decision tool at its apparent current point of application to these programs and technologies, but I'll need to learn more. I hope you got a good sense of the items we are most interested in. The way EERE is structured, we can fund analysis through my office (Planning, Analysis, and Evaluation), or through any of the sector offices. The lead analysts for each sector are: Buildings: Jerry Dion (586-9470) Industry: Ken Friedman (586-0379) or Peggy Podolak (586-6430) Power: Tina Kaarsberg (586-3802) [at the meeting] Transportation Phil Patterson (586-9121) [at the meeting] Federal: Ellyn Krevitz (586-4740) Phil Tseng, Darrell Beschen, and Mike York are in the Planning office. Tim Kimbis is from TMS and on-site with us full time for on-the-spot analysis. For your information, I've cc'd everyone from EERE & NREL who were present.
NEPMASTER

(no pun intended)  Forwarded by MaryBeth Zimmerman/E DOE on 05/22/2001 11:39 AM  Abe.Haspel@ee.doe.gov on 05/21/2001 08:34:45 PM  To: MaryBeth Zimmerman/EE/DOE@DOE  cc: Marybeth: Please get Doug Faulkner a copy of the box on the Hydrogen Act that you gave Margot for the NEP. I think Dixon got it for you. Its for the Secretary. Thanks. Abe

-- a Hoffman/EE/DOE@DOE cc: Michael McCabe/EE/DOE@DOE  Subject: RE: Public Affairs Request -- NEP Recommendations Pat, can you provide your preferred phrase for DER & a web reference site? Please forward to Christina through Mike McCabe or I.  Forwarded by MaryBeth Zimmerman/EE/DOE on 05/21/2001 04:20 PM  Christina Kielich@HQMAIL on 05/21/2001 03:23:29 PM To: MaryBeth Zimmerman/EE/DOE@DOE;Christina Kielich@HQMAIL@HQDOE>---<05/21/2001 05:02:35 PM>---<RE: Public Affairs Request -- NEP Recommendations Message body ---

---

From: MaryBeth Zimmerman Sent: Friday, May 18, 2001 6:29 PM To: Cutler, Lisa; Kielich, Christina Cc: Haspel, Abe; Butler, Wendy; Sullivan, John; Jeffery, Nancy; McCabe, Michael; Beschen, Darrell; Kimbis, Tom Subject: Re: Public Affairs Request -- NEP Recommendations Attached is a draft response to your requests regarding EERE program activities and their relationship to the NEP recommendations. The lack of time available, and the multiple requests received, made it difficult to process this request. Much of this information should be revised and we will try to do that early next week. Please give me a call if you have any questions. I am at 6-7249. Wendy Butler 05/17/2001 05:22 PM To: Abe Haspel/EE/DOE@DOE, John Sullivan/EE/DOE@DOE, Michael McCabe/EE/DOE@DOE, Robert Dixon/EE/DOE@DOE, William Parks/EE/DOE@DOE, Mark Ginsberg/EE/DOE@DOE, Gail McKinley/EE/DOE@DOE, Edward Pollock/EE/DOE@DOE, Denise Swink/EE/DOE@DOE, Robert Brewer/EE/DOE@DOE, Tom Gross/EE/DOE@DOE, Richard Moorer/EE/DOE@DOE, Elizabeth Shearer/EE/DOE@DOE, Joan Glickman/EE/DOE@DOE, MaryBeth Zimmerman/EE/DOE@DOE, Darrell Beschen/EE/DOE@DOE, Nancy Jeffery/EE/DOE@DOE, Buddy Garland/EE/DOE@DOE cc: Subject: Public Affairs Request -- NEP Recommendations Forwarded by Wendy Butler/EE/DOE on 05/17/2001 05:19 PM
promote the initiatives as they apply to the plan. For each one, please give a short description of the program, and include any helpful details (such as technological accomplishments or budget figures). We don't need a lengthy description (3-4 sentences at the most - kind of like the descriptions in the Budget Highlights document) but do need enough plain English detail to explain it to lay people. We are hoping to complete this task for the entire department by COB Friday; so would like to get as much information from the programs as possible by 1 p.m. Friday. Call if there are any questions. I can be reached at 586-5806. Many thanks, Lisa Cutler

---------------
Attachments
---------------
Extracted bpo4ti3q: 4096 bytes
Extracted bpo4ti3r: 4096 bytes
Extracted bpo4ti3s: 3584 bytes

CN=MaryBeth Zimmerman/OU=EE/O=DOE>---<Margot Anderson@HQMAIL @ HQDOE>---<RE= Revised NEP list

Yes. Also, you can add Randy Steer to my list (I just an e-mail from him). Margot Anderson@HQMAIL on 05/21/2001 04:40:08 PM To: MaryBeth Zimmerman/EE/DOE@DOE@HQMAIL cc: Subject: RE: Revised NEP list MB, This is in addition to the names I gave, right? Margot ----- Original Message----- From: MaryBeth Zimmerman Sent: Monday, May 21, 2001 4:04 PM To: Anderson, Margot CC: Garland, Buddy; Haspel, Abe; Sullivan, John Subject: Re: Revised NEP list Here are the people that I heard from in the course of the various US NEP assignments. Some of these people provided tangential support on specific questions/data checks. This list does not include people that were on e-mail lists for requests for help, but from whom we did not receive anything. Finally, this list does not include employees to whom these individuals may have forwarded requests. Joel Rubin Michael York Darrell Bescen Phil Tseng Sam Baldwin Buddy Garland Jerry Dion Larry Mansuetti David Rodgers Phil Patterson Dave Bassett Judy Odululamy Mark Ginsberg (Pulte box #) Ed Pollock (Pulte box) Michael McCabe Michael Raymond (checked refrigerator or #5) John Talbot (checked various #s) Nancy Jeffry (pictures) Bill Parks Pat Hoffman (text boxes) Peggy Podolak Tina Kaarsburg Tien Nguyen Ellyn Kreitz Gail McKinley Ed Wall Ken Freidman Phil Overholt To: Robert Dixon/EE/DOE@DOE cc: Lawrence Mansuetti/EE/DOE@DOE, William Parks/EE/DOE@DOE, Tina Kaarsburg/EE/DOE@DOE, John Millhone/EE/DOE@DOE, Phillip Tseng/EE/DOE@DOE, Michael York/EE/DOE@DOE Subject: Margot Anderson@HQMAIL on 05/21/2001 12:44:37 PM To: Abe Haspel/EE/DOE@HQMAIL, Robert Kripowicz@HQMAIL, WILLIAM MAGWOOD@HQMAIL, David Pumphrey@HQMAIL, ANDY KYDES@HQMAIL cc: MaryBeth Zimmerman/EE/DOE@DOE@HQMAIL, Jay Braitsch@HQMAIL, Joseph Kelliherr@HQMAIL, Kevin Kolevar@HQMAIL Subject: Revised NEP list MB, I heard from NE and FE. Please let me know if I have the complete list. Joe K. - did you want me to add Kyle? Margot ----- Original Message----- From: Anderson, Margot Sent: Friday, May 18, 2001 12:10 PM To: Abe Haspel, Abe Kripowicz, Robert; Magwood, William; KYDES, ANDY; Pumphrey, David CC: Zimmerman, MaryBeth; Braitsch, Jay; Kellihier, Joseph; Kolevar, Kevin Subject: who worked on the NEP? MB, As part of the FOIAs underway on the NEP, I need to submit a list of everyone who worked on it. Please review and edit accordingly. If you could return by Monday, I would appreciate it. Thanks. Margot

Extracted bpo4ti34: 3584 bytes

CN=MaryBeth Zimmerman/OU=EE/O=DOE>---<Margot Anderson@HQMAIL @ HQDOE>---<Re= Revised NEP list

Here are the people that I heard from in the course of the various NEP assignments. Some of these people provided tangential support on specific questions/data checks. This list does not include employees that were on e-mail lists for requests for help, but from whom we did not receive anything. Finally, this list does not include employees to whom these individuals may have forwarded requests. Joel Rubin Michael York Darrell Bescen Phil Tseng Sam Baldwin Buddy Garland Jerry Dion Larry Mansuetti David Rodgers Phil Patterson Dave Bassett Judy Odululamy Mark Ginsberg (Pulte box #) Ed Pollock (Pulte box) Michael McCabe Michael Raymond (checked refrigerator or #5) John Talbot (checked various #s) Nancy Jeffry (pictures) Bill Parks Pat Hoffman (text boxes) Peggy Podolak Tina Kaarsburg Tien Nguyen Ellyn Kreitz Gail McKinley Ed Wall Ken Freidman Phil Overholt To: Robert Dixon/EE/DOE@DOE cc: Lawrence Mansuetti/EE/DOE@DOE, William Parks/EE/DOE@DOE, Tina Kaarsburg/EE/DOE@DOE, John Millhone/EE/DOE@DOE, Phillip Tseng/EE/DOE@DOE, Michael York/EE/DOE@DOE Subject: Margot Anderson@HQMAIL on 05/21/2001 12:44:37 PM To: Abe Haspel/EE/DOE@HQMAIL, Robert Kripowicz@HQMAIL, WILLIAM MAGWOOD@HQMAIL, David Pumphrey@HQMAIL, ANDY KYDES@HQMAIL cc: MaryBeth Zimmerman/EE/DOE@DOE@HQMAIL, Jay Braitsch@HQMAIL, Joseph Kelliherr@HQMAIL, Kevin Kolevar@HQMAIL Subject: Revised NEP list MB, I heard from NE and FE. Please let me know if I have the complete list. Joe K. - did you want me to add Kyle? Margot ----- Original Message----- From: Anderson, Margot Sent: Friday, May 18, 2001 12:10 PM To: Abe Haspel, Abe Kripowicz, Robert; Magwood, William; KYDES, ANDY; Pumphrey, David CC: Zimmerman, MaryBeth; Braitsch, Jay; Kellihier, Joseph; Kolevar, Kevin Subject: who worked on the NEP? MB, As part of the FOIAs underway on the NEP, I need to submit a list of everyone who worked on it. Please review and edit accordingly. If you could return by Monday, I would appreciate it. Thanks. Margot

Extracted bpo4ti34: 3584 bytes
have forwarded requests. Joel Rubin Michael York Darrell Beschen Phil Tseng Sam Baldwin Buddy Garland Jerry Dion Larry Mansueti David Rodgers Phil Patterson Dave Bassett Judy Odululamy Mark Ginsberg (Pulte box) Ed Pollock (Pulte box) Michael McCabe Michael Raymond (checked refrigerator #5) John Talbot (checked various #s) Nancy Jeffry (pictures) Bill Parks Pat Hoffman (text boxes) Peggy Podolak Tina Kaarsburg Tien Nguyen Ellyn Krevitz Gail McKinley Ed Wall Ken Freidman Phil Overholt To: Robert Dixon/EE/DOE@DOE, cc: Lawrence Mansueti/EE/DOE@DOE, William Parks/EE/DOE@DOE, Tina Kaarsburg/E E/DOE@DOE, John Willhonne/EE/DOE@DOE, Phillip Tseng/EE/DOE@DOE, Michael Yong/EE/DOE@DOE, Subject: Revised NEP list All, I heard from NE and FE. Please let me know if I have the complete list. Joe K. - did you want me to add Kyle? Margot ----- original Message----- From: Anderson, Margot Sent: Friday, May 18, 2001 12:10 PM To: Haspel, Abe; Kripowicz, Robert; Magwood, William; KYDES, ANDY; Pumphrey. David CC: Zimmerman, MaryBeth; Braitsch, Jay; Kelliher, Joseph; Kolevar, Kevin Subject: who worked on the NEP? All, as part of the FOIAS underway on the NEP, I need to submit a list of everyone who worked on it. Please review and edit accordingly. If you could return by Monday, I would appreciate it. Thanks. Margot << File: NEP_people.xls >>"
Thanks in advance for your help on this assignment. We are trying to go through all 100+ recommendations in the NEP and identifying DOE programs and/or initiatives that already exist and are supportive of each initiative so we can promote the initiatives as they apply to the plan. For each one, please give a short description of the program, and include any helpful details (such as technological accomplishments) or budget figures. We don't need a lengthy description (3-4 sentences at the most - kind of like the descriptions in the Budget Highlights document) but do need enough plain English detail to explain it to lay people. We are hoping to complete this task for the entire department by COB Friday; so would like to get as much information from the programs as possible by 1 p.m. Friday. Call if there are any questions. I can be reached at 586-5806. Many thanks, Lisa Cutler

Christina Kielich@HQMAIL>---<CN=MaryBeth Zimmerman/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE@HQMAIL>---<05/22/2001 03:25:36 PM>---<RE: Public Affairs Request -- NEP Recommendations

-------------- Message body ---------------
Mary Beth, can you please define / expand upon this phrase in the release; I'm working on this at Lisa's request. Thanks: "the identification of opportunities for distributed energy resources." ---Original Message---
From: MaryBeth Zimmerman Sent: Friday, May 18, 2001 6:29 PM To: Cutler, Lisa; Kielich, Christina Cc: Haspel, Abe; Butler, Wendy; Sullivan, John; Jeffery, Nancy; McCabe, Michael; Beschen, Darrell; Kimbis, Tom Subject: Re: Public Affairs Request -- NEP Recommendations Attached is a draft response to your requests regarding EERE program activities and their relationship to the NEP recommendations. The lack of time available, and the multiple requests received, made it difficult to process this request. Much of this information should be revised and we will try to do that early next week. Please give me a call if you have any questions. I am at 6-7249.

Wendy Butler 05/17/2001 05:22 PM To: Abe Haspel/EE/DOE@DOE, John Sullivan/EE/DOE@DOE, Michael McCabe/EE/DOE@DOE, Robert Dixon/EE/DOE@DOE, William Parks/EE/DOE@DOE, Mark Gray/EE/DOE@DOE, Gail McKinley/EE/DOE@DOE, Edward Pollock/EE/DOE@DOE, Denise Swink/EE/DOE@DOE, Robert Brewer/EE/DOE@DOE, Tom Gross/EE/DOE@DOE, Richard Moorer/EE/DOE@DOE, Elizabeth Shearer/EE/DOE@DOE, Joan Glickman/EE/DOE@DOE, MaryBeth Zimmerman/EE/DOE@DOE, Darrell Beschen/EE/DOE@DOE, Nancy Jeffery/EE/DOE@DOE, Buddy Garland/EE/DOE@DOE cc: Subject: Public Affairs Request -- NEP Recommendations

--- Forwarded by Wendy Butler/EE/DOE on 05/17/2001 05:19 PM ---

wendy Butler 05/17/2001 05:19 PM To: Lisa Cutler@HQMAIL cc: Subject: FW: Energy Plan Wendy et al,
Your call. Its for the FOIAs. ----- original Message-----
From: MaryBeth Zimmer man sent: Monday, May 21, 2001 2:42 PM To: Anderson, Margot Subject: Re: Revised NEP list working on it. Do you want everyone who weighed in on something, in some way, or just people who were tasked to address requests? Margot Anderson@HQMAIL on 05/21/2001 12:44:57 PM To: Abe Haspel/EE/DOE@DOE@HQMAIL, Robert Kripowicz@HQMAIL, WILLIAM MAGWOOD@HQMAIL, David Pumphrey@HQMAIL, ANDY KYDES@hq@HQMAIL cc: MaryBeth Zimmerman/EE/DOE@DOE@HQMAIL, Jay Braitsch@HQMAIL, Joseph Kelliher@HQMAIL, Kevin Kolevar@HQMAIL S ubject: Revised NEP list All, I heard from NE and FE. Please let me know if I have the complete list. Joe K. - did you want me to add Kyle? Margot -----Original Message----- From: Anderson, Margot Sent: Friday, May 18, 2001 12:10 PM To: Haspel, Abe; Kripowicz, Robert; Magwood, Willia m; KYDES, ANDY; Pumphrey, David Cc: Zimmerman, MaryBeth; Braitsch, Jay; Ke liher, Joseph; Kolevar, Kevin Subject: who worked on the NEP? All. As part of the FOIAs underway on the NEP, I need to submit a list of everyone who worked on it. Please review and edit accordingly. If you could return by Monday, I would appreciate it. Thanks. Margot << File: NEP people.xls >>
--------------- Attachments ---------------
Extracted bpo4thy9: 3584 bytes

Attached is a draft response to your requests regarding EERE program activities and their relationship to the NEP recommendations. The lack of time available, and the multiple requests received, made it difficult to process this request. Much of this information should be revised and we will try to do that early next week. Please give me a call if you have any questions. I am at 6-7249. Wendy Butler 05/17/2001 05:22 PM To: Abe Haspel /EE/DOE@DOE, John Sullivan/EE/DOE@DOE, Michael McCabe/EE/DOE@DOE, Robert Dixon/EE/DOE@DOE, William Parks/EE/DOE@DOE, Mark Ginsberg/EE/DOE@DOE, Gail Page 16
Forwarded by Wendy Butler/EE/DOE on 05/17/2001 05:19 PM

wendy Butler 05/17/2001 05:19 PM

7/2001 04:39:07 PM To: Wendy Butler/EE/DOE@DOE@HQMAIL cc: Subject: FW:Energy Plan Wendy et al, Thanks in advance for your help on this assignment. We are trying to go through all 100+ recommendations in the NEP and identifying DOE programs and/or initiatives that already exist and are supportive of each initiative so we can promote the initiatives as they apply to the plan. For each one, please give a short description of the program, and include any helpful details (such as technological accomplishments) or budget figures. We don't need a lengthy description (3-4 sentences at the most - kind of like the descriptions in the Budget Highlights document) but do need enough plain English detail to explain it to lay people. We are hoping to complete this task for the entire department by COs Friday; so would like to get as much information from the programs as possible by 1 p.m. Friday. Call if there are any questions. I can be reached at 586-5806.

Many thanks, Lisa Cutler

---------------- Message body ----------------

i switched it to 123,000 on reco 2.3 DARRELL BESCHEN 05/18/2001 03:40 PM To: MaryBeth Zimmerman/EE/DOE@DOE, Tom Kimbis/EE/DOE cc: Subject: Re: 05/18/2001 03:36 PM ---------------- Message body ----------------

Gail McKinley 05/18/2001 12:16 PM To: Jerry Dion/EE/DOE@DOE cc: Darrell Beschen/EE/DOE@DOE, Mark Ginsberg/EE/DOE@DOE, Edward Pollock/EE/DOE@DOE, Ronald Shaw/EE/DOE@DOE, Faith Lambert/EE/DOE@DOE, Mark Bailey/EE/DOE@DOE, Gregory Reamy/EE/DOE@DOE Subject: Re: Revised NEP Response (1) Re recommendation 4.14:
18/2001 01:41 PM --------------------------- Raymond Costello on 05/18/2001 01:41 PM To: Patrick Booher/EE/DOE@DOE, Gloria Elliott/EE/DOE@DOE, Darrell Beschen/EE/DOE@DOE, Tom Kimbis/EE/DOE@DOE cc: Don Richardson, Paul Grabowski Subject: biopower input for NEP

i suggest we eliminate 6.3, 6.9 as in wind and move 6.13 description to the comments. --------------------------- Forwarded by Darrell Beschen/EE/DOE on 05/18/2001 01:33 PM --------------------------- Raymond LaSala 05/18/2001 11:59 AM To: Wendy Butler/EE/DOE@DOE, Darrell Beschen/EE/DOE@DOE cc: Patrick Booher/EE/DOE@DOE, Gloria Elliott/EE/DOE@DOE, Peter Goldman/EE/DOE@DOE Subject: Re: National Energy Policy Recommendations of Particular Interest to EERE

Dear Wendy and Darrell, Here is the requested input for the Geothermal Program: Please note that we have added (at the bottom of the table) some additional elements from the NEPD that were not included in Darrell's table. Ray LaSala EE-12 Peter Goldman 05/18/2001 09:17 AM To: Jack Cadogan, Thomas Hall/EE/DOE@DOE, Phil Dougherty/EE/DOE@DOE, Susan Norwood, Allan Jelacic/EE/DOE@DOE, Raymond LaSala/EE/DOE@DOE cc: Subject: National Energy Policy Recommendations of Particular Interest to EERE

FYI---yet more instructions and advice. Do the best you can. Bob. --------------------------- Forwarded by Robert Dixon 05/18/2001 08:45 AM To: Peter Goldman/EE/DOE@DOE, James Rannels/EE/DOE@DOE, Don Richardson/EE/DOE@DOE, James Daley/EE/DOE@DOE, Patricia Hoffman/EE/DOE@DOE, Thomas Sacco/EE/DOE@DOE cc: Subject: National Energy Policy Recommendations of Particular Interest to EERE

Colleagues: FYI---yet more instructions and advice. Do the best you can. Bob. --------------------------- Forwarded by Robert Dixon 05/18/2001 08:44 AM To: abe.haspel@ee.doe.gov, Mark Ginsberg/EE/DOE@DOE, Tom Gross/EE/DOE@DOE, Robert Dixon/EE/DOE@DOE, Denise Swink/EE/DOE@DOE, Elizabeth Shearer/EE/DOE@DOE #R0Directors cc: John.sullivan@ee.doe.gov, Luan.Glickman/EE/DOE@DOE, William Parks/EE/DOE@DOE, Richard Moorer/EE/DOE@DOE, Robert Brewer/EE/DOE@DOE, Jerry Dion/EE/DOE@DOE, Gail Mckinley, Edward Pollock/EE/DOE@DOE, MaryBeth Zimmerman/EE/DOE@DOE, Nancy Jeffery/EE/DOE@DOE, buddy.garland@ee.doe.gov, Wendy Butler/EE/DOE@DOE, #R0DeputyDirectors, darrell.beschen@ee.doe.gov Subject: National Energy Policy Recommendations of Particular Interest to EERE.
ons in which we have a principal responsibility and opportunity. We have also included recommendations in which we believe you will have at least a n informational interest (labeled informational), you can respond to these at your own volition. Specific files are attached for each sector/grou.

y to Tom Kimbis by 11 am Friday 5/18 (as of this writing) so we can put it together by the 1 pm deadline. I would like to allow the power office ti l noon should they need it, recognizing they have the largest number of re commendations to prepare. If anything changes between now and the due ti me... I will call the DAS.

Extracted NEP OPT Chart.geo.xls: 43520 bytes
Extracted NEP RO Chart.xls: 26112 bytes
Extracted NEP FEMP Chart.xls: 26112 bytes
Extracted NEP OIT Chart.xls: 23552 bytes
Extracted NEP OPT Chart.xls: 38400 bytes
Extracted NEP OTT Chart.xls: 31232 bytes
Extracted NEP BTS Chart.xls: 26624 bytes

Mr. Jerry Dion 05/18/2001 11:13 AM To: Darrell Beschen/EE/DOE@DOE cc: Mark Ginsberg/EE/DOE@DOE, Gail McKinley/EE/DOE@DOE Subject: Revised NEP Response Darrell,

My apologies, the language below is directly out of one of the recommendati ons in the NEP. So, we could use this... New language to use instead of saying we are awaiting the NEP: The just released National Energy Plan (NE P) provides a blueprint for how the Administration will increase energy su pplies, including renewable energy. The NEP recommends that the President

the Secretary of Energy to conduct a review of current funding and histo ric performance of renewable energy and alternative energy research and de velopment programs in light of the recommendations of this report. Based on this review, the Secretary of Energy is then directed to propose appro priate funding of those research and development programs that are performa nce-based and are modeled as public-private partnerships.

Thanks, in return, for your efforts to pull everything together for us on t he NEP. For our purposes, I've asked Tom Kimbis to go through the full report to identify any other errors/misprints (we've found a couple alread y). I wanted to let you know we are doing this in case you get any questi
John, I would like to be in the loop as well to review the material. In case you didn't see it, here is the message Lisa Cutler sent to wendy describing what they are looking for. Wendy et al., thanks in advance for your help on this assignment. We are trying to go through all 100+ recommendations in the NEP and identifying DOE programs and/or initiatives that already exist and are supportive of each initiative so we can promote the initiatives as they apply to the plan. For each one, please give a short description of the program, and include any helpful details (such as technological accomplishments) or budget figures. We don't need a lengthy description (3-4 sentences at the most - kind of like the descriptions in the Budget Highlights document) but do need enough plain english detail to explain it to lay people. Michael John Sullivan 05/18/2001 08:08 AM To: #EE-DAS, #EE-ADAS, Douglas Kaempf/EE/DOE@DOE, MaryBeth Zimmerman/EE/DOE@DOE, Michael McCabe/EE/DOE@DOE Subject: National Energy Policy Recommendations of Particular Interest to EERE By the way the deadline to Public Affairs is 12:00. But, we'll keep your 11:00 deadline. MaryBeth's group will work with all the DAS organizations to ensure consistency of response. Think about a tiered response that would keep our oar in the water in as much as possible. Think classes such as "primary responsibility", "significant contributor to...", "important participant", etc. There have been some misassignments or "confused" assignments with other agencies that we need to "reappropriate the action". The deadline is absurd. We'll do the best noon time job as possible but we know the limitations. ---

Darrell Beschen
n@ee.doe.gov on 05/17/2001 09:30:25 PM To: Abe Haspel/EE/DOE@DOE, Mark Ginsberg/EE/DOE@DOE, Tom Gross/EE/DOE@DOE, Robert Dixon/EE/DOE@DOE, Denise Swink/EE/DOE@DOE, Elizabeth Sheare/EE/DOE@DOE, #RDOdirectors cc John Sullivan/EE@DOE, Joan Glickman/EE/DOE@DOE, William Parks/EE/DOE@DOE, Richard Moorer/EE/DOE@DOE, Robert Brewer/EE/DOE@DOE, Jerry Dion/EE/DOE@DOE, Gail Mckinley/EE/DOE@DOE, Edward Pollock/EE/DOE@DOE, MaryBeth Zimmerman/EE/DOE@DOE, Nancy Jeffery/EE/DOE@DOE, Buddy Garland/EE/DOE@DOE, Wendy Butler/EE/DOE@DOE, Darrell Beschen/EE/DOE@DOE Subject: National Energy Policy Recommendations of Particular Interest to EERE Per John's instructions in staff meeting to prepare for the Public Affairs request and the expected follow-ups we have prepared an excel spreadsheet containing all the NEP recommendations in which we have a principal responsibility and opportunity. We have also included recommendations in which we believe you will have at least an informational interest (labeled informational), you can respond to these at your own volition. Specific files are attached for each sector/group. To the recommendations for your sector and those labeled EERE (of interest to all sectors) please add your really brief and layman response to the Public Affairs request (included in Wendy Butler's e-mail note earlier this evening): Existing programs or initiatives addressing the recommendation. Brief program/initiative description and if germane, helpful details such as recent accomplishments. Bearing in mind the original request, you may want to be figuring out what budget categories would be involved in addressing the recommendations. Please return your files to me and a copy to Tom Kimb's by 11 am Friday 5/18 (as of this writing) so we can put it together by the 1 pm deadline. I would like to allow the power office till noon should they need it, recognizing they have the largest number of recommendations to prepare. If anything changes between now and the due time... I will call the DAS. (See attached file: NEP RO Chart.xls) (See attached file: NEP FEMP Chart.xls) (See attached file: NEP OIT Chart.xls) (See attached file: NEP OIT Chart.xls) (See attached file: NEP OTT Chart.xls) (See attached file: NEP OPT Chart.xls) - NEP RO Chart.xls - NEP FEMP Chart.xls - NEP OIT Chart.xls - NEP OPT Chart.xls - NEP OTT C
By the way, I forgot to thank you for the late night work. Thanks for being a trooper.

Darrell.Besch@ee.doe.gov on 05/17/2001 09:30:25 PM

TO: Abe Haspel/EE/DOE@DOE, Mark Ginsberg/EE/DOE@DOE, Tom Gross/EE/DOE@DOE, Robert Dixon/EE/DOE@DOE, Denise Swink/EE/DOE@DOE, Elizabeth Shearer/EE/DOE@DOE, #RODDirectors cc John Sullivan/EE/DOE@DOE, Joan Glickman/EE/DOE@DOE, William Parks/EE/DOE@DOE, Richard Moorer/EE/DOE@DOE, Robert Brewer/EE/DOE@DOE, Jerry Dion/EE/DOE@DOE, Gail McKinley/EE/DOE@DOE, Edward Pollock/EE/DOE@DOE, MaryBeth Zimmerman/EE/DOE@DOE, Nancy Jeffery/EE/DOE@DOE, Buddy Garland/EE/DOE@DOE, Wendy Butler/EE/DOE@DOE, #RODeputy_Directors, Darrell.Besch@ee.doe.gov

DOE/EE/DOE/DOE
Subject: National Energy Policy Recommendations of Particular Interest to EERE

Per John’s instructions in staff meeting to prepare for the Public Affairs request and the expected follow-ups we have prepared an Excel spreadsheet containing all the NEP recommendations in which we have a principal responsibility and opportunity. We have also included recommendations in which we believe you will have at least an informational interest (labeled informational), you can respond to these at your own volition. Specific files are attached for each sector/group.
NEPMASTER
- Renamed to "NEP OTT Chart1.xls" to preserve uniqueness
Extracted NEP BTS Chart.xls: 26624 bytes
- Renamed to "NEP BTS Chart1.xls" to preserve uniqueness

Abe.Haspel@ee.doe.gov>---<CN=MaryBeth Zimmerman/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE>---<05/18/2001 07:23:24 AM>---<Next CERA briefing at DOE
---------------- Message body ----------------
Marybeth: Given the hemispheric content in the NEP we probably ought to have someone attend. Abe
Michael Ortmeier@HQMAIL on 05/17/2001 04:16:57 PM
To: cc: Subject: Next CERA briefing at DOE Folks, please see the attachment for information on the next CERA briefing on Mexico/Latin American energy issues on Thursday, 24 May @ 10:00 to 11:30 in Rm. G3-015 Forrestal Bldg. Regards, Mike O - CERA7mex.DOC
---------------- Attachments ----------------
Extracted CERA7mex.DOC: 32256 bytes

CN=John Sullivan/OU=EE/O=DOE>---<CN=Buddy Garland/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE;CN=Steven Lee/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE>---<05/17/2001 03:29:27 PM>---<Program Reviews
---------------- Message body ----------------

CN=MaryBeth Zimmerman/OU=EE/O=DOE>---<CN=John Sullivan/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE>---
EERE Strategic Plan Update
---------------- Message body ----------------
I wish to discuss this memo with you when we meet at 10:00 on Wednesday (tomorrow). Forwarded by MaryBeth Zimmerman/EE/DOE on 05/08/2001 04:15 PM -------------------------- BRIAN CONNOR 05/08/2001 01 03:29 PM To: John Sullivan/EE/DOE@DOE cc: Buddy Garland/EE/DOE@DOE, MaryBeth Zimmerman/EE/DOE@DOE, Sam Baldwin/EE/DOE@DOE,
CN=MaryBeth Zimmerman/OU=EE/O=DOE>---<CN=Jerry Dion/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE>---<Energy Intensity source
--------------- Message body ---------------
Re our conversation on intensity & efficiency --------------------- Forwarded by MaryBeth Zimmerman/EE/DOE on 05/08/2001 09:23 AM
--------------- Message body ---------------
--------------- Attachments ---------------
Extracted Energy Intensity improvements.doc: 24576 bytes
CN=MaryBeth Zimmerman/OU=EE/O=DOE>---<CN=Joel Rubin/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE>---<more on NEP citations assignment
--------------- Message body ---------------
--------------- Message body ---------------
--------------- Message body ---------------
Forwarded by MaryBeth Zimmerman/EE/DOE on 05/07/2001 04:46 PM
MaryBeth Zimmerman 05/04/2001 05:22 PM TO: Michael York/EE/DOE@DOE, Darrell Beschen/EE/DOE@DOE cc: Buddy Garland/EE/DOE@DOE, Nancy Jeffers/EE/DOE@DOE, Abe Haspel/EE/DOE@DOE Subject:
FW: bullets

From: Friedrichs, Mark
Sent: Monday, May 07, 2001 10:39 AM
To: Zimmerman, MaryBeth; Anderson, Margot; Rypinski, Arthur
Subject: FW: bullets

This is what went. It included that one bullet I added at the last second in the first section. Let's try for something better 1 pm. Can we have a brown bag together at noon in my office or in our conference room?

----- Original Message -----
From: Friedrichs, Mark
Sent: Monday, May 07, 2001 10:34 AM
To: McMonigle, Joe; Kolevar, Kevin
Subject: FW: bullets

This is the best we can do by 10:30; we will continue be working on a slightly expanded version, that will include macroeconomic impacts.

----- Original Message -----
From: MaryBeth Zimmerman
Sent: Monday, May 07, 2001 10:24 AM
To: Friedrichs, Mark
Subject: bullets

<< File: 1 pger for WH.doc >>

Extracted bpxvdgSy: 3584 bytes

Margot Anderson@HQMAIL>---<CN=MaryBeth Zimmerman/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOEHQMAIL;Mar got Anderson@HQMAIL>---<05/07/2001 11:36:20 AM>---<RE: bullets

----- Original Message -----
From: MaryBeth Zimmerman
Sent: Monday, May 07, 2001 11:25 AM
To: Anderson, Margot
Cc: Friedrichs, Mark
Subject: Re: bullets

Keep working on this. Joe McMonigle wants the chang
This is what went. It included that one bullet I added at the last second in the first section. Let's try for something better 1 pm. Can we have a brown bag together at noon in my office or in our conference room?

----- Original Message-----

From: Friedrichs, Mark
Sent: Monday, May 07, 2001 10:34 AM
To: McMonigle, Joe; Kolevar, Kevin
Subject: FW: bullets
Importance: High

This is the best we can do by 10:30; we will continue to work on a slightly expanded version, that will include macroeconomic impacts.

----- Original Message-----

From: MaryBeth Zimmerman
Sent: Monday, May 07, 2001 10:24 AM

To: Friedrichs, Mark
Subject: bullets
Importance: High

<< File: 1 pger for WH.doc >>

------------- Attachments -------------

Extracted bpxv99s: 3584 bytes

Mark FRIEDRICH@HQMAIL>---<Margot Anderson@HQMAIL>---<05/07/2001 11:31:33 AM>---<RE: bullets

------------- Message body -------------

This is what went. It included that one bullet I added at the last second in the first section. Let's try for something better 1 pm. Can we have a brown bag together at noon in my office or in our conference room?

----- Original Message-----

From: Friedrichs, Mark
Sent: Monday, May 07, 2001 10:34 AM
To: McMonigle, Joe; Kolevar, Kevin
Subject: FW: bullets
Importance: High

This is the best we can do by 10:30; we will continue to work on a slightly expanded version, that will include macroeconomic impacts.

----- Original Message-----

From: MaryBeth Zimmerman
Sent: Monday, May 07, 2001 10:24 AM

To: Friedrichs, Mark
Subject: bullets
Importance: High

<< File: 1 pger for WH.doc >>

Page 25
3:37 AM To: MaryBeth Zimmerman/EE/DOE@DOE/HQMAIL, Mark FRIEDRICHS/HQMAIL, Arthur Rypinski@HQMAIL 
Subject: RE: bullets Keep working on this.

--- Original Message ---
From: Friedrichs, Mark 
Sent: Monday, May 07, 2001 10:34 AM To: MCMonigle, Joe; Kolevar, Kevin 
Subject: FW: bullets Importance: High 
This is the best we can do by 10:30; we will continue being worked on a slightly expanded version, that will include macroeconomic impacts.

--- Original Message ---
From: Friedrichs, Mark 
Sent: Monday, May 07, 2001 10:34 AM To: MCMonigle, Joe; Kolevar, Kevin 
Subject: FW: bullets Importance: High 
This is the best we can do by 10:30; we will continue being worked on a slightly expanded version, that will include macroeconomic impacts.

--- Original Message ---
From: MaryBeth Zimmerman 
Sent: Monday, May 07, 2001 10:24 AM To: Friedrichs, Mark 
Subject: bullets Importance: High
<< File: 1 pager for WH.doc >>

From today's Washington Post re: NEP: "The recommendations' greater long-term impact will be presented in two weeks by the administration's energy task force, a Cabinet-level group headed by the vice president. A senior White House official said yesterday that the report will have five components. The most important, increasing supply, will leaven its emphasis on fossil fuels and nuclear power by including a goal of tripling renewable fuels by 2020. There will be tax incentives for research and development for technologies related to solar, biomass, methane gas and other energy sources. Other components will be a "modernizing conservation" section arguing for increasing energy efficiency through technology; modernizing infrastructure; enhancing the environment; and increasing energy security -- a combination of reducing reliance on foreign supply and keeping energy prices low and stable for low-income consumers. The task force is considering a number of conservation efforts, including tax incentives for the purchase of energy-efficient hybrid cars that run on gasoline and electricity and voluntary national targets for conserving energy, according to an administration source."

Our outreach person (Nancy) has left for the day, so here's my estimate: 200 (My breakout for our internal distribution: (31 program offices x 2) + (5 sector offices x 5) + (EE 3.1 x 20) + (EE.1 x 25) + (Outreach x 40) + (Planning Library x 25) 
Margot Anderson@HQMAIL on 05/03/2001 02:33:49 AM
To: MaryBeth Zimmerman/EE/DOE@DOE/HQMAIL, Jay Braitusch@HQMAIL, TREVOR C
NEPMaster

ook@hqmail, Michael Whatley@hqmail, andy kydes@hqmail cc: Joseph Kelliher@hqmail Subject: Fw: How many copies of the NEP do you need? All, This is a note from the WH asking how many copies of the NEP we would like (no cost). They will put it on the WEB as well. Can you give me a ballpark? Marg ot --- Original Message --- From: Charles_M._Smith@ovp.eop.gov%internet [mailto:Charles_M._Smith@ovp.eop.gov] Sent: Thursday, May 03, 2001 12:52 PM To: Kelliher, Joseph; Anderson, Margot; Knmurphy@sec.doc.gov%internet; Dina.Ellis@treas.gov%internet; Keith.Collins@USDA.gov%internet; Joseph.Glamber@USDA.gov%internet; Gallogly@state.gov%internet; Michelle.Poche@ost.dot.gov%internet; Patricia.StahlSchmidt@FEMA.gov%internet; William_bettenberg@ios.doj.gov%internet; Tom_fulton@ios.doj.gov%internet; Bruce.Baughman@FEMA.gov%internet; Charles.m.Hess@USACE.army.mil%internet; moss.jacob@gpa.gov%internet; McManusmt@State.gov%internet; Patricia.Stahlschmidt@FEMA.gov%internet; Karen.Y._Knutson@ovp.eop.gov%internet Subject: How many copies of the report do you need? As we get closer to printing this thing, I need to know how many copies of the report each agency needs and to whom you want them to go and where (address including room #). Let me know by COB Thursday, May 3, 2001. Thanks Charlie

Margot, The attached file "chapter 6-may2.doc" includes all EERE comments on chapter 6 (renewable and alternative energy). All the recommended changes are in red. Please let me know if you have any questions. Phillip

----- Attachments -----
Extracted CHAPTER 6-May2.doc: 117248 bytes

Yes. These comments will be incorporated.

OPT'S Review of NEP's Renewable/Alternative Energy and Environment chapters

Page 27

12816

DOE016-3180
For OPT, myself and Tina Kaarsberg will review in the next few hours the NEP chapters per your request and fwd our comments to Phil.

At the risk of overloading your e-mail boxes, I just wanted to pass on how pleased the Policy Office is with your responsiveness in pulling together the information they sought today regarding various NEP and climate change fire drills. Please call if you have any questions about the various drills. (6-7249)
To: James Daley/EE/DOE@DOE, Richard Budzich/EE/DOE@DOE, Nancy Jerro--
y/EE/DOE@DOE, Robert Dixon/EE/DOE@DOE, William Parks/EE/DOE@DOE, Siomund G

------------- Attachments -------------
Extracted hydrogen-economy_lpg.wpd: 10919 bytes

Re: this is from mike york subject is nep one pagers

Secretary meeting with American Gas Association

--- Forwarded by Michael McCabe/EE/DOE on 04/26/2001 02:34 PM ---
02:34 PM To: Michael McCabe/EE/DOE@DOE@HQMAIL on 04/26/2001 12:38:52 PM
--- Sent: Secretary meeting with American Gas Association

Would you pls have staff do a background paper for me because I am attending with the secy, incl DOE collaboration with AGA, gas indus issues with the dept/NEP, etc? pls have EE staff coordinate with FE staff and any other for dept perspective. thx. would appreciate having by approx COB

Page 29

12818

DOE016-3182
May 1st, since I have to prepare my own short paper for the secy and I may need to ask questions after getting your paper. thx.

Margot Anderson@HQMAIL>---<CN=MaryBeth Zimmerman/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE@HQMAIL;CN=Darrell Beschen/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE@HQMAIL;CN=Michael York/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE@HQMAIL>---<04/26/2001 02:14:28 PM>---<All, By COB I need a definition of biomass, geothermal, wind and solar energy. I'd go look it up but I am chasing other NEP rabbits. Can you help? Margot

Jay Braitsch@HQMAIL>---<CN=MaryBeth Zimmerman/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE@HQMAIL;CN=Darrell Beschen/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE@HQMAIL;CN=Tom Kimbis/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE@HQMAIL;TR EVOR COOK@HQMAIL>---<04/26/2001 08:48:14 AM>---<This was the final version of the GCC S&T paper that went to Kevin Kolevar on the 7th floor staff. I understand that 7th floor staff (including Margot Anderson) are morphing it into a larger package to go to the Administration team working on the NEP. I'll let you know anything more I hear on that. Thanks again for your help.

--------------- Attachments ---------------
Extracted GCC ST FE-EE-NE Integrated2.wpd: 126065 bytes

Margot Anderson@HQMAIL>---<CN=Abe Haspel/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE@HQMAIL;CN=John Sullivan/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE@HQMAIL;CN=MaryBeth Zimmerman/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE@HQMAIL;CN=Carole Hart/OU=CR/O=DOE@DOE@HQMAIL;Robert Kripowicz@HQMAIL;WILLIAM MAGWOOD@HQMAIL;David Pumphrey@HQMAIL;Paula Scalingi@HQMAIL;Michael Whaley@HQMAIL>---<02/14/2001 12:45:19 PM>---<draft NEP instructions All, Please review. What did I miss from the discussion today? Note assignments are by office - some of you are asked provide names to Joe, me or other offices to complete tasks. If only one or two offices are contributing the bulk of the information, I am asking one office to compile the bits prior to sending to me. Saves me some time and I can focus on overall gaps. Also attached outline Joe was working from. Please get back to me by 2:30 (if possible) with your comments on the instructions. I will edit and send out "officially" ASAP. I will also need to know who will be doing one so I don't have to bug you all the time. Margot

--------------- Attachments ---------------
Extracted NEP Organization.doc: 29184 bytes
Extracted Draft combo outline WH.doc: 102400 bytes

Margot Anderson@HQMAIL>---<CN=Abe Haspel/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE@HQMAIL;CN=John Sullivan/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE@HQMAIL;CN=MaryBeth Zimmerman/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE@HQMAIL;Robert Kripowicz@HQMAIL;Robert Porter@HQMAIL;WILLIAM MAGWOOD@HQMAIL;David Pumphrey@HQMAIL;James Hart@HQMAIL;Paula Scalingi@HQMAIL;Michael Whaley@HQMAIL;LARRY PETTIS@HQMAIL>---<02/14/2001 03:20:00 PM>---<NEP guidelines with your edits All, Hope I got everything - I am sure you will tell me if I didn't. Still missing a few names. There is some new stuff, based on your input. I am only sending this to primary points of contact - you'll need to disseminate accordingly. Call or write with concerns. Margot

--------------- Attachments ---------------
Extracted NEP Organization.doc: 35328 bytes
- Renamed to "NEP Organization0.doc" to preserve uniqueness

Margot Anderson@HQMAIL>---<CN=Abe Haspel/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE@HQMAIL;CN=John Sullivan/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE@HQMAIL;Robert Kripowicz@HQMAIL;Robert Porter@HQMAIL;WILLIAM MAGWOOD@HQMAIL;David Pumphrey@HQMAIL;James Hart@HQMAIL;Paula Scalingi@HQMAIL;Michael Whaley@HQMAIL;LARRY PETTIS@HQMAIL>---<02/15/2001 09:52:13 AM>---<NEP Meeting

--------------- Message body ---------------

Page 30

12819

DOE016-3183
All, On Wednesday, Feb. 21, at 3:30, we will meet to discuss the White House comments on our NEP drafts. The meeting will be held in room 7B-040 (PO's conference room). Attached is revised guidance (biggest change is in schedule and deadlines section). Some of us will need to meet on Monday but time and place has not yet been determined. Note: this message sent only to primary points of contact. Route as needed. Margot

Margot Anderson@HQMAIL>---<CN=MaryBeth Zimmerman/OU=EE/O=DOE@HQMAIL>---<02/15/2001 07:50:13 PM>---<stuff from EPA for you!>

All, As drafts roll in (I've contacted most of you), I will combine and send out by 6:00. We will be meeting Monday (2/19) at 10:00 to go over the NEP draft. We have to meet in PO's conference room (7B-040) so we don't have to go through the OSEC guards on a holiday (requires a list and pre-notification). If you are gone by 6:00 and can't access your DOE e-mail from home, leave me a fax number. Again, only sending to primary points of contact, not sub-section authors. Margot

Margot Anderson@DOE@HQMAIL>---<CN=Sam Baldwin/OU=EE/O=DOE@HQMAIL>---<02/16/2001 04:59:14 PM>---<RE: NEP Section 5

Margot - Attached are 4 files for Section 5 of the NEP. SECTION 5b.doc is the bulk of the product and represents material from FE. NEP chap 5 renewables...doc is the renewables material. NE input to doug.doc is the material from NE Section 5 Figs.ppt is a Powerpoint file with 2 graphics for in Page 31

7:03 PM To: Douglas Carter@HQMAIL CC: Sam Baldwin/EE/DOE@HQMAIL, Robert Kripowicz@HQMAIL, Robert Porter@HQMAIL, George Rudins@HQMAIL, Guido DeHoratiis@HQMAIL, John Shages@HQMAIL, TREvor Cook@HQMAIL Subject: RE: NEP Section 5

Okay, I'll see what I can do. Thanks. ----- Original Message ----- From: Sam Baldwin Sent: Friday, February 16, 2001 4:50 PM To: Anderson, Margot CC: Zimmerman, MaryBeth Su

Page 31
we were planning on John Sullivan and me attending. OK?

--- Original Message ---
From: Douglas Carter@HQMAIL
Sent: Friday, February 16, 2001 3:23 PM
To: Anderson, Margot
Cc: Kripowicz, Robert; Porter, Robert; Rudins, George; DeHoratiis, Guido; Shages, John; Cook, Trevor; Baldwin, Sam
Subject: NEP Section 5

Okay, I'll see what I can do. Thanks.

Attached are 4 files for Section 5 of the NEP. SECTION 5b.doc is the bulk of the product and represents material from FE. NEP Chap 5 renewables...doc is the renewables material. NE input to doug.doc is the material from NE Section 5 Figs.ppt is a PowerPoint file with 2 graphics for insertion.
Every one else is sending 1 Krip Cook me Pettis Scalingi ----- Original Message----- From: MaryBeth Zimmerman Sent: Friday, February 16, 2001 5:25 PM To: Anderson, Margot Subject: RE: NEP Section 5 we were planning on John Sullivan and me attending. OK? Margot Anderson@HQMAIL on 02/16/2001 04:56:13 PM To: Sam Baldwin/EE/DOE@DOE@HQMAIL cc: .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .. .
All, Several of you may still have a Wednesday 2/21 NEP meeting on your calendar (3:30). This is leftover from last week's tentative NEP schedule, which has changed slightly as many of you heard at yesterday's meeting. As of 10:00 today, no NEP meeting is scheduled for later today. However, you are not off the hook as new assignments may come at any time for text and/or review. I'll keep you posted. Thank you very much for your inputs (and patience) over the last several days. Margot

----- Original Message-----
From: Anderson, Margot
Sent: Tuesday, February 20, 2001 11:07 AM
To: Anderson, Margot; Cook, Trevor; Scalingi, Paula; PETTIS, LARRY; KENDELL, JAMES; Zimmerman, MaryBeth; Sullivan, John; 'jkstier@bpa.gov'; Kripowicz, Robert; Haspel, Abe; Magwood, William; 'jkstier@bpa.gov'; whatley, Michael; Braitsch, Jay; Conti, John; Carter, Douglas
Cc: Kelliher, Joseph
Subject: RE: new version section 1 Reminder that we are meeting at 11:30 in PO conference room. We will be editing yesterday's 5:00 version. Be prepared to address major concerns and edits.

----- Original Message-----
From: Anderson, Margot
Sent: Monday, February 19, 2001 5:22 PM
To: Cook, Trevor; Scalingi, Paula; PETTIS, LARRY; KENDELL, JAMES; Zimmerman, MaryBeth; Sullivan, John; 'jkstier@bpa.gov'; Kripowicz, Robert; Haspel, Abe; Magwood, William; 'jkstier@bpa.gov'; whatley, Michael
Cc: Kelliher, Joseph
Subject: new nese are outstanding issues that still need attention and/or discussion.

3) Plan on meeting in PO conference room (7B-040) on Tuesday 2/20 at 11:30 to discuss draft and get new instructions that will come out of a morning WH meeting.

--- Margot Anderson@HQMAIL @ HQDOE ---

--- Margot Anderson@HQMAIL @ HQDOE ---
Margot Anderson@HQMAIL>---<CN=MaryBeth Zimmerman/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE@HQMAIL;CN= John Sullivan/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE@HQMAIL;CN=Abe Haspel/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE@HQMAIL;T REVOR COOK@HQMAIL;Paula Scalingi@HQMAIL;Robert Kripowicz@HQMAIL;WILLIAM MAG WOOD@HQMAIL;Michael Whatley@HQMAIL;Jay Braitsch@HQMAIL;John Conti@HQMAIL;Douglas Carter@HQMAIL;David Pumphrey@HQMAIL;James HART@HQMAIL;LARRY PETTIS@HQMAIL;JAMES KENDELL@HQMAIL;jkstier@bpa.gov@internet@HQMAIL;ANDY KYDES@HQMAIL >---<02/21/2001 07:37:31 PM>---<NEP news
--------------- Message body ---------------
All, Joe has now received hard copies of chapters 4, 5, and 10 for our review (the ones we didn't do). Sorry but I only had e-copies of 10, rest are hard, so you have to stop by to collect. I'll put them on the PO 7C-034 open area credenza for pick up. Need your comments by Thursday COB - please e-mail me a comments page. I'll compile for Joe. Joe delivered our DOE-led chapters 1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 8 and we will await comments. I'm working on collecting figures and charts. By my calculations, we are still missing chapter 9 (DOT). The revised outline: chapter 1 -- energy supply and demand overview chapter 2 -- "problems" chapter 3 -- "consumer impact" chapter 4 -- environmental considerations of energy development (EPA lead) chapter 5 -- economic impact (Treasury lead) chapter 6 -- "energy efficiency" chapter 7 -- development of renewable energy and alternative energy chapter 8 -- "increased production" chapter 9 -- infrastructure chapter 10 -- energy security/international

Margot Anderson@HQMAIL>---<CN=MaryBeth Zimmerman/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE@HQMAIL;CN= John Sullivan/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE@HQMAIL;CN=Abe Haspel/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE@HQMAIL;T REVOR COOK@HQMAIL;Paula Scalingi@HQMAIL;Robert Kripowicz@HQMAIL;WILLIAM MAG WOOD@HQMAIL;Michael Whatley@HQMAIL;Jay Braitsch@HQMAIL;John Conti@HQMAIL;Douglas Carter@HQMAIL;David Pumphrey@HQMAIL;James HART@HQMAIL;LARRY PETTIS@HQMAIL;JAMES KENDELL@HQMAIL;jkstier@bpa.gov@internet@HQMAIL;ANDY KYDES@HQMAIL >---<02/21/2001 07:37:31 PM>---<FW: new draft
--------------- Message body ---------------
All, Attached is the latest draft of the energy situation section of the NEP plus the regional section. Comments from the CEA, OMB, EPA, Interior, USDA, etc. were incorporated. Your comments are welcome. Not quite sure what the schedule is but sooner is always better. As in Tuesday, I passed along your comments on chapters 4, 5, 9, and 10 (except EE's comments on chapter 9, which I just received this a.m.) They will go to DOT. --- Margot ---

---Original Message----- From: Kelliher, Joseph Sent: Monday, February 26, 2001 1:22 PM To: Anderson, Margot Subject: new draft Sorry, I just realized I never sent it to you

--------------- Message body ---------------

--------------- Attachments ---------------
Extracted sec1.4.doc: 73728 bytes
Extracted secrecyg3.doc: 31232 bytes

CN=MaryBeth Zimmerman/OU=EE/O=DOE>---<Margot Anderson@HQMAIL @ HQDOE>---<Energy efficiency items for NEP

--------------- Message body ---------------
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Does EE have a good document production support contractor that could be put to work tomorrow? 

We could TMS, who are set up on the P corridor for this type of thing. I'll check on availability. Do you know how many copies or how much formatting (cutting & pasting in graphics, standardizing margins)?

Subject: RE: Does EE have a good document production support contractor that could be put to work tomorrow? 

Margot may need some help in getting a Word document, with embedded PowerPoint graphics, formatted and reproduced tomorrow. 

[The "interim" report of the Energy Policy group, of course] Any good candidates? 

Mark D. Friedrichs (PO-2) 
Policy Office 
U.S. Department of Energy 
Washington, D.C. 20585 
202-586-0124 Fax: 202-586-3047

---

Thanks. Great, I'll work with this version and get something around. No one else seems to be weighing in. Do I detect a sense of deja-vu all over again? 

--- Original Message ----- From: MaryBeth Zimmerman
Sent: Tuesday, March 06, 2001 9:34 AM To: Anderson, Margot
Subject: NEP goals structure

---

--- Original Message ----- From: Margot Anderson
Sent: Tuesday, March 06, 2001 9:43 AM To: Anderson, Margot
Subject: NEP goals structure

---

--- Original Message ----- From: Margot Anderson
Sent: Tuesday, March 06, 2001 9:43 AM To: Anderson, Margot
Subject: NEP goals structure

---

--- Original Message ----- From: Margot Anderson
Sent: Tuesday, March 06, 2001 9:43 AM To: Anderson, Margot
Subject: NEP goals structure

---

--- Original Message ----- From: Margot Anderson
Sent: Tuesday, March 06, 2001 9:43 AM To: Anderson, Margot
Subject: NEP goals structure

---

--- Original Message ----- From: Margot Anderson
Sent: Tuesday, March 06, 2001 9:43 AM To: Anderson, Margot
Subject: NEP goals structure

---

--- Original Message ----- From: Margot Anderson
Sent: Tuesday, March 06, 2001 9:43 AM To: Anderson, Margot
Subject: NEP goals structure

---

--- Original Message ----- From: Margot Anderson
Sent: Tuesday, March 06, 2001 9:43 AM To: Anderson, Margot
Subject: NEP goals structure

---
file: template for policy ideas.doc >>  -----Original Message----- From: Anderson, Margot Sent: Tuesday, March 06, 2001 9:33 AM To: Conti, John; Haspel, Abe; Zimmerman, MaryBeth; Lockwood, Andrea; Breed, Patricia; Breed, William; KYDES, ANDY; Whatley, Michael; Carter, Douglas; Braitsch, Jay; Melchert, Elena; Cook, Trevor; 'jkstier@bpa.gov' Cc: Kelliher, Joseph Subject: RE: template All, I discussed with Kelliher and received comments from PO and EE. Anyone else going to weigh in before I finalize and set some deadlines? Margot

-----Original Message----- From: Anderson, Margot Sent: Monday, March 05, 2001 4:56 PM To: Conti, John; Haspel, Abe; Zimmerman, MaryBeth; Lockwood, Andrea; Breed, Patricia; Breed, William; KYDES, ANDY; Whatley, Michael; Carter, Douglas; Braitsch, Jay; Melchert, Elena; Cook, Trevor; 'jkstier@bpa.gov' Cc: Kelliher, Joseph Subject: template << File: template for policy ideas.doc >> All, Comments, please. Margot

---<03/12/2001 08:45:27 AM>---<NEP Policy Options

Margot Anderson@HQMAIL>---<CN=Abe Haspel/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE@HQMAIL;CN=MaryBeth Zimmerman/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE@HQMAIL;Andrea Lockwood@HQMAIL;William Breed@HQMAIL;Michael Whatley@HQMAIL;Douglas Carter@HQMAIL;Jay Braitsch@HQMAIL;Elena Melchert@HQMAIL;TREVOR COOK@HQMAIL;jkstier@bpa.gov@internet@HQMAIL;Kevin Kol evar@HQMAIL;Paula Scalingi@HQMAIL;ANDY KYDES@HQMAIL;Kevin O'Donovan@HQMAIL>---<03/12/2001 05:52:37 PM>---<RE: NEP Policy Options

---<03/12/2001 08:45:27 AM>---<Extracted Short titles.doc: 64512 bytes

Margot Anderson@HQMAIL>---<CN=Abe Haspel/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE@HQMAIL;CN=MaryBeth Zimmerman/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE@HQMAIL;Andrea Lockwood@HQMAIL;William Breed@HQMAIL;Michael Whatley@HQMAIL;Douglas Carter@HQMAIL;Jay Braitsch@HQMAIL;Elena Melchert@HQMAIL;TREVOR COOK@HQMAIL;jkstier@bpa.gov@internet@HQMAIL;Kevin Kol evar@HQMAIL;Paula Scalingi@HQMAIL;ANDY KYDES@HQMAIL;Kevin O'Donovan@HQMAIL>---<03/12/2001 05:52:37 PM>---<RE: NEP Policy Options

Revised goal list. As we discussed, I am continuing to compile and order (by goal). E-me any more ideas. In WORD, please. I can't figure out how to get your the file list of ideas to date, but you have the package with the original filenames as headers. If you rewrite one, just rename so it will write over automatically when I save it. One file per idea is great but don't fret about it now - clearly, not all these ideas will move forward
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and they might get combined and reshaped. Plus the template.

Original Message---- From: Anderson, Margot Sent: Monday, March 12, 2001 8:43 AM To: Haspel, Abe; Zimmerman, MaryBeth; Lockwood, Andrea; Breed, William; KYDES, Andy; Whatley, Michael; Carter, Douglas; Braitsch, Jay; Melchert, Elena; O'Donovan, Kevin; Kolevar-Kevin; Scalingi, Paula

Subject: NEP Policy Options

File: Short titles.doc

Extracted NEP Policy Issues.doc: 25600 bytes
Extracted template for policy ideas.doc: 20480 bytes

Extracted 06 High Performance Buildings FINAL.doc: 29184 bytes
Extracted 07 Factories FINAL.doc: 24576 bytes
Extracted 12 government purchasing of efficient, renewable alternatives.doc: 38912 bytes
Extracted 13 Consumer Information FINAL.doc: 34304 bytes
Extracted 15 Tech Assistance for Businesses FINAL.doc: 30208 bytes
Extracted 16 Reduce Truck Costs FINAL.doc: 26624 bytes
Extracted 24 integrated partnership FINAL.doc: 32256 bytes

Message body

A start on our two-pagers. Unreviewed beyond me at the moment, but I wanted you to have something to work with. Have you gotten any other guidance as to structure of these documents?

Attachments

Extracted 06 High Performance Buildings FINAL.doc: 29184 bytes
Extracted 07 Factories FINAL.doc: 24576 bytes
Extracted 12 government purchasing of efficient, renewable alternatives.doc: 38912 bytes
Extracted 13 Consumer Information FINAL.doc: 34304 bytes
Extracted 15 Tech Assistance for Businesses FINAL.doc: 30208 bytes
Extracted 16 Reduce Truck Costs FINAL.doc: 26624 bytes
Extracted 24 integrated partnership FINAL.doc: 32256 bytes

---Original Message--- From: Charles_M._Smith@ovp.eop.gov [mailto:Charles_M._Smith@ovp.eop.gov] Sent: Tuesday, March 20, 2001 6:57 PM To: Kelliher, Joseph; Anderson, Margot; Juleanna_R._Glover@ovp.eop.gov; Kmurphy@osec.doc.gov; Dina.Ellis@do.treas.gov; Sue_Ellen_wooldridge@BIOS.DOI.gov; jkstier@bpa.gov; ANDY KYDES@HQMAIL>---<NE
Attached is State's latest draft of their chapter. (See attached file: 03_14_01_NEPG Study EW_R1.doc)
Identify some gaps.

--- Original Message ---
From: Charles_M._Smith@ovp.eop.gov
Sent: Tuesday, March 20, 2001 6:57 PM
To: Kelliher, Joseph; Anderson, Margot; Juleanna_R_Glover@ovp.eop.gov; Michelle.R.Poche@FEMA.gov; HJmcmurphy@sec.doc.gov; Dina.Ellis@do.trea ho.eop.gov; Heather.E.Rob@EPA.gov; Meeman@State.government; Galloglyjs@State.gov; McManusmt@State.gov; Brenner.RoBeba@EPA.gov; Brenner.Rob@EPA.gov; Murphy@osec.doc.gov; Michel.C.Kaplan@USDA.gov; Williams развития@FEMA.gov; Gulley@NASA.gov; HJhewes@FEMA.gov; Jhoardaj@ceq.eop.gov; Tom_Fulton@FEMA.gov; Mubar@State.gov; McManusmt@State.gov; Michelle.poche@osT.DOT.Gov; Patricia.Stahlschmidt@FEMA.gov; Mark_A_Weatherley@omb.eop.gov; Robert_C_McNally@EOP.DOJ.gov; Robert_C_McNally@EOP.DOJ.gov; JnoHolmes@FEMA.gov; JnoHolmes@FEMA.gov; Michael.York@ovp.eop.gov; Michael.York@ovp.eop.gov; Margaret.Bradley@DOE.GOV; John_Fenze@ovp.eop.gov; Andrew_D_Lundquist@ovp.eop.gov; Andrew_D_Lundquist@ovp.eop.gov; Karen_Y_Knutson@ovp.eop.gov; Karen_Y_Knutson@ovp.eop.gov; Robert_C_McNally@EOP.DOJ.gov; Robert_C_McNally@EOP.DOJ.gov; Abe_Haspel@ovp.eop.gov; Andrea.Lockwood@ovp.eop.gov; William.Breed@ovp.eop.gov; John_Johnson@ovp.eop.gov; John_Johnson@ovp.eop.gov; William_Breed@ovp.eop.gov; Jay_Braitsch@ovp.eop.gov; Jay_Braitsch@ovp.eop.gov; Jay_Braitsch@ovp.eop.gov; Jay_Braitsch@ovp.eop.gov; Jay_Braitsch@ovp.eop.gov; Jay_Braitsch@ovp.eop.gov; Jay_Braitsch@ovp.eop.gov; Jay_Braitsch@ovp.eop.gov; Jay_Braitsch@ovp.eop.gov; Jay_Braitsch@ovp.eop.gov; Jay_Braitsch@ovp.eop.gov; Jay_Braitsch@ovp.eop.gov; Jay_Braitsch@ovp.eop.gov; Jay_Braitsch@ovp.eop.gov; Jay_Braitsch@ovp.eop.gov; Jay_Braitsch@ovp.eop.gov; Jay_Braitsch@ovp.eop.gov; Jay_Braitsch@ovp.eop.gov; Jay_Braitsch@ovp.eop.gov; Jay_Braitsch@ovp.eop.gov; Jay_Braitsch@ovp.eop.gov; Jay_Braitsch@ovp.eop.gov;

All. If you are working on NEP edits, please remember to put in or suggest graphics or photos (not any photos we might have used previously!) plus enter your sources. Thanks. Margot
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NEPers, This might help you as you continued drafting. Margot

--------------- Message body ---------------
Page 41

----------- Attachments -----------
Extracted 03_20_01_NEPG Study_R2.doc: 282624 bytes

Margot Anderson@HQMAIL>---<CN=Abe Haspel/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE@HQMAIL;CN=MaryBeth Zimmerman/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE@HQMAIL;CN=Michael York/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE@HQMAIL;John Conti@HQMAIL;Andrea Lockwood@HQMAIL;William Breed@HQMAIL;Michael Whatley @HQMAIL;Douglas Carter@HQMAIL;Jay Braitsch@HQMAIL;Elena Melchert@HQMAIL;TREVOR COOK@HQMAIL;'jkstier@bpa.gov' internet@HQMAIL;Christopher Freitas@HQMAIL;Mark FRIEDRICH@HQMAIL;ANDY KYDES@HQMAIL>---<03/22/2001 12:42:32 PM>---<FW: Bush-Cheney Energy Initiatives

--------------- Attachments --------------
Extracted Bush Energy Initiatives.doc: 24064 bytes

Margot Anderson@HQMAIL>---<CN=Abe Haspel/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE@HQMAIL;CN=MaryBeth Zimmerman/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE@HQMAIL;CN=Michael York/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE@HQMAIL;John Conti@HQMAIL;Andrea Lockwood@HQMAIL;William Breed@HQMAIL;Michael Whatley @HQMAIL;Douglas Carter@HQMAIL;Jay Braitsch@HQMAIL;Elena Melchert@HQMAIL;TREVOR COOK@HQMAIL;'jkstier@bpa.gov' internet@HQMAIL;Christopher Freitas@HQMAIL;Mark FRIEDRICH@HQMAIL;ANDY KYDES@HQMAIL>---<03/22/2001 01:56:23 PM>---<Chapter 6

--------------- Message body ---------------
Page 41
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DOE016-3194
NEPMASTER

Task Force Charlie: can you distribute to Task Force? DOE Offices: Attached in the latest Chapter 6 - energy efficiency (initially drafted by EE). Draft went through inter-agency review process and this is the result (many favorable comments, by the way). I think I addressed the comments from CEA, Treasury, EPA and the Task Force leaders. EE - can you add sources where I indicated? Please suggest some graphics to put in. A text box listing our efficiency program would be helpful - where can I find such a thing? EIA - fact check? Please let me know if you are going to comment (specific text inserts, please). Soon. Margot

-------------- Attachments ---------------

Extracted ch 6 march 22.doc: 86016 bytes

Margot Anderson@HQMAIL>---<CN=Jay Braitsch@HQMAIL;Christopher Freitas@HQMAIL>---<03/22/2001 02:29:43 PM>---<update needed some time today

-------------- Message body ---------------

All, can I get an update on chapters 7, 8, and 9 before you leave today? Thanks. Margot

--------- Original Message---------

Margot Anderson@HQMAIL>---<CN=MaryBeth Zimmerman/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE@HQMAIL;Jay Braitsch@HQMAIL;Christopher Freitas@HQMAIL>---<03/22/2001 02:29:43 PM>---<update needed some time today

-------------- Message body ---------------

...Mp<canp...M

file: Ch 6 (efficiency) graphics.ppt
file: ch 6 march 22 EE datachecks.doc

Margot Anderson@HQMAIL>---<CN=Michael York/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE@HQMAIL;MaryBeth Zimmerman/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE@HQMAIL;Michael York/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE@HQMAIL;Jo hn Conti@HQMAIL;Andrea Lockwood@HQMAIL;William Breed@HQMAIL;Michael Whatley @HQMAIL;Douglas Carter@HQMAIL;Jay Braitsch@HQMAIL;Elena Melchert@HQMAIL;TREVOR COOK@HQMAIL;jkstierbpa.gov@internet@HQMAIL;Christopher Freitas@HQMAIL;Mark Friedrich@HQMAIL;David Pumphrey@HQMAIL;Kevin Kolevar@HQMAIL;ANDY KY DES@HQMAIL>---<03/22/2001 06:08:11 PM>---<NEP Update, Thursday 3/22

-------------- Message body ---------------

Thanks. Feed what you can when you finish. Margot

--------- Original Message---------

Margot Anderson@HQMAIL>---<CN=MaryBeth Zimmerman/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE@HQMAIL>---<03/23/2001 08:02:52 AM>---<Ch. 6 - efficiency

-------------- Message body ---------------

Thanks. Feed what you can when you finish. Margot

--------- Original Message---------

Margot Anderson@HQMAIL>---<CN=Michael York/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE@HQMAIL>---<03/23/2001 11:15:56 AM>---<yet another request

-------------- Message body ---------------
I am afraid to call........ but do you guys have a handy-dandy graphic that illustrates all your energy efficiency programs?

Margot Anderson@HQMAIL>---<CN=Abe Haspel/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE@HQMAIL;CN=MaryBeth Zimmerman/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE@HQMAIL;CN=Michael York/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE@HQMAIL;John Conti@HQMAIL;Andrea Lockwood@HQMAIL;William Breed@HQMAIL;Michael Whatley @HQMAIL;Douglas Carter@HQMAIL;Jay Braitsch@HQMAIL;Elena Melchert@HQMAIL;TREVOR COOK@HQMAIL;'jkstier@bpa.gov'@internet@HQMAIL;Christopher Freitas@HQMAIL;Mark FRIEDRICH@HQMAIL;David Pumphrey@HQMAIL;Kevin Kolevar@HQMAIL;ANDY KY DES@HQMAIL>---<03/23/2001 02:39:17 PM>---<New NEP chapter

---------- Attachments ----------
Extracted env't chapter 2-21.wpd: 35840 bytes

Margot Anderson@HQMAIL>---<CN=Abe Haspel/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE@HQMAIL;CN=MaryBeth Zimmerman/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE@HQMAIL;CN=Michael York/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE@HQMAIL;John Conti@HQMAIL;Andrea Lockwood@HQMAIL;William Breed@HQMAIL;Michael Whatley @HQMAIL;Douglas Carter@HQMAIL;Jay Braitsch@HQMAIL;Elena Melchert@HQMAIL;TREVOR COOK@HQMAIL;'jkstier@bpa.gov'@internet@HQMAIL;Christopher Freitas@HQMAIL;Mark FRIEDRICH@HQMAIL;David Pumphrey@HQMAIL;Kevin Kolevar@HQMAIL;ANDY KY DES@HQMAIL>---<03/24/2001 10:47:00 AM>---<chapter 8 (Increased production of U.S. Energy Resources)

---------- Attachments ----------
Extracted ch 8 march 24.doc: 54784 bytes
Extracted chapter 8 graphics, March 24.ppt: 3747840 bytes

Margot Anderson@HQMAIL>---<CN=Abe Haspel/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE@HQMAIL;CN=MaryBeth Zimmerman/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE@HQMAIL;CN=Michael York/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE@HQMAIL;John Conti@HQMAIL;Andrea Lockwood@HQMAIL;William Breed@HQMAIL;Michael Whatley @HQMAIL;Douglas Carter@HQMAIL;Jay Braitsch@HQMAIL;Elena Melchert@HQMAIL;TREVOR COOK@HQMAIL;'jkstier@bpa.gov'@internet@HQMAIL;Christopher Freitas@HQMAIL;Mark FRIEDRICH@HQMAIL;David Pumphrey@HQMAIL;Kevin Kolevar@HQMAIL;ANDY KY DES@HQMAIL>---<03/25/2001 04:00:27 PM>---<March 25 version of Chapter 6

---------- Message body ----------
Task Force Charlie: Please send this around with this note: Revised Chapter...
Thanks, will you be sending more comments? It really helps me to have all the comments compiled at once or I can't keep track once I start changing the document. Just let me know (and by when) Margot

----- Original Message----- From: MaryBeth Zimmerman Sent: Monday, March 26, 2001 10:01 AM To: Anderson, Margot Cc: Parks, William; Kaarsberg, Tina; York, Michael; Garlanty, Buddy; Sullivan/O=SMTP/O=NRELEXCH@DOEMAIL Subject: Chapter 8 (Increased production of U.S. Energy Resources).

----- Original Message-----

Joe has some questions on the policy options list. Mary Beth, can you answer 1 & 2 Bill can you answer 3,4,5? ASAP Margot

----- Original Message-----
Margot Anderson@HQMAIL>---<CN=MaryBeth Zimmerman/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE@HQMAIL>---
<03/26/2001 12:24:25 PM>---<graphics for NEP
---------------- Message body ---------------
Mary Beth, Task Force want captions for the graphics. Here are the 4 for chapter 6 (with revised refrigerator one). Can you write captions either directly on them or in a word file? Thanks. Please do the same for the chapter 7 figures. Margot

Margot Anderson@HQMAIL>---<CN=Abe Haspel/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE@HQMAIL;CN=MaryBeth Zimmerman/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE@HQMAIL;CN=Michael York/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE@HQMAIL;John Conti@HQMAIL;Andrea Lockwood@HQMAIL;William Breed@HQMAIL;Michael Whatley @HQMAIL;Douglas Carter@HQMAIL;Jay Braitsch@HQMAIL;Elena Melchert@HQMAIL;TREVOR COOK@HQMAIL;'jkstier@bpa.gov'@Internet@HQMAIL;Christopher Freitas@HQMAIL;Mark FRIEDRICHs@HQMAIL;David Pumphrey@HQMAIL;Kevin Kolevar@HQMAIL;ANDY KY DES@HQMAIL>---<03/26/2001 12:51:34 PM>---<FW: New NEP chapter
---------------- Message body ---------------
All, Is anyone in DOE (EE, FE, NE, EIA, SO, PO) going to provide comments on this? Please let me know if you are and by when. Thanks. Margot

Original Message---- From: Anderson, Margot Sent: Friday, March 23, 2001 2:36 PM To: Conti, John; Haspel, Abe; Zimmerman, MaryBeth; Lockwood, Andrea; Breed, William; KYDES, ANDY; Whatley, Michael; Carter, Douglas; Braitsch, Jay; Melchert, Elena; Cook, Trevor; Breed, William; 'jkstier@bpa.gov'; York, Michael; Freitas, Christopher; FRIEDRICHs, Mark; Pumphrey, David; Kolevar, Kevin CC: Kelliher, Joseph Subject: New NEP chapter All, This is

---------------- Attachments ----------------
Extracted env't chapter 2-21.wpd: 35840 bytes
- Renamed to "env't chapter 2-210.wpd" to preserve uniqueness

Margot Anderson@HQMAIL>---<CN=MaryBeth Zimmerman/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE@HQMAIL>---
<03/26/2001 02:06:23 PM>---<more graphics requests
---------------- Message body ---------------
MB, Hate to keep bugging you but on any bar-chart graphic can you put the number at the top of the bar. If the bar xx kw/h high, put in the actual kw/h number at the top of the bar. Margot

Margot Anderson@HQMAIL>---<CN=Abe Haspel/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE@HQMAIL;CN=MaryBeth Zimmerman/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE@HQMAIL;CN=Michael York/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE@HQMAIL;John Conti@HQMAIL;Andrea Lockwood@HQMAIL;William Breed@HQMAIL;Michael Whatley @HQMAIL;Douglas Carter@HQMAIL;Jay Braitsch@HQMAIL;Elena Melchert@HQMAIL;TREVOR COOK@HQMAIL;'jkstier@bpa.gov'@internet@HQMAIL;Christopher Freitas@HQMAIL;Mark FRIEDRICHs@HQMAIL;David Pumphrey@HQMAIL;Kevin Kolevar@HQMAIL;ANDY KY DES@HQMAIL>---<03/26/2001 03:38:49 PM>---<FW: Commerce Recommendations for
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DOE016-3198
NEMPMASTER

--------------- Message body ---------------
All, This is Commerce's wish list of Policy Options for the NEP. Mark F. -
can you coordinate a DOE response so we can get to Joe Kelliher? By Wedne-
sday COB? Thanks.  

--------------- Original Message ---------------

From: Charles_M._Smith@ovp.eop.gov

Sent: Monday, March 26, 2001 3:03 PM To: Kelliher, Joseph; Kolevar, Kevin; Anderson, Mar-
got; Juleanna_R._Glover@ovp.eop.gov; Knurphy@sec.doc.gov; Ellis@do.treas.gov; Sue_Ellis@do.treas.gov; Joe
lD._Kaplan@who.gov; Keith.Collins@USDA.gov; Joe
soph.Glauber@USDA.gov; Gallogly@State.gov; McManusm@State.gov; Michelle.Poche@DOST.DOT.gov; Patricia.Sahlschmi
dt@FEMA.gov; Tom.fulton@DOIOIS.gov; Kjersten_drager@ovp.eop.gov; Mi2eblanc
@ceq.eop.gov; Bruce.Baughman@FEMA.gov; Charles.m.Hess@USA
CE.army.mil; akeeler@ceq.eop.gov; commcoll@iacom.intern
et; Karen.E._Keller@omb.eop.gov; Carol_J._Thompson@who.eop.gov; Sa
ndra.L._via@omb.eop.gov; Megan_D._Moran@ovp.eop.gov; Jean
et.P._Walker@opd.eop.gov; Ronald_L._Silberman@omb.eop.gov; 

Extracted DRAFT Commerce Recs.doc: 38912 bytes

Margot Anderson@HQMAIL>---<Charles_M._Smith@ovp.eop.gov@HQMAIL>---<03/27/2001 09:01:03 AM>---<Status report from DOE

Charlie, NEP Status report from DOE - Interim Report - sent TF EIA fact che-
cks and DOE comments on DOI inserts (3/22) Chapter 3 - waiting for input fr
on NASEO (via Karep) to complete. Chapter 4 - DOE is reviewing. Chapter 5 -
no pending actions from DOE - Out for interagency review (DOE is working on graphics). Charlie - did you circulate this to TF members (sen
t to you 3/24)? Chapter 7 - DOE will circulate today Chapter 8 - Out for i
teragency review (DOE is on working on graphics). Charlie, did you circulate
this to TF members (sent to you 3/25)? Chapter 9 - Provided DOT with 2 set
s of edits (one last Friday, one yesterday on electricity) Chapter 10 - DO
E sent comment to TF 3/26 Policy Options - Kelliher is handling Please let
me know if this is not consistent with your records.

Margot Anderson@HQMAIL>---<CN=MaryBeth Zimmerman/OU=EE/O=DOE@HQMAIL>---
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Margot Anderson@HQMAIL>---<CN=MaryBeth Zimmerman/OU=EE/O=DOEE@HQMAIL>---
<03/28/2001 05:50:06 PM>---<RE: Chapter 7 arrives!
--------------- Message body --------------
Thank you, I still need the chapter 6 graphics fixed. I left a voice-mail f
or Michael. Please give me a call at your convenience. -----Original Mes
sage----- From: MaryBeth Zimmerman Sent: Wednesday March 28, 2001 4:47
PM To: Anderson, Margot Cc: Haspel, Abe; York, Michael Subject: Chapter 7 a
rrives! Attached is Chapter 7 with our edits today. This has not been rev
iewed beyond me, given various other drills, but we understand your need t
o keep the ball rolling. << File: Renewables Chapter Edited32701.DOC >>
<< File: Graphics Captions ch7.doc >> << File: Renewable chapter graphic
s(ch 7).ppt >> << File: wind, bio, solar, geo.ppt [Recovered].ppt >>

Margot Anderson@HQMAIL>---<CN=MaryBeth Zimmerman/OU=EE/O=DOEE@HQMAIL>---
<03/28/2001 05:50:06 PM>---<RE: Chapter 7 arrives!
--------------- Message body --------------
Thank you, I still need the chapter 6 graphics fixed. I left a voice-mail f
or Michael. Please give me a call at your convenience. -----Original Mes
sage----- From: MaryBeth Zimmerman Sent: Wednesday March 28, 2001 4:47
PM To: Anderson, Margot Cc: Haspel, Abe; York, Michael Subject: Chapter 7 a
rrives! Attached is Chapter 7 with our edits today. This has not been rev
iewed beyond me, given various other drills, but we understand your need t
o keep the ball rolling. << File: Renewables Chapter Edited32701.DOC >>
<< File: Graphics Captions ch7.doc >> << File: Renewable chapter graphic
s(ch 7).ppt >> << File: wind, bio, solar, geo.ppt [Recovered].ppt >>
NEPMaster
Margot CC: Garland, Buddy; Haspel, Abe; Sullivan, John Subject: Re: Revised NEP list Here are the people that I heard from in the course of the various NEP assignments. Some of these people provided tangential support on specific questions/data checks. This list does not include people that were on e-mail lists for requests for help, but from whom we did not receive anything. Finally, this list does not include employees to whom these individuals may have forwarded requests. Joel Rubin Michael York Darrell Benson Phil Tseng Sam Baldwin Buddy Garland Jerry Dion Larry Manssueti David Rodgers Phil Patterson Dave Bassett Judy Odululamy Mark Ginsberg (Pulte box) Ed Pollock (Pulte box) Michael McCabe Michael Raymond (checked refrigerator or doors) John Talbot (checked various doors) Nancy Jeffrey (pictures) Bill Parks Pat Hoffman (text boxes) Peggy Podolak Tina Kaarsbug Tien Nguyen Ellyn Kreutz Gail McKinley Ed Wall Ken Friedman Phil Overholt To: Robert Dixon/EE/DOE@DOE, William Parks/EE/DOE@DOE, Tina Kaarsburg/EE/DOE@DOE, John Millhone/EE/DOE@DOE, Phillip Tseng/EE/DOE@DOE, Michael York/EE/DOE@DOE Subject: Revised NEP list All, As part of the FOIAs underway on the NEP, I need to submit a list of everyone who worked on it. Please review and edit accordingly. If you could return by Monday, I would appreciate it. Thanks. Margot

Cc: MaryBeth Zimmerman/OU=EE/O=DOE>---<Margot Anderson@HQM@HQDOE>---<Re: Revised NEP list>

Message body
Here are the people that I heard from in the course of the various NEP assignments. Some of these people provided tangential support on specific questions/data checks. This list does not include people that were on e-mail lists for requests for help, but from whom we did not receive anything.
Finally, this list does not include employees to whom these individuals may have forwarded requests. Joel Rubin Michael York Darrell Benson Phil Tseng Sam Baldwin Buddy Garland Jerry Dion Larry Manssueti David Rodgers Phil Patterson Dave Bassett Judy Odululamy Mark Ginsberg (Pulte box) Ed Pollock (Pulte box) Michael McCabe Michael Raymond (checked refrigerator or doors) John Talbot (checked various doors) Nancy Jeffrey (pictures) Bill Parks Pat Hoffman (text boxes) Peggy Podolak Tina Kaarsbug Tien Nguyen Ellyn Kreutz Gail McKinley Ed Wall Ken Friedman Phil Overholt To: Robert Dixon/EE/DOE@DOE, William Parks/EE/DOE@DOE, Tina Kaarsburg/EE/DOE@DOE, John Millhone/EE/DOE@DOE, Phillip Tseng/EE/DOE@DOE, Michael York/EE/DOE@DOE Subject: Revised NEP list All, I heard from NE and FE. Please let me know if I have the complete list. Joe K. - did you want me to add Kyle? Margot

-----Original Message----- From: Anderson, Margot Sent: Friday, May 18, 2001 12:10 PM To: Haspel, Abe; Kripowicz, Robert; Magwood, William; Kydes, Andy; Pumphrey, David; Zimmermmer, MaryBeth; Braitsch, Jay; Kelliher, Joseph; Kolevar, Kevin Subject: who worked on the NEP? All, As part of the FOIAs underway on the NEP, I need to submit a list of everyone who worked on it. Please review and edit accordingly. If you could return by Monday, I would appreciate it. Thanks. Margot

File: NEP people.xls >>

Extracted bpo4ti13: 3584 bytes

--------------- Attachments ---------------

CN=MaryBeth Zimmerman
OU=EE/O=DOE-----<Margot Anderson@HQM@HQDOE>---<Re: Revised NEP list>

Message----- From: Margot Anderson Subject: who worked on the NEP?

Margot

-----Original Message----- From: Anderson, Margot Sent: Friday, May 18, 2001 12:10 PM To: Haspel, Abe; Kripowicz, Robert; Magwood, William; Kydes, Andy; Pumphrey, David; Zimmermmer, MaryBeth; Braitsch, Jay; Kelliher, Joseph; Kolevar, Kevin Subject: who worked on the NEP? All, As part of the FOIAs underway on the NEP, I need to submit a list of everyone who worked on it. Please review and edit accordingly. If you could return by Monday, I would appreciate it. Thanks. Margot
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Working on it. Do you want everyone who weighed in on something, in some way, or just people who were tasked to address requests? 

Margot Anderson@HQMAIL on 05/21/2001 12:44:57 PM To: Abe Haspel/EE/DOE@HQMAIL, Robert Kripowicz@HQMAIL, William Magwood@HQMAIL, David Pumphrey@HQMAIL, ANDY KYD @HQMAIL cc: MaryBeth Zimmerman/EE/DOE@HQMAIL, Jay Braitsch@HQMAIL, Joseph Kelliher@HQMAIL, Kevin Kolevar@HQMAIL Subject: Revised NEP list

All, I heard from NE and FE. Please let me know if I have the complete list. Joe K. - did you want me to add Kyle? Margot

Original Message----- From: Anderson, Margot Sent: Friday, May 18, 2001 12:10 PM To: Haspel, Abe; Kripowicz, Robert; Magwood, William; KYDES, ANDY; Pumphrey, David CC: Zimmerman, MaryBeth; Braitsch, Jay; Kelliher, Joseph; Kolevar, Kevin Subject: who worked on the NEP?

All, AS part of the FOIAs underway on the NEP, I need to submit a list of everyone who worked on it. Please review and edit accordingly. If you could return by Monday, I would appreciate it. Thanks. Margot

Attached is a draft response to your requests regarding EERE program activities and their relationship to the NEP recommendations. The lack of time available, and the multiple requests received, made it difficult to process this request. Much of this information should be revised and we will try to do that early next week. Please give me a call if you have any questions. I am at 6-7249.

wendy Butler 05/17/2001 05:22 PM To: Abe Haspel /EE/DOE@DOE, John Sullivan/EE/DOE@DOE, Michael McCabe/EE/DOE@DOE, Robert Dixon/EE/DOE@DOE, William Parks/EE/DOE@DOE, Mark Ginsberg/EE/DOE@DOE, Gail Mckinley/EE/DOE@DOE, Edward Pollock/EE/DOE@DOE, Denise Swink/EE/DOE@DOE, Robert Brewer/EE/DOE@DOE, Tom Gross/EE/DOE@DOE, Richard Moorer/EE/DOE@DOE, Elizabeth Shearer/EE/DOE@DOE, Joan Glickman/EE/DOE@DOE, MaryBeth Zimmerman /EE/DOE@DOE, Darrell Beschen/EE/DOE@DOE, Nancy Jeffery/EE/DOE@DOE, Buddy Garland/EE/DOE@DOE CC: Subject: Public Affairs Request -- NEP Recommendations

Forwarded by Wendy Butler/EE/DOE on 05/17/2001 05:19 PM

/2001 04:39:07 PM To: Wendy Butler/EE/DOE@HQMAIL cc: Subject: FW: Energy Plan wendy et al, Thanks in advance for your help on this assignment. We are trying to go through all 100+ recommendations in the NEP and identifying DOE programs and/or initiatives that already exist and are supportive of each initiative so we can promote the initiatives as they apply to the plan. For each one, please give a short description of the program, and include any helpful details (such as technological accomplishments) or budget information. We need a lengthy description (5-4 sentences at the most - kind of like the descriptions in the Budget Highlights document) but do need enough plain english detail to explain it to lay people. We are hoping to complete this task for the entire department by COB Friday; so would like to get as much information from the programs as possible by 1 p.m.
Friday. Call if there are any questions. I can be reached at 586-5806.
Many thanks, Lisa Cutler

Extracted NEP EVENT CHART.xls: 84992 bytes
- Renamed to "NEP EVENT CHART3.xls" to preserve uniqueness

Thanks, in return, for your efforts to pull everything together for us on the NEP. For our purposes, I've asked Tom Kimbis to go through the full report to identify any other errors/misprints (we've found a couple already). I wanted to let you know we are doing this in case you get any questions on these items. Will be glad to cc: you when we are done.

I wish to discuss this memo with you when we meet at 10:00 on Wednesday (tomorrow).

Please add the citation in brackets at the end of each statement for whom has made changes, red-line, strike out with the correct information. Please add the citation in brackets at the end of each statement for whom has made changes, red-line, strike out with the correct information.
All,

I just s...
Our outreach person (Nancy) has left for the day, so here's my estimate: 200 (My breakout for our internal distribution: (31 program offices x 2) + (5 sector offices x 5) + (EE 3.1 x 20) + (EE.1 x 25) + (Outreach x 40) + (Planning library x 25).

Margot Anderson@HQMAIL on 05/03/2001 02:36:49

To: MaryBeth Zimmerman/EE/O=DOE@HQMAIL, Jay Braitsch@HQMAIL, Trevor Cook@HQMAIL, Michael Whatley@HQMAIL, Andy Kydes@HQMAIL

Subject: FW: How many copies of the NEP do you need? All. This is a note from the WH asking how many copies of the NEP we would like (no cost). They will put it on the WEB as well. Can you give me a ballpark? Margot

----- Original Message ----- From: Charles_M._Smith@op.gov Internet

Sent: Thursday, May 03, 2001 12:52 PM

To: Kelliher, Joseph; Anderson, Margot; Kmurphy@ossec.doc.gov Internet; Dina.Ellis@do.treas.gov Internet; Keith.Collins@USDA.gov Internet; Joseph.Glauber@USDA.gov Internet; Gallogly@state.gov Internet; MCManusmt@State.gov Internet; Michelle.Poche@Ott.DOT.gov Internet; Patricia.StahlSchmidt@FEMA.gov Internet; William_Bettenberg@iOS.doj.gov Internet; Bruce.Baughman@FEMA.gov Internet; Charles.m.Hess@USACE.army.mil Internet; moss.jacob@epa.gov Internet; Andrew_D._Lundquist@op.gov Internet; Karen.Y._Knutson@op.gov Internet

Subject: How many copies of the report do you need? As we get closer to printing this thing, I need to know how many copies of the report each agency needs and to whom you want them to go and where (address including room #). Let me know by COB Thursday, May 3, 2001. Thanks Charlie

At the risk of overloading your e-mail boxes, I just wanted to pass on how please the Policy Office is with your responsiveness in pulling together the information they sought today regarding various NEP and climate change fire drills. Please call if you have any questions about the various drills. (6-7249)

MaryBeth Zimmerman cc: Nancy Jefferay Subject: NEP Photos MBZ - Attached is a file of some of the photos that I found... please let me know if you'd like me to change them or add new ones to the mix... thanks, Joel

Extracted NEP Photos_Chapters 3 6 7.ppt: 370176 bytes

- Renamed to "NEP Photos_Chapters 3 6 70.ppt" to preserve uniqueness

Margaret Thomson@HQMAIL on 05/03/2001 03:18:55
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Can you meet with Charlie Smith of the Vice President’s office on wed. @ 10:00 re the photos for the NEP.

Consumer impacts (your chapter), energy efficiency, renewable energy

I would appreciate it if you would review your respective chapters (MaryBeth - Chapters 3, 6 & 7; Jay - Chapter 8) by COB Tuesday and be prepared to recommend either specific photographs (or preferable alternatives) or a category of photograph (i.e., we need a photo of a wind turbine or an OCS platform) to amplify the point Joan O'Callaghan, the Technical Editor for the National Energy Policy Development Group's report, and I spent all morning at DOE Publications going through their file photographs. I presume, however, that EE and FE may have additional photos that might be of some utility. I'd like to get together with you on Wednesday morning to go through what Joan and I identified and what you believe would be of importance and illustrative of particular sections of your chapters. Let me know. Thanks,

Charlie

Lawrence Mansueti
Here you are. This is not reviewed beyond me. Also, I am taking it home for the weekend for a read all the way through, since so much had to be juggled to address all of the comments & keep it within 5 pages.

--------------- Attachments ---------------
Extracted Renewable chapter graphics.ppt: 146432 bytes
Extracted Renewables Chapter Edited32101.DOC: 47616 bytes

Lawrence Mansueti 03/26/2001 12:06 PM To: MaryBeth Zimmerman/EE/DOE cc:

Thanks for the offer. The efficiency chapter (now ch. 6) should have another look-see from EERE, since I believe it has gotten some interagency changes. Please let me know if you can't check this one carefully.

-------------- Message body ---------------

Can you take a first cut at this? Thanks.  Forwarded by MaryBeth Zimmerman/EE/DOE on 03/26/2001 12:57 PM

Lawrence Mansueti 03/23/2001 04:44 PM To: MaryBeth Zimmerman/EE/DOE cc: Michael York/EE/DOE

Cars are being poured into fuel-cell research efforts at places such as GM's Global Alternative Propulsion Center in Rochester, N.Y. Similar facilities are being operated by Ford Motor Co., Honda, Toyota, DaimlerChrysler Ag
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and Nissan/Renault. Also, the federal government, through its Partnership for a New Generation of Vehicles, is funding fuel-cell research."

Mansueti/EE/DOE on 03/23/2001 04:40 PM --reg
ister@washingtonpost.com on 03/23/2001 04:23:48 PM To: cc: (bcc: Lawrence Mansueti/EE/DOE) Subject: A washingtonpost.com article from lmansueti@yahoo.com

You have been sent this message from lmansueti@yahoo.com as a courtesy of the Washington Post (http://www.washingtonpost.com). To view the entire article, go to http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/articles/A4B847-2001Mar23.html

Energy Crisis May Not Be Such a Bad Thing

America needs a real energy crisis, something packed with pain. That's the only way the country will speed up the introduction of needed fuel-saving technologies. A genuine crisis would do something else. It would end the illusion, so typical of America, that efficient new technologies will just naturally take the place of ones that are in disfavor.

Consider: Diesel fuel contains more power per unit than gasoline compressed natural gas, or liquefied natural gas. Diesel engines, depending on models compared, use 30 percent to 60 percent less fuel than gasoline engines. Diesel-electric hybrid vehicles, large and small, generally get better mileage than gasoline-electric hybrids. Yet, automakers are loath to introduce large volumes of diesel-powered passenger vehicles in the United States. That's because diesel has a bad reputation here. Environmentalists, such as those at the Union of Concerned Scientists and the Public Interest Research Group, see "diesel" and "dirty" as being the same thing. If the auto industry's sampling of public opinion is accurate, most American motorists view diesel the same way. People are more willing to consider the previously unthinkable: Diesel fuel contains more power per unit than gasoline, and liquefied natural gas. Diesel-electric hybrid vehicles, large and small, generally get better mileage than gasoline-electric hybrids. Yet, automakers are loath to introduce large volumes of diesel-powered passenger vehicles in the United States. That's because diesel has a bad reputation here. Environmentalists, such as those at the Union of Concerned Scientists and the Public Interest Research Group, see "diesel" and "dirty" as being the same thing. If the auto industry's sampling of public opinion is accurate, most American motorists view diesel the same way. Diesel-powered passenger vehicles are barely a blip on the statistical screen in this country. But 25 percent of all new vehicles sold in Europe are diesel, according to research by the Diesel Technology Forum, a Virginia group representing the diesel fuels industry. Why do Europeans and, for that matter, Japanese rely so much on diesel? It's simple. They're feeling more pain in terms of high gasoline prices and fuel availability. Presumably, Europeans and Japanese care as much about breathing clean air as Americans. So, they've come up with ways to take the dirt out of diesel — which is why American companies such as General Motors Corp. are relying on Japanese partners like Isuzu Motor Co. to produce a new generation of diesel engines that could start showing up in passenger vehicles sold here. It simply means that they should be developed and marketed with common sense, as opposed to mandates. For example, pure electric cars make perfect sense in a community such as South Padre Island, Texas. It's a strip of a place, about six miles long and one mile wide. There is really no need for conventionally powered vehicles in a place like that. Bicycles work fine. Battery-powered bikes work even better; and
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battery-powered cars would be ideal for moving groups of four or more around the island. Similarly, battery-powered vehicles make sense in other communities, such as large college campuses and retirement villages. Otherwise, consumers should be given the opportunity to drive hybrids. That's gasoline-electric and diesel-electric hybrids. Currently, in the United States, Toyota Motor Corp. and Honda Motor Co. offer only gasoline-electric hybrids &©150; the Toyota Prius sedan and Honda Insight coupe. These are wonderful little cars &©150; great gas mileage. (The Prius averaged 52 miles per gallon and the Insight pulled about 54 mpg in Washington Post test drives.) Both are low-emission vehicles, and both have a remarkable amount of pep. Essentially, they have two power systems &©150; fossil fuel and electric &©150; that work in tandem, or in parallel with one another depending on power demands. Hybrid driving range is comparable to that of conventional models; and there is no need to plug them in &©150; which means they can be used in California even during one of its now-famous "rolling blackouts." Also, there's this. All major car companies are developing hydrogen fuel-cell vehicles in which hydrogen is converted into electricity through an electrochemical process. The idea is to come up with a pure electric vehicle that matches or does better than conventional models in terms of cost, driving range, and cargo and passenger capacities &©150; but that emits no pollutants and requires no plug-in for recharging. This is not wishful thinking. Billions of dollars are being poured into fuel-cell research efforts at places such as GM's Global Alternative Propulsion Center in Rochester, N.Y. Similar facilities are being operated by Ford Motor Co., Honda, Toyota, DaimlerChrysler and Nissan/Renault. Also, the federal government is funding fuel-cell research. Some companies plan to introduce their first marketable fuel-cell models by 2004. GM, however, says it won't meet that schedule. "We could have a car ready by then," said Byron McCormick, director of GM's Alternative Propulsion Center. But he said that GM's primary goal is to have fuel-cell vehicles that have a market future. "We want to be the first company to sell one million fuel-cell vehicles annually," he said. That sounds good. But I've got my doubts. Reaching that kind of goal requires some kind of incentive, like, maybe, a do-or-die energy crisis.
Subject: FW: State's latest draft - RHart

-----Original Message-----
From: Charles_M._Smith@ovp.eop.gov internet [mailto:Charles_M._smith@ovp.eop.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, March 20, 2001 6:57 PM
To: Kelliher, Joseph; Anderson, Margot; Culleen_R._Glover@ovp.eop.gov internet; Kmurphy@osec.doc.gov internet; Dina.Ellis@do.treas.gov internet; Sue_Ellen_woolridge@ios.doi.gov internet; Tom_Fullum@ios.doi.gov internet; Kjersten_drager@ovp.eop.gov internet; Mleblanc@ceq.eop.gov internet; Bruce.Baughman@FEMA.gov internet; Charles.m.Hess@USACE.army.mil internet; Akeeler@ceq.eop.gov internet; commcoll@aol.com internet; Karen_E._Keller@omb.eop.gov internet; Carol_J._Thompson@who.eop.gov internet; Sandra_L._Via@omb.eop.gov internet; Megan_D._Moran@ovp.eop.gov internet; Janet_P._Walker@ovp.eop.gov internet; Ronald_L._Silberman@omb.eop.gov internet; Lori_A._Krauss@omb.eop.gov internet; Andrew_D._Lundquist@ovp.eop.gov internet; Karen_Y._Knutson@ovp.eop.gov internet; Charles_D._McGrath_Jr@ovp.eop.gov internet; Robert_C._McNally@aa.eop.gov internet; Cesar_Conda@ovp.eop.gov internet; Jennifer_M._Mayfield@ovp.eop.gov internet; Mary_J._Matalin@ovp.eop.gov internet; Nancy_P._Dorn@who.eop.gov internet; Margaret_Bradley@ios.doi.gov internet; Jean_M._Russell@opd.eop.gov internet; Jean_M._Russell@opd.eop.gov internet; John_Fenzel@ovp.eop.gov internet; Andrew_D._Lundquist@ovp.eop.gov internet; Janet_P._Walker@ovp.eop.gov internet; Karen_Y._Knutson@ovp.eop.gov internet; Subject: State's latest draft - rhart

Attached is State's latest draft of their chapter. (See attached file: 03_14_01_NEPG Study Ew_R1.doc)

Extracted 03_14_01_NEPG Study Ew_R1.doc: 281600 bytes
- Renamed to "03_14_01_NEPG Study Ew_R1.doc" to preserve uniqueness
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12846

DOE016-3210
BRIAN CONNOR 03/20/2001 10:35 AM TO: MaryBeth Zimmerman/EE/DOE@DOE
cc: Sam Baldwin/EE/DOE@DOE
Subject: MaryBeth, Please review the draft response

A start on our two-pagers. Unreviewed beyond me at the moment, but I wanted you to have something to work with. Have you gotten any other guidance as to structure of these documents?

Extracted 06 High Performance Buildings FINAL.doc: 29184 bytes
- Renamed to "06 High Performance Buildings FINAL0.doc" to preserve uniqueness

Extracted 07 Factories FINAL.doc: 24576 bytes
- Renamed to "07 Factories FINAL0.doc" to preserve uniqueness

Extracted 12 government purchasing of efficient, renewable alternatives.doc: 38912 bytes
- Renamed to "12 government purchasing of efficient, renewable alternatives0.doc" to preserve uniqueness

Extracted 13 Consumer Information FINAL.doc: 34304 bytes
NEPMASTER

- Renamed to "13 Consumer Information FINALo.doc" to preserve uniqueness
Extracted 15 Tech Assistance for Businesses FINAL.doc: 30208 bytes
- Renamed to "15 Tech Assistance for Businesses FINALo.doc" to preserve uniqueness
Extracted 16 Reduce Truck Costs FINAL.doc: 26624 bytes
- Renamed to "16 Reduce Truck Costs FINALo.doc" to preserve uniqueness
Extracted 24 integrated partnership FINAL.doc: 32256 bytes
- Renamed to "24 integrated partnership FINALo.doc" to preserve uniqueness

CN=MaryBeth Zimmerman/OU=EE/O=DOE>---<CN=Jerry Dion/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE>---<Re:
High Performance Buildings

MaryBeth Zimmerman/EE/DOE@DOE cc: Subject: Re: High Performance Buildings
MaryBeth, Did any of our other policy response papers (I think we submitted 8) make it into EERE's submission? If so, can I have copies? Thanks, Jerry
MaryBeth Zimmerman 03/16/2001 09:28 AM To: Jerry Dion/EE/DOE
Subject: High Performance Buildings
If you have the chance, here's the final NEP 2-pager on high performance buildings to look at. It hasn't changed much from the last time you saw it.

-------------------- Attachments ---------------------
Extracted 06 High Performance Buildings.doc: 29696 bytes
CN=MaryBeth Zimmerman/OU=EE/O=DOE>---<CN=Jerry Dion/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE>---<High
Performance Buildings

---------- Message body ---------------
If you have the chance, here's the final NEP 2-pager on high performance buildings to look at. It hasn't changed much from the last time you saw it.

-------------------- Attachments ---------------------
Extracted 06 High Performance Buildings.doc: 29696 bytes
- Renamed to "06 High Performance BuildingsFINALo.doc" to preserve uniqueness

CN=MaryBeth Zimmerman/OU=EE/O=DOE>---<CN=Beverly Dyer/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE;CN=Peg
gy Podolak/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE>---<NEP submission on infrastructure

-------------------- Attachments ---------------------
Extracted 24 integrated partnership 2.doc: 32256 bytes
CN=MaryBeth Zimmerman/OU=EE/O=DOE>---<CN=John Millhone/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE>---
Looking for your regional response piece

-------------------- Attachments ---------------------
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12848
Just wanted to let you know what a great job Tom did on the budget text data checks, as well as in preparing supporting materials for our NEP assignments and the state data sheets on energy consumption. This is really valuable support for our activities.

Richard Karney 03/14/2001 08:59 AM
To: MaryBeth Zimmerman/EE/DOE@DOE
Cc: Mark Ginsberg/EE/DOE@DOE, Barbara Siss on/EE/DOE@DOE
Subject: [sbtf] OECD/IEA joint workshop on the Design of the Sustainable Building Policies

MaryBeth, I don't know if you had received this message from the OECD. It is holding a workshop at the end of June in Paris to discuss sustainable building policies. I forward the message to you as I am not sure BTS would be the appropriate party to represent, if at all desired by, the Department. It might be more for you or PO. However, the agenda seems to contain sessions where technical issues or discussions on up to date technologies are to be on the floor. Richard K.
To: members of the Task Force on Sustainable Building Market Development

The attached is the draft agenda of the workshop on the design of sustainable building policies, which will be held on 28-29 June in Paris. In this workshop, it will be discussed what kind of policy instruments governments should implement to achieve three environmental objectives, reduction of GHG emissions, waste minimisation and prevention of indoor air pollution. I hope many experts in this area will join the discussion. If you have any questions on this workshop please do not hesitate to contact me. Taka HASEGAWA OECD Environment Directorate

Takahiko Hasegawa

Tel +33-1-4524-1487
Fax +33-1-4524-7876

----> Make good on the promise you made at graduation to keep in touch. Classmates.com has over 14 million registered high school alumni—chances are you’ll find your friends! http://us.click.yahoo.com/13joGB/DMUCAA/4ihDAA/8xda/d/lB/TM

--- > Remember -- to Post a message, send it to <sbtf@eGroups.com>. To unsubscribe, send a blank message to: <sbtf-unsubscribe@eGroups.com> Your use of Yahoo! Groups is subject to http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

Extracted WPNEP-INVITE.0228.doc: 45056 bytes

CN=MaryBeth Zimmerman/OU=EE/O=DOE>---<CN=Darrell Beschen/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE;CN =Michael York/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE;CN=Phillip Tseng/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE>---<FY02 Budget reviews
--- Message body ---

3/13/2001 10:30 AM -------------------------- Buddy Garland 03/12/2001 06:58 PM TO: Fred Glatstein/EE/DOE@DOE, MaryBeth Zimmerman/EE/DOE@DOE cc: S teven VonVital/EE/DOE@DOE, Keller Staley/EE/DOE@DOE, Amit Ronen/EE/DOE@DOE ,Gregory Baines/EE/DOE@DOE Subject: FY02 Budget reviews When the Budg
Attached are EERE's 3 chapters submitted for the 10-chapter assessment report. Also, below, is the latest version of the short piece they created from the overview chapter and the chapter on short term issues. We've never gotten a full 10 chapter version of the assessment report.

Also, a version of the report will be going to the Task Force next week (this is still a document for internal discussion only). Also attached is a preliminary list of policy goals to help center the discussion on policy options consistent with those goals. Look forward to seeing you on Monday.

Margot
The skunk piece you started reviewing this morning is attached. Can you take a crack at finishing/improving it?  

"One of the Zimmerman's" <czmbz@erols.com> on 03/04/2001 10:47:14 PM  
To: MaryBeth Zimmerman/EE/DOE@DOE  
cc: Michael York/EE/DOE@DOE; Abe Haspel/EE/DOE@DOE; John Sullivan/EE/DOE@DOE; Buddy Garland/EE/DOE@DOE; Nancy Jeffrey/EE/DOE@DOE  
Subject: new energy  

Extracted att1.htm: 288 bytes  
- Renamed to "att10.htm" to preserve uniqueness  
Extracted The New Energy Economy.doc: 29696 bytes  
Extracted NEP+Policy+Issues MBZ.doc: 25600 bytes  

CN=MaryBeth Zimmerman/OU=EE/O=DOE>---<Abe Haspel/EE/DOE@DOE; John Sullivan/EE/DOE@DOE; Buddy Garland/EE/DOE@DOE; Nancy Jeffrey/EE/DOE@DOE>---<Monday NEP meeting  

Extracted NEP Policy Issues.doc: 20480 bytes  
- Renamed to "NEP Policy Issues0.doc" to preserve uniqueness  
Extracted NatEnergy.pdf: 125444 bytes  
- Renamed to "NatEnergy0.pdf" to preserve uniqueness  
Extracted NEP+Policy+Issues MBZ.doc: 24064 bytes
Robert Dixon 03/01/2001 06:43 PM
To: David Bassett/EE/DOE@DOE, Lawrence Mansueti/EE/DOE@DOE
cc: MaryBeth Zimmerman/EE/DOE@DOE
subject: NEP Policy Formation

Gentlemen:

With Linda's departure, this OPT task belongs to you based on our meeting last week where we divided-up the duties. THX Bob

MaryBeth Zimmerman 03/01/2001 02:53 PM
To: MaryBeth Zimmerman/EE/DOE@DOE, Abe Haspel/EE/DOE@DOE, John Sullivan/EE/DOE@DOE, Buddy Garland/EE/DOE@DOE
cc: MaryBeth Zimmerman/EE/DOE@DOE
Subject: NEP Policy Formation

A brief heads-up to let you know that the Vice President's energy task force is moving to policy formation. We will have an initial meeting on Monday, March 5 to get our guidance. Since the final report is due to the VP's office on March 14, however, we would like to get started now. I've e-mailed a heads up to your analytical staffs, and we've set up meetings today with OPT and OTT. We'd be happy to do the same for the other offices later today (after 5:00) or tomorrow.

MaryBeth (6-7249)

Margot Anderson 03/01/2001 07:51:03 AM
To: MaryBeth Zimmerman/EE/DOE@DOE, John Sullivan/EE/DOE@DOE, Abe Haspel/EE/DOE@DOE, Trevor Cook/QHMAIL, Paula Scaling@QHMAIL, Robert Kripowicz@QHMAIL, William Magwood@QHMAIL, Jay Braitsch@QHMAIL, John Conti@QHMAIL, Douglas Carter@QHMAIL, David Pumphrey@QHMAIL, James Hart@QHMAIL, William Breed@QHMAIL, Larry Pettis@QHMAIL, James Kendall@QHMAIL
NEPMASTER

Policy Office regarding March 14 deadline for energy policy write-ups. Please plan to meet at 2:30 on Monday, March 5 in the EE conference room, to coordinate our responses. We would be pleased to meet with any sector this afternoon or tomorrow (3:00 this afternoon is already taken) to discuss items of importance to you in a policy plan. -- Mary Beth (6-7249) ---------

Forwarded by MaryBeth Zimmerman/EE/DOE on 03/01/2001 10:20 AM ---------

Margot Anderson@HQMAIL on 03/01/2001 07:51:03 AM To: MaryBeth Zimmerman/EE/DOE@DOE, John Sullivan/EE/DOE@DOE, Abe Haspel/EE/DOE@DOE, Trevor Cook@HQMAIL, Paula Scalin @HQMAIL, jkstier@bpa.gov@internet@HQMAIL, Robert Kripowicz@HQMAIL, William MAGWOOD@HQMAIL, Michael Whatley@HQMAIL, Jay Braitsch@HQMAIL, John Conti @HQMAIL, Douglas Carter@HQMAIL, David Pumphrey@HQMAIL, James HART@HQMAIL, William Breed@HQMAIL, Larry Pettiss@HQMAIL, James KENDELL@HQMAIL, Andy KYDE@HQMAIL cc: Joseph Kelliher@HQMAIL Subject: Energy Policy Discussion

CN=MaryBeth Zimmerman/OU=EE/O=DOE---------CN=Ellyn Kreitz/OU=EE/O=DOE---------

Energy Policy Discussion

--------------- Message body ---------------

Sorry, forgot to include you on this. --------------------- Forwarded by MaryBeth Zimmerman/EE/DOE on 03/01/2001 11:29 AM To: Kenneth Friedman/EE/DOE@DOE, Peggy Podolak/EE/DOE@DOE, Tina Kaarsberg/EE/DOE@DOE, Lawrence Mansueti/EE/DOE@DOE, Jerry Dion/EE/DOE@DOE, Phillip Patterson/EE/DOE@DOE, David Boomsm a/EE/DOE@DOE cc: Nancy Jeffery/EE/DOE@DOE, Joel Rubin/EE/DOE@DOE, Darrell Breschen/EE/DOE@DOE, Michael York/EE/DOE@DOE, Phyllip Tseng/EE/DOE@DOE, Budd y Garland/EE/DOE@DOE, Sam Baldwin/EE/DOE@DOE Subject: Energy Policy Disc ussion The NEP is back! Please see the following from the Policy Office regarding March 14 deadline for energy policy write-ups. Please plan to meet at 2:30 on Monday, March 5 in the EE conference room, to coordinate our responses. We would be pleased to meet with any sector this afternoon or tomorrow (3:00 this afternoon is already taken) to discuss items of imp ortance to you in a policy plan. -- Mary Beth (6-7249) ---------------------

Forwarded by MaryBeth Zimmerman/EE/DOE on 03/01/2001 10:20 AM -----

--------------------- Margot Anderson@HQMAIL on 03/01/2001 07:51:03 AM To: MaryBeth Zimmerman/EE/DOE@DOE, John Sullivan/EE/DOE@DOE, Abe Haspel/EE/DOE@DOE, Trevor Cook@HQMAIL, Paula Scalin @HQMAIL, jkstier@bpa.gov@internet@HQMAIL, Robert Kripowicz@HQMAIL, William MAGWOOD@HQ MAIL, Michael Whatley@HQMAIL, Jay Braitsch@HQMAIL, John Conti@HQMAIL, Douglas Carter@HQMAIL, David Pumphrey@HQMAIL, James HART@HQMAIL, William Breed @HQMAIL, Larry Pettiss@HQMAIL, James KENDELL@HQMAIL, Andy KYDE@HQMAIL cc::
A brief heads-up to let you know that the Vice President's energy task force is moving to policy formation. We will have an initial meeting on Monday, March 5 to get our guidance. Since the final report is due to the VP's office on March 14, however, we would like to get started now. I've emailed a heads up to your analytical staffs, and we've set up meetings today with OPT and OIT. We'd be happy to do the same for the other offices later today (after 5:00) or tomorrow. -- Mary Beth (6-7249) ------------ Forwarded by MaryBeth Zimmerman/EE/DOE on 03/01/2001 02:53 PM --

The NEP is back! Please see the following from the Policy Office regarding March 14 deadline for energy policy write-ups. Please plan to meet at 2:30 on Monday, March 5 in the EE conference room, to coordinate our responses. We would be pleased to meet with any sector this afternoon or tomorrow (3:00 this afternoon is already taken) to discuss items of importance to you in a policy plan. -- Mary Beth (6-7249) 

Forwarded by MaryBeth Zimmerman/EE/DOE on 03/01/2001 10:20 AM
Abe wants to pass out copies of the current EERE drafts for the NEP at the DAS meeting this afternoon (with editor's changes). I will have 15 copies prepared. Margo just e-mailed a new draft of various chapter, but probably no need to have sector review.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Readme</td>
<td>2/15/2002 2:57 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEPMASTER</td>
<td>2/15/2002 2:46 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEP ProgRev Sched053001</td>
<td>5/30/2001 10:24 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>att1</td>
<td>5/30/2001 10:24 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>att1.back</td>
<td>5/25/2001 12:53 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEP EVENT CHART1</td>
<td>5/22/2001 5:36 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEP EVENT CHART2</td>
<td>5/22/2001 5:36 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEP Event Chart</td>
<td>5/22/2001 11:53 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bpo00013</td>
<td>5/21/2001 4:44 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bpo00013r</td>
<td>5/21/2001 3:25 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bpo00013q</td>
<td>5/21/2001 3:25 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bpo00019</td>
<td>5/21/2001 3:08 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEP people1</td>
<td>5/21/2001 12:47 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEP people2</td>
<td>5/21/2001 12:47 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEP people3</td>
<td>5/21/2001 12:47 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEP people4</td>
<td>5/21/2001 12:47 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEP people5</td>
<td>5/21/2001 12:47 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEP people6</td>
<td>5/21/2001 12:47 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEP people7</td>
<td>5/21/2001 12:47 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEP people8</td>
<td>5/21/2001 12:47 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEP people9</td>
<td>5/21/2001 12:47 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEP EVENT CHART3</td>
<td>5/18/2001 6:27 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEP EVENT CHART2</td>
<td>5/18/2001 6:27 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEP EVENT CHART1</td>
<td>5/18/2001 6:27 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEP OPT Chart_Bopower</td>
<td>5/18/2001 11:53 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEP OPT Chart_geo</td>
<td>5/18/2001 11:20 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEP BTS Chart Response V20</td>
<td>5/18/2001 11:10 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEP BTS Chart Response V2</td>
<td>5/18/2001 11:10 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CERATex</td>
<td>5/18/2001 7:23 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEP RO Chart1</td>
<td>5/17/2001 9:31 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEP RO Chart2</td>
<td>5/17/2001 9:31 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEP OTT Chart1</td>
<td>5/17/2001 9:31 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEP OTT Chart2</td>
<td>5/17/2001 9:31 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEP OPT Chart1</td>
<td>5/17/2001 9:31 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEP OPT Chart2</td>
<td>5/17/2001 9:31 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEP OPT Chart3</td>
<td>5/17/2001 9:31 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEP OPT Chart4</td>
<td>5/17/2001 9:31 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEP OPT Chart5</td>
<td>5/17/2001 9:31 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEP OPT Chart6</td>
<td>5/17/2001 9:31 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEP OPT Chart7</td>
<td>5/17/2001 9:31 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEP OPT Chart8</td>
<td>5/17/2001 9:31 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEP FEMP Chart1</td>
<td>5/17/2001 9:31 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEP FEMP Chart2</td>
<td>5/17/2001 9:31 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEP FEMP Chart3</td>
<td>5/17/2001 9:31 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEP BTS Chart1</td>
<td>5/17/2001 9:31 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEP BTS Chart2</td>
<td>5/17/2001 9:31 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEP RO Chart</td>
<td>5/17/2001 9:21 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEP OTT Chart</td>
<td>5/17/2001 9:19 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEP OPT Chart</td>
<td>5/17/2001 9:17 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEP OPT Chart</td>
<td>5/17/2001 9:15 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEP FEMP Chart</td>
<td>5/17/2001 9:14 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEP BTS Chart</td>
<td>5/17/2001 9:14 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bpo00025y</td>
<td>5/7/2001 11:35 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bpo00025a</td>
<td>5/7/2001 11:34 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHAPTER 6-May2</td>
<td>5/2/2001 11:02 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEP 5-01-01 OPT comments on chapter 3 and...</td>
<td>5/1/2001 5:17 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHAPTER 8</td>
<td>5/1/2001 9:06 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHAPTER 6</td>
<td>5/1/2001 9:06 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHAPTER 3 - original .041 .001</td>
<td>5/1/2001 9:06 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Modified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>----------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>5/1/2001 9:06 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>4/26/2001 3:16 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>4/26/2001 8:48 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>4/2/2001 7:38 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td>4/2/2001 7:38 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>4/27/2001 10:55 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>3/26/2001 12:49 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td>3/26/2001 11:49 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td>3/26/2001 10:57 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td>3/26/2001 9:52 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td>3/25/2001 4:00 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td>3/24/2001 10:46 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.</td>
<td>3/23/2001 7:00 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.</td>
<td>3/23/2001 4:19 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.</td>
<td>3/23/2001 3:49 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.</td>
<td>3/23/2001 2:39 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17.</td>
<td>3/22/2001 1:56 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.</td>
<td>3/22/2001 12:37 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.</td>
<td>3/22/2001 1:56 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.</td>
<td>3/21/2001 6:37 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.</td>
<td>3/21/2001 6:37 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22.</td>
<td>3/21/2001 6:37 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23.</td>
<td>3/20/2001 8:00 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.</td>
<td>3/20/2001 8:00 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25.</td>
<td>3/20/2001 8:00 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.</td>
<td>3/16/2001 12:33 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.</td>
<td>3/16/2001 12:33 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.</td>
<td>3/16/2001 12:33 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29.</td>
<td>3/16/2001 12:33 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30.</td>
<td>3/16/2001 12:33 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31.</td>
<td>3/16/2001 12:33 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32.</td>
<td>3/16/2001 12:33 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33.</td>
<td>3/16/2001 12:33 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34.</td>
<td>3/16/2001 12:33 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35.</td>
<td>3/16/2001 12:33 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36.</td>
<td>3/16/2001 12:33 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37.</td>
<td>3/16/2001 12:33 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38.</td>
<td>3/16/2001 12:33 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39.</td>
<td>3/16/2001 12:33 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40.</td>
<td>3/16/2001 12:33 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41.</td>
<td>3/16/2001 12:33 PM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 object(s)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Modified</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>06 High Performance Buildings FINAL</td>
<td>3/16/2001 12:15 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06 High Performance Buildings FINAL</td>
<td>3/16/2001 12:15 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Consumer Information FINAL</td>
<td>3/16/2001 12:14 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 Consumer Information FINAL</td>
<td>3/16/2001 12:14 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06 High Performance Buildings</td>
<td>3/16/2001 9:27 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06 High Performance Buildings</td>
<td>3/16/2001 9:27 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 integrated partnership 2</td>
<td>3/16/2001 9:14 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 integrated partnership 2</td>
<td>3/16/2001 9:14 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Factories</td>
<td>3/15/2001 12:43 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Tech Assistance for Businesses FINAL</td>
<td>3/15/2001 10:52 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 Tech Assistance for Businesses FINAL</td>
<td>3/15/2001 10:52 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Template for policy ideas</td>
<td>3/12/2001 5:52 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Template for policy ideas</td>
<td>3/12/2001 5:52 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEP Policy Issues</td>
<td>3/12/2001 5:52 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEP Policy Issues</td>
<td>3/12/2001 5:52 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WPNEP-NOTE_0228</td>
<td>3/12/2001 12:31 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WPNEP-NOTE_0228</td>
<td>3/12/2001 12:31 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short titles</td>
<td>3/12/2001 8:45 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Short titles</td>
<td>3/12/2001 8:45 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EERE Summary Submission</td>
<td>3/9/2001 7:43 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EERE Summary Submission</td>
<td>3/9/2001 7:43 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EERE Summary Submission</td>
<td>3/9/2001 7:43 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EERE Summary Submission</td>
<td>3/9/2001 7:43 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EERE Summary Submission</td>
<td>3/9/2001 7:43 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EERE Summary Submission</td>
<td>3/9/2001 7:43 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy Efficiency improvements</td>
<td>3/6/2001 6:17 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy Efficiency improvements</td>
<td>3/6/2001 6:17 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annotated outline</td>
<td>3/6/2001 9:32 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annotated outline</td>
<td>3/6/2001 9:32 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annotated outline</td>
<td>3/6/2001 9:32 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annotated outline</td>
<td>3/6/2001 9:32 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEP Policy plus Issues MBZ</td>
<td>3/4/2001 10:54 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEP Policy plus Issues MBZ</td>
<td>3/4/2001 10:54 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEP Policy plus Issues MBZ</td>
<td>3/4/2001 10:54 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEP Policy plus Issues MBZ</td>
<td>3/4/2001 10:54 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NatEnergy</td>
<td>3/2/2001 5:34 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NatEnergy</td>
<td>3/2/2001 5:34 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NatEnergy</td>
<td>3/2/2001 5:34 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>secreg3</td>
<td>2/26/2001 1:48 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>secreg3</td>
<td>2/26/2001 1:48 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sec14</td>
<td>2/26/2001 1:48 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sec14</td>
<td>2/26/2001 1:48 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renewables Chapter Edited</td>
<td>2/26/2001 1:45 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renewables Chapter Edited</td>
<td>2/26/2001 1:45 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renewables Chapter Edited</td>
<td>2/26/2001 1:45 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renewables Chapter Edited</td>
<td>2/26/2001 1:45 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efficiency chapter with edits</td>
<td>2/26/2001 12:25 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efficiency chapter with edits</td>
<td>2/26/2001 12:25 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efficiency chapter with edits</td>
<td>2/26/2001 12:25 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efficiency chapter with edits</td>
<td>2/26/2001 12:25 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impacts chapter - edited</td>
<td>2/26/2001 10:19 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impacts chapter - edited</td>
<td>2/26/2001 10:19 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impacts chapter - edited</td>
<td>2/26/2001 10:19 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Impacts chapter - edited</td>
<td>2/26/2001 10:19 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 5 draft 1</td>
<td>2/16/2001 5:50 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Section 5 draft 1</td>
<td>2/16/2001 5:50 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>section 4 draft 1</td>
<td>2/16/2001 5:50 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>section 4 draft 1</td>
<td>2/16/2001 5:50 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>section 2 draft 1</td>
<td>2/16/2001 5:50 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>section 2 draft 1</td>
<td>2/16/2001 5:50 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>section 1 draft 1</td>
<td>2/16/2001 5:50 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>section 1 draft 1</td>
<td>2/16/2001 5:50 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bpe 7wh9b</td>
<td>2/16/2001 5:38 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bpe 7wh13</td>
<td>2/16/2001 4:58 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bpe 7wh9b</td>
<td>2/16/2001 5:38 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bpe 7wh13</td>
<td>2/16/2001 4:58 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>renewable chapter comments</td>
<td>2/15/2001 7:49 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>renewable chapter comments</td>
<td>2/15/2001 7:49 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>renewable chapter comments</td>
<td>2/15/2001 7:49 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>renewable chapter comments</td>
<td>2/15/2001 7:49 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEP organization</td>
<td>2/15/2001 9:51 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEP organization</td>
<td>2/15/2001 9:51 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEP organization</td>
<td>2/15/2001 9:51 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NEP organization</td>
<td>2/15/2001 9:51 AM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draft combo outline WH</td>
<td>2/14/2001 12:45 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draft combo outline WH</td>
<td>2/14/2001 12:45 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draft combo outline WH</td>
<td>2/14/2001 12:45 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Draft combo outline WH</td>
<td>2/14/2001 12:45 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr listing</td>
<td>2/15/2002 3:27 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr listing</td>
<td>2/15/2002 3:27 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr listing continued</td>
<td>2/15/2002 3:29 PM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr listing continued</td>
<td>2/15/2002 3:29 PM</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

142 object(s)
Martin, Adrienne

From: Breed, William  
Sent: Tuesday, May 08, 2001 11:22 AM  
To: Anderson, Margot  
Subject: RE: DOT request for Infrastructure chapter  
Importance: High  
Sensitivity: Confidential

M:

we sent in cites for 31 - 44 yesterday for the infrastructure chapter — that should cover our area...

I will look at rest to see what I can help with...

William Breed  
Acting Director, Office of Energy Efficiency, Alternative Fuels, and Oil Analysis (PO-22)  
202-586-4763

-----Original Message-----
From: Anderson, Margot  
Sent: Tuesday, May 08, 2001 11:21 AM  
To: Braitsch, Jay; Freitas, Christopher; Conti, John; Breed, William; KYDES, ANDY  
Subject: DOT request for Infrastructure chapter  
Importance: High  
Sensitivity: Confidential

Jay and John,

I have now officially gone crazy. This just in from DOT asking for help on their infrastructure chapter. EIA sent in some citations yesterday but DOT needs more, specifically to #1, 3, 44-45, 69-86. I know longer know who wrote what. Can we help? 69-86 are on electricity.

Let each of us know (by responding to all) which questions you can do, so we don't duplicate effort.

Margot

-----Original Message-----
From: Poche, Michelle [mailto:Michelle.Poche@ost.dot.gov]  
Sent Tuesday, May 08, 2001 10:55 AM  
To: Anderson, Margot; Lawson, Linda; Joost, Elaine (060)RSPA(062); Brigham, Edward (060)RSPA(062); O'Leary, Jeanne; Kelliher, Joseph; 'Moss Jacob(a)leapmail.epa.gov'; 'Kmurphy(a)osec.doc.gov'; Ebersold, Bill (060)MARAD(062); Brown, Manson CAPT(060)USCG(062); 'Tom(u)Fulton(a)OS.DOI.gov; 'Sue(u)Ellen(u)Wooldridge(a)IOS.DOI.gov'  
Cc: 'Elena(u)S.(u)Melchert(a)ovp.eop.gov'  
Subject: URGENT: National Energy Policy: citations request  
Importance: High  
Sensitivity: Confidential

URGENT - DEADLINE 3:00 PM TODAY

Per message below from Office of the Vice President, we need citations to support the statements being developed for the National Energy Policy Report.

Please provide your information directly to Elena Melchert's email address (see below) with a cc to michelle.poche@ost.dot.gov and linda.lawson@ost.dot.gov

Margot. Joe (DOE): I understand you already addressed #31-43. Please also address #1, 3, 44-45, 69-86. I assume you might want to coordinate some of those with EPA, so I've included Jacob Moss on the list of addressees for this email as well.
Kevin,

Just sent this to Charlie. Staff says they did indeed incorporate your stuff. Hope you agree.

Margot

-----Original Message-----
From: KMurphy@doc.gov INTERNET (mailto:KMurphy@doc.gov)
Sent: Friday, April 13, 2001 12:18 PM
To: Anderson, Margot
Subject: Chapter 8 edits

Hi Margot, good talking to you. Per our discussion, a few minor changes at this point for "Hydro Generation" section in Ch. 8:

Thanks for your help!
- Kevin

- C.DTF
We'll see what might be doable on questions 69-86 but it seems to me that John Conti would be a good source for a lot of the policy-like statements with no numbers.

Andy

--- Original Message ---
From: Margot Anderson at HQ-EXCH at X400PO
Sent: Tuesday, May 08, 2001 11:25 AM
To: William Breed at HQ-EXCH at X400PO
Cc: Kydes, Andy; Jay Braitsch at HQ-EXCH at X400PO; Christopher Freitas at HQ-EXCH at X400PO; John Conti at HQ-EXCH at X400PO
Subject: RE: DOT request for Infrastructure chapter

Bill,

Confirmed. PO 21 sent in answers to 31-44. EIA took a crack at several scattered throughout. (Both sent to WH yesterday)

Please respond to all when answering so each of knows what has been covered.

Margot

--- Original Message ---
From: Breed, William
Sent: Tuesday, May 08, 2001 11:22 AM
To: Anderson, Margot
Subject: RE: DOT request for Infrastructure chapter
Importance: High
Sensitivity: Confidential

Mit we sent in cites for 31-44 yesterday for the infrastructure chapter -- that should cover our area...

I will look at rest to see what I can help with...

William Breed
Acting Director, Office of Energy Efficiency, Alternative Fuels, and Oil Analysis (PO-22)
202-586-4763

--- Original Message ---
From: Anderson, Margot
Sent: Tuesday, May 08, 2001 11:21 AM
To: Braitsch, Jay; Freitas, Christopher; Conti, John; Breed, William, KYDES, ANDY
Subject: DOT request for Infrastructure chapter
Importance: High
Sensitivity: Confidential

Jay and John,

I have now officially gone crazy. This just in from DOT asking for help on
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their infrastructure chapter. EIA sent in some citations yesterday but DOT needs more, specifically to #1, 3, 44-45, 69-86. I know longer know who wrote what. Can we help? 69-86 are on electricity.

Let each of us know (by responding to all) which questions you can do, so we don’t duplicate effort.

Margot

-----Original Message-----
From: Poche, Michelle [mailto:Michelle.Poche@ost.dot.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, May 08, 2001 10:55 AM
To: Anderson, Margot; Lawson, Linda; Joost, Elaine (060)RSPA(062); Brigham, Edward (060)RSPA(062); O'Leary, Jeanne; Kelliher, Joseph; 'Moss,Jacob(a)epamail.epa.gov' 'Kmurphy(a)osec.doc.gov'; Ebersold, Bill (060)MARAD(062); Brown, Manson CAPT(060)USCG(062); 'Tom(u)Fulton(a)OS.DOI.gov'; 'Sue(u)Ellen(u)Wooldridge(a)OS.DOI.gov'
Cc: 'Elena(u)S.(u)Melchert(a)ovp.eop.gov'; 'Kevin(a)DOC'; Sue Ellen (DOI): 202-366-0251
Subject: URGENT: National Energy Policy: citations request

Importance: High
Sensitivity: Confidential

URGENT - DEADLINE 3:00 PM TODAY

Per message below from Office of the Vice President, we need citations to support the statements being developed for the National Energy Policy Report.

Please provide your information directly to Elena Melchert's email address (see below) with a cc to michelle.poche@ost.dot.gov and linda.lawson@ost.dot.gov

Margot/JoE (DOE): I understand you already addressed #31-43. Please also address #1, 3, 44-45, 69-86. I assume you might want to coordinate some of those with EPA, so I've included Jacob Moss on the list of addressees for this email as well.
Ed/Elaine (OPS): Please address #4, and 6-30.
Jeanne (FRA/DOT): Please address #5, and 64-68.
Manson and Bill (USCG/MARAD): Please address #46-63.
Tom/Sue Ellen (DOI): Please address #88-91.
Kevin (DOC): Please address #93-94.

In addition to the attachment listing the numbered statements from which we are working, I am attaching a second document which is an old, outdated version of the chapter in question. This for the sole purpose of providing you with additional context, should you need it. However, keep in mind the statements you're working from reflect edits to the older document. Make no edits to the attachments. Send your citations separately, directly to Elena.

As always, please treat this information as CONFIDENTIAL.

Thanks,
Michelle

Michelle Poche'
Office of Secretary Norman Y. Mineta
U.S. Department of Transportation
202-366-0251

-----Original Message-----
From: Elena _S._Melchert@ovp.eop.gov
[mailto:Elena _S._Melchert@ovp.eop.gov]
Here are DOE's comments on the draft recommendations coming out of last Wednesday's hydro licensing working group meeting:
Thanks for the chance to comment.

Larry Mansueti, DOE
Paul Carrier, DOE

-----Original Message-----
From: EMurphy@doc.gov@internet [mailto:EMurphy@doc.gov]  
Sent: Friday, April 06, 2001 6:14 PM  
To: Carrier, Paul; Mansueti, Lawrence;  
william_bettenberg@ios.doi.gov@internet;  
charles.m.hess@usace.army.miltinternet;  
michael.r.walsh@wrco01.usace.army.miltinternet;
Hydro licensing recommendations from our working group meeting...

----- Forwarded by Kevin Murphy/HCHB/Osnet on 04/06/01 06:13 PM -----

Dan Coben

To: Kevin Murphy/HCHB/Osnet, Jane.S.Hannuksela@noaa.gov
    04/06/01
cc: Peter Robbins/HCHB/Osnet, Craig.R.O

attach1

hydro.docstaffdraft.version1.wpd
Margot: can we answer Karen's question below?
This is the pull box she is referring to:

THANKS!
Elena

Karen Y. Knutson 05/10/2001 08:49:46 AM
(Embedded image moved to file: PIC14331.PCX)

To: Elena S. Melchert/OVP/EOP

cc:  
Subject: Ch 1 fact we need to find
Martin, Adrienne

From: John_Fenzel@ovp.eop.gov%internet [John_Fenzel@ovp.eop.gov]
Sent: Sunday, May 13, 2001 10:46 AM
To: Andrew_H._Card@who.eop.gov%internet; Karen_Hughes@who.eop.gov%internet; Karl_C._Rove@who.eop.gov%internet; Lewis_Libby@ovp.eop.gov%internet; Lawrence_A._Fleischer@who.eop.gov%internet; Mary_J._Matalin@ovp.eop.gov%internet; Mitchell_Daniels@omb.eop.gov%internet; Joshua_B._Bolten@who.eop.gov%internet; Lawrence_B._Lindsey@opd.eop.gov%internet; Ruben_S._Barrales@who.eop.gov%internet; Charles_D._McGrath_Jr@ovp.eop.gov%internet; Andrew_D._Lundquist@ovp.eop.gov%internet; Cesar_Conda@ovp.eop.gov%internet; Karen_Y._Knutson@ovp.eop.gov%internet; Robert_C._McNally@opd.eop.gov%internet; James_T._Sims@ovp.eop.gov%internet; Glenn_Hubbard@cea.eop.gov%internet; J_howardj@ceq.eop.gov%internet; Anderson, Margot; Juleanna_R._Glover@ovp.eop.gov%internet; Kelliher, Joseph; Kmurphy@osec.doc.gov%internet; Dina_Elsia@do.treas.gov%internet; Sue_Ellen_Woolridge@IOS.DOI.gov%internet; Joel_D._Kaplan@who.eop.gov%internet; Keith_Collins@USDA.gov%internet; Joseph_Glauber5@USDA.gov%internet; Galloglysj@State.gov%internet; McManusmj@State.gov%internet; Michelle_Pochej@OST.DOT.Gov%internet; Patricia_Stahlschmidtj@FEMA.gov%internet; Brenner_Rob@EPA.gov%internet; Bealj@EPA.gov%internet; MPeacock@omb.eop.gov%internet; Mark_A._Weatherly@omb.eop.gov%internet; William_bettenberg@IOS.DOI.gov%internet; Tom_fulton@IOS.DOI.gov%internet; Kjersten_dragenj@ovp.eop.gov%internet; Mieblancj@ceq.eop.gov%internet; Bruce_Baughman@FEMA.gov%internet; Charles_m.Hess@USACE.army.mil%internet; akeeler@ceq.eop.gov%internet; Karen_E._Keller@omb.eop.gov%internet; Carol_J._Thompson@who.eop.gov%internet; Sandra_L_Via@omb.eop.gov%internet; Megan_D._Moranj@ovp.eop.gov%internet; Janet_P._Walker@opd.eop.gov%internet; Ronald_L._Silberman@omb.eop.gov%internet; Lori_A._Krauss@omb.eop.gov%internet; WheelerE@State.gov%internet; Karen_L._Zent@who.eop.gov%internet; Mark_J._Sullivan@ovp.eop.gov%internet; Alice_H._Williams@ceq.eop.gov%internet; moss.jacob@EPA.gov%internet; Carol_J._Thompson@who.eop.gov%internet; Jean_M._Russell@opd.eop.gov%internet; Karen_Y._Knutson@ovp.eop.gov%internet; Charles_M._Smith@ovp.eop.gov%internet; Josephine_B._Robinson@who.eop.gov%internet; Melissa_S._Bennett@who.eop.gov%internet; Logan_M._Walters@who.eop.gov%internet; Linda_M._Gambatesaj@who.eop.gov%internet; Stacey_B._Silva@who.eop.gov%internet; Christina_D._Roberts@who.eop.gov%internet; Vickie_A._McQuade@who.eop.gov%internet; Nicole_E._Grodnern@who.eop.gov%internet; Susan_B._Rals@who.eop.gov%internet; Debra_Heiden@ovp.eop.gov%internet; Jennifer_H._Mayfield@ovp.eop.gov%internet; Elizabeth_W._Kleppej@ovp.eop.gov%internet
Cc: Anderson, Margot; Juleanna_R._Glover@ovp.eop.gov%internet; Kelliher, Joseph; Kmurphy@osec.doc.gov%internet; Dina_Elsia@do.treas.gov%internet; Sue_Ellen_Woolridge@IOS.DOI.gov%internet; Joel_D._Kaplan@who.eop.gov%internet; Keith_Collins@USDA.gov%internet; Joseph_Glauber5@USDA.gov%internet; Galloglysj@State.gov%internet; McManusmj@State.gov%internet; Michelle_Pochej@OST.DOT.Gov%internet; Patricia_Stahlschmidtj@FEMA.gov%internet; Brenner_Rob@EPA.gov%internet; Bealj@EPA.gov%internet; MPeacock@omb.eop.gov%internet; Mark_A._Weatherly@omb.eop.gov%internet; William_bettenberg@IOS.DOI.gov%internet; Tom_fulton@IOS.DOI.gov%internet; Kjersten_dragenj@ovp.eop.gov%internet; Mieblancj@ceq.eop.gov%internet; Bruce_Baughman@FEMA.gov%internet; Charles_m.Hess@USACE.army.mil%internet; akeeler@ceq.eop.gov%internet; Karen_E._Keller@omb.eop.gov%internet; Carol_J._Thompson@who.eop.gov%internet; Sandra_L_Via@omb.eop.gov%internet; Megan_D._Moranj@ovp.eop.gov%internet; Janet_P._Walker@opd.eop.gov%internet; Ronald_L._Silberman@omb.eop.gov%internet; Lori_A._Krauss@omb.eop.gov%internet; WheelerE@State.gov%internet; Karen_L._Zent@who.eop.gov%internet; Mark_J._Sullivan@ovp.eop.gov%internet; Alice_H._Williams@ceq.eop.gov%internet; moss.jacob@EPA.gov%internet; Carol_J._Thompson@who.eop.gov%internet; Jean_M._Russell@opd.eop.gov%internet; Karen_Y._Knutson@ovp.eop.gov%internet; Charles_M._Smith@ovp.eop.gov%internet; Josephine_B._Robinson@who.eop.gov%internet; Melissa_S._Bennett@who.eop.gov%internet; Logan_M._Walters@who.eop.gov%internet; Linda_M._Gambatesaj@who.eop.gov%internet; Stacey_B._Silva@who.eop.gov%internet; Christina_D._Roberts@who.eop.gov%internet; Vickie_A._McQuade@who.eop.gov%internet; Nicole_E._Grodnern@who.eop.gov%internet; Susan_B._Rals@who.eop.gov%internet; Debra_Heiden@ovp.eop.gov%internet; Jennifer_H._Mayfield@ovp.eop.gov%internet; Elizabeth_W._Kleppej@ovp.eop.gov%internet

Subject: Presentation of the NEPD Group Report to the President

The Final Report of the National Energy Policy Development Group will be presented to the President during a Cabinet Meeting at 4:00pm on Wednesday, May 16th, in the Cabinet Room. Participants for this meeting are provided below.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any questions: 456-7953

John Fenzel

PARTICIPANTS

The Cabinet

Vice President Richard Cheney
Secretary Paul O'Neill, Secretary of the Treasury
Secretary Gale Norton, Secretary of the Interior
Secretary Ann Veneman, Secretary of Agriculture
Secretary Don Evans, Secretary of Commerce
Secretary Norman Mineta, Secretary of Transportation
Secretary Spencer Abraham, Secretary of Energy
Secretary Colin Powell, Secretary of State
Secretary Donald Rumsfeld, Secretary of Defense
Secretary Tommy Thompson, Secretary of Health and Human Services
Secretary Roderick Paige, Secretary of Education
Secretary Mel Martinez, Secretary of Housing and Urban Development
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Secretary Elaine Chao, Secretary of Labor
Secretary Anthony Principi, Secretary of Veterans Affairs
Mr. John Ashcroft, Attorney General
Ms. Christie Whitman, Administrator, Environmental Protection Agency
Mr. Joe Allbaugh, Director, Federal Emergency Management Agency

Key Staff:

Andrew Card, Chief of Staff to the President
Karen Hughes, Senior Counselor to the President
Karl Rove, Senior Advisor to the President
Lewis Libby, Assistant to the President and Chief of Staff to the Vice President
Ari Fleischer, Assistant to the President and White House Press Secretary
Mary Matalin, Assistant to the President and Counselor to the Vice President
Mr. Mitchell Daniels, Director, Office of Management and Budget
Mr. Josh Bolten, Deputy Chief of Staff to the President
Mr. Lawrence Lindsey, Director, National Economic Council
Mr. Ruben Barrales, Assistant to the President for Intergovernmental Affairs
Dean McGrath, Deputy Chief of Staff to the Vice President
Andrew Lundquist, Executive Director, National Energy Policy Development Group
Cesar Conda, Assistant to the Vice President for Domestic Policy
John Howard, Director, Council on Environmental Quality
Karen Knutson, Deputy Director, National Energy Policy Development Group
Bob McNally, Special Assistant to the President for Economic Policy
Kyle McSlarrow, Chief of Staff, Department of Energy
Jim Sims, Director of Communications, National Energy Policy Development Group
Dr. Glenn Hubbard, Chairman-Designate of the Council of Economic Advisors
Subject: Agenda for Cabinet Meeting: May 16, 4:00pm

Below is the Agenda for the Cabinet Meeting scheduled for Wednesday, May 16th at 4:00pm. The NEPD Group Report will be presented to the President at this meeting, and will not be available for release in advance. The Report, Briefing Books and Media Talking Points will be available at the meeting. Please pass this agenda on to Principals.

John Fenzel
To: <KMurphy@osec.doc.gov>
cc: <DCOHE1@osec.doc.gov>, <Jane.S.Hannuksela@noaa.gov>,
<Craig.R.O'Connor@noaa.gov>,
<Paul.Carrier%HQMAIL%HQDOE@ee.doe.gov>,
<william_bettenberg@ios.doi.gov>,
<charles.m.hess@usace.army.mil>,
<michael.r.walsh@wre01.usace.army.mil>,
<darrell.g.nolton@wre01.usace.army.mil>,
<mianopaul@its.fed.us>, <andrew_d_lundquist@ovp.eop.gov>,
<karen_y.knutson@ovp.eop.gov>, <Robert.Dixon@ee.doe.gov>,
<William.Parks@ee.doe.gov>, <Don.Richardson@ee.doe.gov>,
<Margot.Anderson%HQMAIL%HQDOE@ee.doe.gov>,
<Michael.York@ee.doe.gov>

Subject: DOE's Comments on NEP Hydro - Energy Task Force draft DOC recom

Kevin --

Here are DOE's comments on the draft recommendations coming out of last Wednesday's hydro licensing working group meeting:
Thanks for the chance to comment.

Larry Mansueti, DOE
Paul Carrier, DOE

---- Original Message ----

From: KMurphy@doc.gov [mailto:KMurphy@doc.gov]
Sent: Friday, April 06, 2001 6:14 PM
To: Carrier, Paul; Mansueti, Lawrence; william_bettenberg@ios.doi.gov; charles.m.hess@usace.army.mil; michael.r.walsh@wrc01.usace.army.mil; darrell.g.nolton@wrc01.usace.army.mil; mjanopaulef@fed.us
Cc: andrew_d._lundquist@ovp.eop.gov; karen_y._knutson@ovp.eop.gov
Subject: Hydro - Energy Task Force

Hydro licensing recommendations from our working group meeting...

----- Forwarded by Kevin Murphy/HCB/Osnet on 04/06/01 06:13 PM -----

Dan Cohen

To: Kevin

35A90551
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Kevin,

Paul

-----Original Message-----
From: KMurphy@osec.doc.gov
Sent: Friday, April 06, 2001 6:14 PM
To: Carrier, Paul; Mansueti, Lawrence;
william_bettenberg@ios.doi.gov
charles.m.hess@usace.army.mil
michael.r.walsh@wrc01.usace.army.mil
darrell.g.nolton@wrc01.usace.army.mil
mjanopaul@fs.fed.us
Cc: andrew_d._lundquist@ovp.eop.gov
karen_y._knutson@ovp.eop.gov
Subject: Hydro - Energy Task Force

Hydro licensing recommendations from our working group meeting...

----- Forwarded by Kevin Murphy/HCHB/Osnet on 04/06/01 06:13 PM -----

Kevin

----- Forwarded by Kevin Murphy/HCHB/Osnet on 04/06/01 05:38 PM -----
Attached is a revised version of the document Jane

Please provide comments ASAP.

Thanks,
Dan

(See attached file: hydro.docstaffdraft.version1.wpd)

Daniel Cohen
Office of the General Counsel
U.S. Department of Commerce
14th and Constitution Avenue, N.W., Room 5876
Washington, D.C. 20230
202-482-4144 - Phone
202-482-0512 - FAX
DCOHEN1@DOC.GOV

(See attached file: hydro.docstaffdraft.version1.wpd)
DION mail

I clarified this with Randy this morning and can fill you in when you get a minute. -Tom

Jerry Dion 09/24/01 09:30 AM
To: Thomas Heavey/EE/DOE DOE, Buddy Garland/EE/DOE DOE, Randy Steer/EE/DOE DOE
Cc: gail.mckinley@ee.doe.gov, mark.ginsberg@ee.doe.gov
Subject: Re: Weatherization funding

---

Forwarded by Jerry Dion/EE/DOE on 09/24/01 09:29 AM

Robert_Sandoli@omb.eop.gov

To: Jerry.Dion@EE.DOE.GOV
Cc: gail.mckinley@ee.doe.gov, buddy.garland@ee.doe.gov, gregory.reamy@ee.doe.gov, kathy.tyer@hq.doe.gov, mark.ginsberg@ee.doe.gov, randy.steer@ee.doe.gov, thomas.heavem@ee.doe.gov, Lori_A._Krauss@omb.eop.gov
Subject: Re: Weatherization funding (See attached)

---

From: Jerry Dion on 09/20/2001 01:41 PM
To: Robert_Sandoli@omb.eop.gov
Cc: kathy.tyer@hq.doe.gov, Thomas.heavem@ee.doe.gov, Mark Gsberg/EE/DOE DOE, Gail Mckinley/EE/DOE DOE, Randy Steer/EE/DOE DOE, Buddy Garland/EE/DOE DOE
Subject: Re: Weatherization funding (Document 1)

Page 1
Message Copied To:

Buddy.Garland@EE.DOE.GOV  Gregory.Reamy@EE.DOE.GOV

kathy.tyer@hq.doe.gov  Mark.Ginsberg@EE.DOE.GOV

Randy.Steer@EE.DOE.GOV  Robert.Sandoli@omb.eop.gov

Thomas.heavy@ee.doe.gov  wxadds2002-2011.xls  pic32249.png

--------------- Attachments --------------

Extracted wxadds2002-2011.xls: 13824 bytes
Extracted mime001.txt: 16384 bytes
Extracted pic32249.png: 2427 bytes

----- Forwarded by Jerry Dion/EE/DOE on 09/24/2001 09:30 AM ----- Forwarded by Jerry Dion/EE/DOE on 09/24/2001 09:30 AM

To: Buddy.Garland@EE.DOE.GOV, Gregory.Reamy@EE.DOE.GOV, kathy.tyer@hq.doe.gov, Mark.Ginsberg@EE.DOE.GOV, Randy.Steer@EE.DOE.GOV, Thomas.heavy@ee.doe.gov, Jerry.Dion@EE.DOE.GOV

Subject: Re: weatherization funding

I clarified this with Randy this morning and can fill you in when you get a minute. -Tom

--.
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From: Jerry Dion on 09/20/2001 01:41 PM
To: Robert_Sandoli@omb.eop.gov
cc: kathy.tyer@hq.doe.gov, Thomas.heavy@ee.doe.gov, Mark Ginsberg/EE/DOE@DOE, Randy Steer/EE/DOE@DOE, Buddy Garland/EE/DOE@DOE, Gregory Reamy/EE/DOE@DOE
Subject: Re: Weatherization funding (Document link: Gail McKinley)

Attached is a spreadsheet that lays out the weatherization request stream that was negotiated with OMB during preparations for the FY 2002 Presidential Budget Request. I spoke with Randy Steer, who indicated he had a conversation with you on this topic. As you can see in the spreadsheet, the $277 million for weatherization included in the FY 2003 EERE budget proposal comports with the previously negotiated stream of requests. A request amount of $281.2 million is scheduled for FY 2004 in this spreadsheet. Of course, this stream of requests assumes that Congress fully funds each year's Presidential request. I am unaware of any agreed-to contingency funding request stream, or an agreed-to method of adjusting the request stream, should Congress not appropriate the full amount of the request. As you are aware, the funding request stream results in a cumulative additional funding amount of $1.4 billion over ten years, which is consistent with President Bush's campaign website, and exceeds the $1.2 billion figure included in the National Energy Policy. If you have an alternative stream of requests for weatherization, please forward that to Tom Heavey, who will investigate and reconcile the streams with you. (See attached file: wxadds2002-2011.xls)
DIOM mail

Message copied to:
Buddy.Garland@EE.DOE.GOV    Gregory.Reamy@EE.DOE.GOV
kathy.tyer@hq.doe.gov    Mark.Ginsberg@EE.DOE.GOV
Randy.Steer@EE.DOE.GOV    Robert Sandoli/OMB/EOP@EOP
omas.heavy@ee.doe.gov    wxadds2002-2011.xls    pic32249.pcx

------------------- Attachments -------------------
Extracted wxadds2002-2011.xls: 13824 bytes
- Renamed to "wxadds2002-20110.xls" to preserve uniqueness
Extracted mime001.txt: 16384 bytes
- Renamed to "mime0010.txt" to preserve uniqueness
Extracted pic32249.pcx: 2427 bytes
- Renamed to "pic322490.pcx" to preserve uniqueness

CN=Jerry Dion/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE>---<CN=Thomas Heavey/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE;CN=Buddy Garland/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE;CN=Randy Steer/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE>---<Re: weatherization funding
------------------- Message body -------------------

Some important information with respect to the matter of $1.4 billion vs. $1.2 billion. The $1.4 billion is stated in the NEP, not just in the President's campaign website! In the NEP Overview, page xv, there is a bullet which says "Double funding for the Department of Energy's weatherization Assistance Program, increasing funding by $1.4 billion over 10 years." Furthermore, it is the $1.4 billion figure which is consistent with the NEP statement here and also in the text of the recommendation section, that the projected increase "will roughly double the spending during that period on weatherization." It is the $1.4 billion figure which does roughly double the Program, per the following calculation: when the President first made his statement of intention, the weatherization Program's funding level (i.e. for Program Year 2000) was $135 million. Another $135 million each year for ten years would be an increase of $1.35 billion. Rounds to $1.4 billion. So, when you start...
Robert_Sandoli@omb.eop.gov
To: Jerry.dion@ee.doe.gov
cc: Thomas.heavy@ee.doe.gov, kathy.tyer@hq.doe.gov

Subject: Re: Weatherization funding

---------------
To: Buddy.Garland@EE.DOE.GOV
  Mark.Ginsberg@EE.DOE.GOV
  Randy.Steer@EE.DOE.GOV
  Thomas.heavy@ee.doe.gov
  - wxadds2002-2011.xls: 13824 bytes
    - Renamed to "wxadds2002-2011.xls" to preserve uniqueness
  - mime001.txt: 16384 bytes
    - Renamed to "mime0011.txt" to preserve uniqueness
  - pic32249.pcx: 2427 bytes
    - Renamed to "pic322491.pcx" to preserve uniqueness

CN=Jerry Dion/OU=EE/O=DOE>---<CN=Thomas Heavey/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE;CN=Buddy Garland/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE;CN=Randy Steer/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE>---<Re: weatherization funding

------------- Message body -------------

Page 5

12916
I apologize for the confusion, but I finally got my hands on the right numbers. Attached is the spreadsheet you sent me. I created a new tab called "BRD". It includes BA and OL numbers for the outyears based on the approved policy decision. The request for FY 2003 should be $281 mil, not $277 mil. Again, sorry for the confusion.
Jerry Dion Building Technology, State & Community Programs U.S. Department of Energy 202-586-9470 (V) 202-586-5145 (F)  
To: Jerry.dion@ee.doe.gov  
cc: Thomas.heavy@ee.doe.gov  
09/17/2001 10:40 AM  

Message Copied To:  
Buddy.Garland@EE.DOE.GOV  
Gregory.Reamy@EE.DOE.GOV  
Mark.Ginsberg@EE.DOE.GOV  
Randy.Steer@EE.DOE.GOV  
Robert_Sanfoli@OMB/EDP@EDP  
Thomas.heavy@ee.doe.gov  
wxadds2002-2011.xls - pic32249.pcx  
--------------.------  
Attachments  
Extracted wxadds2002-2011.xls: 13824 bytes  
- Renamed to "wxadds2002-2011.xls" to preserve uniqueness  
Extracted mime0013.txt: 16384 bytes  
- Renamed "mime001.txt" to preserve uniqueness  
Extracted pic32249.pcx: 2427 bytes  
- Renamed "pic32249.pcx" to preserve uniqueness  

Jerry.dion@ee.doe.gov>---<Jerry.Dion@EE.DOE.GOV>---<09/21/2001 06:58:29 PM>---<Re: Weatherization funding>---<Message body>
Gail McKinley

To: Jerry Dion/EE/DOE/DOE

cc: Robert_Sandoli@omb.eop.gov, kathy.tyer@hq.doe.gov, Thomas.heavy@ee.doe.gov, Mark Ginsberg/EE/DOE/DOE, Randy Steer/EE/DOE/DOE, Buddy Garland/EE/DOE/DOE

Subject: Re: weatherization funding
Brad, Thanks for the invitation, however I will regretfully be unable to attend. Jerry Dion Building Technology, State & Community Programs U.S. Department of Energy 202-586-9470 (V) 202-586-5145 (F) "Brad Ashton" <bashton@xenergy.com> 09/12/2001 11:42 AM To: jerry.dion@ee.doe.gov cc: Subject: Washington DC Meeting on Electricity Restructuring Dear Jerry; I am writing to draw your attention to an upcoming late September energy meeting in Washington, D.C. on topics of current interest to energy and environmental policy leaders. Since I was formerly at PNNL, some of our mutual colleagues there suggested that you might appreciate hearing about the XENERGY Executive Forum conference. I especially want you to be aware that Mr. David Garman, DOE Asst Sec for EERE is a speaker on the first day of the event. As part of XENERGY'S continuing Retail Energy Markets studies, the meeting focuses on national energy policies that affect the opening and development of competitive power markets. The attached brochure provides more detailed information on the agenda and location (the conference is on Monday, September 24, 2001 at the Crowne Plaza Hotel. If you'd like to attend, please contact me below for more information and a pass to get in. Also, feel free to provide this information to your colleagues. Regards, Brad Ashton Director, Washington DC Consulting XENERGY, Inc 4400 Fair Lakes Ct Fairfax, VA 22033 tel: 703-633-6186 (direct) tel: 703-633-6180 (receptionist) fax: 703-631-4119 email: bashton@xenergy.com web: http://www.xenergy.com (See attached file: dchappen.pdf) - dchappen.pdf

Thank you. I'm looking forward to participating & think your effort will strengthen BTS. PS -- great job on the NACTI white paper. Hope you find my comments helpful. -- Mary Beth, 6-7249 Jerry Dion 09/20/2001 12:54 PM To: MaryBeth Zimmerman/EE/O=DOE cc: stevenson@battelle.org
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Subject: Multi-Year Planning

MaryBeth, I've included you in the list of people to interview. Jerry Dion Building Technology, State & Community Programs U.S. Department of Energy 202-586-9470 (V) 202-586-5145 (F) ----- Forwarded by Jerry Dion/EE/DOE on 09/20/2001 12:56 PM -----
Thank you in advance. Lisa Stevenson from PNNL will be the coordinator and main point-of-contact for the work. If you have any questions, please don’t hesitate to contact her at (813) 864-6500 or at stevenson@battelle.org.

CN=Gail McKinley/OU=EE/O=DOE>---<CN=Jerry Dion/OU=EE/O=DOE>---<09/21/2001 08:42:24 AM>---<Re: weatherization funding>--- Message body ---

---

@omb.eop.gov cc: kathy.tyer@hq.doe.gov, Thomas.heavy@ee.doe.gov, Mark Ginberg/EE/DOE/DOE, Gail McKinley/EE/DOE/DOE, Randy Steer/EE/DOE/DOE, Buddy Garland/EE/DOE/DOE

Subject: Re: weatherization funding

---

Energy 202-586-9470 (v) 202-586-5145 (F) Robert.Sandoli@omb.eop.gov
9/17/2001 10:40 AM To: Jerry.dion@ee.doe.gov cc: Thomas.heavy@ee.doe.gov, kathy.tyer@hq.doe.gov
Subject: weatherization funding

Hi Jerry
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Thank you. And thanks again for providing me a BTS overview last week. Rob

--------------- Attachments ---------------
Extracted wxadds2002-2011.xls: 13824 bytes
- Renamed to "wxadds2002-20115.xls" to preserve uniqueness

CN=Gail McKinley/OU=EE/O=DOE>-----<CN=Jerry Dion/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE>-----<09/21/20
01 08:42:24 AM-----<Re: Weatherization funding
--------------- Message body ---------------

Tom Heavey, who will investigate and reconcile the streams with you. Jerry Dion Building Technology, State & Community Programs U.S. Department of Energy 202-586-9470 (V) 202-586-5145 (F) Robert_Sandoli@omb.eop.gov 09/17/2001 10:40 AM  To: Jerry.dion@ee.doe.gov  cc: Thomas.heavy@ee.
To: Jerry.Dion@EE.DOE.GOV
cc: "Thomas.heavy@ee.doe.gov", kathy.tyer@hq.doe.gov

Subject: weatherization

---

Definitely. Jennifer and I will work up a draft interview protocol which we will run by you for review. Lisa Stevenson (813) 864-6500 Fax: (813) 864-6501 Battelle-Pacific Northwest National Laboratory World Trade Center 11 01 Channelside Drive, Suite 235 Tampa, FL 33602 Stevenson@battelle.org or lisa.stevenson@pnl.gov

Original Message

From: Jerry.Dion@EE.DOE.GOV

Sent: Wednesday, September 19, 2001 8:34 AM
To: Stevenson, Lisa A

Subject: RE: For your send out - MYP Message

Jerry - Thanks. I'll wait to start setting up appointments until I get my official copy of this, so that I'll know that BTS has been officially notified. Also, are there any other people besides the mailing list below that we should interview? we've set aside 2 1/2 days for this, so given the
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DOE016-3289
kinds of delays and schedule problems which are a given in these kinds of things, we can't accommodate too many more. I thought we'd do Mark and you last, either at the end of the interview week or on a separate trip.

Lisa Stevenson (813) 864-6500 Fax: (813)864-6501 Battelle-Pacific Northwest National Laboratory World Trade Center 1101 Channelside Drive, Suite 235 Tampa, FL 33602 Stevenson@battelle.org or lisa.stevenson@pnl.gov

-----Original Message-----
From: Jerry.Dion@EE.DOE.GOV [mailto:Jerry.Dion@EE.DOE.GOV]
Sent: Tuesday, September 18, 2001 1:52 PM
To: Ed Pollock, Gail Mckinley, Ronald Shaw, Mark Bailey, Connie Laughlin, John Talbott, Rich Karney, John Ryan, Tom Heavey, Bill Noel
CC: Lisa Stevenson
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verson from PNNL will be the coordinator and main point-of-contact for the work. If you have any questions, please don’t hesitate to contact her at (813) 864-6500 or at stevenson@battelle.org.

"Stevenson, Lisa A" <Lisa.Stevenson@pnl.gov>----"Jerry.Dion@EE.DOE.GOV"

Jerry - Thanks. I'll wait to start setting up appointments until I get my official copy of this, so that I'll know that BTS has been officially notified. Also, are there any other people besides the mailing list below that we should interview? We’ve set aside 2 1/2 days for this, so given the kind of delays and schedule problems which are a given in these kinds of things, we can’t accommodate too many more. I thought we'd do Mark and you last, either at the end of the interview week or on a separate trip. Lisa Stevenson (813) 864-6500 Fax: (813)864-6501 Battelle-Pacific Northwest National Laboratory World Trade Center 1101 Channelside Drive, Suite 235 Tampa, FL 33602 stevenson@battelle.org or lisa.stevenson@pnl.gov
coordinator and main point-of-contact for the work. If you have any questions, please don't hesitate to contact her at (813) 864-6500 or at stevenson@battelle.org.

--- For your send out - MYP Message

To: Ed Pollock, Gail Mckinley, Ronald Shaw, Mark Bailey, Qonnie Laughlin, John Talbott, Rich Karney, John Ryan. Tom Heavey. Bill Noel
CC: Lisa Steve
Venson from PNNL will be the coordinator and main point-of-contact for the work. If you have any questions, please don't hesitate to contact her at (813) 864-6500 or at stevenson@battelle.org.

--- Message body ---

Page 18
The October 11 Buildings for the 21st Century lecture -- to which you are cordially invited -- will feature Alan Meier of Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory (LBNL) discussing electricity vampires, household appliances which continuously draw power even when they are switched off, and collectively cost American households about $4 billion every year. Mr. Meier will explain which appliances consume standby power, how you can reduce standby power consumption, large-scale measures to reduce standby power use, new technologies that cut standby power by 90 percent, and LBNL's international proposal for reducing standby power requirements. Date: Thursday, October 11, 2001 Time: 12:30 - 1:30 p.m. Location: The National Building Museum, 401 F Street NW, Washington, DC (Judiciary Square Metro Station on the Red Line) Speaker: Alan Meier, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory

Topic: Slaying the Electricity Vampires in Your Home


To add someone to the lecture e-mail or flyer mailing list, contact Jim Fremont at james.fremont@ee.doe.gov or at 202-586-5735.

That sounds great, I'll make it happen.

Thanks Jerry

Jerry Dion 09/14/2001 04:25 PM

To: Sheila Dade/EE/DOE@DOE, Nancy Joyner/EE/DOE@DOE

Cc: Lynda Dancy/EE/DOE@DOE

Subject: Controlled Correspondence Information requested/ Numbers for the past 7 months

Please provide Steve vonvital a copy of our Functional Directory in hard copy and ask if he would like to have the electronic version installed for the folks who are making the assignments of controlled correspondence. Thanks Jerry

Steven Vonvital 09/14/2001 04:20 PM To: #EE-DAS, #EE-ADAS cc: Abe Hespel/EE/DOE@DOE, John Sullivan/EE/DOE@DOE, Michael McCabe/EE/DOE@DOE, Steven Lee/EE/DOE@DOE

Subject: Controlled Correspondence Information requested/ Numbers for the past 7 months

12930
Mail past 7 months In the interest of helping the Controlled Correspondence Office correctly direct documents to the appropriate offices we are asking for your help. It is our understanding that some of the items that are transferred or returned between sectors are cross-cutting and may be creating a delay in getting the appropriate action done. Because of this, we are asking that you provide this office with a detailed functional directory for all of your programs and/or services. A precise list that can help us accurately determine the appropriate location of information. Currently our major source of information has been EREN. We are moving to include the latest transition book along with the weekly status report and any and all information provided by you. Any information that you deem necessary to assist in assigning correspondence to its proper location would be helpful. There are certain subjects that come up often that are cross-cutting because staff and/or functions have been transferred to another office. Or another office is doing similar functions. Please draw our attention to these items so that they will not be misdirected. These include: grants, inventions, solicitations, fuel cells, combustion, turbines, biomass, National Energy Policy (NEP) Thanks for your help Steve PS If it feels like you are getting hit by large numbers of CC this year you are. For your own enjoyment some numbers for you.: Total number of ES items controlled to EE from Feb 1 to Aug 31: 2,600 Average per month: 371 Total assigned to EE in February 2001: 306 Total assigned to EE in March 2001: 455 Total assigned to EE in April 2001: 249 Total assigned to EE in May 2001: 219 Total assigned to EE in June 2001: 207 Total assigned to EE in July 2001: 648 Total assigned to EE in August 2001: 516
Please provide Steve VonVital a copy of our Functional Directory in hard copy and ask if he would like to have the electronic version installed for the folks who are making the assignments of controlled correspondence. Thanks Jerry

Message body

In the interest of helping the Controlled Correspondence office correctly direct documents to the appropriate offices we are asking for your help. It is our understanding that some of the items that are transferred or returned between sectors are cross-cutting and may be creating a delay in getting the appropriate action done. Because of this, we are asking that you provide this office with a detailed functional directory for all of your programs and/or services. A precise list that can help us accurately determine the appropriate location of information. Currently our major source of information has been EREN. We are moving to include the latest transition book along with the weekly status report and any and all information provided by you. Any information that you deem necessary to assist in assigning correspondence to its proper location would be helpful. There are certain subjects that come up often that are cross-cutting because staff and/or functions have been transferred to another office. Or another office is doing similar functions. Please draw our attention to these items so that they will not be misdirected. These include: grants, inventions, solicitations, fuel cells, combustion, turbines, biomass, National Energy Policy (NEP) Thanks for your help Steve

PS If it feels like you are getting hit by large numbers of CC this year you are. For your own enjoyment some numbers for you:

- Total number of ES items controlled to EE from Feb 1 to Aug 31: 2,600
- Average per month: 371
- Total assigned to EE in February 2001: 306
- Total assigned to EE in March 2001: 451
- Total assigned to EE in April 2001: 249
- Total assigned to EE in May 2001: 219
- Total assigned to EE in June 2001: 207
- Total assigned to EE in July 2001: 648
- Total assigned to EE in August 2001: 516

Please provide Steve VonVital a copy of our Functional Directory in hard copy and ask if he would like to have the electronic version installed for the folks who are making the assignments of controlled correspondence. Thanks Jerry

Message body

In the interest of helping the Controlled Correspondence office correctly direct documents to the appropriate offices we are asking for your help. It is our understanding that some of the items that are transferred or returned between sectors are cross-cutting and may be creating a delay in getting the appropriate action done. Because of this, we are asking that you provide this office with a detailed functional directory for all of your programs and/or services. A precise list that can help us accurately determine the appropriate location of information. Currently our major source of information has been EREN. We are moving to include the latest transition book along with the weekly status report and any and all information provided by you. Any information that you deem necessary to assist in assigning correspondence to its proper location would be helpful. There are certain subjects that come up often that are cross-cutting because staff and/or functions have been transferred to another office. Or another office is doing similar functions. Please draw our attention to these items so that they will not be misdirected. These include: grants, inventions, solicitations, fuel cells, combustion, turbines, biomass, National Energy Policy (NEP) Thanks for your help Steve

PS If it feels like you are getting hit by large numbers of CC this year you are. For your own enjoyment some numbers for you:

- Total number of ES items controlled to EE from Feb 1 to Aug 31: 2,600
- Average per month: 371
- Total assigned to EE in February 2001: 306
- Total assigned to EE in March 2001: 451
- Total assigned to EE in April 2001: 249
- Total assigned to EE in May 2001: 219
- Total assigned to EE in June 2001: 207
- Total assigned to EE in July 2001: 648
- Total assigned to EE in August 2001: 516
book along with the weekly status report and any and all information provided by you. Any information that you deem necessary to assist in assigning correspondence to its proper location would be helpful. There are certain subjects that come up often that are cross-cutting because staff and/or functions have been transferred to another office. Or another office is doing similar functions. Please draw our attention to these items so that they will not be misdirected. These include: grants, inventions, solicitations, fuel cells, combustion, turbines, biomass, National Energy Policy (NEP). Thanks for your help Steve PS If it feels like you are getting hit by large numbers of CC this year you are. For your own enjoyment some numbers for you.: Total number of ES items controlled to EE from Feb 1 to Aug 31: 2,600 Average per month: 371 Total assigned to EE in February 2001: 306 Total assigned to EE in March 2001: 455 Total assigned to EE in April 2001: 249 Total assigned to EE in May 2001: 219 Total assigned to EE in June 2001: 207 Total assigned to EE in July 2001: 648 Total assigned to EE in August 2001: 516
tain subjects that come up often that are cross-cutting because staff and/or functions have been transferred to another office. Or another office is doing similar functions. Please draw our attention to these items so that they will not be misdirected. These include: grants, inventions, solicitations, fuel cells, combustion, turbines, biomass, National Energy Policy (NEP). Thanks for your help Steve PS If it feels like you are getting hit by large numbers of CC this year you are. For your own enjoyment some numbers for you: Total number of ES items controlled to EE from Feb 1 to Aug 31: 2,600 Average per month: 371 Total assigned to EE in February 2001: 306 Total assigned to EE in March 2001: 453 Total assigned to EE in April 2001: 249 Total assigned to EE in May 2001: 219 Total assigned to EE in June 2001: 207 Total assigned to EE in July 2001: 648 Total assigned to EE in August 2001: 516

CN=Jerry Dion/OU=EE/O=DOE>---<ALL_BTS>---<BTS Planning, Analysis & Evaluation

---------------- Message body ----------------

/07/2001 03:20 PM ------------------ Mark Ginsberg 09/05/2001 10:34 AM To: #ALL_BTS cc: David Garman/EE/DOE@DOE, #EE-DAS, #EE-ADAS, #RDOirectors. brownma@ornl.gov, ak.nicholls@pnl.gov, ren_anderson@nrel.gov, St
DION mail

He has my full confi

CN=Richard Karney/OU=EE/O=DOE>---<CN=Gail McKinley/OU=EE/O=DOE>---<09/0
5/2001 10:20:08 AM>---<Re: HUD

---09/04/2001 06:04 PM To: Mark Ginsberg/EE/DOE@DOE cc: Richard Karney/EE/DOE @DOE, gail.mckinley@ee.doe.gov, edward.pollock@ee.doe.gov, John Talbott/EE /DOE@DOE, Mark Bailey/EE/DOE@DOE, Jerry Dion/EE/DOE@DOE, Denis Feck/EE/DOE

---9/10/2001 10:20:06 AM>---<Re: HUD

Bob Groberg said their DepSec or someone at that level has formed an energy committee to help the President achieve his energy goals. Bob must help come up with a plan by the end of Sept. He wants our help. I'd like you to call Bob and followup. He wants to meet with me which seems to need a framework for the best use of time. If you can scout out what he wants and where we can help, we can then set a meeting. We're on a short time, so we need to get going. I appreciate your help.

"McDonald, Sean C" <sean@pnl.gov>---"Nicholls, Andrew K" <ak.nicholls@pnl.gov>"Jerry Dion (E-mail)" <Jerry.Dion@ee.doe.gov>---<09/04/2001 02:30:02 PM>---<RE: NREL Brown Bag Seminar and Golden Videoconference

-------- Original Message-------- From: Nicholls, Andrew K Sent: Tuesday, September 04, 2001 12:43 PM To: Jerry Dion (E-mail) Cc: McDonald, Sean C; Stevenson, Lisa A Subject: FW: NREL Brown Bag Seminar and Golden Videoconference: Jerry. I will be on travel, otherwise I would definitely attend this SPR "brown bag" with Buddy. Thought you might find of interest. Sean, did you also get this? Andrew --------Original Message-------- From: Addison, Wanda [mailto:wanda_addison@nrel.gov] Sent: Tuesday, September 04, 2001 11:06 AM Subject: NREL Brown Bag Seminar and Golden Videoconference You are invited to a seminar on EERE Strategic Program Review by Buddy Garland. The speaker, Buddy Garland, is Director of EERE's Office of Planning, Budget and Outreach and is leading the Strategic Program Review. In September, the Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy (EERE) will complete a Strategic Program Review of all current EERE programs to assess past and present program strategies, performance, and benefits. The brown-bag seminar will take place at 12:00 p.m. on Thursday, September 13, 2001 in Conference Rooms A&B at the NREL Washington DC office. Please see below for an abstract of the talk.

Our office is located in the Aerospace Center at 901 D Street, SW, Suite 930, Washington, DC 20024. We are across D Street from L'Enfant Plaza. We are accessible on the Blue, Orange, Yellow, and Green Metro lines through the L'Enfant Plaza Metro station. Parking is also available in the Aerospace Center. Soft drinks will be provided. We hope you will be able to attend this talk. Please feel free to pass this invitation on to a colleague who might be interested in attending. PLEASE LET US KNOW IF YOU WILL BE ATTENDING. Please RSVP to wanda_addison@nrel.gov, or call 202-646-5278 by Wednesday, September 12, 2001. If you previou
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To: #ALLBTS  
CC: David Garman/EE/DOE/DOE, #EE-DAS, #EE-ADAS, #R0Di rectors, brownma@ornl.gov, ak.nicholls@pnl.gov, ren_anderson@nrel.gov,
In September, the Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy (EERE) will complete a Strategic Program Review of all current EERE programs to assess past and present program strategies, performance, and benefits. The review will identify any programmatic activities that will need to be conducted to carry out the National Energy Policy (NEP). In addition to fulfilling NEP recommendations 4-2 and 6-3 as well as the direction of Secretary Abraham, the Strategic Program Review is an integral part of the evaluation, planning, budget formulation, and budget execution processes outlined in the EERE Strategic Management System. The review findings will serve as an integral part of an integrated baseline to produce the new EERE Strategic Plan, scheduled to be completed this fall, and the FY04 Budget request to Congress.
his is unambiguous though the dates have slipped last week to better reflect reality. That's good news. I am reattaching what I believe to be the latest timeline. The key near-term dates on this timeline are: 6. Complete "White Papers" on Workshop Topic Areas 17 Sept 01 7. Technology Workshops Held week of Sept 24th 8. Working Group Reports Submitted for ISG and Agency Reviews 12 Oct 01 * On item # 6 in the timeline.

-------- "From: Marlay, Robert Sent: Wednesday, August 29, 2001 4:49 PM To: Braitsch, Jay Subject: RE: Climate Change White Papers and C

Page 27
meeting happening this week? Sean

-----Original Message-----
From: Sam Baldwin@EE.DOE.GOV
Sent: Wednesday, August 29, 2001 12:40 PM To: Linda.Silverman@EE.DOE.GOV; Jerry.Dion@EE.DOE.GOV; Kenneth.Friedman@EE.DOE.GOV; Philip.Patterson@EE.DOE.GOV; Jeff.Dowd@EE.DOE.GOV; Rogelio.Sullivan@EE.DOE.GOV; McDonald, Sean C; Nicholls, Andrew K
Subject: RE: NCCTI Staff Coordination Conference Call/Meeting << File: Deliverables.wpd >> FYI Sam

--- Forwarded by Sam Baldwin/EE/DOE on 08/29/2001 11:56 AM ---

Robert Marlay@HQMAIL on 08/28/2001 07:18:15 PM To: Sam Baldwin/EE/DOE@HQMAIL, WhavenST@mailce.oce.usda.gov@internet@HQMAIL, seidel.stephen@epa.gov@internet@HQMAIL, Ari Patrinos@HQMAIL, Richard BRADLEY@HQMAIL, John Houghton@HQMAIL, Jay Braitsch@HQMAIL, Kruger.dina@epa.gov@internet@HQMAIL, William Breed@HQMAIL, Ehsan khan@HQMAIL, stanley_bullen@epa.gov@internet@HQMAIL, John STAMOS@HQMAIL, jae@pnl.gov@internet@HQMAIL CC: Jeff Dowd@EE/DOE@HQMAIL, Abe Haspel/EE/DOE@HQMAIL, panastas@ostp.eop.gov@internet@HQMAIL, stolpman.paul@epa.gov@internet@HQMAIL, Kevin Kolevar@HQMAIL, keith.collins@usda.gov@internet@HQMAIL, russell@ostp.eop.gov@internet@HQMAIL, talleyt@state.gov@internet@HQMAIL Subject: RE: NCCTI Staff Coordination Conference Call/Meeting Team: One more item for discussion at tomorrow's meeting, Wednesday, (correction: 29 August), 10:30 - 11:30. I thought it might be useful to discuss expectations for specific inputs to the Integration Team, that is, Working Group deliverables. Each will be needed to complete the project. These are described in the White Paper Guidance document of August 15, but are extracted as a list in the attached. Call in number below. Until tomorrow. Bob.

-----Original Message-----
From: Marlay, Robert L. Sent: Tuesday, August 28, 2001 4:22 PM To: 'WHOVENST@mailce.oce.usda.gov'; 'seidel.stephen@epa.gov'; Patrinos, Ari; Bradly, Richard; Houghton, John; Braitsch, Jay; 'Kruger.dina@epa.gov'; Breed, William; Khan, Ehsan; 'Bulls@nrel (E-mail)'; Baldwin, Sam; Stamos, John; 'Edmondsj@pnnl (E-mail)'; Stolpman, Paul; Kolevar, Kevin; 'keith.collins@usda.gov@internet@HQMAIL'; 'russell@ostp.eop.gov@internet@HQMAIL'; 'talleyt@state.gov@internet@HQMAIL'; Gunnning_Paul@EPA (E-mail); Rybinski, Arthur; Dowd, Jeff; 'Kane, Robert'; Anderson, Margot; Haspel, Abe; Brantley, Catherine Subject: NCCTI Staff Coordination Conference Call/Meeting Team: Thanks for your responses on availability, we will conduct a conference-call (and meeting for those of you in DOE's Forrestal) tomorrow, Wednesday, 28 August, from 10:30 to 11:30. The "TO" addresses are expected to participate. Others are welcome. The Room number is 7H-090. The call-in number is 702-287-1380 (10 norts)
DION mail

the pdf files for the appendix, which are in the folder titled "pdf files". These files were created in Word Perfect (not MS Word). They are set up as tables, so they will not pull into MS Word with all the pieces intact. The files do not open directly from the web site, so you need to save them to your hard drive or a zip disk. When you access the web site, double click on the appropriate folder (tp_1, tp_10, etc.). The Word Perfect document file will come up (e.g., tps_1.wpd). Right click on the file and choose "Save target as". Choose the destination to save the file and then click on "Save". You will get a screen showing the time it will take to save the file and that gives the progress of the save function. (Please be aware that some of the files are large [8.8 MB] so it may take a while for them to download.) If you have any questions, please let me know. Stan Bull (303) 275-3030 - C.DTF

---------- Attachments ----------
Extracted mime001.txt: 34685 bytes
- Renamed to "mime0015.txt" to preserve uniqueness

"McDonald, Sean C" <sean@pnl.gov> --- "Jerry.Dion@EE.DOE.GOV" <Jerry.Dion@EE.DOE.GOV> --- 08/27/2001 04:54:55 AM --- RE: BTS Comments on Draft SPR Summary Report Materials
DION mail
O=DOE@DOE;CN=Richard Budzich/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE;CN=Noel Cole/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE;CN=Dennis Lin/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE;CN=Theodore Johnson/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE;CN=Thomas Heavey/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE;CN=Larry Bridges/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE;CN=Jim Coyle/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE;CN=Gloria Elliott/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE;CN=Schuyler Schell/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE;CN=Jerry Dion/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE;CN=John Millhone/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE;CN=Patrick Booher/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE;CN=David J Smith/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE;CN=John Crupi/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE
---<08/24/2001 10:16:09 AM>--<FY03 Budget

--------------- Message body ---------------

---------- Attachments ----------
Extracted EERE FY03 ASEE mark 8-22-01.xls: 316928 bytes

CN=Jerry Dion/OU=EE/O=DOE>---<hooke@dc.ametsoc.org>---<Catching Up
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DOE016-3305
Bill, Hope everything is going well for you at AMS. Did you get David Garman to your conference? I wasn't able to track things... they just rec
DION mail
Conress remains out. No controlled correspondence. No NEP actions due.

Buddy Garland/OU=EE/O=DOE
Tom Gross/OU=EE/O=DOE
Mark Ginsberg/OU=EE/O=DOE
Denise Swink/OU=EE/O=DOE
Elizabeth Shearer/OU=EE/O=DOE
William Parks/OU=EE/O=DOE
Richard Moorer/OU=EE/O=DOE
Robert Brewer/OU=EE/O=DOE
Douglas Kaempf/OU=EE/O=DOE
Steven Lee/OU=EE/O=DOE
Joan Glickman/OU=EE/O=DOE
Fred Glattstein/OU=EE/O=DOE
LeShawn Sutton/OU=EE/O=DOE
Richard Budzich/OU=EE/O=DOE
Noel Cole/OU=EE/O=DOE
Dennis Lin/OU=EE/O=DOE
Theodore Johnson/OU=EE/O=DOE
Thomas Heavey/OU=EE/O=DOE
Larry Bridges/OU=EE/O=DOE
Jim Coyle/OU=EE/O=DOE
Jerry Dion/OU=EE/O=DOE
John Millhone/OU=EE/O=DOE
Patrick Roher/OU=EE/O=DOE
David J. Smith/OU=EE/O=DOE
John Crupi/OU=EE/O=DOE

-----<08/15/2001 06:40:15 PM>-----
Soliciting DAS recommended changes to CFO's mark of EERE's FY03 budget (with attachment)

Budget Preparation Memo
ACTION to: DASS, ADASS
Action requested: Urgent - Required Action: Mark up FY03 budget with priorities - Please stay within sector totals
Due Date: Noon Aug 1
Instructions: Send response
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flexibility in this deadline - we are on a time schedule that involves minutes, not hours. Thank you, Buddy

--- Attachments ---

Extracted EERE FY03 Sector mark up of CFO recommendations.xls: 227328 bytes

--- Message body ---

Budget Preparation Memo
Action to: DASS, ADASS
Action requested: Urgent - Required Action: Mark up FY03 Budget with priorities - Please stay within sector totals
Due Date: Noon Aug 1
Instructions: Send response to "sam.Baldwin@hq.doe.gov"

--- Message body ---

Steve: I am attaching again the files describing the NAS critique and description of the spreadsheet and benefits template. Per the issues you ra
DION mail

...

... units. All the best Sam

<spmeyers@lbl.gov> 0

n 08/10/2001 02:02:58 PM To: sean@pnl.gov@internet@HQMAIL cc: Sam Baldwin/E
E/DOE@DOE@HQMAIL, Jerry.Dion@ee.doe.gov@internet@HQMAIL, john.d.ryan@ee.doe.gov@internet@HQMAIL, ak.nicholls@pnl.gov@internet@HQMAIL, bruce.kinzeypnl.gov@internet@HQMAIL, izj@ornl.gov@internet@HQMAIL, qonnie.laughlin@ee.doe.gov@internet@HQMAIL, s_wiel@lbl.gov@internet@HQMAIL, JEMcMahon@lbl.gov@internet@HQMAIL Subject: Re: SPR Benefits Analysis -- Meyers/LBNL comments
Steve Meyers Staff Scientist Building 90-4000 Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory Berkeley, CA 94720 USA Tel: 510-486-6941 Fax: 510-486-6996 E-mail: spmeyers@lbl.gov

Extracted SPRGuidanceTemplateBenefits.doc: 48640 bytes
Extracted SPRGuidanceTemplateBenefitsBackground.doc: 86016 bytes

"Steve Meyers" <spmeyers@lbl.gov>---"McDonald, Sean C" <sean@pnl.gov>---
<08/10/2001 02:03:15 PM>---<Re: SPR Benefits Analysis -- Meyers/LBNL Comments>

Message body
The Rand summary report of the E-vision 2000 conference, the proceedings, and the formal papers have made their way through the compilation and peer review process (where appropriate) and are now available online. We have placed two copies of the 50-page Summary of Proceedings, Scenario Analysis, Expert Elicitation, and Submitted Papers in your Interoffice Mail. The Summary of Conference Proceedings (PDF version), Session Transcripts, Formal Papers, Scenario Analyses, and Delphi results and analysis are now available online at: http://www.rand.org/scitech/stpl/Evision/index.html.

For your "quick study" I have attached a draft mini-summary of the report that I am creating for the NEP (5 pages). I am holding a hard copy of the report and formal papers should you find that more convenient to use than the electronic versions. Dave Garman has sent a copy of the summary and formal papers to Loretta and the other Hill committee staff principles who supported this undertaking.

-------------- Attachments ---------------
Extracted 2000 exec summary recommendations.doc: 42496 bytes
Message body

Sam Baldwin's Comments on BTS SPR Submittals

Message body
Nonconcurrence on Legislation allowing Transfer of DOE funds to LIHEAP (NEP #2.4)
----- Attachments ---------------
Extracted NEP2.4 talkingpts2.wpd: 8170 bytes

CN=Gail McKinley/OU=EE/O=DOE>---<CN=Jean Diggs/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE;CN=Gregory R
eamy/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE;CN=Faith Lambert/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE;CN=Ronald Santoro/OU=
EE/O=DOE@DOE;CN=Daniel Sze/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE;CN=Lani Macrae/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE>---
<07/23/2001 02:39:09 PM>---<FY02 spend Plans

----- Message body -----

"Nicholls, Andrew K" <ak.nicholls@pnl.gov>---"'tomlinsonjj@ornl.gov'" <to
mlinsonjj@ornl.gov>---<07/23/2001 12:12:15 PM>---<Heat Pump Water Heater a
nd the R&D 100 Award

---- Message body -----

CN=Gail McKinley/OU=EE/O=DOE>---<CN=William Noel/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE>---<07/22/
2001 07:48:16 PM>---<NEP Action Plan

---- Message body -----

--------- Jerry Dion 07/20/2001 11:57 AM TO: Gail McKinley/EE/
Page 42
DION mail

DOE@DOE, William Noel/EE/DOE@DOE, Edward Pollock/EE/DOE@DOE, Connie Laughlin/EE/DOE@DOE, Steven Lee/EE/DOE@DOE, Subject: NEP Action Plan. Please review the attached matrix of NEP implementation requirements/action plans to confirm assignments and milestones. Please provide Mark Ginsberg comments and suggested changes prior to Monday's 2:00 DAS meeting. Thanks Jerry

 Forwarded by Jerry Dion/EE/DOE@DOE on 07/20/2001 11:54 AM

Mary Beth Zimmerman 07/20/2001 10:52 AM To: Jerry Dion/EE/DOE@DOE cc: Mark Ginsberg/EE/DOE@DOE, Steven Lee/EE/DOE@DOE Subject: NEP Action Plan

---------- Attachments ----------

Extracted NEP DRAFT Action Plan72001.xls: 187392 bytes

--- Forwarded by Jerry Dion/EE/DOE on 05/18/2001 12:16:25 PM ---

Mary Beth Zimmerman 05/18/2001 10:47 AM To: Darrell Beschen/EE/DOE@DOE cc: Mark Ginsberg/EE/DOE@DOE, Gail McKinley/EE/DOE@DOE, Edward Pollock/EE/DOE@DOE Subject: Revised NEP Response

---------- Attachments ----------

Extracted NEP BTS Chart Response v2.xls: 29184 bytes

--- Forwarded by Jerry Dion/EE/DOE on 05/18/2001 10:40 AM ---

Gail McKinley 05/18/2001 10:40 AM To: Jerry Dion/EE/DOE@DOE, Mark Ginsberg/EE/DOE@DOE, Ronald Shaw/EE/DOE@DOE, Mark Bailey/EE/DOE@DOE, Philip Hayes/EE/DOE@DOE, Thomas Heavey/EE/DOE@DOE, Faith Lambert/EE/DOE@DOE Subject: NEP Recommendation re State and local governments

---------- Message body ----------

Darrell, Here is BTS response with requested modifications. Jerry

---------- Attachments ----------

Extracted NEP BTS Chart Response v2.xls: 29184 bytes

-- Renamed to "NEP BTS Chart Response v20.xls" to preserve uniqueness

--- Forwarded by Jerry Dion/EE/DOE on 05/18/2001 10:40 AM ---

Gail McKinley 05/18/2001 10:40 AM To: Jerry Dion/EE/DOE@DOE, Mark Ginsberg/EE/DOE@DOE, Ronald Shaw/EE/DOE@DOE, Mark Bailey/EE/DOE@DOE, Philip Hayes/EE/DOE@DOE, Thomas Heavey/EE/DOE@DOE, Faith Lambert/EE/DOE@DOE Subject: NEP Recommendation re State and local governments

--- Message body ---

Darrell, Any advice/response for BTS on this? Jerry

--- End of Forwarded Message ---

--- End of Forwarded Message ---

12955

DOE016-3319
Attached is the response to the six BTS specific recommendations. I assume OPBM is handling the x-cutting items. Jerry DARRELL BESCHEN 05/17/2001 09:30 PM To: abe.haspel@ee.doe.gov, Mark Ginsberg/EE/DOE@DOE, Tom Gross/EE/DOE@DOE, Robert Dixon/EE/DOE@DOE, Denise Swink/EE/DOE@DOE, Elizabeth Shearer/EE/DOE@DOE, #RODirectors cc: john.sullivan@ee.doe.gov, Joan Clikeman/EE/DOE@DOE, William Parks/EE/DOE@DOE, Richard Moorer/EE/DOE@DOE, Robert Brewer/EE/DOE@DOE, Jerry Dion/EE/DOE@DOE, Gail McKinley, Edward Pollock/EE/DOE@DOE, MaryBeth Zimmerman/EE/DOE@DOE, Nancy Jeffery/EE/DOE@DOE, bud@garland@ee.doe.gov, Wendy Butler/EE/DOE@DOE, #RODeputy_Directors, darre

----------------------------------- Attachments -----------------
Extracted NEP RO Chart.xls: 26112 bytes
Extracted NEP FEMP Chart.xls: 26112 bytes
Extracted NEP OPT Chart.xls: 23552 bytes
Extracted NEP OPT Chart.xls: 38400 bytes
Extracted NEP OTT Chart.xls: 31232 bytes
Extracted NEP BTS Chart.xls: 26624 bytes
Extracted NEP BTS Chart Response.xls: 29184 bytes

CN=Gail McKinley/OU=EE/O=DOE>---<CN=Jerry Dion/OU=EE/O=DOE>---<CN=Mark Ginsberg/OU=EE/O=DOE>---<05/18/2001 10:40:51 AM>---<NEP Recommendation re State and local governments
-------- Message body --------
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DOE016-3320
It seems OPBM beat me to the punch. Here is an Excel spreadsheet Darrell Beschen put together for BTS. Probably useful for this AM’S meeting.

All, Attached is my assemblage of materials with some edits and comments. At this morning’s meeting we can discuss improvements to this. I plan on putting together an index to the NEP recommendations as an appendix so that we can indicate relevant recommendations by chapter and number.

Mark Ginsberg

attached materials
At this morning's meeting we can discuss improvements to this. I plan on putting together an index to the NEP recommendations as an appendix so that we can indicate relevant recommendations by chapter and number. Jerry

Mark Ginsberg 05/17/2001 04:57 PM To: Gail McKinley/EE/DOE@DOE, Mark Bailey/EE/DOE@DOE, Ronald Shaw/EE/DOE@DOE, Philip Hayes/EE/DOE@DOE, Edward Pollock/EE/DOE@DOE, Connie Laughlin/EE/DOE@DOE, John Talbott/EE/DOE@DOE, Richard Orrison/EE/DOE@DOE cc: Jerry Dion/EE/DOE@DOE, Thomas Heavey/EE/DOE@DOE

------------------ Attachments ------------------

Extracted 3D Version 5-17-01 reformated.wpd: 132629 bytes
- Renamed to "3D Version 5-17-01 reformated0.wpd" to preserve uniqueness

Laitner.Skip@epamail.epa.gov>---<Laitner.Skip@epamail.epa.gov>---<05/15/2001 12:08:08 PM>---<FACT SHEET: 21ST CENTURY CONSERVATION

-------- Message body ---------------
I completely agree. Tom

Jerry Dion

05/04/2001 10:08 AM

To: Thomas Heavey

cc: Mark Ginsberg, Barbara Sisson, Edwar
In response to your request for a detail box for Pulte, as requested by the White House, I submit the following. Let us know if you need more information.

------------- Attachments -------------
Extracted Pulte-NEP 4-27.wpd: 2889 bytes

------------- Message body -------------

John Sullivan 04/27/2001 08:46 AM
To: Randy Steer/EE/DOE@DOE
Cc: MaryBeth Zimmerman/EE/DOE@DOE, Sam Baldwin/EE/DOE@DOE, Buddy Garland/EE/DOE@DOE
Subject: Re: Additional Materials for S-1: Fuel Cell/Hydrogen Economy

By John Sullivan/EE/DOE on 04/27/2001 08:30 AM

By William Parks 04/27/2001 08:13 AM
To: Randy Steer/EE/DOE@DOE, MaryBeth Zimmerman/EE/DOE@DOE, Sam Baldwin/EE/DOE@DOE, Buddy Garland/EE/DOE@DOE
Subject: Re: Additional Materials for S-1: Fuel Cell/Hydrogen Economy

------------- Attachments -------------
Extracted hydrogen-economy_lpg.wpd: 10919 bytes

By Jerry Dion/EE/DOE@DOE on 04/27/2001 08:36 AM
To: Randy Steer/EE/DOE@DOE, MaryBeth Zimmerman/EE/DOE@DOE, Sam Baldwin/EE/DOE@DOE, Buddy Garland/EE/DOE@DOE
Subject: Additional Materials for the FY03 Summit
Andrew: I have read your paper. Jerry updated and renamed. I renamed your original paper in keeping with that naming convention. Your original is: FY03 Summit Advanced Buildings Issue Paper V-01.doc and is stored at: \\esa\BTS Budget Analysis\FY2003 Budget\EE Budget Summit\Jerry's revision.

CN=Jerry Dion/OU=EE/O=DOE;--<stevenson@battelle.org;ak.nicholls@pnl.gov;sean@pnl.gov;CN=Darrell Beschen/OU=EE/O=DOE;laithner.camp@epamail.epa.gov;hooke@dc.ametsoc.org;coenergygroup@aol.com;CN=Tanya Sadler/OU=EE/O=DOE;CN=Tatiana Strajnic/OU=EE/O=DOE;---<Petition for women's Rights in Afghanistan------------- Message body ---------------

Afghanistan
If you decide not to forward this, please send it to sarabe@aol.com This is an actual petition, and "signatures will be lost if you drop the line. It is outrageous...... with the destruction of ancient artifacts of other religions...... and of course, I signed. ~ M.

Dear Friends, Please do not ignore this e-mail. This is something that we as women and essentially as human beings need to support - I don't know if this is going to help but take 3 minutes out of your life to do your part; Madhu.

It is outrageous that the government of Afghanistan, is waging a war upon women. Since the Taliban took power in 1996, women have had to wear burqua and have been beaten and stoned in public for not having the proper attire, even if this means simply not having the mesh covering in front of their eyes. One woman was beaten to death by an angry mob of fundamentalists for accidentally exposing her arm(!) while she was driving. Another was stoned to death for trying to leave the country with a man who was not a relative. Women are not allowed to work or even go out in public without a male relative; professional women such as professors, translators, doctors, lawyers, artists and writers have been forced from their jobs and stuffed into their homes. Homes where a woman is present must have their windows painted so that she can never be seen by outsiders. They must wear silent shoes so that they are never heard. Women live in fear of their lives for the slightest misbehavior. Because they cannot work, those without male relatives or husbands are either starving to death or begging on the street, even if they hold PHDs.

Depression is becoming so widespread that it has reached epidemic levels. There is no way in such an extreme Islamic society to know the suicide rate with certainty, but relief workers are estimating that the suicide rate among women must be extraordinarily high; those who cannot find proper medication and treatment for severe depression and would rather take their lives than live in such conditions. At one of the rare hospitals for women, a reporter found still, nearly lifeless bodies lying motionless on top of beds, wrapped in their burqua, unwilling to speak, eat, or do anything, but slowly wasting away. Others have gone mad and were seen crouched in corners, perpetually rocking or crying, most of them in fear. When what little medication that is left finally runs out, one doctor is considering leaving these women in front of the president's residence as a form of protest. It is at the point where the term "human rights violations" has become an understatement. Husbands have the power of life and death over their women relatives, especially their wives, but an angry mob has just as much right to stone or beat a woman, often to death, for exposin...
women enjoyed relative freedom to work, to dress generally as they wanted, and to drive and appear in public alone until only 1996. The rapidity of this transition is the main reason for the depression and suicide. Women who were once educators or doctors or simply used to basic human freedoms are now severely restricted and treated as subhuman in the name of right-wing fundamentalist Islam. It is not their tradition or 'culture,' but it is alien to them, and it is extreme even for those cultures where fundamentalism is the rule. Everyone has a right to a tolerable human existence, even if they are women in a Muslim country. If we can threaten military force in Kosovo the name of human rights for the sake of ethnic Albanians, citizens of the world can certainly express peaceful outrage at the oppression, murder and injustice committed against women by the Taliban.

STATEMENT: In signing this, we agree that the current treatment of women in Afghanistan is completely UNACCEPTABLE and deserves action by the United Nations and that the current situation overseas will not be tolerated. Women's Rights is not a small issue anywhere, and it is UNACCEPTABLE for women in 2000 to be treated as subhuman and as so much property. Equality and human decency is a fundamental RIGHT, not a freedom to be granted, whether one lives in Afghanistan or elsewhere.
PLEASE USE EDIT / select all / THEN COPY / and then paste this email on to a new message, sign the bottom and forward it to everyone on your distribution lists. If you receive this list with more than 300 names on it, please e-mail a copy of it to abande@brandeis.edu. Even if you decide not to sign, please be considerate and do not kill the petition. Thank you.

"McDonald, Sean C" <sean@pn1.gov> <Jerry Dion@ee.doe.gov> <DOE016-3329>
FYI - I'll make sure you are in review loop. Jerry

---

Jerry

Extracted 06 High Performance Buildings.doc: 29696 bytes
Extracted Spring Budget Summit Final030801.wpd: 60200 bytes

Extracted Spring Budget Summit Final030801.wpd: 60200 bytes
- Renamed to "Spring Budget Summit Final030801.wpd" to preserve uniqueness

Extracted National Energy Policy Option WX V2.doc: 28672 bytes

---- Attachments ---------------

CN=3erry Dion/OU=EE/O=DOE>---<ak.nicholls@pnl.gov>---<FY03 Budget Summit Issue Paper
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Jerry

------------- Attachments -------------
Extracted 06 High Performance Buildings.doc: 29696 bytes
- Renamed to "06 High Performance Buildings0.doc" to preserve uniqueness
Extracted Spring Budget Summit Final030801.wpd: 60200 bytes
- Renamed to "Spring Budget Summit Final0308011.wpd" to preserve uniqueness

CN=MaryBeth Zimmerman/OU=EE/O=DOE>---<CN=Jerry Dion/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE>---<03/16/2001 12:43:10 PM>---<Re: High Performance Buildings

------------- Message body -------------
FYI - NEP High Performance Buildings Policy Response 2 Pager. This is as modified by OPBM. --------------------- Forwarded by Jerry Dion/EE/DOE on 03/16/2001 11:14 AM --------------------- MaryBeth Zimmerman 03/1 6/2001 09:28 AM To: Jerry Dion/EE/DOE@DOE cc: Subject: High Performance Buildings If you have the chance, here's the final NEP 2-pager on high performance buildings to look at. It hasn't changed much from the last time you saw it.

------------- Attachments -------------
Extracted 06 High Performance Buildings.doc: 29696 bytes
- Renamed to "06 High Performance Buildings2.doc" to preserve uniqueness

CN=MaryBeth Zimmerman/OU=EE/O=DOE>---<CN=Jerry Dion/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE>---<03/16/2001 09:28:42 AM>---<High Performance Buildings

Page 55
If you have the chance, here’s the final NEP 2-pager on high performance buildings to look at. It hasn’t changed much from the last time you saw it.

--- Attachments ---

Extracted 06 High Performance Buildings.doc: 29696 bytes
- Renamed to "06 High Performance Buildings3.doc" to preserve uniqueness

Laitner.Skip@epamail.epa.gov
--- "Jonathan Koomey" <3GKoomey@lbl.gov>
--- 3/14/2001 08:07:07 PM
--- re: Bush reversal on GCC in the POST

--- Message body ---

AS Jeff Harris and Satish Kumar had input, have you shared results? Andrew:
Yes both of the ones I produced are below.

--- original Message ---

From: Jerry.Dion@ee.doe.gov [mailto:Jerry.Dion@ee.doe.gov] Sent: Thursday, March 08, 2001 1:11 PM To: MaryBeth.Zimmerman@ee.doe.gov; Darrell.Beschen@ee.doe.gov; Mark.Ginsberg@ee.doe.gov; Barbara.Sisson@ee.doe.gov; Gail.Mckinley@ee.doe.gov; Edward.Pollock@ee.doe.gov; Mark.Bailey@ee.doe.gov; Ronald.Shaw@ee.doe.gov; Connie.Laughlin@ee.doe.gov; John.Taldott@ee.doe.gov; Nicholls, Andrew K; McDonald, Sean C

Subject: Initial BTS NEP Policy Response Papers

Here are our first cuts, once scrubbed. I’m waiting on one more.

(See attached file: Tradeable Permits for NOx and SO2 V3.doc)
(See attached file: Building Construction Credit for CAA SIP V-02.doc)
(See attached file: Healthy & Productive Buildings Template V 3.0.doc)
(See attached file: National Energy Policy Option Purchasing V2.doc)
(See attached file: National Energy Policy Option_WX V2.doc)
(See attached file: NEP Fiscal Incentives V2.doc)
(See attached file: Basic Science Integration Buildings R&D V2.doc)

Nicholls, Andrew K" <ak.nicholls@pnl.gov> --- <CN=Jerry Dion/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE> --- <03/09/2001 12:04:15 PM> --- <RE: Lunch plans today>

From: Jerry.Dion@ee.doe.gov [mailto:Jerry.Dion@ee.doe.gov] Sent: Friday, March 09, 2001 11:39 AM To: Nicholls, Andrew K

Subject: Re: Lunch plans today

Andrew, Probably tied up for lunch. Maybe we could talk later in the afternoon if things (Budget, NEP) free up. Jerry

Nicholls, Andrew K" <ak.nicholls@pnl.gov> on 03/09/2001 09:25:36 AM
To: Jerry Dion/EE/DOE@DOE

Subject: Lunch plans today

Is your dance card full? If not, and if you have the time, I propose we chow and talk somewhere.

Andrew Nicholls Pacific Northwest National Laboratory 901 D St, SW Suite 900 Washington, DC 20024-2115 202.646-5238, FAX 646-5233 - AAAS .95 - Arguments against BTS Role (Belzer).doc - Arguments for BTS Role (Belzer).doc

--- Attachments ---

Extracted AAAS.9S: 20692 bytes
Extracted Arguments against BTS Role (Belzer).doc: 41318 bytes
Extracted Arguments for BTS Role (Belzer).doc: 64512 bytes

CN=Jerry Dion/OU=EE/O=DOE> --- <CN=MaryBeth Zimmerman/OU=EE/O=DOE@DOE;CN=Darr
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Here are our first cuts, once scrubbed. I'm waiting on one more.

Here is the tax credit piece. Thanks to John for some of the details. Mark --

Since we have to have our first draft of our 2-pagers to PO by COB today, we'd appreciate receiving each draft as you finish it, so that we can package and process them more quickly. PS -- Per Buddy's guidance on the text for the 02 Budget, I've asked for clarification regarding goal statements, performance measures and milestones. Any feedback you can give me on what you can do in these areas (via e-mail preferred) would be helpful.
Here is a very rough piece, still needs a lot of work. John, please fill in the blanks. It goes back to Jerry ASAP. Thanks.

Extracted NEP Fiscal Incentives.doc: 25088 bytes
- Renamed to "NEP Fiscal Incentives0.doc" to preserve uniqueness

OK, no problem! Jerry Dion 03/08/2001 10:24 AM To: Gail McKinley/EE/DOE
cc: Jerry Dion/EE/DOE Subject: Re: Draft NEP option papers
Jerry McKinley 03/08/2001 09:47 AM To: Jerry Dion/EE/DOE cc: Mark Bailey/EE/DOE, Ronald Shaw/EE/DOE, Barbara Sisson/EE/DOE, William Noel/EE/DOE, Marsha Penhaker/EE/DOE, Gregory Reamy/EE/DOE Subject: Draft NEP option papers
Here are the two papers relating to (a) volume purchasing and (b) weatherization Plus. Bailey/Noel are working on the remaining two.

Extracted National Energy Policy Option_WX.doc: 28672 bytes
- Renamed to "National Energy Policy Option_WX.doc" to preserve uniqueness

Extracted National Energy Policy Option_WX2.doc: 25600 bytes
- Renamed to "National Energy Policy Option_WX2.doc" to preserve uniqueness

Extracted National Energy Policy Option_Purchasing.doc: 23040 bytes
- Renamed to "National Energy Policy Option_Purchasing.doc" to preserve uniqueness

"Nicholls, Andrew K" <ak.nicholls@pnl.gov> Jerry Dion 03/06/2001 05:37:00 PM RE: DRAFT NEP Policy Responses
Jerry, Nicely synthesized and substantially enhanced. Reads well. Let's see if any of it survives! Original Message From: Jerry.Dion@ee.doe.gov
To: Mark.Ginsberg@ee.doe.gov, Barbara.Sisson@ee.doe.gov, Gail.McKinley@ee.doe.gov, Edward.Pollock@ee.doe.gov, John.Talbott@ee.doe.gov, Qonnie.Laughlin@ee.doe.gov
Mark.Bailey@ee.doe.gov, Ronald.Shaw@ee.doe.gov

Extracted NEP Policy Responses - BTS.doc: 28672 bytes

CN=Jerry Dion/OU=EE/O=DOE>---<CN=MaryBeth Zimmerman/OU=EE/O=DOE>---<CN=Darrell Beschen/OU=EE/O=DOE>---<CN=Michael York/OU=EE/O=DOE>---<CN=Barbara S Page 58
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DOE016-3334
Jerry Dion 03/06/2001 12:39 PM To: Mark Ginsberg/EE/DOE@DOE, Barbara Sisson/EE/DOE@DOE, Edward Pollock/EE/DOE@DOE, Gail McKinley/EE/DOE@DOE, John Talbott/EE/DOE@DOE, Connie Laughlin/EE/DOE@DOE, Ronald Shaw/EE/DOE@DOE, Mark Bailey/EE/DOE@DOE cc: "McDonald, Sean C'' <seanepnl.gov> Subject: Incoming policy snippets, crisis du jour

"Nicholls, Andrew K'' <ak.nicholls@pnl.gov> on 03/06/2001 12:35:41 PM To: Jerry Dion/EE/DOE@DOE cc: "McDonald, Sean C'' <seanepnl.gov> Subject: Incoming policy snippets, crisis du jour

My "policies" are in bold blue.

"Nicholls, Andrew K'' <ak.nicholls@pnl.gov> on 03/06/2001 03:22:58 PM --- <Smaller caliber, and hopefully closer to the target

Andrew Nicholls Pacific Northwest National Laboratory 901 D St, SW Suite 900 Washington, DC 20024-2115 202.646-5238, FAX 646-5233 - NEPPolicyIssues PNNL Comments v2.doc

Extracted NEPPolicyIssues PNNL Comments v2.doc: 29696 bytes
Please comment, revise, add... quick as you can - thanks! Jerry

Sent: Tuesday, March 06, 2001 12:37 PM
From: Jerry Dion/EE/DOE
To: Jerry Dion/EE/DOE;
Cc: McDonald, Sean C'<sean@pnl.gov>

Subject: Incoming policy snippets, crisis du jour

"Nicholls, Andrew K" <ak.nicholls@pnl.gov>

Andrew Nicholls
Pacific Northwest National Laboratory
901 D St, SW
Suite 900
Washington, DC 20024

202.646-5238, FAX 646-5233

NEPPolicyIssues MBZ PNNL Comments to Jerry.doc

--------------- Attachments ---------------
Extracted NEPPolicyIssues MBZ PNNL Comments to Jerry.doc: 50688 bytes

Renamed to "NEPPolicyIssues MBZ PNNL Comments to Jerry1.doc" to preserve uniqueness

Michael York

Sent: Monday, March 05, 2001 4:10:21 PM
From: Michael York/OU=EE/DOE
To: Jerry Dion/OU=EE/DOE;
Cc: Kenneth Friedman/OU=EE/DOE;

Subject: Policy Issues outline for NEP

Dear Jerry,

Well, to leave you ever more confused, the e-mail I sent out a few minutes ago has an old version of the outline. This is the newer version, and the one you should use. My apologies for the confusion. Michael

--

Excerpt from the original message:

Please comment, revise, add... quick as you can - thanks! Jerry

Sent: Tuesday, March 06, 2001 12:37 PM
From: Jerry Dion/EE/DOE
To: Jerry Dion/EE/DOE;
Cc: McDonald, Sean C'<sean@pnl.gov>

Subject: Incoming policy snippets, crisis du jour

"Nicholls, Andrew K" <ak.nicholls@pnl.gov>

Andrew Nicholls
Pacific Northwest National Laboratory
901 D St, SW
Suite 900
Washington, DC 20024

202.646-5238, FAX 646-5233

NEPPolicyIssues MBZ PNNL Comments to Jerry.doc

--------------- Attachments ---------------
Extracted NEPPolicyIssues MBZ PNNL Comments to Jerry.doc: 50688 bytes

Renamed to "NEPPolicyIssues MBZ PNNL Comments to Jerry1.doc" to preserve uniqueness

Michael York

Sent: Monday, March 05, 2001 4:10:21 PM
From: Michael York/OU=EE/DOE
To: Jerry Dion/OU=EE/DOE;
Cc: Kenneth Friedman/OU=EE/DOE;

Subject: Policy Issues outline for NEP

Dear Jerry,

Well, to leave you ever more confused, the e-mail I sent out a few minutes ago has an old version of the outline. This is the newer version, and the one you should use. My apologies for the confusion. Michael
Jerry - I presume you received the email that MB refers to below. Thanks for spearheading this for BTS. Please use your terrific judgment as to whether or not you think we need to take MB up on her offer to meet.

MaryBeth Zimmerman 03/01/2001 02:57 PM To: EE-ADAS, #EE-ADAS cc: Abe Haspel/EE/DOE@DOE, John Sullivan/EE/DOE@DOE, Buddy Garland/EE/DOE@DOE Subject: NEP Policy Formation A brief heads-up to let you know that the Vice President's energy task force is moving to policy formation. We will have an initial meeting on Monday, March 5 to get our guidance. Since the final report is due to the VP's office on March 14, however, we would like to get started now. I've e-mailed a heads up to your analytical staffs, and we've set up meetings today with OPT and OTT. We'd be happy to do the same for the other offices later today (after 5:00) or tomorrow. -- Mary Beth (6-7249)

Margot Anderson@HQMAIL on 03/01/2001 07:51:03 AM To: MaryBeth Zimmerman/EE/DOE@DOE, John Sullivan/EE/DOE@DOE, Abe Haspel/EE/DOE@DOE, TREvor COOK@HQMAIL, Paula Scalingi@HQMAIL, jkstier@bpa.gov@internet@HQMAIL, Robert Kripowicz@HQMAIL, WILLIAM MAGWOOD@HQMAIL, Michael Whatley@HQMAIL, Jay Braitsch@HQMAIL, John Conti@HQMAIL, Douglas Carter@HQMAIL, David Pumphrey@HQMAIL, James Hart@HQMAIL, William Breed@HQMAIL, Larry Pettis@HQMAIL, James Kendall@HQMAIL, Andy Kydes@HQMAIL cc: Joseph Kelliher@HQMAIL

Subject: Energy Policy Discussion All, on Monday at 1:00, we will be meeting in room 78-040 to begin the discussion of energy policy options for the national energy policy (phase 2 of our efforts). Joe will be sending
MaryBeth, please check with Buddy... we are all tied up with a FY02 must do budget exercise. Perhaps Abe or John can intervene to get more time on NEP. CFO and Policy need to find a way to accommodate each other on deadlines - it's mostly the same people who are trying to do both, as it ought to be.

---

New version of overview sections attached. This replaces the draft for new sections I & II you received late yesterday.

1. Please review this draft ASAP per Margo Anderson's request below. 2. Please provide Darrell Beschen with any regional information that might be helpful for a chapter on regional energy issues. Everything is due to the White House today. We will be meeting with Margo Anderson at 11:30 today, and may get further instructions at that time. We appreciate this draft for quick comment, if possible.

MaryBeth Zimmerman

Forwarded by MaryBeth Zimmerman

On 02/19/2001 05:22:12 PM To: MaryBeth Zimmerman/EE/DOE@HQMAIL, John Sullivan/EE/DOE@HQMAIL, Abe Haspel/EE/DOE@HQMAIL, Trevor Cook/HQMAIL
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All,  Joe's revised draft. Thanks for all the comments I didn't take all your suggestions. I tried to make every accommodation but had to make a judgment call about what worked and what didn't. Still to do:

1) Fact checking. Still blanks to fill in. In some cases, we need a source. EIA cannot corroborate without it.
2) Please read red edits - these are outstanding issues that still need attention and/or discussion.
3) Plan on meeting in PD conference room (7B-040) on Tuesday 2/20 at 11:30 to discuss draft and get new instructions that will come out of a morning WH meeting.

---------------------- Attachments ---------------------
Extracted sec1 2 jk.DOC: 79872 bytes
A List of Email Attachments
Evelyn,

Here are some comments on a previous version of chapter 10. They might still be useful.

Margot

-----Original Message-----
From: Wheeler, Evelyn [mailto:WheelerE@state.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, March 21, 2001 5:02 PM
To: Kelliher, Joseph; Anderson, Margot; Hudome, Randa; Andrew Lundquist, OVP; Karen Knutson at OVP; Charlie Smith, OVP; John Fenzel, OVP; Kjersten Drager, OVP; Kevin Murphy, DOC
Cc: McManus, Matthew T; Gallogly, Stephen J
Subject: NEPD Deadline

We made a mistake in telling you in our prior e-mail that the Friday meeting is at 1:00 in the afternoon. It's at 10:00 in the a.m. so, the earlier you can get us your comments, the better. Thank you for all your help!

Evelyn Wheeler
EB/ESC/IEC/EPC - Room 3535
Phone: (202) 647-4557
Fax: (202) 647-4037
This message is unclassified under precepts of EO 12958.
Sounds great -- we have a lot of work to do in some areas

-----Original Message-----
From: Karen Y. Knutson@ovp.eop.gov
[mailto:Karen Y. Knutson@ovp.eop.gov]
Sent: Monday, April 30, 2001 5:10 PM
To: Kelliher, Joseph; KMurphy@sec.doc.gov; JHoward@ceq.eop.gov; Robert_C._McNally@opd.eop.gov
Subject: Re: recommendations

Can we get together tomorrow at 2:00 to go over recommendations????

[Embedded image moved "Kelliher, Joseph" <Joseph.Kelliher@hq.doe.gov>
to file: 04/30/2001 05:00:10 PM
pic26018.pcx]

Record Type:  Record

To:  Andrew D. Lundquist/OVP/EOP, Karen Y. Knutson/OVP/EOP
cc:  
Subject:  recommendations

When are we going to sit down and go through recommendations?

att5cc46.dat