PMC-ND

(1.08.09.13)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY OFFICE OF ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND RENEWABLE ENERGY NEPA DETERMINATION



RECIPIENT: Yale University STATE: CT **PROJECT TITLE :** >200 cm2 Type-3 PEC Water Splitting Prototype Using Bandgap Tunable Perovskite Tandem and Molecular-Scale Designer Coatings **Funding Opportunity Announcement Number** Procurement Instrument Number NEPA Control Number CID Number DE-FOA-0002792 DE-EE0010734 GFO-0010734-001 Based on my review of the information concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (authorized under DOE Policy 451.1), I have made the following determination: **CX, EA, EIS APPENDIX AND NUMBER:** Description: Information gathering (including, but not limited to, literature surveys, inventories, site visits, and **A9 Information** audits), data analysis (including, but not limited to, computer modeling), document preparation gathering, analysis, (including, but not limited to, conceptual design, feasibility studies, and analytical energy supply and and dissemination demand studies), and information dissemination (including, but not limited to, document publication and distribution, and classroom training and informational programs), but not including site characterization or environmental monitoring. (See also B3.1 of appendix B to this subpart.) Siting, construction, modification, operation, and decommissioning of facilities for smallscale research **B3.6 Small-scale** and development projects; conventional laboratory operations (such as preparation of chemical research and standards and sample analysis); and small-scale pilot projects (generally less than 2 years) development. frequently conducted to verify a concept before demonstration actions, provided that construction or laboratory operations. modification would be within or contiguous to a previously disturbed or developed area (where active and pilot projects utilities and currently used roads are readily accessible). Not included in this category are demonstration actions, meaning actions that are undertaken at a scale to show whether a technology would be viable on a larger scale and suitable for commercial deployment.

Rationale for determination:

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) is proposing to provide funding to Yale University (Yale) to develop a perovskite system including a photoabsorber tandem dedicated to photoelectrochemical (PEC) water splitting to improve solar-to-hydrogen conversion efficiency.

Award activities would include outreach, data analysis, modeling, engineering, design, and laboratory research. Yale (West Haven, CT; New Haven, CT) would carry out PEC water splitting photoreactor design, development, and fabrication, as well as current-voltage, hydrogen, and stability modeling and testing. Purdue University (Purdue; West Lafayette, IN) would develop the perovskite system and optimize optoelectric properties. Both Yale and Purdue would host events to promote Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion (DEI) at their respective facilities. Collaboration with the HydroGEN Consortium nodes is also anticipated.

All project activities would be completed in existing, purpose-built facilities. No physical modifications to existing facilities, or changes to the use, mission, or operations of existing facilities would be required for proposed project activities. No additional permits or authorizations would be required.

Potential hazards include the use and handling of acids, bases, heavy metal containing chemical wastes, organometallic precursors, oxides, and nanoparticles. Existing university and corporate health, safety, and environmental policies and procedures would be followed at all facilities, including personnel training, proper personal protective equipment (PPE), engineering controls, monitoring, and internal assessments. To mitigate inhalation hazards, nanoscale materials would remain suspended in an aqueous solution and handled inside a nanoparticle hood using venting lines and PPE.

DOE has considered the scale, duration, and nature of proposed activities to determine potential impacts on resources, including those of an ecological, historical, cultural, and socioeconomic nature. DOE does not anticipate impacts on these resources which would be considered significant or require DOE to consult with other agencies or stakeholders.

DOE has made a final NEPA determination.

Notes:

Hydrogen and Fuel Cell Technologies Office (HFTO) NEPA review completed by Alex Colling on 8/29/23.

FOR CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATIONS

The proposed action (or the part of the proposal defined in the Rationale above) fits within a class of actions that is listed in Appendix A or B to 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D. To fit within the classes of actions listed in 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B, a proposal must be one that would not: (1) threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders; (2) require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators), but the proposal may include categorically excluded waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment actions or facilities; (3) disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases; (4) have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources, including, but not limited to, those listed in paragraph B(4) of 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B; (5) involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those listed in paragraph B(5) of 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B.

There are no extraordinary circumstances related to the proposed action that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal.

The proposed action has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion. This proposal is not connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.25(a)(1)), is not related to other actions with individually insignificant but cumulatively significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.27(b)(7)), and is not precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211 concerning limitations on actions during preparation of an environmental impact statement.

The proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

SIGNATURE OF THIS MEMORANDUM CONSTITUTES A RECORD OF THIS DECISION.

NEPA Compliance Officer Signature:

Restruction Strickland NEPA Compliance Officer

Date: 9/8/2023

FIELD OFFICE MANAGER DETERMINATION

Field Office Manager review not required

☐ Field Office Manager review required

BASED ON MY REVIEW I CONCUR WITH THE DETERMINATION OF THE NCO :

Field Office Manager's Signature:

Field Office Manager

Date: