

Draft Memorandum

To: Laura Rainey and Mark Malinowski, DTSC

From: DOE Chemistry Consultant Team (CDM Smith, MWH, and MEC^X)

Date: December, 2016

Subject: REVISED - DOE Herbicide, Pesticide, Polychlorinated bi-phenyl Method

Verification Summary

Executive Summary

The California Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) and the United States Department of Energy (DOE) entered into an Administrative Order on Consent for Remedial Action (AOC; Docket No. HSA-CO 10/11-037) on December 6, 2010. The AOC describes three chemical investigation activities to be completed for soil within Area IV and the Northern Buffer Zone (NBZ) at the Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL):

- Phase 1, Co-Located Samples
- Phase 2, Co-Located Samples from Random Locations
- Phase 3, Chemical Data Gap Investigation

The Phase 1, Phase 2 and Phase 3 chemical investigation activities have been completed.

During the Phase 1 co-located chemical soil sampling efforts, the program contracted with analytical laboratories that were able to modify standard methods in an effort to produce analytical data with the lowest analytical method reporting limits (MRLs) achievable. In order to achieve these low levels for certain organic methods (including pesticides, polychlorinated biphenyls/triphenyls (PCBs/PCTs) and herbicides), a method modification was utilized by the contracted laboratories for the preparation procedure, which included an increase in mass of soil extracted, and a decrease in volume of the final extract. This modification was intended to allow for sample preparation that would result in MRLs approximately one order of magnitude less than the primary laboratories routine MRLs.

During the Phase 1 work, a concern was raised by DTSC chemists regarding this method modification. The concern involved the possibility that the modification would not only result in the retention of more of the target analyte in the final extract, but also the retention of more interfering compounds and complexes. The interference could have a negative effect on the

analytical results and data quality for the target analytes, increasing the level of uncertainty to a level unacceptable for the project's analytical program. By driving down the MRLs (and method detection limits (MDLs)), the effect of site soil matrix interference becomes a bigger issue, which can potentially impact the confidence in identifying if the analyte is actually present, and if it is the true target analyte at the low MRLs. This concern is of upmost importance for these organic methods, as individual sample data generated under the AOC investigation is to be screened against values from the chemical look up table. The justification for addressing this concern is to ensure that data generated for this analytical program is defensible, with analytical uncertainty appropriately constrained such that the method can confidently detect an analyte and its concentration can be reported with a reasonable degree of accuracy and precision. Without this level of confidence, the potential increases for making an erroneous decision that a sample result may exceed background, when in fact it does not. This type of decision error can lead to determination of the need for cleanup of areas that may not actually exceed background. A balance is needed to maintain a sufficient level of confidence that manages and minimizes the decision error associated with determination of exceedance of background where there is no difference, as well as failing to determine an exceedance of background, when there actually is a difference.

To address this concern, the DTSC chemists suggested conducting an MDL study for herbicides incorporating the method modifications to allow the evaluation of the method preparation modification's effect on a clean sand sample. The precision of the MDLs generated for some of the herbicide constituents was found to be unacceptable for the analytical program. The MDL study addressed the effects of the method modification on clean sand, yet the site soil can be a source of matrix interferences affecting the ability to determine concentrations at the low levels. Certain quality control steps can be taken to demonstrate that site soil matrix is not impacting data quality results at these low levels, such as low level matrix spikes, but these steps were not taken early in Phase 1 on a consistent, per sample batch basis. Thus, it was not demonstrated that the data generated at these low levels was not affected by site soil matrix interferences. There is some concern over defensibility of the data quality during Phase 1.

After a thorough review of the low level MRL procedures and results, and in order to address the unacceptable analytical uncertainty associated with the method modification, Phase 1 MRLs shall be adjusted to the laboratories standard routine MRLs prior to modification. For Phase 1 existing data, non-detects will be adjusted (elevated) to the standard routine MRL and will continue to be considered as non-detect values. The detected results will not change, but will be qualified as estimated values if the result is between the laboratories standard routine MDL and MRL. Only MRL values will be changed. MDL values will remain the same. These adjustments have been made for purposes of generating a dataset to be used for screening against the look up table, and the adjustments will be documented and made available to the public. For future characterization sampling, it was proposed that analyses using these methods (pesticides, PCB/PCTs and herbicides) utilize standard analytical MRLs and MDLs, as recommended. If the low level MRLs are to be utilized in

the future, a low level quality control program is recommended and should be utilized on a per sample batch basis to demonstrate that the data meet acceptable data quality criteria.

The following sections of this memorandum provide a detailed discussion of the low level method modifications and the results of this evaluation. This memorandum also discusses the results of the method verifications for the low level MRLs for pesticides and PCBs.

1.0 Introduction

The California Environmental Protection Agency Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) and U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Administrative Order on Consent (AOC) requires soil cleanup to achieve values based on local background or method reporting limits (MRLs) for soil contamination in Area IV, the Northern Buffer Zone (NBZ), or contiguous and emanating areas of Area IV or NBZ of the Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL). The AOC does not specify the actual MRL values to be used, but it defines this term as "the lowest concentrations at which an analyte can be confidently detected in a sample and its concentration can be reported with a reasonable degree of accuracy and precision." To further clarify "lowest concentrations," DOE's Phase 1 Field Sampling and Analysis Plan dated October 14, 2010 stated the following: "the chemical cleanup to background or cleanup to detection limits, whichever is greater. However, the chemical background values for SSFL are currently being developed as well as the chemical one-in a million risk-based screening levels (RBSLs) for the rural residential scenario. Detection limits should be based on both considerations. Because background values and rural residential RBSLs are not currently available, analytical detection limits used in this study will be based on reporting limits previously provided by DTSC that approximated the order of magnitude of the suburban RBSLs (MWH Americas, Inc. 2005) lowered by two orders of magnitude."

The Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL) project under the AOC has been conducting required soil sampling for the last four years. Specific project objectives require laboratories that have been analyzing data for the site to attempt to meet lower method detection limits (MDLs) and method reporting limits (MRLs). The methods for which attempts were made to achieve this lower reporting limit include herbicides method SW-846 Method 8151/8151A, pesticides method SW-846 8081 and PCB method SW-846 method 8082. Modifications were made to the methods in anattempt to achieve these lower MDLs and MRLs. Based on a review of the herbicide results and MDLs and MRLs, it was determined by DTSC chemists (with concurrence from DOE chemists) that an evaluation of this modified herbicide method was required to verify the low level MDLs and MRLs. The initial laboratory analyzing samples for this project was Lancaster Laboratories, Inc. (LLI); they were subsequently requested to perform a method verification study on the modified herbicide method. EMAX Laboratories, Inc. (EMAX) was the second laboratory utilized to perform sample analyses; they also performed method modifications to meet these low level MDLs and MRLs. The results of the these two laboratories modifications are presented below.

The DOE chemistry team has since conducted an evaluation of the method verification study performed by LLI for the modified herbicide SW-846 Method 8151/8151A. SW-846 is an Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) publication titled *Test Methods for Evaluating Solid Waste, Physical/Chemical Methods* and is EPA's official compilation of analytical and sampling methods that have been approved and evaluated to comply with the Resource Conversation and Recovery Act (RCRA) regulations. Twenty-one replicate spikes were processed by LLI for the method verification study which yielded MDL results and relative standard deviations (RSDs) that were, in some cases, higher than the unmodified method, and potentially higher than acceptable for the DOE analytical program.

These method verification study results were evaluated by the DOE chemistry team to identify implications to:

- The herbicide method modification used during the Phase 1 co-located and Phase 2 random sampling programs
- The existing data generated using this method modification
- Other modified methods that were used during the Phase 1 and Phase 2 soil sample analysis

This memorandum was originally written in March of 2012, however, details of the modified and unmodified preparation factors were not specified therein. This revised memorandum presents detailed information provided by the laboratories that support making the necessary adjustments from modified MRLs to non-modified MRLs for Phases 1 and 2 herbicide, pesticide and PCB results.

Pesticides and PCBs

At the same time herbicide MRL's were being verified by and MDL study is was decided ,that the pesticide and PCB MRLs would be evaluated through separate means. All entities agreed that an MDL study was not appropriate at that time but that additional "low level" QC samples would be analyzed. The additional soil quality control (QC) samples were (conducted on a per batch basis) were spiked near the reporting limit to verify the reporting limits and to evaluate precision and accuracy results. Details of these results are discussed below along with the information necessary to make the adjustments from modified MRLs to non-modified MRLs for Phases 1 and 2 pesticide and PCB results.

1.1 Analytical Laboratory Limits

Many protocols/procedures have been developed by laboratories to verify that analyzed data are scientifically valid. One part of this process is determining the lowest level of an analyte that can be detected in a site sample and whether that level is defensible. Three key components to verify this level are instrument detection limits (IDLs), MDLs and MRLs. The IDL indicates the presence of baseline electronic or background noise in the instrument and attempts to provide an indication of

what signal should be regarded as noise and what signal is a direct response to a target analyte. The IDL is determined by using the instrument repetitively to test a target analyte-free sample (clean blank sample) or extract over several days which tests the background signal by itself. The standard deviation is calculated from these repetitive results. The IDL value is then determined by multiplying this standard deviation by three. Any signal higher than the IDL is considered statistically significant compared to the noise level of the instrument. In general, the IDL is not a measure of the capability of the test procedure to measure a target analyte in a sample but a measure of the instruments ability to distinguish between an instrument signal and the presence of a target analyte.

The MDL as defined in 40CFR Part 136 Appendix B is the "the minimum concentration of a substance that can be measured and reported with 99% confidence that the analyte concentration is greater than zero and is determined from analysis of a sample in a given matrix containing the analyte." An MDL study uses seven aliquots of an interference-free spiked clean sample matrix such as deionized water and/or Ottawa sand (homogeneous quartz sand) spiked with a known amount of the target analyte and are taken through the entire sample preparation and instrument analysis process. Seven aliquots have been determined to be the best balance between the effort to perform the study and the desire to use a small sample set of data that is sufficient to ensure a certain level of confidence. From these analyses the standard deviation of the results (e.g., a measure of precision) is determined and then multiplied by the appropriate statistical number to achieve a 99% confidence level.

The MDL is not a measure of the lowest level of analyte in a sample that can be reported with accuracy but is a measure of the ability of the test procedure to generate a positive response for the target analyte above the instrument noise level in the absence of any other interferences from the sample. Interferences are unwanted compounds that impair the ability to constrain the analytical method uncertainty at the specific action level. In general terms, an interference can be positive or negative and they can either hide the presence of a real target analyte in the sample (a false negative) or they can generate a positive signal in the analysis without the target analyte actually being present in the sample (a false positive).

The MDL is actually a determination of the precision of obtaining a response from very low levels of a target analyte and has no bearing on quantitative accuracy. A MDL is a statistically calculated concentration where it is expected to "qualitatively" identify the target analyte. For example, if the true concentration of an analyte in a sample is equal to the MDL, there is a 50 percent chance the analyte will be detected and a 50 percent chance it will not be detected. The minimum level of a target analyte in a sample that can be detected and accurately quantitated is generally defined as some multiple of the MDL. There is no uniform procedure for this determination and this level may be anywhere from five to 20 times the MDL. This value then becomes the MRL for that specific analyte. An MRL can thus be defined as the lowest concentration at which an analyte can be

confidently detected in a sample and its concentration can be reported with a reasonable degree of accuracy and precision.

MRLs are based on the following:

- 1. Preparation factor (expressed as the ratio of mass extracted versus the final volume of the extract after cleanup procedures)
- 2. Instrument sensitivity (measured as baseline to noise ratio)
- 3. Volume of extract injected in the instrument (analyzed)
- 4. Calibration levels
- 5. MDL studies

Preparation factors are calculated as follows:

Preparation Factor = Mass Extracted/Extract Final Volume

Larger preparation factor ratios result in lower MRLs because more of the target compounds can be concentrated into a smaller volume of extract for analysis. However, these larger ratios also concentrate the interferences associated with low level MDLs and potentially magnify the negative effects of these interferences.

1.2 Site Specific Rationale for Modifications

For the SSFL site, MRL goals were very low based on the AOC language which required compliance with applicable state laws, including California Senate Bill 990. Two laboratories were contracted to analyze SSFL samples at these low levels. LLI's procedure for accomplishing this request resulted in a mathematic adjustment to their MRL and MDL. These values were derived by a modification to the sample preparation in an attempt to achieve lower project MRL target goals for Phase 1 and Phase 2 analyses. EMAX Laboratories Inc. (EMAX) was the second laboratory; they utilized an adjustment to the initial calibration as well as a method modification to the sample preparation.

While it may be acceptable to mathematically adjust MRLs and MDLs lower, a laboratory is still required to demonstrate that they are meeting the regulatory definition of an MDL. This definition is a measurement quality objective that constrains the false positive error rate (e.g., concluding that the analyte is present at the detection limit when, in fact, it is actually absent) to one percent.

In order to verify whether the preparation modifications for both laboratories and the calibration modification performed by EMAX were acceptable, MDL studies were performed by both

laboratories as well as the requested method verification study by LLI. MDL studies are laboratory-specific and are a measure of the laboratory's sensitivity using the laboratory's chemicals, equipment and staff. LLI and EMAX performed initial MDL studies to demonstrate the ability to differentiate the signal of the presence of an analyte from the electronic "noise" of the instrumentation at a high level of confidence. Both laboratories MDL studies did not use site soil but a clean matrix (Ottawa sand).

Due to the expedited start of the project, an initial MDL study prior to sample analyses by LLI could not be performed until May 2011. The results of LLI's May 2011 MDL study showed similar results as the method verification study indicating that the MDLs and MRLs were defensible (XXXX).

EMAX had sufficient time before sample analyses to perform a limit of quantitation (LOQ) study at the low levels requested. Ideally the calculated MDL should be no lower than 1/10 of the spike level. This is referenced in CRF Title 40 Part 136 Appendix B "(3)(b)(2) The sample may be used as is for determining the method detection limit if the analyte level does not exceed 10 times the MDL of the analyte in reagent water. The variance of the analytical method changes as the analyte concentration increases from the MDL, hence the MDL determined under these circumstances may not truly reflect method variance at lower analyte concentrations. Reporting Section: If the level of analyte in the sample was below the determined MDL or exceeds 10 times the MDL of the analyte in reagent water, do not report a value for the MDL." This is a qualitative evaluation; if the calculated MDL is higher than 1:10 MDL concentration to analyte concentration ratio, this could indicate that the analyte was spiked too low. For EMAX's herbicide MDL study, 6 out of 10 compounds were above the 1:10 ratio level as shown in Table 6 indicating that the spike level may have been too low.

As the data was further evaluated, these low level detection limits were questioned regarding whether the MDLs and MRL results would be defensible when applied to site soil samples. The modifications of the herbicide method SW-846 Method 8151A used during Phases 1 and 2 were identified by DTSC as requiring additional verification due to the complexity of the preparation procedure. Therefore, LLI's herbicide method verification study results were reviewed along with both laboratories MDL studies to evaluate the effects of the modifications on the reported MRL and MDL values.

2.0 LLI Modified Herbicide Method Verification Study Procedures

The modified herbicide method verification study consisted of a MDL study in triplicate (seven replicate analyses performed over 3 days) by the initial project laboratory, LLI. Seven replicate samples were spiked with the target compounds between one and five times the estimated MDL. Five of the target compounds were spiked below the MRLs used for Phase 1 of the DOE co-located program and five of the compounds were spiked above the aforementioned MRL. The target compounds were spiked into a clean matrix (Ottawa sand). The samples were then processed through the entire modified preparation procedure including the methylation step (diazomethane) and analyzed per method requirements. To lower the MRLs by a factor of 10, LLI modified the

herbicide method by adjusting the method-required 30 grams of sample and final volume of 10 milliliters (mls) to 60 grams of sample and a final volume of 2 ml. All sample extracts were required to have a fluorisil cleanup procedure; this helps to reduce interferences. The calculated modified method MDLs (as produced in the MDL study) were compared to LLI's Phase 1 reported MDLs; some analyte MDLs exceeded LLI's mathematically-derived Phase 1 MDLs as shown in Table 1.

As stated previously, both laboratories used a clean matrix (Ottawa sand) to perform the MDL studies. Site soil from SSFL is a heterogeneous mixture of various minerals, each having different chemical compositions and physical properties. The clean Ottawa sand is not the same as site soil, and does not take into account interferences present in SSFL site soil (including "clean" site soil) that impact accuracy and variability (precision). These interferences cause more variability and increase standard deviation which increases the calculated MDL value.

With input from DTSC chemists, another evaluation of the low level MDLs and MRLs was conducted by DOE through the analysis of laboratory control samples (LCSs) and matrix spikes (MSs). Target compounds were spiked at levels approaching the targeted lower MRLs for methods that had been modified. These additional quality control (QC) sample requirements were implemented during the latter portion of the Phase 1 co-located sampling program and are discussed in separate memoranda.

3.0 LLI Modified Herbicide Method Verification Study Results

The summary of results below is limited to the LLI data generated during the method verification study. The percent recoveries, calculated MDLs, and relative standard deviations (RSDs) resulted in varied MDL values. The variability was anticipated to be higher than the calculated MDLs for the modified herbicide method; however, the variability may exceed the acceptable range for the DOE analytical project goals. Six of the ten herbicide compounds had MDLs calculated (see Table 1) using the 21-point study that were greater than the Phase 1 MDLs used by LLI, and two of the herbicide compounds had 21-point study MDL values greater than the MRL used by LLI for the colocated sampling program.

Table 1 presents the calculated MDL resulting from each of the three MDL studies performed for the verification along with the combined 21-point result. The three independent MDLs were calculated using a Students' t value of 3.143, sample size (n)=7, as described in 40CFR Part 136 Appendix B. The "MDL 21 Point" represents the pooled/combined MDL resulting from all 21 points in the three MDL studies. The 21-point MDL was calculated using the Students' t value of 2.528, n=21. Included in Table 1 are the modified LLI MRLs and MDLs utilized during the Phase 1 co-located sampling program prior to the method verification study. The MDL and MRL values utilized by LLI prior to the method verification study were mathematically determined by dividing their standard MDL and MRL by the modified herbicide preparation factor of ten. Derivation and application of this modified preparation factor is further described in Section 4.

Table 2 presents the precision of the three independent MDL studies, the precision of the 21-point MDL, and the precision of the method as published in SW-846 Method 8151A. Precision is the measurement expressed in terms of Relative Standard Deviation (RSD). It is a measure of random error, the type of error that is not well controlled. Table 2 provides the RSDs for each MDL test and the RSDs for the 21 points. As shown in Table 2, Method 8151/8151A, published in SW-846 compendium, has a greater degree of precision compared to the 21-point MDL study.

Table 3 presents the modified MDL and MRLs for the Phases 1 and 2 data compared to the MDLs for the 21-point study. This table also presents the highest MDL value of the three independent seven point studies for each analyte. Table 3 shows that the MDL 21 point value for four out of ten compounds exceed the Phase 1 modified herbicide MDLs and two out of ten compounds exceed the Phase 1 modified herbicide MRLs.

4.0 LLI Pesticide and PCB Method Verification Study Procedures and Results

Modifications were also performed by LLI for the pesticides and PCB analytical methods in order to achieve the lower reporting limits. The modification for pesticides consisted of extracting a greater volume of soil and concentrating the extract to a lower final volume with mandatory florisil and gel permeation chromatography (GPC) cleanup procedures resulting in a 5X reduction to the standard MRL. The modification for PCBs consisted of extracting a greater volume of soil and concentrating the extract to a lower final volume, with a mandatory sulfuric acid cleanup. These modifications resulted in a reduction of the standard MRLs of 10X.

In order to verify that LLI was achieving the lower reporting limits for pesticides and PCBs, LLI was requested in September 2011 to analyze additional soil QC samples spiked near the reporting limit. The QC samples for both pesticides and PCBs consisted of matrix spikes (MS) and laboratory control samples (LCS) that were spiked at the MRL. LCSs consist of an aliquot of blank matrix (sand) to which known quantities of the method analytes and all preservation compounds are added. The MS is prepared and analyzed exactly like the regular samples in the batch. MSs consist of an second aliquot of a sample in the batch to which known quantities of the method analytes and all preservation compounds are added. The MS is prepared and analyzed exactly like the regular samples in the batch.

Spiked parameters for pesticides and PCB were limited to the compounds and parameters specified in the methods and laboratory SOP's. The spiked parameters for pesticides included aldrin, alpha-BHC, beta-BHC, lindane, DDD, DDE, DDT, delta-BHC, dieldrin, endosulfan sulfate, endrin, endrin aldehyde, endrin ketone, heptachlor, heptachlor epoxide, and methoxychlor. The spiked parameters for PCBs were included Aroclor 1016 and Aroclor 1260.

The results of the pesticide evaluation indicate that the sample preparation modifications affected the accuracy of the reported data at the lowered reporting limit for several of the compounds evaluated. These included aldrin, DDD, DDE, DDT, dieldrin, endrin, endrin aldehyde and methoxychlor.

As with the pesticide evaluation, the PCB evaluation also indicated that the sample preparation modifications affected the precision and accuracy of the reported data at the lower reporting limit for the range of mixtures covered by Aroclors 1016 and 1260 (SW-846 Method 8082 recommended spike parameters) as indicated by LCS and MS results. Because Aroclors 1016 and 1260 encompass a complete retention time range for all of the Aroclors measured, LCS and MS spikes are limited to these mixtures. Specific details of the MRL-MS and MRL-LCS studies are presented in Attachment D for pesticides and Attachment E for PCBs. For the MRL-LCS alpha-BHC, beta-BHC, lindane, DDT, delta-BHC, endosulfan sulfate, endrin ketone, heptachlor and heptachlor epoxide had recoveries within the control limits in over 75 percent of the samples analyzed. The accuracy of the MRL-LCS samples for aldrin, DDD, DDE, dieldrin, endrin, endrin aldehyde and methoxychlor were outside of the control limits in greater than 25 percent of the measurements. For the MRL-MS samples, aldrin, alpha-BHC, beta-BHC, lindane, delta-BHC, heptachlor and heptachlor epoxide had acceptable recoveries in over 75% of the samples analyzed. All other spiked compounds exhibited recoveries outside of the control limits in over 25percent of the MRL-MS samples analyzed. Since the pesticide verification study indicated unacceptable accuracy for 7 of the 16 compounds evaluated (endosulfan I, endosulfan II, toxaphene and chlordane were not included in the evaluation) the recommendation is to use standard method procedures and reporting limits.

For the PCB evaluation, the accuracy of the MRL-LCS samples was within the control limits established by LLI for 87.5 percent of the measurements. For the MRL-MS, 11 of the 22 samples had recoveries outside of the control limits. Aroclors including 1248, 1254, 1260, and PCT 5460 were reported above the detection limit in 16 of the 22 MRL-MS samples. The presence of these aroclors in these samples caused unacceptable accuracy and precision levels that did not meet program requirements. `

The recoveries for the RL-MS samples was significantly affected by interfering Aroclor mixtures detected in the native samples, predominantly for the spiked Aroclor 1260. Accuracy and precision was also affected significantly when multiple Aroclors were present in the un-spiked sample used for the MRL-MS. When this occurred, LLI identified specific peaks for each Aroclor as the identification and quantitation peaks. This is based on the major congener peaks in the Aroclor pattern and distinguishing (unique) peaks for that Aroclor. Further, the LLI SOP states that at least 3 to 6 peaks may be used for quantitation, and a choice of which peaks are used may be necessary when there are mixtures of Aroclors present. Since each sample can present a unique situation with regard to the actual Aroclor(s) present along with other peaks native to the sample matrix, it is

difficult to outline one procedure to use when confronted by a sample with multiple Aroclors. This approach is consistent with method 8082.

5.0 Laboratory-Specific Method Modification and Adjustment Details

The following section describes the details of each laboratory's method modifications for Phases 1 and 2 and the adjustments that were required to reconcile the Phases 1 and 2 herbicide, pesticide and PCB data MRLs to the verified Phase 3 MRL values.

In general, modifications to the methods were accomplished by increasing the mass of soil extracted and decreasing the volume of the final extract. Preparation factors vary between the two laboratories because each laboratory adjusted the sample extraction mass and extract final volumes by different levels to achieve project specific MRL goals.

EMAX also performed a further adjustment by modifying the initial calibration concentration levels to detect lower limits and minimize the amount of preparation modification needed to meet the requested lower limits. These adjustments to initial calibrations (EMAX), extraction mass and extract final volumes were determined based on laboratory-specific sensitivity of the instruments used, initial calibration lower limit points and the laboratories internal MDL studies.

The laboratory-specific method modification details are provided in the following sections.

Lancaster Laboratories Inc.

Phase 1 and Phase 2

Herbicides and PCBs:

LLI provided the preparation factor used for the modified method in an email dated May 22, 2013. The soil preparations for the Phase 1 and Phase 2 work started with 60 grams (mass soil extracted) of soil and ended with a final volume of 2 mL of extract for a factor of 10 from the normal 30 grams to 10 mls final volume (i.e., preparation factor of 30, based on a ratio of 60/2).

Pesticides:

LLI provided the preparation factor used for the modified method in an email dated August 6, 2013. The soil preparations for the Phase 1 and Phase 2 work started with 60 grams (mass soil extracted) of soil to 10 mls and then they performed GPC of 5 mls to 2 ml final volume (i.e., preparation factor of 15, based on a ratio of (60/10*5/2). Phase 3

Herbicides and PCBs:

LLI also provided the preparation factor for the unmodified method in the same email dated May 22, 2013. The current preparation factor for the Phase 3 work is 30 grams to 10 mL (i.e., preparation factor of 3, based on a ratio of 30/10).

Pesticides:

LLI also provided the preparation factor for the unmodified method in the same email dated August 6, 2013. The current preparation factor for the Phase 3 work is 30 grams to 10 mL with a GPC step of 5 mLs to 5 mL final volume (i.e., preparation factor of 3, based on a ratio of (30/10*5/5).

LLI Adjustment Factor Application

Herbicides and PCBs:

An adjustment factor of 10 (based on preparation factor ratio of 30/3) is therefore required to reconcile the Phases 1 and 2 herbicide MRLs to the Phase 3 data. The adjustment factor is calculated as follows:

Adjustment Factor = Phases 1 and 2 Preparation Factor/Phase 3 Preparation Factor

The adjusted MRLs are calculated as follows:

Adjusted MRL = Phases 1 and 2 MRLs * Adjustment Factor

For example, for herbicide analyte 2,4,5-T, applying an adjustment factor of 10 to the modified MRL of 0.17 microgram per kilogram (μ g/kg) would result in an associated laboratory standard MRL of 1.7 μ g/kg.

In summary the LLI herbicides (Method 8151A) and PCBs (Method 8082A) Phase 1 and Phase 2 MRLs should be multiplied by 10 to adjust them to the Phase 3 MRL levels.

Pesticides:

An adjustment factor of 5 (based on preparation factor ratio of 15/3) is therefore required to reconcile the Phases 1 and 2 pesticide MRLs to the Phase 3 data. The adjustment factor is calculated as follows:

Adjustment Factor = Phases 1 and 2 Preparation Factor/Phase 3 Preparation Factor

The adjusted MRLs are calculated as follows:

Adjusted MRL = Phases 1 and 2 MRLs * Adjustment Factor

For example, for pesticide analyte Alpha BHC, applying an adjustment factor of 5 to the modified MRL of 0.17 microgram per kilogram ($\mu g/kg$) would result in an associated laboratory standard MRL of 0.85 $\mu g/kg$.

In summary the LLI pesticides (Method 8081B) Phase 1 and Phase 2 MRLs should be multiplied by 5 to adjust them to the Phase 3 MRL levels. **EMAX Laboratories Inc.**

Phase 1 and Phase 2

Herbicides, Pesticides and PCBs:

EMAX followed a similar convention to lower their standard MRLs to try to meet the project-specific MRL goals. EMAX provided the preparation factors for the modified method in an email dated May 21, 2013 (and subsequent follow up confirmation emails); the soil preparation factor for the Phase 2work (the only phase they participated in) was 20 grams to 5 mL for herbicides and 30 grams to 4 mL for PCBs and pesticides. As an extra step, EMAX also modified the initial calibration concentration levels and performed MDL studies on a clean sand matrix (Ottawa sand) to achieve and verify the requested low levels. The EMAX 7-point soil MDL study was performed in September 2011 and used to establish the precision of the MDLs. In addition to the MDL study, EMAX performed LOQ verifications quarterly. An LOQ is another measure of identifying the lowest concentration that can be reliably achieved within specific limits of precision and accuracy during routine laboratory operating conditions. LOQs are normally set rather than systematically determined through processes at the laboratory level.

Herbicides:

The MDL study and the LOQ verifications for herbicides were performed using the modified preparation of 20 grams to 5 ml. Attachment B provides the modified preparation, results of the MDL study, and the LOQ verifications. The precision of these low level MDLs for all analytes was not proven as shown in Table 6 where six out of ten analytes had calculated MDLs that were greater than 1/10 the spike level as discussed previously. The interferences associated with site soils would also increase the lack of precision for low level MDLs. These modifications and results of the EMAX MDL LOQ studies for Low Level Method 8151 Soil are shown in Attachment B.

Pesticides:

The MDL study and the LOQ verifications for pesticides were performed using the modified preparation of 30 grams to 4 ml. Attachment B provides the modified preparation, results of the

MDL study, and the LOQ verifications. The precision of these low level MDLs for all analytes was not proven as shown in Table 6 where six out of ten analytes had calculated MDLs that were greater than 1/10 the spike level as discussed previously. The interferences associated with site soils would also increase the lack of precision for low level MDLs. These modifications and results of the EMAX MDL LOQ studies for Low Level Method 8081X Soil are shown in Attachment B.

PCBs:

The MDL study and the LOQ verifications for PCBs were performed using the modified preparation of 30 grams to 4 ml. Attachment B provides the modified preparation, results of the MDL study, and the LOQ verifications. The precision of these low level MDLs for all analytes was not proven as shown in Table 6 where six out of ten analytes had calculated MDLs that were greater than 1/10 the spike level as discussed previously. The interferences associated with site soils would also increase the lack of precision for low level MDLs. These modifications and results of the EMAX MDL LOQ studies for Low Level Method 8082X Soil are shown in Attachment B.Phase 3

Herbicides:

EMAX provided the preparation factor for the unmodified method in the same email dated May 21, 2013. The preparation factor for the Phase 3 work is 10 grams to 5 mL for herbicides.

Pesticides and PCBs:

EMAX provided the preparation factor for the unmodified methods in the same email dated May 21, 2013. The preparation factor for the Phase 3 work is 30 grams to 10 mL for pesticides and PCBs.

EMAX Adjustment Factor Application

Herbicides:

Because EMAX modified the initial calibration concentration levels as well as the preparation factors to achieve the lower limits, this resulted in each herbicide analyte having a specific adjustment factor. These unique adjustment factors are shown in the last column of Table 5a. These analyte specific adjustment factors are required to reconcile the Phases 1 and 2 MRLs for herbicides to the Phase 3 data. The adjustment factors are calculated as follows:

Adjustment Factor = Phase 3 MRL/Phases1 and 2 MRL

The adjusted MRLs are calculated as follows:

Adjusted MRL = Phases 1 and 2 individual MRLs * Individual Adjustment Factors

For example, for herbicide analyte 2,4,5-T, applying an adjustment factor of 58.824 to the modified MRL of $0.17 \mu g/kg$ would result in an associated laboratory standard MRL of $10.00 \mu g/kg$.

In summary, the EMAX herbicides (Method 8151A) Phase 1 and Phase 2 MRLs should be multiplied by the analyte-specific adjustment factor shown in Table 5a to adjust them to the Phase 3 MRL levels.

Pesticides:

Because EMAX modified the initial calibration concentration levels as well as the preparation factors to achieve the lower limits, this resulted in each pesticide analyte having a specific adjustment factor. These unique adjustment factors are shown in the last column of Table 5b. These analyte specific adjustment factors are required to reconcile the Phases 1 and 2 MRLs for pesticides to the Phase 3 data. The adjustment factors are calculated as follows:

Adjustment Factor = Phase 3 MRL/Phases1 and 2 MRL

The adjusted MRLs are calculated as follows:

Adjusted MRL = Phases 1 and 2 individual MRLs * Individual Adjustment Factors

For example, for pesticide analyte Alpha-BHC, applying an adjustment factor of 11.76 to the modified MRL of $0.17\mu g/kg$ would result in an associated laboratory standard MRL of 1.999 $\mu g/kg$.

In summary, the EMAX pesticides (Method 8081X) Phase 1 and Phase 2 MRLs should be multiplied by the analyte-specific adjustment factor shown in Table 5b to adjust them to the Phase 3 MRL levels.

PCBs:

Because EMAX modified the initial calibration concentration levels as well as the preparation factors to achieve the lower limits, this resulted in each PCB analyte having a specific adjustment factor. These unique adjustment factors are shown in the last column of Table 5c. These analyte specific adjustment factors are required to reconcile the Phases 1 and 2 MRLs for PCBs to the Phase 3 data. The adjustment factors are calculated as follows:

Adjustment Factor = Phase 3 MRL/Phases1 and 2 MRL

The adjusted MRLs are calculated as follows:

Adjusted MRL = Phases 1 and 2 individual MRLs * Individual Adjustment Factors

For example, for pesticide analyte Alpha-BHC, applying an adjustment factor of 11.76 to the modified MRL of 1.7μ g/kg would result in an associated laboratory standard MRL of 19.92μ g/kg.

Another nuance of EMAX PCB reporting was also evaluated. In the calibrations, EMAX assigned 1/5 of the spike value to each of the five selected peaks and then calculates the calibration factor based on these results. Therefore, the five peaks are summed up to equal the total concentration. The samples are quantitated consistent with the calibration. Specifically, the sum of the five peaks is equivalent to the total concentration. This is the same as in the calibration. No averaging is needed and the group result (sum of the five peaks) would only need to be adjusted by the extraction/prep factor (0.13 Phase 1 and 2 or 0.33 Phase 3) and the moisture content. In the event that multiple aroclors are detected in on sample with co-eluting quantitation peaks, the peak that is affected is eliminated for quantitation purposed.

In summary, the EMAX PCBs (Method 8082X) Phase 1 and Phase 2 MRLs should be multiplied by the analyte-specific adjustment factor shown in Table 5c to adjust them to the Phase 3 MRL levels.

6.0 Verification and Demonstration of Adjustment Factors

Two comparisons were performed to verify and demonstrate the adjustment factors for the modified method MRLs and the unmodified method MRLs for both LLI and EMAX.

One comparison involved reviewing the method blank site-specific herbicide, pesticide and PCB data that had been analyzed with the modified method and comparing it to non-site-specific method blank herbicide, pesticide and PCB data that the laboratory had analyzed in the same time frame by the unmodified method. A method blank is a portion of analyte-free water or soil of the same volume or weight as that used for the routine sample preparation. Surrogates and other monitoring compounds are added to the method blank which is then taken through the entire sample processing procedure just as if it was a regular sample. Method blanks are subjected to all sample preparation procedures performed on any one sample such as the fluorisil cleanup discussed previously. Method blanks help monitor possible sources of contamination in a laboratory through sample preparation and analysis.

Tables 4a, 4b, and 4c show the MRL comparisons for LLI's method blanks for Phase 1 and Phase 2 (using modified method) and Phase 3 (using unmodified method). This demonstrates and verifies how LLI's adjustment factor was applied to site specific data and non-site specific data.

As stated previously the adjustment factors for EMAX are analyte-specific and involved not only a modified preparation factor but also an adjustment to the initial calibration concentration levels. Based on this scenario a method blank comparison was only evaluated for site-specific samples as

shown on Tables 5a, 5b and 5c. Tables 5a, 5b, and 5c show EMAX's method blanks for Phase 1 and Phase 2 (using modified method) and Phase 3 (using unmodified method).

The second comparison involved review of site-specific method blank samples from Phase 1 (modified method) against site-specific method blank samples from Phase 3 (unmodified method). These comparisons of LLI data are also shown in Tables 4a, 4b, and 4c (two "site specific" columns). LLI's adjustment factor of 10 and 5 can be verified from comparison of the modified method results to the unmodified method results (e.g., herbicide analyte dalapon had a modified MRL of 9 μ g/kg and an unmodified MRL of 90 μ g/kg).

For EMAX, Tables 5a, 5b and 5c show the difference in the factor of the site-specific method blanks for each analyte in Phases 1 and 2 and Phase 3. Each analyte has a specific adjustment factor (last column in the table) to convert the modified MRL to the unmodified MRL as shown by Phase 3 site-specific method blanks (e.g., herbicide analyte dalapon had a modified MRL of 9 μ g/kg and the unmodified MRL is 10 μ g/kg (i.e., 9*1.111)). The adjustment factors are for MRLs only in samples that are non-detect only. Because some results were reported below the MRL but above the MDL (qualified estimated "J") MDLs will not be adjusted. If MDLs are adjusted, a separate adjustment factor will be calculated.

Along with the laboratory method blank documentation, additional backup information has been provided by the laboratories to verify and demonstrate the modified method procedures and to justify the required adjustment of data based on the conclusions of this memorandum. This backup information is provided in the following attachments:

- Attachment A, Part 1, MDL Test Data, provides the herbicide target compound spike
 concentrations in the sample, amount measured, and calculated recovery in each of the three
 LLI MDL studies. This attachment also shows the average recovery per individual MDL test
 and the average recovery per the three MDL tests combined.
- Attachment A, Part 2, Data Table, provides all the raw herbicide data from LLI. This includes
 all seven MDL test trials and statistical analyses. Data in Attachment A, Part 2 used in Tables
 1 through 3 are color coded to show their relation to specific tables.
- Attachment B provides EMAX's laboratory back up documentation of their study results.
- Attachment C presents email documentation and laboratory raw data results.
- Attachment D provides the documentation for the pesticide LCS and MS study results
- Attachment E provides the documentation for the PCB LCS and MS study results

7.0 Recommendations

Based on evaluation of the herbicide method verification study results described above and presented in Tables 1 and 2, the following recommendations are made for the DOE analytical program:

- 1. Utilize standard SW-846 herbicide, pesticide and PCB methods without modifications in future DOE sampling/analysis efforts. The herbicide method verification study indicated unacceptable MDL results for six of the ten target compounds. This is based on the mathematically adjusted MDLs determined during this study that exceeded the reported "J" value (calculated MDLs) used during Phase 1 and Phase 2, Attachment A Part 2. In summary, modified method procedures led to results that did not achieve MDLs as the "minimum concentration of a substance that can be measured and reported with 99% confidence that the analyte concentration is greater than zero and is determined from analysis of a sample in a given matrix containing the analyte." The mathematically adjusted MDLs were based on a clean matrix (Ottawa sand). Modified method procedures using site soils would not be expected to achieve MDL definition due to increased interferences. Use of unmodified methods and method reporting limits allows the program to utilize multiple laboratories that can demonstrate acceptable MDL results.
- 2. Elevate the Phase 1 and Phase 2 herbicide, pesticide and PCB MRLs to the associated laboratory's unmodified MRL. Detected results from Phases 1 and 2 will not change, but will be qualified as estimated "J" values if the result is below the associated laboratory's standard MRLs. Non-detect results will be elevated to the new MRLs with "U" qualifier. A "Y" qualifier will be added to all Phases 1 and 2 sample results for herbicides, pesticides and PCBs to indicate the adjustment to the MRLs. Because results that were originally detected between the MDL and the MRL were qualified as estimated "J", the MDLs will not change.
- 3. Verify modified methods for other representative organic chemicals. This has been done for the latter portion of the Phase 1 co-located sampling program, 20 low-level LCS results for polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), and 9 low-level pesticides.
- 4. Include a low-level MS and LCS QC program for all sample batches if modified methods are desired for future DOE sampling programs.

In summary, LLI initially mathematically adjusted their unmodified MDL values to lower level (modified) MDL values. These low level MDLs could not be verified with the May 2011 MDL study and/or the method verification study. Both MDL studies were performed with a clean matrix (Ottawa sand); this is routine but does not address the site soil interferences that would further decrease the precision of low level MDLs. The analyses of a limited number of low level spike samples were performed too late in the process of sample analyses to validate the non-detect

sample results. Without the known impacts of interferences caused by site soils and confirmation of low level MDLs by low level spikes, the mathematical adjustment made to the MRLs could not be verified.

EMAX demonstrated a lower MRL value in a clean matrix using a modified preparation factor and modified calibration concentration levels in their MDL study. EMAX was able to achieve the lower limits through statistical analyses with a clean matrix, however, these low limits were not verified in the site soil matrices and calculated MDL values were also than a ratio of 1:10 of the spike level in six out of ten compounds.

For both laboratories, the lines of evidence to verify the low level MRLs such as the laboratory-specific MDL studies and limited low level spikes results could not substantiate the precision of the low level MRLs in the site's soil matrix and potential interferences. The adjustment factors discussed in Section 4 have been mathematically confirmed between the Phases 1 and 2 data and Phase 3 data and have been used to convert the low level MRLs to the appropriate standard MRLs required for site-specific data and for all future analyses.

cc: John Jones, DOE Stephanie Jennings, DOE Table 1 - Summary of Method Detection Limit Results - Herbicides

Compound	Units	Method Detection Limit - Test 1	Method Detection Limit - Test 2	Method Detection Limit - Test 3	Method Detection Limit - 21 Point	Phase 1 Modified Co-located Method Reporting Limit	Phase 1 Modified Co-located Method Detection Limit
2,4,5-T	ug/kg	0.0432	0.0337	0.0444	0.0854	0.17	0.082
2,4,5-TP	ug/kg	0.0240	0.0577	0.116	0.1106	0.17	0.075
2,4-D	ug/kg	0.2739	0.6652	0.5258	1.0656	3.6	1.2
2,4-DB	ug/kg	0.4173	0.5122	0.3942	0.5303	1.7	0.62
2,4-DP	ug/kg	1.8165	0.6722	0.7917	1.7768	1.7	0.8
Dalapon	ug/kg	0.9709	0.9537	1.3235	1.8543	9	4.4
Dicamba	ug/kg	0.2036	0.0745	0.1237	0.1529	1.2	0.4
Dinoseb	ug/kg	0.4212	1.7082	1.1634	1.3268	2.4	0.8
MCPA	ug/kg	107.4258	122.2933	52.4746	96.0340	250	76
MCPP	ug/kg	315.1295	634.2651	211.2401	379.7152	250	75

Notes:

Value exceeds the Phase 1 MDL

Value exceeds the Phase 1 MDL and MRL

MDL = Method Detection Limit is the minimum concentration of a substance that can be measured and reported with 99% confidence that the analyte concentration is greater than zero and is determined from analysis of a sample in a given matrix containing the analyte.

MDL 21 Point = Laboratory processed 21 replicate spikes to evaluate method accuracy and precision recoveries and relative standard deviations

ug/kg = microgram per kilogram

2,4,5-T = 2,4,5-Trichlorophenoxyacetic Acid

2,4,5-TP = Silvex (2,4,5-Trichlorophenoxyacetic acid)

2,4-D = Dichlorophenoxyacetic Acid

2,4-DB = 4-(2,4-dichlorophenoxy)butanoic acid

2,4-DP = Dichloroprop

MCPA = 2-Methyl-4-Chlorophenoxyacetic Acid

MCPP = Methylchlorophenoxyproprionic Acid

PCP = Pentachlorophenol

Laboratory Conducting the Study = Lancaster Laboratories Inc.

Analytical Method = Method 8151A

Study Dates = 8/22/11, 8/24/11, and 8/29/11

Media = Ottowa Sand

Table 2 - Accuracy and Precision of MDL Tests

												_
Method 8151 Precision Data *	RSD	7.3	5.7	5.3	7.6	ស		7.5	7.8	5.3	3.4	
MDL 21 Point	RSD	16.8	25.0	19.2	9.5	23.8	16.7	18.1	52.8	19.6	52.0	***************************************
MDL Test 3	RSD	7.6	19.5	7.8	5.9	9.6	12	10.1	27.8	9.8	36.2	
MDL Test 2	RSD	6.1	14.7	12.1	6.7	8.4	6.3	8.2	46.7	20.9	63.3	
MDL Test 1	RSD	5.6	3.6	3.2	5.5	15.3	6.3	19.8	27.6	15.2	27.6	
Compound		2,4,5-T	2,4,5-TP	2,4-D	2,4-DB	2,4-DP	Dalapon	Dicamba	Dinoseb	MCPA	MCPP	, , ,

Notes:

MDL = Method Detection Limit
RSD = Relative Standard Deviation
2,4,5-T = 2,4,5-Trichlorophenoxyacetic Acid
2,4,5-TP = Silvex (2,4,5-Trichlorophenoxyacetic acid)
2,4-D = Dichlorophenoxyacetic Acid
2,4-DB = 4-(2,4-dichlorophenoxy)butanoic acid
2,4-DP = Dichlorophenoxyybutanoic acid
2,4-DP = Dichlorophenoxyproprionic Acid
MCPA = 2-Methyl-4-Chlorophenoxyproprionic Acid

PCP = Pentachlorophenol

Laboratory Conducting the Study = Lancaster Laboratories Inc.
Analytical Method = Method 8151A
Study Dates = 8/22/11, 8/24/11, and 8/29/11
Media = Ottowa Sand
*- Values obtained from SW-846 Method 8151A Table 5 (provided in backup documentation)

Table 3 - Modified Reporting Limit Comparisons

				Possipow Possignin	LLI Phase 1 Modified
		Highest of individual	MDL 21	Herbicide MDL (J Value Limit	(Reported LOQ Value from
Compound	Units	MDL tests	Point	from Data Table ^[1])	Data Table ^[1])
2,4,5-T	ug/kg	0.044	0.085	0.082	0.170
2,4,5-TP	ug/kg	0.116	0.111	0.075	0.170
2,4-D	ug/kg	0.665	1.066	1.200	3.600
2,4-DB	ug/kg	0.512	0.530	0.620	1.700
2,4-DP	ug/kg	1.817	1.777	0.800	1.700
Dalapon	ug/kg	1.324	1.854	4.400	9.000
Dicamba	ug/kg	0.204	0.153	0.400	1.200
Dinoseb	ug/kg	1.708	1.327	0.800	2.400
MCPA	ug/kg	122.290	96.034	76.000	250.000
MCPP	ug/kg	634.265	379.715	75.000	250.000
NI-ter.					

Jalue exceeds the LLI Phase 1 Modified Herbicide MDL. This value was derived by dividing the standard MDL by 10 to achieve the method modification.

Value exceeds the LLI Phase 1 Modified Herbicide MRL. This value was derived by dividing the standard MRL by 10 to achieve the method modification.

[1] = See Attachment A, Part 2

ug/kg = microgram per kilogram

MDL = Method Detection Limit

MDL 21 Point = Laboratory processed 21 replicate spikes to evaluate method accuracy and precision recoveries and relative standard deviations

LLI = Lancaster Laboratories Inc.

MRL = Method Reporting Limit

J = The analyte is an estimated quantity. The associated numerical value is the approximate concentration of the analyte in the sample.

2,4,5-T = 2,4,5-Trichlorophenoxyacetic Acid

2,4,5-TP = Silvex (2,4,5-Trichlorophenoxyacetic acid)

2,4-D = Dichlorophenoxyacetic Acid

2,4-DB = 4-(2,4-dichlorophenoxy)butanoic acid

2,4-DP = Dichloroprop

MCPA = 2-Methyl-4-Chlorophenoxyacetic Acid MCPP = Methylchlorophenoxyproprionic Acid

PCP = Pentachlorophenol

Laboratory Conducting the Study = Lancaster Laboratories Inc. Analytical Method = Method 8151A

Study Dates = 8/22/11, 8/24/11, and 8/29/11

Media = Ottowa Sand

Table 4a - Herbicide Method Blank Reporting Limit Results Comparisons (site to non site and Phase 1 to Phase 3)

		Modified	Modified Method		Standard	Standard Method	
		Lancaster S	Lancaster Site Specific	Lancaster Nor	Lancaster Non Site Specific	Lancaster S	Lancaster Site Specific
		Method Blan	Method Blank Result SDG:	Method Blank Result SDG:	k Result SDG:	Method Blank Result SDG:	c Result SDG:
		DE093 3/12/2	DE093 3/12/2011 ⁽²⁾ Phase 1	DMS01 3/2	DMS01 3/25/2011 (2) (3)	PH067 7/19/2	PH067 7/19/2013 Phase 3
Analyte	Units	MRL (1)	MDL (1)	MRL	MDL	MRL	MDL
2,4,5-T	ug/kg	0.170	0.082	1.700	0.820	1.700	0.820
2,4,5-TP	ug/kg	0.170	0.075	1.700	0.750	1.700	0.750
2,4-D	ug/kg	3.600	1.200	36.000	12.000	36.000	12.000
2,4-DB	ug/kg	1.700	0.620	17.000	6.200	17.000	6.200
2,4-DP	ug/kg	1.700	0.800	17.000	8.000	17.000	9.000
Dalapon	ug/kg	9.000	4.400	000:06	44.000	000'06	44.000
Dicamba	ug/kg	1.200	0.400	12.000	4.000	12.000	4.000
Dinoseb	ug/kg	2.400	0.800	24.000	8.000	24.000	9.000
MCPA	ug/kg	250.000	76.000	2500.000	260.000	2500.000	760.000
MCPP	ug/kg	250.000	75.000	2500.000	750.000	2500.000	750.000

2,4,5-T = 2,4,5-Trichlorophenoxyacetic Acid

2,4,5-TP = Silvex (2,4,5-Trichlorophenoxyacetic acid)

2,4-D = Dichlorophenoxyacetic Acid

2,4-DB = 4-(2,4-dichlorophenoxy)butanoic acid

MCPA = 2-Methyl-4-Chlorophenoxyacetic Acid 2,4-DP = Dichloroprop

MCPP = Methylchlorophenoxyproprionic Acid

ug/kg = microgram per kilogram

MRL = Method Reporting Limit lowest concentration at which an analyte can be confidently detected in a sample and its

concentration can be reported with a reasonable degree of accuracy and precision.

MDL = Method Detection Limit the minimum concentration that can be measured and reported with a 99 percent confidence that the concentration is greater than 0, but the exact concentration cannot be reliably quantified.

SDG = Sample Delivery Group

1) The MDL and MRL reflect the preparation factor 60 grams/2mL (eg. 30). The adjustment factor ratio of Phase 1 to Phase 3

preparation factors was 10.

2) The samples were being analyzed during the first phase of site work in 2011.

3) The MDL and MRL reflect the standard preparation factor used by Lancaster Laboratories for all other projects at the same time frame that SSFL samples were being analyzed with the modified method and associated modified preparation factor.

Table 4b - Pesticide Method Blank Reporting Limit Results Comparisons (site to non site and Phase 1 to Phase 3)

		Lancaster Site Specific	te Specific	Lancaster No	Lancaster Non Site Specific	Lancaster Site Specific	ite Specific
		Method Blank Result SDG:	Result SDG:	Method Blan	Method Blank Result SDG:	Method Blank	Method Blank Result SDG:
		DE256 10/11/2011 ⁽²⁾ Phase 1	011 ⁽²⁾ Phase 1	PH046 6/20	PH046 6/20/2013 (2) (3)	PH046 6/20/2013 Phase 3	2013 Phase 3
Analyte	Units	MRL ⁽¹⁾	MDF (1)	MRL	MDL	MRL	MDL
Alpha BHC ug/	ug/kg	0.170	0.034	0.830	0.170	0:830	0.170
Gamma BHC - Lindane ug/	ug/kg	0.170	0.034	0.830	0.170	0:830	0.170
	ug/kg	0.170	090.0	0.830	0.300	1.900	0.960
Delta BHC ug/	ug/kg	0.170	0.036	0.830	0.180	0.830	0.450
Heptachlor ug/	ug/kg	0.170	090:0	0.830	0.300	0:830	0.170
Aldrin ug/	ug/kg	0.170	0.066	0.830	0.330	0:830	0.170
Heptachlor Epoxide ug/	ug/kg	0.170	0.034	0.830	0.170	0:830	0.170
p,p-DDE ug/	ug/kg	0.340	0.066	1.700	0.330	1.700	0.330
Endosulfan I ug/	ug/kg	0.170	0.044	0.830	0.220	0:830	0.220
Dieldrin ug/	ug/kg	0.340	0.066	1.700	0.330	1.700	0.330
***************************************	ug/kg	0.340	0.066	1.700	0.330	1.700	0:330
/gu COO-d'd	ug/kg	0.340	0.066	1.700	0.330	1.700	0:330
Endosulfan II ug/	ug/kg	0.340	0.066	1.700	0.330	1.700	0.330
/gn TOC-d/d	ug/kg	0.340	0.066	1.700	0.330	1.700	0.350
Endrin Aldehyde ug/	ug/kg	0.340	0.066	1.700	0.330	1.700	0:330
Methyoxychior ug/	ug/kg	1.700	0.340	8.300	1.700	002'9	1.700
Endosulfan Sulfate ug/	ug/kg	0.340	0.066	1.700	0.330	1.700	0.330
Wirex ug/	ug/kg	0.340	0.066	1.700	0.330	1.700	0.350
Endrin Ketone ug/	ug/kg	0.340	0.066	1.700	0.330	1.800	0.600
Chlordane ug/	ug/kg	3.400	0.800	1.700	4.000	17.000	4.000
Toxaphene ug/	ug/kg	6.600	2.200	33.000	11.000	33.000	14.000

Votes:

BHC = beta-hexachlorocyclohexane

DDE = dichlorodiphenyldichloroethylene

DDD = dichlorodiphenyldichloroethylene

DDT = dichlorodiphenyitrichloroethane

ug/kg = microgram per kilogram

MRL = Method Reporting Limit lowest concentration at which an analyte can be confidently detected in a sample and its concentration can be reported with a reasonable degree of accuracy and precision. MDL = Method Detection Limit the minimum concentration that can be measured and reported with a 99 percent confidence that the concentration is greater than 0, but the exact concentration cannot be reliably quantified.

SDG = Sample Delivery Group

1) The MDL and MRL reflect the preparation factor 60 grams/10mL - GPC of 5ml to 2 ml final volume for a factor 5 of the normal 30g/10 ml with GPC of 5 ml to 5ml final volume. The adjustment factor ratio of Phase 1 to Phase 3 preparation factors was 5.

2) The samples were being analyzed during the first phase of site work in 2011.

3) The MDL and MRL reflect the standard preparation factor used by Lancaster Laboratories for all other projects at the same time frame that SSFL samples were being analyzed with the modified method and associated modified preparation factor.

Table 4c - PCB Method Blank Reporting Limit Results Comparisons (site to non site and Phase 1 to Phase 3)

		Modified	Modified Method		Standard	Standard Method	
		Lancaster S	Lancaster Site Specific	Lancaster No	Lancaster Non Site Specific	Lancaster Site Specific	ite Specific
		Method Blank Result SDG:	k Result SDG:	Method Blan	Method Blank Result SDG:	Method Blank Result SDG:	k Result SDG:
		DE216 8/18/2	DE216 8/18/2011 ⁽²⁾ Phase 1	PH046 6/20	PH046 6/20/2013 (2) (3)	PH046 6/10/7	PH046 6/10/2013 Phase 3
Analyte	Units	MRL (1)	MDL (1)	MRL	MDL	MRL	MDL
PCB-1016	ug/kg	1.700	0.330	17.000	3.300	17.000	3.300
PCB-1221	ug/kg	1.700	0:330	17.000	3.300	17.000	5.100
PCB-1232	ug/kg	1.700	0:330	17.000	3.300	17.000	4.100
PCB-1242	ug/kg	1.700	0:330	17.000	3.300	17.000	4.100
PCB-1248	ug/kg	1.700	0:330	17.000	3.300	000.71	3.300
PCB-1254	ug/kg	1.700	0:330	17.000	3.300	17.000	4.400
PCB-1260	ug/kg	1.700	068:0	17.000	3.900	17.000	3.900
PCB-1262	ug/kg	1.700	0:330	17.000	3.300	17.000	3.300
PCB-1268	ug/kg	1.700	0:3:30	17.000	3.300	17.000	3.300
Aroclor-5432	ug/kg	3.300	1.000	NA	NA	33.000	10.000
Aroclor-5442	ug/kg	3.300	1.000	NA	NA	33.000	10.000
Aroclor-5460	ug/kg	3.300	1.000	NA	NA	33.000	10.000

PCB = polychlorinated biphenyl

ug/kg = microgram per kilogram

NA = No non site specific method blanks were analyzed for Aroclor-5432, Aroclor-5442, and Aroclor-5460 during this time period.

MRL = Method Reporting Limit lowest concentration at which an analyte can be confidently detected in a sample and its

concentration can be reported with a reasonable degree of accuracy and precision.

MDL = Method Detection Limit the minimum concentration that can be measured and reported with a 99 percent confidence that the concentration is greater than 0, but the exact concentration cannot be reliably quantified.

SDG = Sample Delivery Group

1) The MDL and MRL reflect the preparation factor 60 grams/2mL (eg. 30). The adjustment factor ratio of Phase 1 to Phase 3 preparation factors was 10.

The samples were being analyzed during the first phase of site work in 2011.

3) The MDL and MRL reflect the standard preparation factor used by Lancaster Laboratories for all other projects at the same time frame that SSFL samples were being analyzed with the modified method and associated modified preparation factor.

Table 5a - EMAX Herbicide MRL Comparisons based on Method Blank Reporting Limit Results (Phase 1 to Phase 3 Site Data)

		Modifiec	Modified Method	Standarı	Standard Method	Factors to adjust Phase 1 and 2 MRLs
		Phases 1 and 2 MR blank): Method Blar 3/21	Phases 1 and 2 MRL (from site specific blank): Method Blank Result SDG 12C182 3/26/12	Phase 3 MRL (from site Blank Result SD(Phase 3 MRL (from site specific blank): Method Blank Result SDG 12F037 6/11/12	Factor Difference (Phase 3 MRL/Phases 1 and 2 MRL)
Analyte	Units	MRL ⁽¹⁾	MDL ⁽¹⁾	MRL (2)	MDL ⁽²⁾	MRL (1)
2,4-D	µg/kg	3.600	1.800	10.000	5.000	2.778
2,4-DB	µg/kg	1.700	0.850	10.000	5.000	5.882
2,4,5-T	μg/kg	0.170	0.085	10.000	5.000	58.824
2,4,5-TP (Silvex)	µg/kg	0.170	0.085	10.000	5.000	58.824
Dalapon	µg/kg	9.000	4.500	10.000	5.000	1.111
Dicamba	µg/kg	1.200	0.600	10.000	2.000	8.333
Dichloroprop (2,4-DP)	µg/kg	2.400	1.200	10.000	5.000	4.167
Dinoseb	μg/kg	1.700	0.850	10.000	5.000	5.882
MCPA	µg/kg	250.000	120.000	2000.000	1000.000	8.000
MCPP	µg/kg	250.000	120.000	2000.000	1000.000	8.000

Notes:

2,4-D = Dichlorophenoxyacetic Acid

2,4-DB = 4-(2,4-dichlorophenoxy)butanoic acid

2,4,5-T = 2,4,5-Trichlorophenoxyacetic Acid

2,4,5-TP = Silvex (2,4,5-Trichlorophenoxyacetic acid)

2,4-DP = Dichloroprop

MCPA = 2-Methyl-4-Chlorophenoxyacetic Acid

MCPP = Methylchlorophenoxyproprionic Acid

ug/kg = microgram per kilogram

MRL = Method Reporting Limit lowest concentration at which an analyte can be confidently detected in a sample and its concentration can be reported with a reasonable degree of accuracy and precision. MDL = Method Detection Limit the minimum concentration that can be measured and reported with a 99 percent confidence that the concentration is greater than 0, but the exact concentration cannot be reliably quantified.

SDG = Sample Delivery Group

- 1) The MDL and MRL reflect the preparation factor 20 grams/5mL (eg. 4). The adjustment factor ratio of Phase 1 to Phase 3 preparation factor is analyte specific as shown in the "Factors to adjust Phase 1 and 2 MRLs" column.
- 2) The MDL and MRL reflect the standard preparation factor used by EMAX for the SSFL Phase 3 samples.
 - 3) The soil used for the modified method and standard method blanks was Ottawa sand.

Table 5b - EMAX Pesticide MRL Comparisons based on Method Blank Reporting Limit Results (Phase 1 to Phase 3 Site Data)

		Modified	Modified Method	Standarı	Standard Method	Factors to adjust Phase 1 and 2 MRLs
		Phases 1 and 2 MR blank): Method Blan	Phases 1 and 2 MRL (from site specific biank): Method Blank Result SDG 12C305	Phase 3 MRL (from site Blank Result SDO	Phase 3 MRL (from site specific blank): Method Blank Result SDG 12F037 6/11/12	Factor Difference (Phase 3 MRI/Phases 1 and
		4/1	4/1//12			2 MRL)
Analyte	Units	MRL ⁽¹⁾	MDL ⁽¹⁾	MRL ⁽²⁾	MDL (2)	MRL (1)
Alpha-BHC	ug/kg	0.170	0.085	2.000	0.400	11.765
Gamma-BHC (Lindane)	µg/kg	0.170	0.085	2.000	0.400	11.765
Beta-BHC	µg/kg	0.170	0.085	2.000	0.400	11.765
Heptachlor	µg/kg	0.170	0.085	2.000	0.400	11.765
Delta-BHC	µg/kg	0.170	0.085	2.000	0.400	11.765
Aldrin	µg/kg	0.170	0.085	2.000	0.400	11.765
Heptachlor Epoxide	µg/kg	0.170	0.085	2.000	0.400	11.765
Endosulfan i	hg/kg	0.170	0.085	2.000	0.400	11.765
4,4'-DDE	hg/kg	0.340	0.170	2.000	0.400	5.882
Dieldrin	μg/kg	0.340	0.170	2.000	0.400	5.882
Endrin	µg/kg	0.340	0.170	2.000	0.400	5.882
4,4'-DDD	µg/kg	0.340	0.170	2.000	0.400	5.882
Endosulfan II	µg/kg	0.340	0.170	2.000	0.400	5.882
4,4-DDT	µg/kg	0.340	0.170	2.000	0.400	5.882
Endrin Aldehyde	µg/kg	0.340	0.170	2.000	0.400	5.882
Endosulfan Sulfate	µg/kg	0.340	0.170	2.000	0.400	5.882
Endrin Ketone	ug/kg	0.340	0.170	2.000	0.400	5.882
Methoxychlor	µg/kg	1.700	0.850	5.000	2,000	2.941
Mirex	ug/kg	0.340	1.700	2.000	0.400	5.882
Toxaphene	µg/kg	6.600	3.300	50.000	10.000	7.576
Chlordane (Techncial)	µg/kg	3.400	1.700	10.000	5.000	2.941

BHC = beta-hexachlorocyclohexane

DDE = dichlorodiphenyldichloroethylene

DDD = dichlorodiphenyldichloroethylene

DDT = dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane

ug/kg = microgram per kilogram

MRL = Method Reporting Limit lowest concentration at which an analyte can be confidently detected in a sample and its concentration can be reported with a reasonable degree of accuracy and precision. MDL = Method Detection Limit the minimum concentration that can be measured and reported with a 99 percent confidence that the concentration is greater than 0, but the exact concentration cannot be reliably quantified.

SDG = Sample Delivery Group

- 1) The MDL and MRL reflect the preparation factor 30 grams/4mL (eg. 7.5). The adjustment factor ratio of Phase 1 to Phase 3 preparation factor is analyte specific as shown in the "Factors to adjust Phase 1 and 2 MRLs" column.
- 2) The MDL and MRL reflect the standard preparation factor used by EMAX for the SSFL Phase 3 samples. 3) The soil used for the modified method and standard method blanks was Ottawa sand.

Table 5c - EMAX PCB MRL Comparisons based on Method Blank Reporting Limit Results (Phase 1 to Phase 3 Site Data)

		Modified	Modified Method	Standarc	Standard Method	Factors to adjust Phase 1 and 2 MRLs
		Phases 1 and 2 MRL (from site specific blank): Method Blank Result SDG 12C305 4/17/12	Phases 1 and 2 MRL (from site specific iank): Method Blank Result SDG 12C305	Phase 3 MRL (from site Blank Result SDG	Phase 3 MRL (from site specific blank): Method Blank Result SDG 12F037 6/11/12	Factor Difference (Phase 3 MRL/Phases 1 and 2 MRL)
Analyte	Units	MRL ⁽¹⁾	MDL (1)	MRL ⁽²⁾	MDL (2)	MRL (1)
Aroclor 1016	цв/кв	1.700	0.850	20.000	10.000	11.765
Aroclor 1221	µg/kg	1.700	0.850	20.000	10.000	11.765
Aroclor 1232	цв/кв	1.700	0.850	20.000	10.000	11.765
Aroclor 1242	µg/kg	1.700	0.850	20.000	10.000	11.765
Aroclor 1248	цв/кв	1.700	0.850	20.000	10.000	11.765
Aroclor 1254	ug/kg	1.700	0.850	20:000	10.000	11.765
Aroclor 1260	µg/kg	1.700	0.850	20.000	10.000	11.765
Aroclor 1262	μg/kg	1.700	0.850	20.000	10.000	11.765
Arocior 1.268	µg/kg	1.700	0.850	20.000	10.000	11.765
Arocior 5432	µg/kg	3.300	1.700	40.000	20.000	12.121
Aroclor 5442	µg/kg	3.300	1.700	40.000	20.000	12.121
Aroclor 5460	µg/kg	3.300	1.700	40.000	20.000	12.121

Notes.

ug/kg = microgram per kilogram

MRL = Method Reporting Limit lowest concentration at which an analyte can be confidently detected in a sample and its concentration can be reported with a reasonable degree of accuracy and precision. MDL = Method Detection Limit the minimum concentration that can be measured and reported with a 99 percent confidence that the concentration is greater than 0, but the exact concentration cannot be reliably quantified.

SDG = Sample Delivery Group

- 1) The MDL and MRL reflect the preparation factor 30 grams/4mL (eg. 7.5). The adjustment factor ratio of Phase 1 to Phase 3 preparation factor is analyte specific as shown in the "Factors to adjust Phase 1 and 2 MRLs" column.
- 2) The MDL and MRL reflect the standard preparation factor used by EMAX for the SSFL Phase 3 samples.
 - 3) The soil used for the modified method and standard method blanks was Ottawa sand.

Table 6 - EMAX MDL to Spike Level Comparison Phase 1 and Phase 2 Data - Herbicide

		CHANNEL MANAGEMENT OF THE CONTROL OF	27.5% or experimental and a second of the se		
Analyte	Units	MDL Spike Level	One Tenth of the Spike Level	Calculated MDL by EMAX	Calculated MDL by EMAX - Greater than One Tenth of the Spike Level - Yes/No
2,4-D	µg/kg	9	9:0	1.0011	Yes
2,4-DB	µg/kg	9	0.6	0.517	ON
Dalapon	µg/kg	31.5	3.15	1.1217	No
Dicamba	µg/kg	2	0.2	0.5755	Yes
Dichloroprop	µg/kg	4	0.4	1.2	Yes
Dinoseb	µg/kg	2.5	0.25	0.1921	No
MCPA	µg/kg	882.5	88.25	59.863	No
MCPP	µg/kg	882.5	88.25	124.16	Yes
Silvex	µg/kg	9.0	0.06	0.065	Yes
2,4,5-T	µg/kg	9.0	90:0	0.075	Yes

Notes:

2,4-D = Dichlorophenoxyacetic Acid

2,4-DB = 4-(2,4-dichlorophenoxy)butanoic acid

2,4,5-T = 2,4,5-Trichlorophenoxyacetic Acid

2,4,5-TP = Silvex (2,4,5-Trichlorophenoxyacetic acid)

2,4-DP = Dichloroprop MCPA = 2-Methyl-4-Chlorophenoxyacetic Acid

MCPP = Methylchlorophenoxyproprionic Acid

ug/kg = microgram per kilogram

MDL = Method Detection Limit the minimum concentration that can be measured and reported with a 99 percent confidence that the concentration is greater than 0, but the exact concentration cannot be reliably quantified.

Spike Level = Level at which each MDL sample was spiked at. Refer to Attachment B EMAX Results of Method Detection Limit Study and Limit of Quantitation Verifications.

Attachment A – Part 1 Lancaster Laboratories Inc. Method Detection Limit Test Data (See Table 2)

Compound	MDL Test	(ug/kg)	Measured Value (ug/kg)	Recovery (%)	Average Recovery (%) Per Individual MDL Test	Average Recovery (%) per Three MDL Tests
		0.2267	0.2429	107.15		
		0.2267	0.2506	110.54		
		0.2267	0.271	119.54		
	Test 1	0.2267	0.2359	104.06	107.84	
		0.2267	0.2353	103.79		
		0.2267	0.2462	108.60		
		0.2267	0.2294	101.19		
		0.2267	0.1969	86.85		
		0.2267	0.1779	78.47		
		0.2267	0.17	74.99		
2,4,5-T	Test 2	0.2267	0.1733	76.44	76.96	88.89
		0.2267	0.1647	72.65		
		0.2267	0.168	74.11		
		0.2267	0.1705	75.21		
		0.2267	0.2106	92.90		
		0.2267	0.1808	79.75		
		0.2267	0.1836	80.99		
	Test 3	0.2267	0.1638	72.25	81.87	
36		0.2267	0.1824	80.46		
	İ	0.2267	0.1932	85.22		
		0.2267	0.1848	81.52		
	8	0.2267	0.2112	93.16		
		0.2267	0.208	91.75		
		0.2267	0.2123	93.65		
	Test 1	0.2267	0.219	96.60	92.77	
	AND	0.2267	0.2157	95.15		
	l	0.2267	0.211	93.07		
		0.2267	0.195	86.02		
		0.2267	0.1504	66.34		
		0.2267	0.1401	61.80		
		0.2267	0.1079	47.60		
2,4,5-TP	Test 2	0.2267	0.1406	62.02	55.07	77.07
10 mm		0.2267	0.1162	51.26		
		0.2267	0.1044	46.05		
		0.2267	0.1143	50.42		
		0.2267	0.231	101.90	i i	
		0.2267	0.2462	108.60		
		0.2267	0.1728	76.22		
	Test 3	0.2267	0.1496	65.99	83.38	
		0.2267	0.196	86.46		
		0.2267	0.1629	71.86		·
		0.2267	0.1646	72.61		

Compound	MDL Test	Initial Concentration (ug/kg)	Measured Value (ug/kg)	Recovery (%)	Average Recovery (%) Per Individual MDL Test	Average Recovery (%) per Three MDL Tests
		2.2667 2.2667	2.6335 2.653	116.18 117.04		
		2.2667	2.7909	123.13		
	Test 1	2.2667	2.7908	123.12	118.26	
н		2.2667	2.7029	119.24		
		2.2667	2.6403	116.48		
		2.2667	2.5535	112.65		
		2.2667	2.1074	92.97		
		2.2667	1.8748	82.71		
		2.2667	1.5728	69.39		
2,4-D	Test 2	2.2667	1.8844	83.13	77.09	96.65
		2.2667	1.6367	72.21		
		2.2667	1.5333	67.64		
		2.2667	1.6226	71.58		
		2.2667	2.4124	106.43		
		2.2667	1.9815	87.42		
		2.2667	2.1891	96.58		
2,4-DB	Test 3	2.2667	2.0095	88.65	94.59	
		2.2667	2.1256	93.78		
		2.2667	1.9883	87.72		
		2.2667	2.3014	101.53		
		2.2712	2.3669	104.21		
		2.2712	2.3278	102.49	106.61	
	Meso I DV Neo	2.2712	2.6624	117.22	100000000000000000000000000000000000000	
	Test 1	2.2712	2.3456	103.28	106.61	
		2.2712	2.4629	108.44		
		2.2712	2.5076	110.41		
		2.2712	2.2762	100.22		
		2.2712	2.0344	89.57		
	T+0	2.2712	2.2197	97.73		
		2.2712	2.185	96.20	00.60	06.07
	Test 2	2.2712	1.9079	84.00	90.60	96.97
		2.2712	1.794	78.99		
		2.2712 2.2712	2.2136 2.05	97.46 90.26		
		2.2712	2.05	100.08		
		2.2712	2.273	92.48		
		2.2712	2.1665	95.39		
	Test 3	2.2712	1.8808	82.81	93.71	
	16212	2.2712	2.1933	96.57	99.71	
		2.2712	2.1935	96.58		
	-	2.2712	2.1930	92.02		

Compound	MDL Test	Initial Concentration (ug/kg)	Measured Value (ug/kg)	Recovery (%)	Average Recovery (%) Per Individual MDL Test	Average Recovery (%) per Three MDL Tests
		2.2757	3.4573	151.92	166.29	
		2.2757	3.9601	174.02		
	30:00	2.2757	3.4997	153.79		
	Test 1	2.2757	3.6964	162.43		
		2.2757	3.3839	148.70		
		2.2757	5.0177	220.49		
=		2.2757	3.4743	152.67		
		2.2757	2.8954	127.23		
		2.2757	2.4535	107.81		
		2.2757	2.531	111.22		
2,4-DP	Test 2	2.2757	2.6988	118.59	111.71	129.85
		2.2757	2.3231	102.08		
		2.2757	2.2871	100.50		
		2.2757	2.6061	114.52		
		2.2757	2.7185	119.46	111.54	
	Test 3	2.2757	2.6841	117.95		
		2.2757	2.5053	110.09		
		2.2757	2.2307	98.02		
		2.2757	2.922	128.40		
		2.2757	2.2641	99.49		
<i>i</i>		2.2757	2.4443	107.41		
		5.6803	5.175	91.10	86.29	77.49
		5.6803	4.913	86.49		
		5.6803	4.947	87.09		
	Test 1	5.6803	5.031	88.57		
		5.6803	4.403	77.51		
		5.6803	5.261	92.62		
		5.6803	4.582	80.66		
	Test 2	5.6803	5.334	93.90	84.55 61.61	
		5.6803	4.954	87.21		
Dalapon		5.6803	4.538	79.89		
		5.6803	4.967	87.44		
		5.6803	4.453	78.39		
		5.6803	4.711	82.94		
		5.6803	4.663	82.09		
	Test 3	5.6803	4.0101	70.60		
		5.6803	3.4047	59.94		
		5.6803	3.946	69.47		
		5.6803	3.1669	55.75		
		5.6803	3.0445	53.60		
		5.6803	3.8338	67.49		
		5.6803	3.0927	54.45		

Compound	MDL Test	Initial Concentration (ug/kg)	Measured Value (ug/kg)	Recovery (%)	Average Recovery (%) Per Individual MDL Test	Average Recovery (%) per Three MDL Tests
		0.2267	0.2599	114.64		
		0.2267	0.3786	167.00		
		0.2267	0.2879	127.00		
	Test 1	0.2267	0.2689	118.61	144.67	
		0.2267	0.3197	141.02		
		0.2267	0.4405	194.31		
		0.2267	0.3403	150.11		
		0.2267	0.3301	145.61		
		0.2267	0.3021	133.26		
		0.2267	0.2605	114.91		
Dicamba	Test 2	0.2267	0.3005	132.55	127.36	147.79
		0.2267	0.2817	124.26		
		0.2267	0.2719	119.94		
		0.2267	0.2742	120.95		
ĺ		0.2267	0.3744	165.15		
2	Test 3	0.2267	0.3204	141.33	171.34	
		0.2267	0.4349	191.84		
		0.2267	0.3995	176.22		
		0.2267	0.3769	166.25		
		0.2267	0.433	191.00		
		0.2267	0.3799	167.58		
) 		2.7404	0.543	19.81		
		2.7404	0.4892	17.85		
	l	2.7404	0.3019	11.02		
	Test 1	2.7404	0.3485	12.72	17.72	
		2.7404	0.5526	20.16		36.25
		2.7404	0.702	25.62		
		2.7404	0.4613	16.83		
	Test 2	2.7404	1.5303	55.84	42.48 48.57	
		2.7404	0.633	23.10		
		2.7404	1.5552	56.75		
Dinoseb		2.7404	0.1968	7.18		
		2.7404	1.1788	43.02		
		2.7404	1.4855	54.21		
		2.7404	1.569	57.25		
	Test 3	2.7404	2.025	73.89		
		2.7404	1.4984	54.68		
		2.7404	1.4043	51.24		
		2.7404	1.256	45.83		
		2.7404	1.0679	38.97		
		2.7404	0.8683	31.69		
		2.7404	1.1966	43.67		

Compound	MDL Test	Initial Concentration (ug/kg)	Measured Value (ug/kg)	Recovery (%)	Average Recovery (%) Per Individual MDL Test	Average Recovery (%) per Three MDL Tests
		227.528	222.6732	97.87		
	1	227.528	199.7546	87.79		
		227.528	290.007	127.46		
	Test 1	227.528	247.5441	108.80	99.06	
		227.528	206.8072	90.89		
		227.528	221.8998	97.53	ii	
		227.528	189.0421	83.09		
		227.528	267.1662	117.42		
		227.528	200.9182	88.30		85.31
*		227.528	152.0952	66.85		
MCPA	Test 2	227.528	173.4384	76.23	81.80	
		227.528	178.7179	78.55	(e	
		227.528	160.2243	70.42		
		227.528	170.2316	74.82		
		227.528	195.81	86.06		
	Test 3	227.528	139.13	61.15	75.07	,ª
		227.528	175.9499	77.33		
		227.528	167.7743	73.74		
		227.528	171.9971	75.59		
		227.528	171.7917	75.50		
		227.528	173.2286	76.14		
(9)		226.984	435.101	191.69	159.88	127.37
_		226.984	444.4548	195.81		
		226.984	287.4663	126.65		
	Test 1	226.984	314.6129	138.61		
		226.984	250.5333	110.37		
		226.984	514.5821	226.70		
		226.984	293.6371	129.36		
	Test 2	226.984	265,109	116.80	140.48	
MCPP		226.984	205.9358	90.73		
		226.984	746.0858	328.70		
		226.984	128.9464	56.81		
		226.984	223.3066	98.38		
		226.984	349.6945	154.06		
	Test 3	226.984	313.0266	137.91 135.52	81.73	
		226.984	307.603	110.12		
		226.984	249.9572	67.55		
		226.984	153.3274	54.95		
		226.984	124.7388	74.58		
		226.984 226.984	169.2946 152.2124	67.06		
		226.984	141.4397	62.31		

Compound	MDL Test	Initial Concentration (ug/kg)	Measured Value (ug/kg)	Recovery (%)	Average Recovery (%) Per Individual MDL Test	Average Recovery (%) per Three MDL Tests
		0.066	0.0811	122.88	120.45	94.78
		0.066	0.0841	127.42		
		0.066	0.077	116.67		
	Test 1	0.066	0.0775	117.42		
		0.066	0.0773	117.12		
		0.066	0.0829	125.61		
		0.066	0.0766	116.06		
		0.066	0.0462	70.00	64.61	
	Test 2	0.066	0.0443	67.12		
		0.066	0.0504	76.36		
PCP		0.066	0.0332	50.30		
**		0.066	0.0393	59.55		
		0.066	0.0411	62.27		
_		0.066	0.044	66.67		
	Test 3	0.066	0.0717	108.64	99.26	
		0.066	0.0611	92.58		
		0.066	0.0656	99.39		
		0.066	0.0572	86.67		
		0.066	0.063	95.45		
		0.066	0.074	112.12		
		0.066	0.066	100.00		

Notes:

Laboratory Conducting the Study = Lancaster Laboratories Inc.

Study Parameters = Seven replicate analyses performed over three days

Analytical Method = Method 8151A

Study Dates = 8/22/11, 8/24/11, and 8/29/11

Media = Ottowa Sand

ug/kg = microgram per kilogram

MDL = Method Detection Limit

% = percent

2,4,5-T = 2,4,5-Trichlorophenoxyacetic Acid

2,4,5-TP = Silvex (2,4,5-Trichlorophenoxyacetic acid)

2,4-D = Dichlorophenoxyacetic Acid

2,4-DB = 4-(2,4-dichlorophenoxy)butanoic acid

2,4-DP = Dichloroprop

MCPA = 2-Methyl-4-Chlorophenoxyacetic Acid

MCPP = Methylchlorophenoxyproprionic Acid

PCP = Pentachlorophenol

Green font = values summarized in Table 2

Attachment A – Part 2 Lancaster Laboratories Inc. Data Table (See Tables 1, 2 and 3)

Attachment A Part 2 **DATA TABLE**

Herbicides MDL Data

			tiol .									10101010	C3 MIDI														
Date of MDL Analysis	Test	Scan	Piece	Compound	Units	Conc.	Trial 1	Trial 2	Trial 3	Trial 4	Trial 5	Trial 6	Trial 7	Mean	STDEV	MDL	Reported LOQ	Reported J	Passes 10X Rule	Spike/J Value Ratio	Calculated MDL Higher than the Reported J value	21 point	21 point MDL	Standard Deviation Times 100	RSD	RSD 21	Average of the trials
8/24/2011 5:22:49 PM	2	ESCALIFICACIONE	04177	2.4.5-T	ug/kg	0.2267	0.1969	0.1779	0.17	0.1733	0.1647	0.168	0.1705	0.1745	0.0107	0.0337	0.17	0.082	V	2.76	value	SIDEV	21 point MDL	DOMEST STREET, STREET	6.131805	point	uiais
8/22/2011 10:54:05 PM	1	10401	04177	2,4,5-T	ug/kg	0.2267	0.1909	0.1779	0.17	0.1755	0.2353	0.100	0.1705	0.1745	0.0107	0.0337	0.17	0.082	v	2.76					5.603272		+
8/29/2011 12:55	3		04177	2,4,5-T	ug/kg	0.2267	0.2106	0.1808	0.1836	0.1638	0.1824	0.1932	0.1848	0.1856	0.0141	0.0444	0.17	0.082	1355	2.76		0.033783	0.0854		7.596983	16 76464	0.20151
8/24/2011 5:22:49 PM	2		04176	2,4,5-TP	ug/kg	0.2267	0.1504	0.1401	0.1079	0.1406	0.1162	0.1044	0.1143	0.1248	0.0184	0.0577	0.17	0.075		3.02		0,000700	0.000		14.74359	10.7010	0.20101
8/22/2011 10:54:05 PM	1		04176	2,4,5-TP	ug/kg	0.2267	0.2112	0.208	0.2123	0.219	0.2157	0.211	0.195	0.2103	0.0076	0.0240	0.17	0.075		3.02		1			3.613885		-
8/29/2011 12:55	3	10401	04176	2.4.5-TP	ug/kg	0.2267	0.231	0.2462	0.1728	0.1496	0.196	0.1629	0.1646	0.189	0.0369	0.116	0.17	0.075		3.02	Yes	0.04374	0.1106	15,077,05		25 03383	0.17472
8/24/2011 5:22:49 PM	2	10401	04174	2.4-D	ug/kg	2.2667	2.1074	1.8748		1.8844	1.6367	1.5333	1.6226	1.7474	0.2116	0.6652	3.6	1.2	Y	1.89		510.157.			12.10942	2010000	U111112
8/22/2011 10:54:05 PM	1		04174	2,4-D	ug/kg	2.2667	2.6335	2.653	2.7909	2.7908	2.7029	2.6403	2.5535	2.6807	0.0871	0.2739	3.6	1.2	Y	1.89		1		5.0001111100	3.249151		
8/29/2011 12:55	3		04174	2,4-D	ug/kg	2.2667	2.4124	1.9815	2.1891	2.0095	2.1256	1.9883	2.3014	2.144	0.1673	0.5258	3.6	1.2	Y	1.89		0.421524	1.0656	60800.0	7.803172	19.24154	2.190
8/24/2011 5:22:49 PM	2	10401	04254	2,4-DB	ug/kg	2.2712	2.0344	2.2197	2.185	1.9079	1.794	2.2136	2.05	2.0578	0.163	0.5122	1.7	0.62	Y	3.66		1		16.3	7.921081		
8/22/2011 10:54:05 PM	1	10401	04254	2,4-DB	ug/kg	2.2712	2.3669	2.3278	2.6624	2.3456	2.4629	2.5076	2.2762	2.4213	0.1328	0.4173	1.7	0.62	Υ	3.66				13.28	5,484657		
8/29/2011 12:55	3	10401	04254	2,4-DB	ug/kg	2.2712	2.273	2.1005	2.1665	1.8808	2.1933	2.1936	2.09	2.1282	0.1254	0.3942	1.7	0.62	Υ	3.66		0.209752	0.5303	12.54	5.892303	9.523539	2.20246
8/24/2011 5:22:49 PM	2	10401	04253	2,4-DP	ug/kg	2.2757	2.8954	2.4535	2.531	2.6988	2.3231	2.2871	2.6061	2.5421	0.2139	0.6722	1.7	0.8	Υ	2.84				21.39	8.414303		
8/22/2011 10:54:05 PM	1	10401	04253	2,4-DP	ug/kg	2.2757	3.4573	3.9601	3.4997	3.6964	3.3839	5.0177	3.4743	3.7842	0.578	1.8165	1.7	0.8	Υ	2.84	Yes			57.8	15.27403		
8/29/2011 12:55	3	10401	04253	2,4-DP	ug/kg	2.2757	2.7185	2.6841	2.5053	2.2307	2.922	2.2641	2.4443	2.5384	0.2519	0.7917	1.7	8.0	Υ	2.84		0.70286	1.7768	25.19	9.923574	23.78608	2.95492
8/24/2011 5:22:49 PM	2	10401	04249	Dalapon	ug/kg	5.6803	5.334	4.954	4.538	4.967	4.453	4.711	4.663	4.8029	0.3034	0.9537	9	4.4	Y	1.29			*11	30.34	6.317017		
8/22/2011 10:54:05 PM	1	10401	04249	Dalapon	ug/kg .	5.6803	5.175	4.913	4.947	5.031	4.403	5.261	4.582	4.901714	0.309192	0.9709	9	4.4	Υ	1.29				30.91918036	6.30783	_ 0	
8/29/2011 12:55	3	10401	04249	Dalapon	ug/kg	5.6803	4.0101	3.4047	3.946	3.1669	3.0445	3.8338	3.0927	3.4998	0.4211	1.3235	9	4.4	Υ	1.29		0.733497	1.8543	42.11	12.03212	16.66486	4.40146
8/24/2011 5:22:49 PM	2	10401	04250	Dicamba	ug/kg	0.2267	0.3301	0.3021		0.3005	0.2817	0.2719	0.2742	0.2887	0.0237	0.0745	1.2	0.4	Υ	0.57				2.37	8.209214		
8/22/2011 10:54:05 PM	1	10401	04250	Dicamba	ug/kg	0.2267	0.2599	0.3786		0.2689	0.3197	0.4405	0.3403	0.328	0.0648	0.2036	1.2	0.4	Υ	0.57				6.48	19.7561		
8/29/2011 12:55	3	10401	04250	Dicamba	ug/kg	0.2267	0.3744	0.3204	0.4349	0.3995	0.3769	0.433	0.3799	0.3884	0.0394	0.1237	1.2	0.4	Υ	0.57		0.060485	0.1529	3.94	10.14418	18.05314	0.33503
8/24/2011 5:22:49 PM	2	10401	04175	Dinoseb	ug/kg	2.7404	1.5303	0.633	1.5552	0.1968	1.1788	1.4855	1.569	1.1641	0.5435	1.7082	2.4	0.8	Y	3.43	Yes			54.35	46.68843		
8/22/2011 10:54:05 PM	1	10401	04175	Dinoseb	ug/kg	2.7404	0.543	0.4892	0.3019	0.3485	0.5526	0.702	0.4613	0.4855	0.134	0.4212	2.4	8.0	Υ	3.43				13.4	27.60041		
8/29/2011 12:55	3	10401	04175	Dinoseb	ug/kg	2.7404	2.025	1.4984	1.4043	1.256	1.0679	0.8683	1.1966	1.3309	0.3701	1.1634	2.4	0.8	Υ	3.43	Yes	0.524843	1.3268	37.01	27.80825	52.8274	0.99350
8/24/2011 5:22:49 PM	2	10401	04252	Мсра	ug/kg	227.528	267.1662	200.9182	152.0952	173.4384	178.7179	160.2243	170.2316	186.1131	38.9097	122.2933	250	76	Υ	2.99	Yes			3890.97	20.90648		
8/22/2011 10:54:05 PM	1	10401	04252	Мсра	ug/kg	227.528	the state of the s	199.7546		247.5441	206.8072	221.8998	189.0421	225.3897	34.1794	107.4258	250	76	Υ	2.99	Yes			3417.94	15.16458		
8/29/2011 12:55	3	10401	04252	Мсра	ug/kg	227.528	195.81	139.13	175.9499	167.7743	171.9971	171.7917	173.2286	170.8117	16.6957	52.4746	250	76	Υ	2.99		37.98812	96.0340		9.77433	19.57093	194.104
8/24/2011 5:22:49 PM	2	10401	04251	Мсрр	ug/kg	226.984	265.109	205.9358		128.9464	223.3066	349.6945	313.0266	The second second		634.2651	250	75	Υ	3.03	Yes			20180.24	63.28631		
8/22/2011 10:54:05 PM	1	10401	04251	Мсрр	ug/kg	226.984	435.101	444.4548			250.5333		293.6371	362.9125		315.1295	250	75	Υ	3.03	Yes			10026.39	27.62757		
8/29/2011 12:55	3	10401	04251	Мсрр	ug/kg	226,984	307.603	249.9572					141.4397	185.5104		211.2401	250	75	Υ	3.03	Yes	150.2038	379.7152		36.22961	51.95595	289.098
8/24/2011 5:22:49 PM	2	10401	08104	PCP	ug/kg	0.066	0.0462	0.0443	0.0504		0.0393	0.0411	0.044	0.0426	0.0055	0.0172	0.17	0.033		2.00				0.55	12.9108		
8/22/2011 10:54:05 PM	1	10401	08104	PCP	ug/kg	0.066	0.0811	0.0841	0.077	0.0775	0.0773	0.0829	0.0766	0.0795	0.0031	0.0098	0.17	0.033		2.00				0.31	3.899371		
8/29/2011 12:55	3	10401	08104	PCP	ug/kg	0.066	0.0717	0.0611	0.0656	0.0572	0.063	0.074	0.066	0.0655	0.0059	0.0184	0.17	0.033	Υ	2.00		0.016265	0.0411	0.59	9.007634	26.00233	0.062552

Source of Data: Lancaster Laboratories

MDL - Method Detection Limit

Conc. - Concentration

STDEV - standard deviation

LOQ - Limit of Quantiation = Method Reporting Limit

RSD - relative standard deviation 2,4,5-T = 2,4,5-Trichlorophenoxyacetic Acid

2.4,5-1 = 2.4,5-1 richlorophenoxyacetic Acid
2.4,5-TP = Silvex (2.4,5-Trichlorophenoxyacetic acid)
2.4-D = Dichlorophenoxyacetic Acid
2.4-DB = 4-(2,4-dichlorophenoxy)butanoic acid
2.4-DP = Dichloroprop
MCPA = 2-Methyl-4-Chlorophenoxyacetic Acid

MCPP = Methylchlorophenoxyproprionic Acid PCP = Pentachlorophenol

Red font - values summarized in Tables 1 and 3

Green font = values summarized in Table 2
MDL higher than the reported J Value

Attachment B
EMAX
Results of Method Detection Limit
Study and Limit of Quantitation
Verifications

MDL STUDY FOR LOW LEVEL METHOD 8151 SOIL INST. 16 2012

	ia ioni	3	υ	8	თ	lo:	· vs	ιń	tó	ID.	ĸ
	ž	50	to:	Ð	en.	Un	5/1	6)	5	t).	ונט
	Sample Ami	g	ZG	8	₽	R	8	æ	20	8	ধ
	EAAXaSOP Sample Am	EMAX-8161	EMAX-8151	EMAX-8151	EMAX-8151	EMAX-8151	EMAX-8151.	EMAX-8151	EMAX.815f	EMAX-8151	EMAX-8151
	X,337/X	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	son.	SOIL	SCII.	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL	SOIL
	LOO	71%	77%	127%	396	104%	102%	138%	% 99	135%	128%
	ol #	2.532	1.317	11,48	1.158	2.503	1,741	346.5	165.7	0,229	0.217
	LOQ Ver. Date	98/13/12	53/13/12	03/13/12	031/3/12	03/13/12	03/21/72	03/13/12	03/12/12	98/13/12	51/5/150
LOQ Verification	COC DFIELD	WC12942B	WC12042B	WCT2042A 03/13/12	WC12842A	WC:2042A	WC21021A	WC12842A 03/13/12	WC12042A 03YJJ12	WC12042A	0.17 WC12042A 08/15/12 0.217
7007	88	9.6	1.7	6.9	1,2	2.4	7.7	550	255	0.17	71,0
porting	ဂ္ဂ	3.5	ţ;	о	5.7	2,4	1.7	250	ÿ	0.17	25.0
Final Reporting	Reported DL	1,30	58.0	4.50	2.50	1.28	3,65	38.00	125.00	60'¢	6.03
	Section	m	<	∢	63	<i>5</i> 5-	U	¥	વાં	*	4
	3	ن دون دون دون دون دون دون دون دون دون دو	წყანი	usrkg	5 _W £a	2,4,5r	651/6:1	ויניםעל	SWE	gy#ga	מפועפ
	S SE	1.0071	0.517	1,1217	5,5735	1.200	0.3821	59,383	124.16	ଥେ	0.078 ug/Kg
	35	0.3188	0,7845	0.3672	Ø.1835	0,3823	3.2512	19.DS	% %	9,0298	G.O.CO
	MEDL Splve Level	0.6	6.0	31.8	2.0	4.0	2.5	832.5	852.5	ê,c	9.0
	MCL.	8	6.37	ų. Že	2.23	477	2.15	802.8	867.7	1.072	1,027
	₩ ₩ 38	5.34	6.30	21.5	221	4.55	2.04	329.7	846.3	1.040	1,037
	MD:-	86.6	8.08	۲. و	2.34	183	2.13	835.8	789.1	1.015	\$10.5
	g g	5,18	6.01	20.7	7.81	3.74	1.99	872.7	928.4	1.067	0.291
	MOL-	5.51	5.08	21.5	2.30	\$ 49	2,08	849.7	856.2	1.054	1,068
Study	MDI.	6.1	6.03	20.7	2,3 2,3	2,73	2.15	e13 5	7.328	1.067	1,041
7 Point Study	MDL-	5.52	6.30	27.0	2.26	4.79	2.07	556.4	395.5	1.045	1.017
	PARAMETER	240	2,4-08	Palapon	Dicambe	Olchkorprop	Omoseb	MCPA	MOPF	Şivex	2,4,5-1

Explanation 90 Abbreviation

Reported Reporting Limit This is 1/2 of the COQ Recorded Di. LOQ EV LOQ Ret

Spike level for LOQ Verification Result for LOG Verification MDL-XX Section A.B.C

Result of MDL at Soike Level Refers to Raw Data detail due to multiple spike levels and standard mixes.

Laboratory Conducting the Study: EMAX Laboratory

Study Parameters: Seven-point MDL study

Study Dates: MDL - March 2012

Study Parameters: LOQ Verifications where compounds were spiked at levels equal to or slightly above the LOQ Study Dates: LOQ - September 2011; December 2011; March 2012 Media: Soil

DL » detection fimile EV = expected value DFileID = data file ID Rsl = result

Reviewed by:



LOQ Verification LOW LEVEL METHOD: SW 8151A (SOIL) 3rd Qtr. 2011

	Extraction batch and date:	HEH012S -8/30/11
Sample Amt (g): 20		HEI002S - 9/8/11
Extract vol (mL): 5	Analytical batch and date:	QI01 - 9/2/2011
		QI09 - 9/9/2011

		Reported		LOQ VER	RIFICATION		
PARAMETER	Unit	DL	LOQ	DFileID	Verification Date	LOQ_Rst	Verification % Rec
2,4-D	ug/Kg	1.8	3.6	Q109004B	9/9/2011	3.88	108
2,4-DB	ug/Kg	0.85	1.2	QI01026B	9/2/2011	1.21	101
Dalapon	ug/Kg	4.5	9.0	Q109005B	9/9/2011	9 <u>.2</u> 4	103
Dicamba	ug/Kg	0.6	1.2	QI01026B	9/2/2011	1.34	111
Dichlorprop	ug/Kg	0.85	1.2	Q101026B	9/2/2011	1.65	137
Dinoseb	ug/Kg	1.2	2.4	Q101027A	9/2/2011	2.48	103
мсра	ug/Kg	125	250	QI01028A	9/2/2011	333	133
МСРР	ug/Kg	125	250	Q101028A	9/2/2011	289	115
Silvex	ug/Kg	0.085	0.17	QI01025B	9/2/2011	0.207	122
2,4,5-T	ug/Kg	0.085	0.17	QI01025B	9/2/2011	0.181	106

DL= detection Limit EV = expected value DFileID = data file ID Rst = result

Reviewed by:	
Date:	

LOQ Verification LOW LEVEL METHOD: SW 8151A (SOIL) 4th Qtr. 2011

	Extraction batch and date:	
Sample Amt (g): 20	•	HEL004S - 12/12/11
Extract vol (mL): 5	Analytical batch and date:	WL09 - 12/9/11

VL09 - 12/9/11 WL13 - 12/13/11

	·						
		Reported		LOQ VEF	RIFICATION		
PARAMETER	Unit .	DL	LOQ	DFileID	Verification Date	LOQ_Rst	Verification % Rec
2,4-D	ug/Kg	1.8	3.6	WL09011B	12/9/2011	4.75	132
2,4-DB	ug/Kg	0.85	1.2	WL13005A	12/13/2011	1.34	112
Dalapon	ug/Kg	4.5	9.0	WL13007A	12/13/2011	12.14	135
Dicamba	ug/Kg	0.6	1.2	WL13005B	12/13/2011	1.55	129
Dichlorprop	ug/Kg	0.85	1.2	WL13005B	12/13/2011	1.55	129
Dinoseb	ug/Kg	1.2	2.4	WL09009B	12/9/2011	2.85	119
MCPA	ug/Kg	125	250	WL13006B	12/13/2011	311	124
мсрр	ug/Kg	125	250	WL13006A	12/13/2011	301	121
Silvex	ug/Kg	0.085	0.17	WL13004A	12/13/2011	0.28	165
2,4,5-T	ug/Kg	0.085	0.17	WL13004A	12/13/2011	0.16	97

DL= detection Limit EV = expected value DFileID = data file ID Rst = result

Reviewed by:	
Date:	

Attachment C Email Documentation and Laboratory Raw Data Backup

Laboratory Emails

Zakowski, Cherie

From:

Natalie R. Luciano < NLuciano@lancasterlabs.com>

Sent:

Tuesday, August 06, 2013 10:19 AM

To: Cc: Zakowski, Cherie Duane A. Luckenbill RE: SSFL Herbicides

Subject: Attachments:

CDM Batches.xls

Hi Cherie,

Please find the spreadsheet I mentioned attached. The analyses are separated onto different tabs within the spreadsheet. Please let me know if this spreadsheet is sufficient for your needs.

I confirmed with the technical group that the Pesticides should be a factor a 5x from what was done in 2011, not 10x. For the pesticides, we took 60g to 10ml and then performed GPC of 5ml to 2ml final volume for a factor 5 of the normal 30g to 10ml with GPC of 5ml to 5ml final volume. If you have any additional questions, please let me know.

Thank you,

Natalie

Senior Specialist, Environmental Client Services

Phone: 717-556-7258

From: Natalie R. Luciano

Sent: Tuesday, August 06, 2013 8:28 AM **To:** 'Zakowski, Cherie'; Duane A. Luckenbill

Subject: RE: SSFL Herbicides

Hi Cherie,

I believe you can find this in PH048. This package should have been received in early July (possibly around the 5th). Let me know if you need any further assistance. The Herbicide data starts on page 306 in the package. Let me know if you need any further assistance.

Thanks, Natalie

Senior Specialist, Environmental Client Services

Phone: 717-556-7258

From: Zakowski, Cherie [mailto:ZakowskiCA@cdmsmith.com]

Sent: Monday, August 05, 2013 10:08 PM **To:** Duane A. Luckenbill; Natalie R. Luciano

Subject: RE: SSFL Herbicides

Yes – that is why I need a Phase 3 herbicide soil limit comparison. We should be looking at the Prep blanks correct? There would be one for waters and one for soils. We only changed the soil RLs. I believe we are on the same page correct?

Thanks!

Cherie Zakowski
Project Manager/Scientist
CDM Smith

555 17th Street, Suite 1100

Denver, CO 80202

Direct Phone: 720-264-1109 Main Phone: 303-383-2300

Fax: 303-308-3003

From: Duane A. Luckenbill [mailto:DLuckenbill@lancasterlabs.com]

Sent: Monday, August 05, 2013 7:15 PM **To:** Zakowski, Cherie; Natalie R. Luciano

Subject: RE: SSFL Herbicides

Cherie,

I thought we were evaluating soils limits, not waters.

Thanks Duane

From: Zakowski, Cherie [mailto:ZakowskiCA@cdmsmith.com]

Sent: Monday, August 05, 2013 8:35 PM **To:** Duane A. Luckenbill; Natalie R. Luciano

Subject: SSFL Herbicides

Hello: Please see attached. Herb 1 is from 2011 and Herb 2 is from 2013. Now the MDLs and LOQs look alike but the only sample I could find so far was for a field blank. Natalie can you find a prep blank in some of your newer data that has soils with it that I haven't gotten yet? All the other Phase 3 herbicides are from those other labs.

I also included the pest and PCB method blanks. I just didn't include all the data as I am only focusing on the herbicide right now.

Thanks for your help.

Cherie Zakowski
Project Manager/Scientist
CPM Smith
555 17th Street, Suite 1100
Denver, CO 80202

Direct Phone: 720-264-1109 Main Phone: 303-383-2300

Fax: 303-308-3003

Zakowski, Cherie

From:

Burgesser, Todd

Sent:

Wednesday, May 22, 2013 12:16 PM

To:

Zakowski, Cherie

Subject:

FW:

All Lan caster results should go up 3x ciano [mailto:NLuciano@lancasterlabs.com] Sent: Wednesday, May 22, 2013 12:14 PM To: Burgesser, Todd; Nicole Maljovec

Subject: RE:

Hi Todd,

Here is what I found out. For the PCB, Herbicide, and Pesticide soil preparations we are doing 30:10 this round. In phase one we did 60/2, so it is a factor of 10 for all 3 preparations on the soil analyses.

There is the possibility that we had to raise limits due to the matrix, and there may be some instances where an MDL changed slightly. In general, a 10 times difference would be the case. If you need any additional information, let me know.

Thanks, Natalie

Senior Specialist, Environmental Client Services

Phone: 717-556-7258

From: Burgesser, Todd [mailto:BurgesserTE@cdmsmith.com]

Sent: Tuesday, May 21, 2013 4:29 PM To: Nicole Maljovec; Natalie R. Luciano

Subject:

What was the prep factor difference for the co-located samples vs the phase 3 samples for PCBs, herbicides and pesticides? We have been requested to adjust all of the reporting limits for non-detect results for the co-located (modified methods) up to the standard reporting limit and we are hoping that a simple factor of 10x or so will work? I realize Nicole is probably laboring today.

CDM Smith

Todd Burgesser, CHMM Office Phone: 303.383.2300

Direct Phone: 303.383.2476] Cell: 303.319.5043

Fax: 303.308.3003 | Email: burgesserte@cdmsmith.com

Zakowski, Cherie

From:

Zakowski, Cherie

Sent:

Monday, June 03, 2013 2:17 PM

To:

Ye Myint Jim Carter

Cc: Subject:

RE: prep factor for SSFL

Thanks for getting back to me. This is good. I am glad we talked too.

Also, did you get a chance to review those samples with the high nondetect reporting limits? I assume the one sample that had a 6000 reporting limit would get multiplied by the 2 or 2.5 (I can't remember which one it is).

Thanks for the feedback.

Cherie Zakowski

Project Manager/Scientist

CDM Smith

555 17th Street, Suite 1100

Denver, CO 80202

Direct Phone: 720-264-1109 Main Phone: 303-383-2300

Fax: 303-308-3003

From: Ye Myint [mailto:YMyint@emaxlabs.com]

Sent: 2013-06-03 12:04 PM **To:** Zakowski, Cherie

Cc: Jim Carter

Subject: FW: prep factor for SSFL

Hi Cherie,

The factors that Todd had based on the procedures done for Collocated below have been confirmed. Please use these factors as discussed last week instead of the ones I provided on May 22.

8151 RLs get multiplied by 2

8081 and 8082 get multiplied by 2.5

Sorry for the confusion. I'm glad that we had a conference call and had a better understanding of the process you are going through to make these adjustments. Please let me know if there is anything else I can assist you with.

Thanks.

Ye 310-618-8889 x121

From: Burgesser, Todd [mailto:BurgesserTE@cdmsmith.com]

Sent: Tuesday, May 21, 2013 1:22 PM

To: Ye Myint

Cc: Zakowski, Cherie

Subject: RE: prep factor for SSFL

So, 8151 RLs get multiplied by 2

8081 and 8082 get multiplied by 2.5

No other changes to the method like injection volume?

From: Ye Myint [mailto:YMyint@emaxlabs.com]

Sent: Tuesday, May 21, 2013 1:59 PM

To: Burgesser, Todd Cc: Zakowski, Cherie

Subject: RE: prep factor for SSFL

Hello Todd,

Below please find the sample amount/final volume used for these methods. Please note that we not only modified the sample ratios, but also the ICAL used for collocated samples to achieve the low level as required for the event. Please let me know if you have any questions. Thanks.

Collocated:

8081A/8082 : 30 gram to 4ml 8151A : 20 gram to 5 ml.

Phase 3:

8081A/8082 : 30 gram to 10 ml 8151A : 10 gram to 5 ml.

Ye 310-618-8889 x121

From: Burgesser, Todd [mailto:BurgesserTE@cdmsmith.com]

Sent: Tuesday, May 21, 2013 9:01 AM **To:** Ye Myint; Caspar Pang; Jim Carter

Cc: Zakowski, Cherie

Subject: prep factor for SSFL

Ye and all,

What was the prep factor difference for the co-located samples vs the phase 3 samples for PCBs, herbicides and pesticides? We have been requested to adjust all of the reporting limits for non-detect results for the co-located (modified methods) up to the standard reporting limit and we are hoping that a simple factor of 10x or so will work?

CDM Smith

Todd Burgesser, CHMM

Office Phone: 303.383.2300

Direct Phone: 303.383.2476 | Cell: 303.319.5043

Fax: 303.308.3003 | Email: burgesserte@cdmsmith.com

LLI Laboratory Control Sample Limits (Table 2)



Quality Control Summary Laboratory Control Standard (LCS) Laboratory Control Standard Duplicate(LCSD)

SDG: DE094 Matrix: SOLID

Pesticide Residue Analysis Fraction: Herbicides

LCS: LCS19073	Batch: 110730	D19A (Sample n	umber(s): 6218	3894-62189	07, 62189	09)		
Analyte	Spike Added ug/kg	LCS Conc ug/kg	LCSD Conc ug/kg	LCS %Rec	LCSD %Rec	%Rec Limits	%RPD	%RPD Limits
2,4-D	8,33	6.94		83	<u></u>	73-146		- ; ; ; ; ;
Dalapon	20.88	6.35		30		11-116		
2,4,5-TP	.83	.6		72		68-114	<u> </u>	
Dicamba	.83	.54		65		54-121	ļ	
MCPP (Mecoprop)	834,5	539.39		65		54-137	ļ	
Dinoseb	14.2	4.26		30		10-36		
MCPA	836.5	635.22		76	<u> </u>	60-130		
2,4-DP (Dichlorprop)	8.37	7,89		94	_	62-170		
	.83	.63		76		73-143	<u> </u>	
2,4,5-T 2,4-DB	8.35	7.53		90		62-131]	



Quality Control Summary Laboratory Control Standard (LCS) Laboratory Control Standard Duplicate(LCSD)

SDG: DE094 Matrix: LIQUID

Pesticide Residue Analysis Fraction: Herbicides

LCS: LCS03064	Batch: 110640	003A (Sample n	umber(s): 6218	3910)	<u></u>	<u></u>		
Analyte	Spike Added ug/i	LCS Conc ug/l	LCSD Cone ug/i	LCS %Rec	LCSD %Rec	%Rec Limits	%RPD	%RPD Limits
Dalapon	6.3	4.3		68		40-100		
Dicamba	.25	.24		96		68-135		,,
MCPP	250	260		104		67-137		
MCPA	500	450.		90		65-128		
2,4-DP (Dichlorprop)	2.5	3.2		128		76-170		
2,4-D	2.5	2.7		108		73-157		
2,4,5-TP	.25	.26		104		63-134		
2,4,5-T	.25	.25		100		63-147		
	2.5	2.7	<u> </u>	108		65-130		
2,4-DB Dinoseb	4.3	3.6		84		32-91		

Table 5 - Method 8151A (Table 2)

TABLE 5

ACCURACY AND PRECISION FOR DIAZOMETHANE DERIVATIZATION CLAY MATRIX

Compound	Mean Percent Recovery ^a	Linear Concentratior Range ^b (ng/g)	Percent n Relative Standard Deviation ^c (n=20)
Dicamba	95.7	0.52 -	104 7.5
MCPP	98.3	620 - 6	31,800 3.4
MCPA	96. 9	620 - 6	51,200 5.3
Dichloroprop	97.3	1.5 -	3,000 5.0
2,4-D	84.3	1.2 -	2,440 5.3
2,4,5-TP	94.5	0.42 -	828 5.7
2,4,5-T	83.1	0.42 -	828 7.3
2,4-DB	90.7	4.0 -	8,060 7.6
Dinoseb	93.7	0.82 -	1,620 8.7

^a Mean percent recovery calculated from 10 determinations of spiked clay and clay/still bottom samples over the linear concentration range.

Linear concentration range was determined using standard solutions and corrected to 50 g solid samples.

[°] Percent relative standard deviation was calculated using standard solutions, 10 samples high in the linear concentration range, and 10 samples low in the range.

LLI Herbicide Site Specific Phase 1
Method Blank Raw Data
SDG DE093
(See Table 4a)




Fraction: Herbicides

Quality Control Summary Method Blank Pesticide Residue Analysis SDG: DE093

Matrix: SOLID

110690015 / PBLK15069				,	
Analyte	Analysis Date	Blank Results	Units	MDL	LOO
2,4-D	03/12/11	N.D.	ug/kg	1.2	3.6
Dalapon	03/12/11	N.D.	ug/kg	4.4	9.0
2,4,5-TP	03/12/11	N,D.	ug/kg	0.075	0.17
Dicamba	03/12/11	N.D.	ug/kg	0.40	1.2
MCPP (Mecoprop)	03/12/11	N.D.	ug/kg	75	250
Dinoseb	03/12/11	N.D.	ug/kg	0.80	2.4
MCPA	03/12/11	N.D.	ug/kg	76	250
2,4-DP (Dichlorprop)	03/12/11	N.D.	ug/kg	0.80	1.7
2,4,5-T	03/12/11	N.D.	ug/kg	0.082	0.17
2,4-DB	03/12/11	N.D.	ug/kg	0.62	1.7

LLI Herbicide Site Specific Phase 3 Method Blank Raw Data SDG PH067 (See Table 4a)



Lancaster Laboratories Environmental Quality Control Summary Method Blank Pesticide Residue Analysis SDG: PH067 Matrix: SOLID

Fraction: Herbicides

131980028A / PBLK28198					
Analyte	Analysis Date	Blank Results	Units	MDL	LOQ
Dalapon	07/19/13	N.D.	ug/kg	44	90
Dicamba	07/19/13	N.D.	ug/kg	4.0	12
MCPP (Mecoprop)	07/19/13	N.D.	ug/kg	750	2500
MCPA	07/19/13	N.D.	ug/kg	760	2500
2,4-DP (Dichlorprop)	07/19/13	N.D.	ug/kg	9.0	17
2,4-D	07/19/13	N.D.	ug/kg	12	36
2,4,5-TP	07/19/13	N.D.	ug/kg	0.75	1.7
Dinoseb	07/19/13	N.D.	ug/kg	9.0	24
2,4,5-T	07/19/13	N.D.	ug/kg	0.82	1.7
2,4-DB	07/19/13	N.D.	ug/kg	6.2	17

LLI Herbicide Non Site Specific Method Blank Raw Data DMS01 (See Table 4a)

Sample Name: Sample Amount Analyses: 10401	;; 30 ml	3/24/11F	2Sample ID: AB Analyst: 709	Batchnumber: SDG:	110820019/ State:
ů.			· (

. Thresh Donort /A	١						<u>Anal</u>	ysis Rei	<u>port (B</u>)					
Analysis Report (A Injected on Instrument Result file Calibration file	MAR 2 CP16- 4H150 1H150	-H8458 71.06R	A L	:68			injeci Instru Resu Calib	ed on iment It file ration file	;	MAR CP16 4H15 1H15	25, 201 H8459 071B.09 071B.0	BB BR AL	:58	-	
Method file	TERW							od fil e	:		NB.ME				
%SSR(DCAA)	65.4%	(36-1	56)	Conc.: 43.5	88581		%SS	R(DCAA)	:	63.89	% (36-1		Conc.: 42.		
Pesk name	Min	B.T.	Max	Helght	<u>Amount</u> 43,58858	:4	Peak DCA	neme A		Min 8,22	R.T. 8.26	<u>Max</u> 8,28	<u>Height</u> 25844	<u>Amouni</u> 42.530907	
DCAA	8.41	8.46	8.47	10030	-2915.41			MBA		8.44	8.49	8.60	422	0.159251	
MCPP	8.76	8.78	8.82	28			MCF			8.B4	8.87	8.90	144	48.903809	
DBOFB	9.89	9.93	9.95		3.333333		DBC			9,05	9.08	9.11	163796	₹ 3.333333	
PCP			10.51	44	-0.58393					9.57	9.58	9.63	344	0.507775	
2.4.5-T			11.07	38	0.027939		2,4-1				8 11.08		*	-6.822419	
2,4-DB	11.56	11,59	11,62	43	0,278994	4	DIM	OSEB		11.0	0 11,00	, , , , , , , ,			
Summary Report															
Compound Name		Colum	0	Amount Fou	<u>nđ</u>	LOG			Qualifie	<u>s</u> 2	<u>6Differe</u>	<u>nçe</u> Ç	comments		
☑ Dalapon						<9	0	<44				•			
DCAA		.A.		43,588	581					4	2.46				
(La)						<1	2	<4							
☑ DICAMBA						<250	00	<750							
MCPP						<250	00	<760							
MCPA						<		<8	****	-					
₹ 2,4-DP								<12							
₹]2,4-D						<u>``</u>	<u> </u>		E		0.00				
DBOFB		_A_		3.333	333			<0.33			Mark W				
PCP										- -		—			
₹ 2.4.5-TP	•					<1		<0.75							_
₹ 2.4.5-T						<1		<0.82					·		
√2,4-DB							<u> 17</u> _	<6.2					······································		
DINOSEB						<	24	<8							
C DIMOGER															
Units: ug/kg				1	/								~ 1		
	_	\sim	1					V 1 201	مراجا ا	(· 入 .	Af.	()-\ ()		
Reviewed by:	Q.		Karr	mell	1			Verified	а фу:		W	الأقال		يحسمي	
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	_	,	,	1				ſ	Date:	***		(()		
Date:		n	125	7/4				·	- view i			`			

MAR 2-8 2011

Sarah Snyder Senior Specialist

Printed on: 3/28/11 07:55:43

LLI Pesticide Site Specific Phase 1
Method Blank Raw Data
SDG DE256
(See Table 4b)



Fraction: Pesticides

Quality Control Summary Method Blank

Pesticide Residue Analysis

SDG: DE256 Matrix: SOLID

112790039A / PBLK39279					
Analyte	Analysis Date	Blank Results	Units	MDL	LOQ
Alpha BHC	10/11/11	N.D	ug/kg	0.034	0.17
Gamma BHC - Lindane	10/11/11	N.D.	ug/kg	0.034	0.17
Beta BHC	10/11/11	N.D.	ug/kg	0.060	0.17
Delta BHC	10/11/11	N.D.	ug/kg	0.036	0.17
Heptachlor	10/11/11	N.D.	ug/kg	0.060	0.17
Aldrin	10/11/11	N.D.	ug/kg	0.066	0.17
Heptachlor Epoxide	10/11/11	N.D.	ug/kg	0.034	0.17
p,p-DDE	10/11/11	N.D.	ug/kg	0.066	0.34
Endosulfan I	10/11/11	N.D.	ug/kg	0.044	0.17
Dieldrin	10/11/11	N.D.	ug/kg	0.066	0.34
Endrin	10/11/11	N.D.	ug/kg	0.066	0.34
p,p-DDD	10/11/11	N.D.	ug/kg	0.066	0.34
Endosulfan II	10/11/11	N.D.	ug/kg	0.066	0.34
p,p-DDT	10/11/11	N.D.	ug/kg	0.066	0.34
Endrin Aldehyde	10/11/11	N.D.	ug/kg	0.066	0.34
Methoxychlor	10/11/11	N,D.	ug/kg	0.34	1.7
Endosulfan Sulfate	10/11/11	N.D.	ug/kg	0.066	0.34
Mirex	10/11/11	N.D.	ug/kg	0.066	0.34
Endrin Ketone	10/11/11	N.D.	ug/kg	0.066	0.34
Chlordane	10/11/11	N.D.	ug/kg	0.80	3.4
Toxaphene	10/11/11	N.D.	ug/kg	2.2	6.6



LLI Pesticide Non Site Specific Method Blank Raw Data SDG 110840007 (See Table 4b)

Grganic Extraction Batchlog Assigned to: 1364 JoElla Rice

110840007A

Reviewed by: 4 121.21.31

Tech 2: Tech 1: S 1.4 - 15 D.

Start Date: 3 (25)

OC Pesticides in Solids

Lot No. 1:1 Hexane/Acetone Solvent Used Sodium Suffate Filter Paper Hexane

> Comments two h

<u>8</u> <u>~</u> ⟨γ

Amt FV (mL) (mL) pH pH

MS Sol.

SS/IS Sot. | Amt (mL.)

Sample Amt

Prep Analysis: 11134 Pesticide Screen Soils Ext

Dept 24

① MS1108024A MS1108024A

SS1106324A SS1106324A SS1106324A

2

DE40SMSD

6235650MSD 6235650MS

. ၁0

PBLK07084 CS07084

BLANKA CSA

DE40SMS

Spike Solutions: MS1106124E

MS1106124E

SS1106324A

MS1108024A

☐ 1 SS1106324A SS1106324A

LCSD07084

CSDKEP CSKEP

CS07084

MS1108024A SS1106324A

2												
2002	Prìo	a.	Ω.,	۵	<u>a</u>	۵.	n.	a.	ů.	۵	۵.	σ
STATE OF STA	Due Date	03/31/2011	03/31/2011	03/31/2011	03/31/2011	03/31/2011	03/31/2011	03/31/2011	03/31/2011	03/31/2011	03/31/2011 P	03/31/2011 P
0011000	Analyses	01363	01363	01363	-01363	01363	01363	01363	01363	01363	01363	01363
	Comments	J.	, , ,			7		Marco On ext	CA LA	7	7	1 DAMAS
		200	7	3	15/2	7,		Town	COR	7	7	I WAST
	pH BC	98	Ç	20	6	. 4 €	2	, C	7	7	20 10	(%)
	표	1	ذ)	1	١	١)	,))	7	7
	몺	1	\	\	1	1	١	\	١	٦	١	
***************************************	FV (mL) pH	9	2		4	2	0	2	2	0	0	70
	Amt (mL)	0 4	~	70	4	ত ~	70	<i>4</i> 0	7	0	J. 31	7 7
	SS/IS Sol.	SS1106324A	SS1106324A	SS1106324A	13-0 SS1106324A	SS1106324A	SS1106324A	SS1106324A	SS1106324A	SS1106324A	SS1106324A	SS1106324A
	A D	30	0.0	33	55	S.	<u>es</u>	Ĭ C	ر ٥.	300	130	13 (
	Sample Code	DE40S	DE40D	DE41S		∪E38S	DE38D	DESD1	DESDA	DESD2	DESD3	DESD4
	Sample#	1 6235650	2 6235651	3 6235652	4 6235653	5 0230004	6 6235655	7 6235657	8 6235658	9 6235659	10 6235660	11 6235661

Rack ID:		Work Station	1 W 5	S-ba
Internal Standard.		Balance#	0 (1)	Docu
DF = Dilution Factor FV = Firtal Volume	FV = Final Volu	ime	Dage 1 of 1	_

O	ed.
S-bath ID3 G/) C S-bath ID	Documented temps are NIST corrected.

C N-Evap 6 37c M-vap

110840007A

SUX 3/23/11

Page 1 of 1

Lancaster Laboratories-Single Component Data Summary

Batchnumber: 110840007A SDG: State: Sample Name: BLANKA 3/25/11G Sample Amount: 30 g To Analyses: 01363 PBLK07084Sample ID: AB 0 ml Analyst: 2131 Total Volume: 10

Analysis Report	(A)						<u> Analysis R</u>	eport (B))					
Injected on	: MAR	29, 201	11 17:0	4:15			Injected on Instrument	:		29, 201 H672		:04:15		
Instrument Result file	: CP13	H672					nstrument Result file	:	., , ,	ПФ72. 088B,4:				
Calibration file)88.CA					Calibration fi			088B.C				
Method file	PEST						Method file	:	PEST	DB.ME	Τ			
%\$\$R(TCX)	/	ງ 6{28-1		Conc.: 7.3	59066		%SSR(TCX)	:	69,49	6 (28-1	21)	Conc.: 7.2	32365	
%SSR(DCB)	84.5%			Conc.: 8.8			%SSR(DCB)	;,	187.49	હે (45 - 1	50)	Conc.: 9.1	07546	
, .		•					D!	,	8 din	от	Mov	r Lininhi	Amount	
Peak name	<u>Min</u>	<u>R.T.</u>	Max	Helght	Amount		<u>Peak name</u> TCX		Min 2,91	<u>R.T.</u> 2.94	<u>Max</u> 2.97		7.232365	
TCX	3.32	3.35 3.75	3,38 3,81	134190 635	7.359066 0.025282		alpha-BHC		3.41	3.41	3.47		0.020758	
alpha-BHC	3.75 3.78	3.81	3,84	193	0.020202		beta-BHC		3.99	4.03	4.05		0.384844	
HCB	4,04	4.05	4.10	306	0.007121		Aldrin		4,28	4,30	4.34		0.018629	
gamma-BHC delta-BHC	4.43	4.44	4.49	5036	0.0125574		Telodrin		4,38	4,40	4,44		0.023818	
Heptachlor	4.54	4.56	4,60	94	0.004006		o.p.DDE		4.88	4.90	4.94	1489	0.192261	
Aldrin	4.83	4.87	4.89	687	0.034915		g. Chlordan	е	4,94	4.99	5,00	1857	0.161077	
Telodrin	4,91	4,95	4.97	5530	0.288708		a. Chlordan	•	5.00	5,04	5.06	802	0.066356	
Hept, epoxide	5.20	5,23	5.26	239	0.012727		Dieldrin		5,28	5.29	5.34	9228	0.817468	
o.p.DDE	-5.35	5,35	5,41-	340_	_0.027862		o,p-DDT		5.52	5.56	5.58	721	0.084135	
g, Chlordane	5,42	5.44	5,48	14309	0.716598		4,4'-DDD		5.64	5,68	5.70	1036	0.117447	
Endosulfan I	5,53	5.55	5.59	2259	0.115218		Endosulfan	II	6.77	5.82	5,83		0.080363	
Dieldrin	5.77	5.79	5,83	676	0.037414		4,4'-DDT		5.85	5,89	5.91		0.016913	
Endrin	5.97	6.01	6.03	863	0.052964		Endrin aldel	nyde	5.93	5.94	5.99		0.019373	
o,p-DDT	6.00	6.03	6.06	935	0.074548		Endo. sulfat	e	6.12	6.16	6.18		0.079905	
4,4'-DDD	6.09	6.12	6.15	895	0.063290		Endrin keto	ne	6.57	6.62	6.63		0.024560	
Kepone	6.22	6.22	6.28	2241	0.859822		DCB		7.65	7.69	7.75	94703	9.107546	
Endrin aldehyde	6.28	6.29	6.34	1124	0.079265									
4,4'-DDT	6,36	6.42	6.42	737	0.047967									
Endo. sulfate	6.48	6.51		1153	0.075487									
Endrin ketone	6.92	6.97	6.98	729	0.040391									
DCB	8.53	8.58	8.63	117950	8.812119									
Summary Report							- + 24m E		D.	D.166		Annum anda		
Compound Name		Colum	<u>1</u>	Amount Four	<u>nd</u>	LOQ	MDL.	Qualiflers	20	Differer	içe	Comments		
∏тсx		_A		7,3590)66					1.74			,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
✓ alpha-BHC				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<0.83			****					
√ HCB		-				<0.83	<0.21							
☑gamma-BHC						<0,83	,,		_		-			
☑ beta-BHC		***************************************				<0.83	<0,3		barrer	******	****	***************************************		
✓ delta-BHC			• +	····		<0.83	<0.18		_					-1141114
✓ Heptachlor						<0.83	P-4-1-1-1-1-1							
✓Aldrin						<0,83	<0.33					B-711604(BB-0)35710-BB 1		
☑ Telodrin						<1.2	<0.4							
Hept, epoxide		***************************************	. 2111-11			<0.83	<0.17							
O,p-DDE						<1.7	<0.33			·····				
g. Chlordane				·····		<0,83								
a. Chlordane		-				<0.83		11.11						
Endosultan I						<0.83			_					
₹ 4,4'-DDE						<1.7	<0.33		-		_			
<u> </u>						<1.7			****	, , ,				
[op.ooo ☑ Dieldrin					*****	<1,7								*******
Endrin						<1.7		***************************************	Protes.		_	h		

<1.7 <0.33

[♥]o,p-DDT

[%]Difference = High - Low Amount divided by the Average times 100 ** %Difference > 40, lower amount found reported

^{*} Recovery outside QC Limits

Lancaster Laboratories-Single Component Data Summary PBLK07084Sample ID: AB Batchnumber: 110840007A Sample Name: BLANKA 3/25/11G

Sample Amount: 3 Analyses: 01363		Total Volume: 10	D ml	Analys	t: 2131	SDG:	State;
Instrument Result file Callbration file Method file	A) : MAR 29, 2011 1 : CP13H6722A : 1P13088.42R : 1P13088,CAL : PESTD.MET	7:04:15	lr lr R C	nalysis Finjected on instrument lesselt file alibration file lethod file	: C : 1 le : 1	1AR 29, 2011 17; P13-H6722B P13088B.42R P13088B.CAL ESTDB.MET	7:04:15
Summary Report Compound Name	Column	Amount Found	rog	MDL.	Qualifiers	%Difference	Comments
✓ 4,4'-ODD	<u> </u>		<1.7				
Endosulfan II	construction con	-	<1.7				
✓ Kepone				,			
Endrin aldehyde		-	<1.7		·	(Abries of Herritan 1, 1 and	
4,4'-DDT		······································	<1.7				
Endo, sulfate		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	<1.7	<0.33			
Methoxychlor			<8.3			11/11/2	
Endrin ketone		······································	<1.7				
Mirex	نب حسبسیسم		<1.7	<0.33			
DCB	В	9.107546				3.30	
Units: ug/kg Reviewed by:	<u> </u>				l by:		13 1 2011
Date				J		Andrea J. Senior Sp	Covey ecialist

^{*} Recovery outside QC Limits

Multiple Component Data Summary

Sample Name:	BLANKA	3/25	/11G	PBL	K07084 B	_K Sample II	D:AB Bato	hnumber	: 11084	10007A
Sample Amount:	30	g .	Total Volu	me:	10 ml Ai	nalyst: 2131	SDG:	St	ate:	
Analyses: 0136	3									
Analysis Repor	t (A)					Analysis R	eport (B)			
Instrument H6 Result file 1P Calibration file 1P	ar 29, 2011 17 3722A 213088.42R 213088 ESTD	7:04:15				Injected on Instrument Result file Calibration fil Method file	H6722B 1P13088I		5	
%\$\$R(TCX) %\$\$R(DCB)	70.7% 84.5%	Conc: Conc:	7.359066 8.812119			%SSR(TCX) %SSR(DCB)	69.4% 87.4%	•		
Summary Repo	rt							No. of Hits	Max	
Compound Name	Colum	n Amou	int Found	LOQ	MDL	Qualifiers	%Difference	Required	%RSD	Comments
Chlordane (Technica	al)		-	<17	<4			2	20	
Toxaphene				<33	<11			4	30	\
Units: ug/kg %Difference = Hi	igh - Low d	lvided	by the Av	erage tim	es 100			Q.	nduo	.daeg
Reviewed by:	RIMAR	164	luha	N		_ Verified by: _				Q = Q
Date: _		3-31	011			Date:		М	ar 3 1	2011
								Andrea Senior (J. Cove Speciali	y si

Lancaster Laboratories Multiple Component Peak Data Report

Sample Name: BLANKA 3/25/11G Sample Amount: 30 g To PBLK07084Sample ID: AB 0 ml Analyst: 2131 Batchnumber: 110840007A SDG: State: Total Volume: 10

Analyses:01363

Instrument : CP13 Result file : 1P13	29, 2011 17:04:15 IH6722A 088,42R 088.CAL 'D.MET		Instrument : Result file : Calibration file :) MAR 29, 2011 17:0 CP13H6722B 1P13088B.42R 1P13088B.CAL PESTDB,MET	94:15	
%SSR(TCX) : 70.79	% (28-121) Conc.; 7.35	59066	%SSR(TCX) :	69.4% (28-121)	Conc.: 7.232369	5
%\$\$R(DCB) : 84.59	% (45-150) Conc.: 8.81	2119	%SSR(DCB) :	87,4% (45-150)	Conc.: 9.107546	3
<u>Mln R.T. Max H</u>	leigh) Amount	Pks %RSD Peak	<u>Min R.T. Max</u>	<u>Height</u>	Amount Pks	%RSD Peak
Aroclor-1016 3.70 3.75 3.76 635.: 4.19 4.22 4.25 1666 4.51 4.56 4.57 93.7; 4.60 4.61 4.66 1332	243774 1.771653 6.16687 3.847649 2998 0.213922 6.915283 3.243221 6.055907 2.269111 Linear:	4 71.67 1 2 4 5	Aroclor-1016	368.870453 368.870453 191.73674 527.655273 1457.132919 1.410688	0.607224 1.214568 1.424705 2.396255 Linear:	52.66 3 4 5 6
3.70 3.75 3.76 635.2 Height Summation: 2285	0.584595 11.450465 243774 1.226564 6.828369 -6.338515 Linear	2 114.05 2 3	Aroclor-1221 3.15 3.15 3.21 E 3.24 3.26 3.30 Height Summatton: Amount Avg CF:	132,370544 164119,3593 164251,729919 1287,613724	1.411727 2573.81572 Linear:	141,27 1 2
4.19 4.22 4.25 1666 4.51 4.56 4.57 93,72 4.60 4.61 4.66 1332	243774 1.455362 1.16687 8.61803 2998 0.463173 .915283 7.070418 .055907 4.401746 Linear:	4 91.90 1 2 4 5 5	Aroclor-1232 * 4.04	368.870453 368.870453 191.73674 527.655273 1457.132919 3.420995	1.353405 2.86279 3.493427 5.974358	56.26 3 4 5 6
4.19 4.22 4.25 1668 4.51 4.56 4.57 93.72 4.60 4.61 4.66 1332	243774 2.030279 .16687 4.548268 2998 0.262284- .915283 3.910792 .055907 2.687906 Linear:	4 72.12 1 2 4 5	Aroclor-1242 * 4.04	368.870453 368.870453 191.73674 527.655273 1457.132919 1.720727	0.730733 1.500388 1.773616 2.878172	51.67 3 4
5.71 5.73 5.77 695.5 5.97 6.01 6.03 862.7 6.20 6.22 6.26 2241. Helght Summation: 4486	340942 1.218127 52417 1.857852 706177 3.434066 .352783 9.096768 .424072 3.901703 Linear:	4 91.92 2 4 5 6	Aroclor-1248 4.04 4.10 4.10 4.46 4.48 4.51 4.78 4.80 4.84 5.27 5.29 5.33 5.75 5.78 5.81 Height Summation: Amount Avg CF:	368.870453 527.655273 313.041595 9227.738281 109.709465 10547.015067 10,746252	1.122586 1.624279 0.603564 49.663563 0.717266	202.48 1 2 3 4 6
5.71 5.73 5.77 695.5 5.97 6.01 6.03 862.7 6.20 6.22 6.26 2241. Helant Summation: 1810	8,78125 15.374677 52417 0.658723 706177 1.111643 .352783 3.854698 8,364380 5.249935 Linear:		Aroclor-1254 4.78 4.80 4.84 5.27 5.29 5.33 5.75 5.78 5.81 5.85 5.89 5.91 Helght Summation: Amount Avg CF:	313.041595 9227.738281 109.709465 175.525192 9826.014533		180,50 1 3 5 6
5.86 5.88 5.92 1692, +6.10 6.12 6.16 895.1 6.10 6.15 6.16 1126, 6.60 6.63 6.66 1436, 7.16 7.21 7.22 115.9 Height Summation: 4271.	.157104 2.062166 43127 0.938158 .870728 1.181021 .505005 1.965338	1 2 2 4 6	Aroclor-1260 5,39 5.45 5.45 5.56 5.62 5.62 5.85 5.89 5.91 6.11 6.18 6.17 6.63 6.68 6.69 Height Summation: Amount Avg CF:	192.289093 546.34613 175.525192 828.854492 381.37384 2124.388747 0.749113	0.420497 0.904696 0.266857 1.685075 0.468438	76,67 1 2 3 4 6

Printed on: 3/30/2011 10:54:02

^{*} Peak found within more than one window +Duplicate Peak in window - not included in average

Lancaster Laboratories-Multiple Component Peak Data Report

Sample Name: BLANKA 3/25/11G Sample Amount: 30 g To PBLK07084Sample ID: AB 0 ml Analyst: 2131 Batchnumber: 110840007A Total Volume: 10 SDG: State: Analyses:01363


Instrument ; Result file ; Calibration file ;) MAR 29, 2011 17:0 CP13H6722A 1P13088.42R 1P13088.CAL PESTD.MET	04:15		Analysis Injected o Instrumen Result file Calibration Method fil	n it n file	:	MAR 29, 2011 17 CP13H6722B 1P13086B.42R 1P13086B.CAL PESTDB.MET	7:04:15		
Min R.T. Max	<u>Heighl</u>	Amount Pks %	RSD Peak	Min	R.T.	Max	Heighl	Amount Pl	ks %RSD F	² eak
Chlordane		5 1	39.19	Chlordane			*****	6	85,90	
4,35 4,36 4,42	466,394714	0.587633	1		3.93	3.93	480.625488	1.269533		1
4.54 4.56 4.60	93,72998	0.085002	2	4.03	4.03	4.09	2446,660156	4.58196		2
4.81 4.87 4.87	686,840942	1.005117	3	4.39	4.40	4.45	246.115585	0,59012		3
5.42 5.44 5.48	14308.78125	5.457018	4	4.95	4.99	5.01	1857,291748	1.334743		4
5.49 5.55 5,55	2258.913818	0.749694	5	4.99	5.04	ნ.05	801.700745	0.607139		5 6
Height Summation;	17814.660704	Tipear. MU	•	+ 5,78		5.84	109.709465	0.266762		6
Amount Avg CF:	1.576893	Linear:		5.78	5.82	5.84	846.419861	2,055668		6
_				Height Sur	nmatio	n:_	6677,813583			
Toxaphene			01.53	Amount A	va CF:		1.739861	Linear:		
6.21 6.22 6.27	2241.352783	5.125325	1		•					
6.39 6.42 6.45	736.554932	1.277933	2	Toxaphen		~ 00		3 400040	80.02	
6.47 6.51 6.53 6.74 6.76 6.80	1153,46106	2,362843	3	+ 5.77		5.83	109.709465	0.496918		1
6,74 6,76 6,80 6,84 6,87 6,90	3232.138916	9,337211 1,336816	4 2	5,77 5,84		5.83 5.90	845,419861	3.829246 1.056938		1
7.19 7.21 7.25	951,963928	0,456768	6		6.29		175.525192	1.084791		3
Height Summation:	115.97863 8431.450249	0,400100	/				183.285294			
		· Imir	,	Height Sur			1204.230347			
Amount Avg CF:	3,316149	Linear:		Amount A	vg Çr:		1.990325	Linear:		
Summary Report							No of Hits Ma	эх		
Compound Name	Column Higher	Amount Found LC	DQ MDL	Qualifier	s %DI	fferenc	e Required %R:	SD Comments		

Summary Report Compound Name Aroclor-1016	Column	Higher Amount Found	LOQ 0	MDL 0	Qualiflers	%Difference ** 46.66	No of Hits Required 4	Max <u>%RSD</u> 40	Comments
Aroclor-1221			0	0		** 198.04	3	5	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Aroclor-1232		many time structure is transferred and control of the control of t	0	0		25.07	4	10	
Aroclor-1242		······································	0	0		** 43.88	4	30	
Aroclor-1248			0	0		** 93.45	4	30	
Aroclor-1254	•		0	0		20.07	4	40	
Aroclor-1260			0	0	***************************************	<u>** 56.46</u>	4	40	
Chlordane			17	4		9.83	2	20	
Toxaphene			33	11		** 49.97	4	30	

Units: ug/kg


Printed on: 3/30/2011 10:54:02

^{*} Peak found within more than one window +Duplicate Peak In window - not included in average



Dilas GAGDITADAD ATLA HADI GAGOD A

Instrument ID: CP13--H6722B Injected On: 3/29/2011 5:04:15 PM



Column ID: ZB-MR2, 30M X 0.32MM X 0.25UM

Oven Parameters: 140C AT 25\MIN TO 250, AT 20\MIN TO 300, hold 2.5 Volume Inj: 1

Detector A Parameters:

Threshold: 2

Width: 0.01

Area Reject: 0

Calibration Type: External

Quantitation: Height

Delector B Parameters:

Threshold; 1

Width: 0.02

Area Reject: 0

Calibration Type: External

Quantiation: Height

Sample Weight: 30

Dilution Factor: 10

Analyst: 2131


RT A	Height A	Amount A	Compound A	RTB	Height B	Amount B	Compound B
3.351	134190	7.35907	TCX	2.939	58900	7.23237	TCX
3.75	635	.02528	alpha-BHC	3.414	232	.02076	alpha-BHC
3.811	193	.00712	HCB	-	0		HCB
-	0	,	beta-BHC	4.031	2447	.38484	beta-BHC
4.049	306	.01296	gamma-BHC		0	•	gamma-BHC
4,87	687	.03492	Aldrin	4.301	192	.01863	Aldrin
4.954	5530	.28871	Telodrin	4.404	246	.02382	Telodrin
4.444	5036	.22557	delta-BHC		0		delta-BHC
4.563	94	.00401	Heptachlor		0	•	Heptachlor
5.349	340	.02786	o,p-DDE	4.901	1489	.19226	o,p-DDE
5.44	14309	.7166	g. Chlordane	4.991	1857	.16108	g. Chlordane
	0	•	a. Chlordane	5.044	802	.06636	a. Chlordane
5,234	239	.01273	Hept, epoxide		0		Hept, opoxide
5.789	676	.03741	Dieldrin	5.292	9228	.81747	Dieldrin
5.546	2259	.11522	Endosulfan I	<u>.</u>	0	·	Endosulfan I
6.034	935	.07455	o,p-DDT	5.561	721	.08414	o,p-DDT
6.115	895	.06329	4,4'-DDD	5,681	1036	.11745	4,4'-DDD
	0	4	Endosuifan II	5.821	845	,08036	Endosulfan II
6.42	737	.04797	4,4'-DDT	5,89	176	,01691	4,4'-DDT
6.287	1124	.07926	Endrin aldelıyde	5.937	181	.01937	Endrin aldehyde
6,007	863	.05296	Endrin	•	0		Endrin
6.51	1153	.07549	Endo, sulfate	6.161	829	.07991	Endo, sulfate
6.224	2241	.85982	Kepone		0		Kepone
6.975	729	.04039	Endrin ketone	6.619	330	.02456	Endrin ketone
8.582	117950	8.81212	DCB	7.693	94703	9.10755	DCB


Piles:

Area File: C:\CPWIN\DATAI\IP13088.42A
Area File: C:\CPWIN\DATAI\IP13088B.42A
Method A: C:\CPWIN\DATAI\PESTD.MET
Method B: C:\CPWIN\DATAI\PESTDB.MET
Calibration File A: C:\CPWIN\DATAI\IP13088B.CAL
Calibration File B: C:\CPWIN\DATAI\IP13088B.CAL
Format A: C:\CPWIN\DATAI\IP1308BB.CAL
Format B: C:\CPWIN\DATAI\IP1308BB.CAL
Format B: C:\CPWIN\DATAI\IP1308BB.CAL
Area File Created On: 3/29/2011 5:13:50 PM

File Reported On: 3/29/2011 at 5:13:56 PM







LLI Pesticide Site Specific Phase 3
Method Blank Raw Data
SDG PH046
(See Table 4b)



Lancaster Laboratories

Fraction: Pesticides

Quality Control Summary Method Blank Pesticide Residue Analysis SDG: PH046

SDG: PH046 Matrix: SOLID

131620099A / PBLK07162	11 112 113 113				
Analyte	Analysis Date	Blank Results	Units	MDL	LOQ
Alpha BHC	06/20/13	N.D.	ug/kg	0.17	0.83
Gamma BHC - Lindane	06/20/13	N.D.	ug/kg	0.17	0.83
Beta BHC	06/20/13	N.D.	ug/kg	0.96	1.9
Delta BHC	06/20/13	N.D.	ug/kg	0.45	0.83
Heptachlor	06/20/13	N.D.	ug/kg	0.17	0.83
Aldrin	06/20/13	N.D.	ug/kg	0.17	0.83
Heptachlor Epoxide	06/20/13	N.D.	ug/kg	0.17	0.83
p,p-DDE	06/20/13	N.D.	ug/kg	0.33	1.7
Endosulfan I	06/20/13	N.D.	ug/kg	0.22	0.83
Dieldrin	06/20/13	N.D.	ug/kg	0.33	1.7
Endrin	06/20/13	N.D.	ug/kg	0.33	1.7
p,p-DDD	06/20/13	N.D.	ug/kg	0.33	1.7
Endosulfan II	06/20/13	N.D.	ug/kg	0.33	1.7
p,p-DDT	06/20/13	N.D.	ug/kg	0.35	1.7
Endosulfan Sulfate	06/20/13	N.D.	ug/kg	0.33	1.7
Endrin Aldehyde	06/20/13	N.D.	ug/kg	0.33	1.7
Endrin Ketone	06/20/13	N.D.	ug/kg	0.60	1.8
Methoxychlor	06/20/13	N.D.	ug/kg	1.7	6.7
Mirex	06/20/13	N.D.	ug/kg	0.35	1.7
Chlordane	06/20/13	N.D.	ug/kg	4.0	17
Toxaphene	06/20/13	N.D.	ug/kg	14	33



LLI PCB Site Specific Phase 1 Method Blank Raw Data SDG DE216 (See Table 4b)



Fraction: Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs)

Quality Control Summary Method Blank Pesticide Residue Analysis SDG: DE216

Matrix: SOLID

112270031 / PBLK31227 Analyte	Analysis Date	Blank Results	Units	MDL	LOQ
PCB-1016	08/18/11	N.D.	ug/kg	0.33	1.7
PCB-1221	08/18/11	N.D.	ug/kg	0.33	1.7
PCB-1232	08/18/11	N.D.	ug/kg	0.33	1.7
PCB-1242	08/18/11	N.D.	ug/kg	0.33	1,7
PCB-1248	08/18/11	N.D.	ug/kg	0.33	1.7
PCB-1254	08/18/11	N.D.	ug/kg	0.33	1.7
PCB-1260	08/18/11	N.D,	ug/kg	0.39	1.7
PCB-1262	08/18/11	N.D.	ug/kg	0.33	1.7
PCB-1268	08/18/11	N.D.	ug/kg	0.33	1.7
Aroclor 5432	08/18/11	N.D.	ug/kg	1.0	3.3
Aroclor 5442	08/18/11	N.D.	ug/kg	1.0	3.3
Aroclor 5460	08/18/11	N.D.	ug/kg	1.0	3.3

Plase 1 PCB LLI PCB Non Site Specific Method Blank Raw Data SDG 110560006 (See Table 4b)

SW846 SURROGATE STANDARD Lot No. Prio \$ 03/04/2011 N 1:1 Methylene Chlaride/Acetone 03/03/2011 Due Date Reviewed by: WWWIA Start Date: 3 25/1) Start time: Witness: Spike Solutions: Solvent Used Sodium Sulfate MS1103824A SS1103324A Analyses Filter Paper Нехапе A 1216 10225 Comments Tech 2: 11996 4080 Comments Tech 1: 5 20 524 63 < 6 80 80 Amt FV (mL) (mL) pH pH PCBs in Solids (O) ပ္ထ 품 MS1103824A MS1103824A MS1103824A 동 MS Sol. Assigned to: 504 Sally Appleyard Prep Analysis: 00819 Solid Sample Pesticide Extract Ţ (Ĵπ) Amt (mL) Amt (mL) SS/IS Sol. SS1103324A SS1103324A SS1103324A SS1103324A SS1103324A SS1103324A SS/IS Sol Sample Amt Organic Extraction Batchlog FO S P.BLK06056 1030AMSD Sample Code 1030A LCS06056 PPA08 1030AMS 110560006A کی 6211396MSD 6211396MS Sample # 6211396 2 6212335 Dept: 24 BLANKA မ္မ LCSA

SCAZ

Rack ID:	Work Station	Sm 5	S-bath ID (9 C S-bath ID
Internal Standard	Balance #	0411	Documented temps are NIST corrected.
DF = Dilution Factor FV = Final Volume	FV = Final Volume	Page 1 of 1	

110560006A

C M-vap

C N-Evap

Multiple Component Data Summary

Sample Nam	e: BLANKA	2/25	/11ACF	PBI	.K06056		•	D: AB Bato			60006A	
Sample Amou	ınt: 30	g	Total Volu	me:	10 ml	Analyst:	2017	SDG:	St	ate:		
Analyses: ()	1216 10225											
Analysis Rej	oort (A)					<u>An</u>	alysis F	Report (B)				
Injected on Instrument Result file Calibration file Method file	Mar 01, 2011 / H5088A 4P7056,28R 1P7056 PESTD	19:04:02				insi Res Cal	ected on trument sult file ibration fi thod file	H5088B 4P7056B		2		
%SSR(TCX) %SSR(DCB)	99.7% 112.0%	Conc:	10.38642 11.67335				SR(TCX) SR(DCB)	100.8 104.2				
Summary Re Compound Nam Aroclor-1016		nn <u>Amo</u> ı	int Found	<u>LOQ</u> <17	MDL <3,3		Qualifiers	%Difference	No. of Hits Required 4	Max <u>%RSD</u> 15	Comments	
Arodor-1221	·			<17	<3.3				3	5		
Aroclor-1232	***************************************		·	<17	<3.3				4	10		
Aroclor-1242				<17	<3,3				4	30		
Aroclor-1248				<17	<3.3				. 4	30		
Aroclor-1254				<17	<3.3				4	40	41.00	
Aroclor-1260	-		***	<17	<3.9				4	40		
Aroclor-1262				<17	<3.3				4	40		
Aroclor-1268	***************************************		······	<17	<3.3				4	40		***************************************
Total PCBs				<17	<3.3							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Units: ug/kg									A	, <i>f</i>	<i>(</i> 1)	
%Difference =	-	divided	by the Av	erage tir	nes 100				Gerd	wall	ary	
Reviewed b	y: Stup	ul	<u> </u>			Veri	fied by: _		MAR	-022	011	
Date	e: 3.2.1		V		-		Date:					
							_		Andrea J. (Senior Sp			

Tancaster Laboratories Multiple Component Peak Data Report

Batchnumber: 110560006A Sample Name: BLANKA 2/25/11ACF PBLK06056Sample ID: AB SDG: ml Sample Amount: 30

Amount Pks %RSD Peak

2

108.13

1

6

2

1861.967041

1906.008453

1421,945557

1421.945557

2.996955

24.207449

44.041412

Total Volume: 10

Analyst: 2017

Injected on

Instrument

Result file

Method file

Calibration file

Analysis Report (B)

State:

Analysis Report (A)

R<u>.T.</u>

4.58 4.60 4.64

3.51 3.52 3.57

4.34 4.38

Height Summation:

Height Summation:

Amount Avg CF:

Amount Avg CF:

Aroclor-1221

%SSR(TCX)

%SSR(DCB)

Aroclor-1016

Min

Analyses: 01216 10225

Injected on MAR 01, 2011 19:04:02 CP07--H5088A Instrument 4P7056,28R Result file Calibration file 1P7056.CAL : PESTD,MET Method file

Max

4.40

99.7% (53-139) 112% (53-133)

Conc.: 10,386428 Conc.: 11.673356

5.288396

0.705514

24.207449

Linear:

Linear:

%SSR(TCX) %S\$R(DCB) PESTOB MET 100.8% (53-139) 104.2% (53-133)

CP07--H5088B

4P7056B.28R

1P7056B.CAL

MAR 01, 2011 19:04:02

Conc.: 10.502718 Conc.: 10.866687

123.53

3

6

Amount Pks %RSD Peak R.T. Max Height Min Aroclor-1016 15.645814 3.80 3.85 3.86 3970.316162 1.056364 4,26 246,727142 4.20 4.25

Height Summation: 4217.043304 8.351089 Linear: Amount Avg CF:

127.95 Aroclor-1221 0.796894 3.14 3.18 3.20 136.340591 2 15.938178 3,23 3,24 3,29 2031.639526 2167.980117 Height Summation:

8.367536 Linear: Amount Avg CF:

101.49 Aroclor-1232 123.66 3 10.129846 Aroclor-1232 4.34 4.38 4.40 1861,967041 32,338148 3,80 3.85 3.86 3970.316162 1.665397 4.58 4.60 4.64 44.041412 6 2,167125 4.20 4.25 4.26 246,727142 Height Summation: 1906.008453 4217,043304 Height Summation: 5.897622 Linear: Amount Avg CF: 17.252637 Amount Avg CF: Linear:

108.06 Aroclor-1242 122.74 Arocior-1242 4.34 4.38 4.40 4.58 4.60 4.64 3 5.128962 1861.967041 14.375047 3 3.80 3.85 3.86 3970.316162 0.685843 6 44.041412 6 4.20 4.25 4.26 1.016719 246,727142 Height Summation: 1906.008453 4217.043304 Height Summation: 2.907403 Linear: Amount Avg CF: 7.695883 Linear: Amount Avg CF:

96.60 Aroclor-1248 100.45 1 Aroclor-1248 9.022968 4,34 4,38 4.40 1861,967041 4,74606 3 4.29 4.33 4.35 971,633301 2 0.403911 4.58 4.60 4.64 44.041412 -5 * 4.81 4.82 4.87 88.784134 0.436716 3 4.68 3.411175 4.61 4.66 350.070465 4 * 4.78 4.82 4.84 0,338523 88.784134 1,094811 4 4.75 4.77 4.81 199,091751 5.683024 6 1044.927368 5.28 5.32 5.34 6 3.599387 6,62 5.63 5.68 397.227631 Height Summation: 2194.128937 Height Summation: 2852.398300 2.800831 Linear: Amount Avg CF: 3,50645 I inear:

Amount Avg CF: Aroclor-1254 73.57 Aroclor-1254 82,25 0.204302 4.78 4.82 1.201202 2 4.84 88,784134 5.62 5.63 5.68 397,227631 2 1.97505 5.28 5.32 5.34 1044,927368 5 434,499298 2,076806 6.13 6.16 6.19 6 1.845008 6 5.89 5.92 5.95 720,720947 0.181645 6.27 6.32 6.33 54.21254 1854.432449 Height Summation: Height Summation: 885.939469 1.341453 Linear:

Amount Avg CF: 1.153218 Linear: Amount Avg CF: 87.45 Arocior-1260 98.29 Aroclor-1260 2,826051 4 720.720947 5.88 5.92 5.94 3 0.151539 6,27 6.32 6,33 54,21254 5 2,103224 4 6,16 6,22 6,22 691.994202 1.52133 6.35 6.38 6.41 431,7052 6 6 6,39 6,45 6.45 30,907196 0.036774 0.500057 7.11 7.16 7.17 176,251389 1443,622345 Height Summation: 662.169129 Height Summation: Amount Avg CF: 1.65535 Linear: 0.724309 Linear: Amount Avg CF:

2 135.73 Aroclor-1262 61.74 Aroclor-1262 3 6.16 6.22 6.22 1,526722 691.994202 6.35 6.38 6.41 7.11 7.16 7.17 0.877986 431.7052 4 0.031371 6.40 6.45 6.46 30.907196 0.344345 176,251389 Height Summation: 722,901398 607,956589 Height Summation: 0.779047 Linear: Amount Avg CF: Amount Avg CF: 0.611165 Linear:

Aroclor-1268 109.61 165.64 Aroclor-1268 0,36468 6.66 6.70 6,72 379,686432 0.158757 7.10 7.16 7.16 176.251389 +6.99 7.00 0.240687 4 7.05 48.063976 0.347509 3 309.223663 7,37 7,39 7.43 1,374889 4 6.99 7.02 7.05 274.558472 4.45104 4 7.52 7.53 961,826256 7.47 0.100941 6 7.49 7.52 7.55 309,950684 8.14 8.16 8.20 0,155446 349,278778 964.195588 Height Summation: 1796.579086 Height Summation: 0.613503 Amount Avg CF: Linear: 1.278188 Linear: Amount Avg CF:

Printed on: 3/2/2011 07:18:29

^{*} Peak found within more than one window

⁺Duplicate Peak in window - not included in average

Mulijale Component Peak Dala Report.

Sample Name: BLANKA 2/25/11ACF

PBLK06056Sample ID: AB

Batchnumber: 110560006A

Sample Amount: 30 Analyses:01216 10225 Total Volume: 10

Analyst: 2017

SDG:

State:

Analysis Report (A)

MAR 01, 2011 19:04:02 CP07--H5088A 4P7056.28R

injected on instrument Result file Calibration file

Method file

1P7056.CAL : PESTD.MET Analysis Report (B)

injected on Instrument

MAR 01, 2011 19:04:02 CP07--H5088B

Result file Calibration file

: 4P7056B.28R : 1P7056B.CAL

Method file

: PESTDB.MET

Summary Report Compound Name Aroclor-1016	Column	Higher Amount Found	<u>LOQ</u> 17	MDL. 3.3	Qualifiers	%Difference ** 94,36	No of Hits Required 4	Max %RSD 15	Comments
Aroclor-1221	*********		17	3.3		** 97.25	3	6	
Aroclor-1232	-		17	3,3		** 98.10	4	10	
Aroclor-1242	 -		17	3,3		** 90.32	4	30	
Aroclor-1248			17	3.3		22.37	4	30	
			17	3,3		15,09	4	40	•
Aroclor-1254			17	3.9		** 78.25	4	40	
Aroclor-1260		,	17	3.3		24.16	4	40	
Arocior-1262	,			3,3		** 70,27	4	40	-
Aroclor-1268									***************************************

3.3

17

Units: ug/kg

Total PCB

Printed on: 3/2/2011 07:18:29

^{*} Peak found within more than one window +Duplicate Peak in window - not included in average

Sample Name:

01216

Ample Name: BLANKA 2/25/11ACF ABPBLK06056 BLK 110560006A Acquired from CP07--H5088A via port 3 on 3/1/11 07:13:10pm by 2017 ZB-MutiResidue-1 30m x 0.32mm x 0.5um

140 - 300 @ 10C/min

Data File:

C:\CPWIN\DATA1\4P7056.28R C:\CPWIN\DATA1\PESTD.MET

Method File: Calibration File:

C:\CPWIN\DATA1\1P7056.CAL

DIA	.,	Dat Time	Name	Amount	Amount%	Area	Area%	Type	Width	Height	Height%
PK	1	Ret Time 1.511	INMING	0,0000	0.000	1021.1	0.206	BB	0.035	485.07	0.239
		1.600		0,0000	0,000	1923.0	0.388	ВВ	0,033	968.95	0.477
	2			0.0000	0.000	1238.5	0,250	вв	0.033	629,44	0.310
	3	1.673		0,0000	0.000	1663.7	0.335	ву	0,038	733,75	0.361
•	4	1.967		0,0000	0.000	371,4	0.075	VΒ	0.023	272,41	0.134
	5	2.076		0.0000	0.000	29516.2	5.950	BV	0.031	15962.83	7.864
	6	2.143		0.0000	0.000	4124.3	0.831	VV	0.067	1025.29	0,505
	7	2,253		0.0000	0.000	11985.5	2,416	VV	0.071	2813.15	1.386
	8	2.358		0.0000	0.000	19859.7	4.003	ÝΒ	0.031	10656.69	5.250
;	9	2.473		0.0000	0.000	3400.9	0,686	BB	0.034	1658,65	0.817
,	10	2.712		0.0000	0.000	1948.9	0.393	BB	0.026	1266,29	0.624
35	11	2.961		0.0000	0,000	236.6	0.048	BB	0.041	96,99	0.048
•	12	3.015		0,0000	0.000	105.7	0.021	BB	0.021	85,12	0.042
	13	3.151		311.5928	47.083	151645.4	30.567	BB	0.031	81445.65	40.122
	14	3.222 TCX	L.	0.0000	0,000	7486.2	1.509	BB	0.088	1421.95	0.700
:,	15	3,517		0.0000	0;000	116.6			0.020	97.56	0.048
\$4	16	3.709			0.000	986.7	0.199	BB	0.094	175.51	0.086
ŧ	17	3.795		0.0000	0,000	645.6	0.130		• 0,059	181.31	0,089
	18	3.977		0,0000	0,000	1789.0	0.361	BB	0.036	817.90	0.403
į	19	4,034		0.0000	0.000	2912.8	0.587	BB	0.027	1808.43	0.891
	20	4.207		0.0000		980.6	0.198	BB	0.032	\$16.70	0,255
	21	4.316		0,0000	0.000	8402.3	1,694	BB	0,075	1861.97	0,917
	22	4.380	•	0.0000	0.000	76.4	0.015	BB	0.029	44.04	0.022
	23	4.600		0,0000	0.000	1056,3	0.213	BB	0,050	350.07	0.172
	24	4.655		0.0000	000.0	\$65.6	0.114	BB	0.047	199,09	0.098
	25	4.775		0,000,0	0.000	1088,4			0.060-	303.62	0:1:50
	26	4.858		0.0000	0.000	21747.8	4,384	BB	0,100	3617.58	1.782
	27	5.179		0.0000	0.000	586,9	0.118	BB	0,045	219.50	0.108
	28	5.377		0,0000	0.000	2919.8	0.589	BB	0.046	1048.70	0.517
	29	5,453		0.0000	0.000	1034.0	0,208	BB	0.043	397.23	0.196
3.5	30	5,628		0.0000	0.000	401.7	0.081	BB	0.052	129.82	0,064
	31	5.770		0,000,0	0.000	488.6	0.098	BB	0.033	247.76	0.122
	32	5.890		0.0000	0,000	1412.8	0.285	BB	0.059	398.52	0.196
	33	5,954		0.000.0	0.000	890.3	0.179	ВВ	0.034	434.50	0.214
	34	6.162		0.0000	0.000	617.4	0.124	BB	0,048	214.66	0.106
Ó	3\$	6.234		0.0000	0.000	67.1	0.014	BB	0.021	54.21	0.027
i	36	6.324		0.0000	0.000	824.3	0.166	₽B	0.032	431.71	0.213
	37	6,379		0.0000	0.000	300.0	0.060	BB	0.056	88.93	0.044
	38	6.633		0,000,0	0.000	1496.0	0,302	BB	0.049	511.10	0.252
	39	6.712		0.000.0	0.000	2408.9	0.486	ВВ	0.066	607.95	0,299
	40	6.818			0,000	142.6	0.029	BB	0.032	73.73	0.036
	41	6.944		0.0000	0.000	541.8	0.109	BB	0.039	234,00	0,115
	42	6.997		0.0000	0.000	1124.2	0.227	88	0,106	176,25	0.087
	43	7.164			0.000	495.6	001.0	BB	0.027	309.22	
	44	7.394		0.0000	0.000	7418.2	1,495	BB	0.129	961.83	
	45	7,519		0,000,0	0.000	2638.7	0.532	ВВ	0.221	198.67	
	46	7.919		0.0000	0.000	2699.6	0.544	BB	0,109	414.10	
	47	8.016		0.0000	0.000	685.6	0.138	ВВ	0.033	349.28	0.172
	48	8.163 9.466 DOB	.	350.2007	52.917	[86085.5	37,509	BB	0.047	65580.97	
	49	8.466 DCE	>		0.000	3937.0	0.794		0.158	414.33	
	50	8.869		0.0000	0,000	3937.0	0.134	பு	4.154	18-44-5-5	- in a

Total Area = 496112.0, Total Amount = 661.794, Total Height = 202993.0, Sample Units = ug/l

Sample Name:

01216

ample Name: BLANKA 2/25/11ACF ABPBLK06056 BLK 110560006A
Acquired from CP07--H5088B via port 4 on 3/1/11 07:13:10pm by 2017
ZB-MultiResidue-2 30m x 0.32mm x 0.25um

140 - 300 @ 10C/min


Data File:

C:\CPWIN\DATA1\4P7056B.28R

Method File: Calibration File: C:\CPWIN\DATAI\PESTDB.MBT C:\CPWIN\DATA1\1P7056B.CAL

PK#		Ret Time	Name	Amount	Amount%	Area	Area%	Type	Width	Height	Height%
7	1	1.534		0.0000	0,000	3560.2	0.342	BB	0.053	1122.71	0,300
	2	1,803		00000,0	0.000	5180,5	0.498	ΒV	0.035	2495.68	0,666
,	3	1.883		0.0000	0.000	1204.9	0.116	γv	0.036	563.65	0.150
Ŷ	4	1,944		0.0000	0,000	106416.3	10,225	VB	0.041	42879.66	11.442
:	5	2.075		0,0000	0,000	1018.9	0.098	BB	0.022	767,24	0.205
ď	6	2.135		0.0000	0,000	715.8	0.069	BB	0.048	250.47	0.067
•	7	2.233		0.0000	0.000	74484.9	7.157	BB	0.043	28954.31	7.726
•	8	2.481		0,0000	0.000	956.1	0.092	BB	0.032	499.80	0.133
•	9	2.557		0.0000	0.000	291.6	0.028	BB	0.028	172.38	0,046
;	10	2.656		0.0000	0.000	1630,4	0.157	BB	0,021	1270.31	0.339
-	11	2,689		0.0000	0.000	2144,0	0,206	BB	0.022	1621.36	0,433
٠	12	2,883		0.0000	0.000	136.8	0.013	BB	0.027	84.39	0.023
	13	2,920 TCX		315.0815	49.148	377861.1	36.306	BB	0.037	170946.60	45.614
	14	3.086		0.0000	0.000	2585.5	0.248	BB	0.042	1017.98	0.272
	15	3.181		0.0000	0.000	153.0	0.015	BB	0.019	136.34	0.036
a, .	16	3.237		0,0000	0.000	4100:9-	0.394	BB	0,034-	2031,64	0.542
	17	3,409		0.0000	0,000	691.5	0.066	BB.	0.025	460.10	0.123
Ļ	18	3.613		0.0000	0.000	4327.1	0,416	BB	0.073	988.35	0.264
į	19	3.697		0,0000	0.000	928,3	0.089	BB	0.017	891.72	0.238
	20	3.850		0.0000	0.000	7394.1	0.710	₿B	0.031	3970.32	1.059
	21	3,967		0.0000	0.000	15863.6	1.524	BB	0.058	4548.25	1.214
	22	4.246		0.0000	0.000	1372.1	0.132	BD	0.093	246.73	0.066
	23	4.327		0.0000	0.000	15850.1	1.523	BB	0.272	971.63	0,259
•	2 4	4.629		0.0000	0.000	7057.7	0.678	BB	0.084	1408.02	0.376
,	25	4.730		0,0000	0,000	740.8	0.071	BB	0.040	312.11	0.083
	26	4.820		0.0000	0.000	142.9	0,014	BB-	0.027	88.78	0.024
	27	4.899		0.0000	0.000	10040,6	0.965	BB	0,098	1708.09	0.456
	28	5.)36		0,0000	0,000	5258,4	0.505	BB	0.084	1044,69	0.279
	29	5.256		0.0000	0,000	32.7	0.003	BB	0.019	29.19	0.008
	30	5.320		0.0000	0.000	7273.3	0.699	BB	0.116	1044.93	0.279
	31	5.576		0.0000	0.000	2620.3	0.252	BB	0.052	842,55	0.225
	32	5.801		0,0000	0.000	147.1	0.014	BB	0.028	87.83	0.023
	33	5.841		0.0000	0.000	745.0	0.072	вв	0.045	276.49	0.074
i	34	5.921		0.0000	0.000	(380.9	0.133	BB	0.032	720.72	0.192
	35	6.217		0.0000	0.000	3082.4	0.296	BB	0.074	691.99	0.185
	36	6.448		0.0000	0.000	1891.0	0.182	BB	1.020	30,91	0.008
	37	6.478		0,0000	0.000	334.8	0.032	₿B	0.030	188.07	
	38	6,577		0.0000	0.000	3157.0	0.303	BB	0.101	521.01	0.139
	39	6.703		0.0000	0.000	880,8	0.085	BB	0.039	379,69	
	40	6.998		0.0000	0.000	1450.5	0.139	BB	0.503	48.06	
	41	7.017		0.0000	0.000	387.3	0.037	BB	0.024	274.56	
	42	7.410		0,0000	0,000	4839,2	0.465	BB	0.093	869,32	0.232
	43	7.524		0.0000	0.000	628.6	0,060	BB	0.034	309.95	
Ļ	44	7.785 DCB		326.0006	50.852	328717.8	31.584	BB	0.058	94990.32	
	45	8.237		0.0000	0.000	15375.8	1.477	ВВ	0.306	837.66	
	46	8,744		0.0000	0.000	15156.6	1.456	BB	0.248	1020.01	
	47	8.954		0.0000	0.000	102.3	0.010	BB	0.054	31.81	
	48	8.971		0.0000	0.000	59.9	0.006	BB	0.021	48,54	
	49	9,084		0.0000	0.000	227.3	0.022	₿B	0.090	42.05	
	50	9.138		0,0000	0.000	168.6	0,016	BB	0.112	25.15	0.007

Total Area = 1040767.0, Total Amount = 641.082, Total Height = 374764.1, Sample Units = ug/l



6,0

4.5

5.5

Instrument ID: CP07--H5088A Injected On: 3/1/2011 7:04:01 PM

4.0

3.5

3.0

Minutes (Span=6.4) Column ID: ZB-MutiResidue-1 30m x 0.32mm x 0.5um


6.5

7.0

7.5



6.0



8.5

8.0

9.0

Oven Parameters: 140 - 300 @ 10C/min

Volume Inj: 1

Detector A Parameters:

Threshold: 2

Width: 0.01

Area Reject: 0

Calibration Type: External

Quantitation: Height

Detector B Parameters:

Threshold: 0

Width: 0.03

Area Reject: 0

Calibration Type: External

Quantitaion: Height

Sample Weight: 30

Height A

Dilution Factor: 10

Analyst: 2017

RT B

Height B

Amount B Compound B

TCX

Amount A Compound A

2.92

170947 10.50272 TCX

3.222 8.466

RT A

10,38643 81446 65581 11.67336

DCB

7.785

94990 10.86669 DCB

Files:

Area File: C:\CPWIN\DATA1\4P7056.28A Area File: C:\CPWIN\DATA1\4P7056B.28A

Method A: C:\CPWIN\DATA1\PESTD.MBT

Method B: C:\CPWIN\DATA1\PESTDB.MET

Calibration File A: C:\CPWIN\DATA1\1P7056.CAL Calibration File B: C:\CPWIN\DATA1\IP7056B.CAL

Format A: C:\CPWIN\DATA1\PESTD.FMTA

Format B: C:\CPWIN\DATAI\PESTD.FMTB


Area File Created On: 3/1/2011 7:13:18 PM


file Reported On: 3/1/2011 at 7:13:30 PM

10X REPLOTS

Printed on 3/1/2011 7:13:31 PM







LLI PCB Site Specific Phase 3 Method Blank Raw Data SDG PH046 (See Table 4b)



Lancaster Laboratories

Quality Control Summary Method Blank Pesticide Residue Analysis

SDG: PH046 Matrix: SOLID

Fraction: Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs)

131580010A / PBLK10158 Analyte	Analysis Date	Blank Results	Units	MDL	LOQ
PCB-1016	06/10/13	N.D.	ug/kg	3.3	17
PCB-1221	06/10/13	N.D.	ug/kg	5.1	17
PCB-1232	06/10/13	N.D.	ug/kg	4.1	17
PCB-1242	06/10/13	N.D.	ug/kg	4.1	17
PCB-1248	06/10/13	N.D.	ug/kg	3.3	17
PCB-1254	06/10/13	N.D.	ug/kg	4.4	1.7
PCB-1260	06/10/13	N.D.	ug/kg	3.9	17
PCB-1262	06/10/13	N.D.	ug/kg	3.3	17
PCB-1268	06/10/13	N.D.	ug/kg	3.3	17
Aroclor 5432	06/10/13	N.D.	ug/kg	- 10	33
Aroclor 5442	06/10/13	N.D.	ug/kg	10	33
Aroclor 5460	06/10/13	N.D.	ug/kg	10	33

131620008A / PBLK08162 Analyte	Analysis Date	Blank Results	Units	MDL	LOQ
PCB-1016	06/12/13	N.D.	ug/kg	3.3	17
PCB-1221	06/12/13	N.D.	ug/kg	5.1	17
PCB-1232	06/12/13	N.D.	ug/kg	4.1	17
PCB-1242	06/12/13	N.D.	ug/kg	4.1	17
PCB-1248	06/12/13	N.D.	ug/kg	3.3	17
PCB-1254	06/12/13	N.D.	ug/kg	4.4	17
PCB-1260	06/12/13	N.D.	ug/kg	3.9	17
PCB-1262	06/12/13	N.D.	ug/kg	3.3	17
PCB-1268	06/12/13	N.D.	ug/kg	3.3	17
Aroclor 5432	06/12/13	N.D.	ug/kg	10	33
Aroclor 5442	06/12/13	N.D.	ug/kg	10	33
Aroclor 5460	06/12/13	N.D.	ug/kg	10	33

EMAX Herbicide Phase 1 Method Blank Raw Data SDG 12C182 (See Table 5)

METROD 8151A HERBICIDES

Client : CDM Project : SSFL AREA I Batch No. : 12C182 Sample ID: M8LK1S Lab Samp ID: HECO10SB Lab File ID: WC26017A Ext Btch ID: HECO10S Calib. Ref.: WC26016A		Date Re Date Ext Date An Dilution Matrix % Moistur	lected: NA ceived: 03/24/12 racted: 03/24/12 alyzed: 03/26/12 Factor: 1 : SOIL e : NA t ID : GCT016	
PARAMETERS	RESULTS (ug/kg)	RL (ug/kg)	MDL (ug/kg)	
2.4-D	(ND) ND	3.6	1.8 1.8	
2,4-DB	(ND) ND	1.7	0.85 0.85	
2,4,5-T	(ND) ND	0.17	0.085 0.085	
2,4,5-TP(SILVEX)	(ND) ND	0.17	0.085 0.085	
DALAPON	(ND) ND	9.0	4.5 4.5	
DICAMBA	(ND.) ND	1.2	0.60 0.60	
D I CHLOROPROP	(ND) ND	2.4	1.2 1.2	
DINOSEB	(ND) ND	1.7	0.85 0.85	
MCPA	(ND) ND	250	120 120	
MCPP	(ND) ND	250	120 120	
SURROGATE PARAMETERS	RESULTS	SPK_AMT	% RECOVERY	QC LIMIT
2,4-DCPAA	(94.05) 83.92	150.0	(62.7) 55.9	10-150

Left of \mid is related to first column; Right of \mid related to second column Final result indicated by ()

EMAX Herbicide Phase 3 Method Blank Raw Data SDG 12F037 (See Table 5)

SC Phase 3

30-140

METHOD 8151A HERBICIDES

Client : CDM		Date Coll	ected: NA	
Project : SSFL PHASE 3			eived: 06/08/12	
8atch No. : 12F037			acted: 06/08/12 1	8-36
			lyzed: 06/11/12 1	
···		Dilution F		7.00
Lab Samp ID: HEF001SB		Matrix		
Lab File ID: WF11016A		% Moisture		
Ext Btch ID: HEF001S				
Calib. Ref.: WF11012A		Instrument	ID : GCT016	

	RESULTS	RL	MDL	
PARAMETERS	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	
TANAPETERS	4-0			
2,4-D	(ND) ND	10	5.0 5.0	
2,4-DB	(ND) ND	10	5.0 5.0	
2,4,5-T	(ND) ND	10	5.0 5.0	
2,4,5-TP(SILVEX)	OM (ON)	10	5.0 5.0	
DALAPON	(ND) ND	10	5.0 5.0	
DICAMBA	(ND) ND	10	5.0 5.0	
DICHLOROPROP	(ND) ND	10	5.0 5.0	
DINOSEB	(ND) ND	10	5.0 5.0	
MCPA	(ND) ND	2000	1000 1000	
MCPP	(ND) ND	2000	1000 1000	
	'		•	
SURROGATE PARAMETERS	RESULTS	SPK_AMT	% RECOVERY	QC LIMIT

500.0

(123) | 121

Left of \mid is related to first column; Right of \mid related to second column Final result indicated by ()

(615.7) | 603.3

.......

2,4-DCPAA

EMAX Pesticide Phase 1 Method Blank Raw Data SDG 12C305 (See Table 5)

METHOD 3550B/8081A PESTICIDES

Phase I EMAX NBZ

Date Collected: NA Client : CDM Project : SSFL AREA IV COLLOCATED SAMPLING Date Received: 04/10/12 Date Extracted: 04/10/12 10:30 Batch No. : 12C305 Analyzed: 04/17/12 17:01 Sample ID: MBLK1S Dilution Factor: 1 Lab Samp ID: CPD021SB : SOIL Lab File ID: LD17013A Matrix % Moisture : NA Ext Btch ID: CPD021S Instrument ID : GCF9 Calib. Ref.: LD17005A

			8	
	RESULTS	RL	MDL	
PARAMETERS	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	
ALPHA-BHC	(ND) 0.16J	0.17	0.085 0.085	
GAMMA-BHC (LINDANE)	(ND) ND	0.17	0.085 0.085	
BETA-BHC	(ND) ND	0.17	0.085 0.085	
HEPTACHLOR	(ND) ND	0.17		
DELTA-BHC	(ND) ND	0.17	0.085 0.085	*
ALDRIN	(ND) ND	0.17	0.085 0.085	
HEPTACHLOR EPOXIDE	(ND) ND	0.17	0.085 0.085	
ENDOSULFAN I	(ND) ND	0.17	0.085 0.085	
4,4'-DDE	(ND) ND	0.34	0.17 0.17	
DIELDRIN	(ND) ND	0.34	0.17 0.17	
ENDRIN	(ND) ND	0.34	0.17 0.17	
4,41-DDD	(ND) ND	0.34	0.17 0.17	
ENDOSULFAN II	(ND) ND	0.34	0.17 0.17	
4,4'-DDT	(ND) ND	0.34	0.17 0.17	
ENDRIN ALDEHYDE	(ND) ND	0.34	0.17 0.17	
ENDOSULFAN SULFATE	(ND) ND	0.34		
ENDOSCETAN SCETATE	(ND) ND	0.34	0.17 0.17	
METHOXYCHLOR	(ND) ND	1.7	0.85 0.85	
	(ND) ND	0.34	0.17 0.17	
MIREX TOXAPHENE	(ND) ND	6.6	3.3 3.3	
	(ND) ND	3.4	1.7 1.7	
CHLORDANE (TECHNICAL)	(ND) [ND		1	
SURROGATE PARAMETERS	RESULTS	SPK_AMT	% RECOVERY	QC LIM
TETRACHLORO-M-XYLENE	5.024 (5.288)	5.333	94.2 (99.1)	50-1
DECACHLOROBIPHENYL	5.440 (5.725)	5.333	102 (107)	20-1

RL : Reporting limit Left of \mid is related to first column ; Right of \mid related to second column Final result indicated by ()

EMAX Pesticide Phase 3 Method Blank Raw Data SDG 12E187 (See Table 5)

METHOD 3550B/8081A PESTICIDES

Phase 3 EMAX SC

________ Date Collected: NA Client : CDM Project : SSFL PHASE 3 Batch No. : 12E187 Date Received: 05/29/12 Date Extracted: 05/29/12 15:12 Date Analyzed: 06/05/12 22:41 Sample ID: MBLK1S Dilution Factor: 1 Lab Samp ID: CPE037SB Matrix : SOIL Lab File ID: MF05035B % Moisture : NA Ext Btch ID: CPE037S Instrument ID : GCE8 Calib. Ref.: MF05026B _______

	RESULTS	RL	MDL	
PARAMETERS	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	
ALPHA-BHC	ND (ND)	2.0	0.40 0.40	
GAMMA-BHC (LINDANE)	ND (ND)	2.0	0.40 0.40	
BETA-BHC	ND (ND)	2.0	0.40 0.40	
HEPTACHLOR	0.43J (ND)	2.0	0.40 0.40	
DELTA-BHC	ND (ND)	2.0	0.40 0.40	
ALDRIN	ND (ND)	2.0	0.40 0.40	
HEPTACHLOR EPOXIDE	ND (ND)	2.0	0.40 0.40	
ENDOSULFAN I	ND (ND)	2.0	0.40 0.40	
4,4'-DDE	ND (ND)	2.0	0.40 0.40	
DIELDRIN	ND (ND)	2.0	0.40 0.40	
ENDRIN	ND (ND)	2.0	0.40 0.40	
4,4'-DDD	ND (ND)	2.0	0.40 0.40	
ENDOSULFAN II	ND (ND)	2.0	0.40 0.40	
4,4'-DDT	ND (ND)	2.0	0.40 0.40	
ENDRIN ALDEHYDE	ND (ND)	2.0	0.40 0.40	
ENDOSULFAN SULFATE	ND (ND)	2.0	0.40 0.40	
ENDRIN KETONE	ND (ND)	2.0	0.40 0.40	
4E T HOXYCHLOR	ND (ND)	5.0	2.0 2.0	
IIREX	ND (ND)	2.0	0.40 0.40	
OXAPHENE	ND (ND)	50	10 10	
CHLORDANE (TECHNICAL)	ND (ND)	10	5.0 5.0	
SURROGATE PARAMETERS	RESULTS	SPK_AMT	% RECOVERY	QC LIMIT
ETRACHLORO-M-XYLENE	11.57 (10.07)	13.33	86.8 (75.6)	50-130
DECACHLOROBIPHENYL	14.48 (12.23)	13.33	109 (91.8)	20-120

RL : Reporting limit

Left of | is related to first column ; Right of | related to second column

Final result indicated by ()

EMAX PCB Phase 1 Method Blank Raw Data SDG 12C305 (See Table 5)

METHOD 3550B/8082 PCBs

Phase I EMAX NBZ

: CDM Date Collected: NA Project : SSFL AREA IV COLLOCATED SAMPLING Date Received: 04/10/12 Batch No. : 12C305 Date Extracted: 04/10/12 13:15 Sample ID: MBLK1S Date Analyzed: 04/14/12 23:29 Lab Samp ID: 60D022SB Dilution Factor: 1 Lab File ID: KD12061A Matrix : SOIL Ext Btch ID: CPD022S % Moisture : NA Calib. Ref.: KD12057A Instrument ID : GCT071

	RESULTS	RL	MDL	
PARAMETERS	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	
AROCLOR 1016	(ND) ND	1.7	0.85 0.85	
AROCLOR 1221	(ND) ND	1.7	0.85 0.85	
AROCLOR 1232	(ND) ND	1.7	0.85 0.85	
AROCLOR 1242	(ND) ND	1.7	0.85 0.85	
AROCLOR 1248	(ND) ND	1.7	0.85 0.85	
AROCLOR 1254	(ND) ND	1.7	0.85 0.85	
AROCLOR 1260	(ND) ND	1.7	0.85 0.85	
AROCLOR 1262	(ND) ND	1.7	0.85 0.85	
AROCLOR 1268	(ND) ND	1.7	0.85 0.85	
AROCLOR 5432	(ND) ND	3.3	1.7 1.7	
AROCLOR 5442	(ND) ND	3.3	1.7 1.7	
AROCLOR 5460	(ND) ND	3.3	1.7 1.7	
SURROGATE PARAMETERS	RESULTS	SPK_AMT	% RECOVERY	QC LIMIT
*****		******		
DECACHLOROB I PHENYL	(5.794) 4.436	5.333	(109) 83.2	45-120
TETRACHLORO-M-XYLENE	(5.713) 4.855	5.333	(107) 91.0	10-160

Left of \mid is related to first column ; Right of \mid related to second column Final result indicated by ()

^{*} Out side of QC Limit

EMAX PCB Phase 3 Method Blank Raw Data SDG 12F071 (See Table 5)

METHOD 3550B/8082 **PCBs**

: CDM Client Date Collected: NA

Project : SSFL PHASE 3 Batch No. : 12F071 Date Received: 06/20/12 Date Extracted: 06/20/12 14:23 Sample ID: MBLK1S Date Analyzed: 06/21/12 13:06

Lab Samp ID: 60F022SB Dilution Factor: 1 Lab File ID: SF21007A Matrix : SOIL Ext Btch ID: CPF022S % Moisture : NA Calib. Ref.: SF21002A Instrument ID : GCT008

*				
	RESULTS	RL	MD	L
PARAMETERS	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/	kg)
		******		5.5.5
AROCLOR 1016	(ND) ND	20	10	10
AROCLOR 1221	(ND) ND	20	10	10
AROCLOR 1232	(ND) ND	20	10	10
AROCLOR 1242	(ND) ND	20	10	10
AROCLOR 1248	(ND) ND	20	10	10
AROCLOR 1254	(ND) ND	20	10	10
AROCLOR 1260	(ND) ND	20	10	10
AROCLOR 1262	(ND) ND	20	10	10
AROCLOR 1268	(ND) ND	20	10	10
AROCLOR 5432	(ND) ND	40	20 2	20
AROCLOR 5442	(ND) ND	40	20	20
AROCLOR 5460	(ND) ND	40	20	20
SURROGATE PARAMETERS	RESULTS	SPK_AMT	% RECO	VERY QC LIMIT
DECACHLOROBIPHENYL	(11.63) 14.8	82 13.33	(87.3) 11	11 45-120
TETRACHLORO-M-XYLENE	(12.28) 14.	09 13.33	(92.1) 10	06 10-160

Left of | is related to first column ; Right of | related to second column Final result indicated by ()

^{*} Out side of QC Limit

Attachment D Results of MRL LCS and MRL MS Study for Pesticides

SSFL

Table 1 - Summary of RL-LCS Results

					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	T	T				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	T		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			Spiking	Lower	Upper								LCS 8	
	MDL	Reporting	Value	Control	Control	LCS 1	LCS 2	LCS 3	LCS 4	LCS 5	LCS 6	LCS 7	Recovery	LCS 9
Compound	(ug/kg)	Limit (ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	Limit (%)	Limit (%)	Recovery (%)	Recovery (%)	Recovery (%)	Recovery (%)	Recovery (%)	Recovery (%)	Recovery (%)	(%)	Recovery (%)
Aldrin	0.066	0.17	0.17	44	135	94.12	0.00 *	42.35 *	70.59	82.35	54.12	100.00	41.76 *	0.00 *
Alpha BHC	0.034	0.17	0.17	38	130	76.47	51.76	45.88	64.71	70.59	53.53	54.12	50.59	27.65 *
Beta BHC	0.06	0.17	0.16	56	134	112.50	75.00	93.75	106.25	118.75	93.75	93.75	68.75	0.00 *
Delta BHC	0.036	0.17	0.16	55	144	112.50	68.75	81.25	106.25	100.00	87.50	87.50	57.50	27.50 *
Dieldrin	0.066	0.34	0.34	65	129	52.94 *	35.29 *	52.94 *	100.00	44.12 *	70.59	50.00 *	55.88 *	26.47 *
Endosulfan Sulfate	0.066	0.34	0.33	45	123	54.55	36.36 *	69.70	121.21	51.52	75.76	93.94	63.64	36.36 *
Endrin	0.066	0.34	0.34	62	129	85.29	41.18 *	61.76 *	102.94	73.53	79.41	85.29	47.06 *	0.00 *
Endrin Aldehyde	0.066	0.34	0.33	55	132	81.82	36.36 *	54.55 *	90.91	87.88	57.58	66.67	54.55 *	66.67
Endrin Ketone	0.066	0.34	0.33	69	139	75.76	42.42 *	81.82	124.24	78.79	118.18	96.97	84.85	28.18 *
Gamma BHC - Lindane	0.034	0.17	0.17	46	127	88.24	54.71	53.53	76.47	82.35	58.82	64.71	55.88	24.71 *
Heptachlor	0.06	0.17	0.17	43	124	100.00	55.29	48.82	70.59	82.35	58.82	70.59	42.94 *	0.00 *
Heptachlor Epoxide	0.034	0.17	0.17	35	131	105.88	40.00	55.29	94.12	70.59	70.59	105.88	52.94	33.53 *
Methoxychlor	0.34	1.7	1.75	59	125	49.14 *	44.57 *	74.29	154.29 **	46.86 *	85.71	97.14	62.86	29.71 *
p,p-DDD	0.066	0.34	0.34	60	137	108.82	52.94 *	73.53	120.59	85.29	88.24	91.18	47.06 *	32.35 *
p,p-DDE	0.066	0.34	0.33	59	141	121.21	48.48 *	69.70	136.36	84.85	84.85	87.88	39.39 *	26.97 *
p,p-DDT	0.066	0.34	0.33	54	130	60.61	48.48 *	66.67	119.44	96.97	86.11	91.67	63.64	21.52 *

^{* -} Result below lower control limit

^{** -} Result above upper control limit

Table 1 - Summary of Sample RL-LCS Results

		Recuit	ΙŒΜ	Reporting Limit	Spiking	
Compound	Sample ID	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	Recovery (%)
Aldrin	SL-001-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5 RL LCS	0.16 J	0.066	0.17	0.17	94.12
Alpha BHC	SL-001-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5 RL LCS	0.13 J	0.034	0.17	0.17	76.47
Beta BHC	SL-001-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5 RL LCS	0.18	90.0	0.17	0.16	112.50
Gamma BHC - Lindane	SL-001-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5 RL LCS	0.15 J	0.034	0.17	0.17	88.24
DOD-d'd	SL-001-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5 RL LCS	0.37	990.0	0.34	0.34	108.82
p,p-DDE	SL-001-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5 RL LCS	0.4	990'0	0.34	0.33	121.21
p,p-DDT	SL-001-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5 RL LCS	0.2 J	0.066	0.34	0.33	60.61
Delta BHC	SL-001-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5 RL LCS	0.18	0.036	0.17	0.16	112.50
Dieldrin	SL-001-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5 RL LCS	0.18 J	0.066	0.34	0.34	52.94 *
Endosulfan Sulfate	SL-001-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5 RL LCS	0.18 J	0.066	0.34	0.33	54.55
Endrin	SL-001-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5 RL LCS	0.29 J	990'0	0.34	0.34	85.29
Endrin Aldehyde	SL-001-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5 RL LCS	0.27 J	0.066	0.34	0.33	81.82
Endrin Ketone	SL-001-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5 RL LCS	0.25 J	990'0	0.34	0.33	75.76
Heptachlor	SL-001-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5 RL LCS	0.17	90.0	0.17	0.17	100.00
Heptachlor Epoxide	SL-001-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5 RL LCS	0.18	0.034	0.17	0.17	105.88
Methoxychlor	SL-001-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5 RL LCS	0.86 J	0.34	1.7	1.75	49.14 *
Aldrin	SL-008-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.072 J	0.066	0.17	0.17	42.35 *
Alpha BHC	SL-008-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.078 J	0.034	0.17	0.17	45.88
Beta BHC	SL-008-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.15 J	90.0	0.17	0.16	93.75
Gamma BHC - Lindane	SL-008-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.091 J	0.034	0.17	0.17	53.53
p,p-DDD	SL-008-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.25 J	990.0	0.34	0.34	73.53
p,p-DDE	SL-008-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.23 J	990.0	0.34	0.33	69.70
p,p-DDT	SL-008-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.24 J	990'0	0.34	0.36	66.67
Delta BHC	SL-008-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.13 J	0.036	0.17	0.16	81.25
Dieldrin	SL-008-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.18 J	990'0	0.34	0.34	52.94 *
Endosulfan Sulfate	SL-008-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.23 J	0.066	0.34	0.33	69.70
Endrin		0.21 J	0.066	0.34	0.34	* 92.19
Endrin Aldehyde	SL-008-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.18 J	0.066	0.34	0.33	54.55 *
Endrin Ketone	SL-008-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.27 J	0.066	0.34	0.33	81.82
Heptachlor	SL-008-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.083 J	0.06	0.17	0.17	48.82
Heptachlor Epoxide	SL-008-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.094 J	0.034	0.17	0.17	55.29
Methoxychlor	SL-008-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	1.3 J	0.34	1.7	1.75	74.29

SSFL
Table 1 - Summary of Sample RL-LCS Results

		Result	MDL	Reporting Limit	Spiking Value	
Compound	Sample ID	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	(gy/gn)	(ug/kg)	Recovery (%)
Aldrin	SL-015-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.12 J	0.066	0.17	0.17	70.59
Alpha BHC	SL-015-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.11 J	0.034	0.17	0.17	64.71
Beta BHC	SL-015-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.17	0.06	0.17	0.16	106.25
Gamma BHC - Lindane	SL-015-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.13 J	0.034	0.17	0.17	76.47
DOD-d'd	SL-015-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.41	0.066	0.34	0.34	120.59
p,p-DDE	SL-015-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0,45	0.066	0.34	0.33	136.36
p,p-DDT	SL-015-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.43	0.066	0.34	0.36	119.44
<u>ب</u>	SL-015-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.17	0.036	0.17	0.16	106.25
Dieldrin	SL-015-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.34 J	0.066	0.34	0.34	100.00
Endosulfan Sulfate	SL-015-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.4	0.066	0.34	0.33	121.21
	SL-015-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.35	0.066	0.34	0.34	102.94
Endrin Aldehyde	SL-015-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.3 J	0.066	0.34	0.33	90.91
Endrin Ketone	SL-015-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.41	990.0	0.34		124.24
Heptachlor	SL-015-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.12 J	90.0	0.17	0.17	70.59
Heptachlor Epoxide	SL-015-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.16 J	0.034	0.17	0.17	94.12
Methoxychlor	SL-015-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	2.7	0.34	1.7	1.75	154.29 **
Aldrin	SL-042-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.092 J	0.066	0.17	0.17	54.12
Alpha BHC	SL-042-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	t 160.0	0.034	0.17	0.17	53.53
Beta BHC	SL-042-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.15 J	90.0	0.17	0.16	93.75
Gamma BHC - Lindane	SL-042-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.1 J	0.034	0.17	0.17	58.82
p,p-DDD	SL-042-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.3 J	0.066	0.34	0.34	88.24
p,p-DDE	SL-042-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.28 J	0.066	0.34	0.33	84.85
p,p-DDT	SL-042-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.31 J	0.066	0.34	0.36	86.11
Delta BHC	SL-042-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.14 J	0.036	0.17	0.16	87.50
Dieldrin	SL-042-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.24 J	0.066	0.34	0.34	70.59
Endosulfan Sulfate		0.25 J	0.066	0.34	0.33	75.76
Endrin		0.27 J	0.066	0.34	0.34	79.41
Endrin Aldehyde	SL-042-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.19 J	0.066	0.34	0.33	57.58
Endrin Ketone	SL-042-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.39	0.066	0.34	0.33	118.18
Heptachlor	SL-042-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.1 J	0.06	0.17	0.17	58.82
Heptachlor Epoxide	SL-042-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.12 J	0.034	0.17	0.17	70.59
Methoxychlor	SL-042-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	1.5 J	0.34	1.7	1.75	85.71

Table 1 - Summary of Sample RL-LCS Results

					Spiking	
Compound	Sample ID	Kesult (119/kp)	MDL (119/kg)	Keporting Limit	Value (119/kg)	Recovery (%)
Aldrin	SL-050-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.17 J	0.066		0.17	100.00
Alpha BHC		0.092 J	0.034		0.17	54.12
Beta BHC	SL-050-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.15 J	0.06	0.17	0.16	93.75
Gamma BHC - Lindane	SL-050-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.11 J	0.034	0.17	0.17	64.71
DDD-d'd	SL-050-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.31 J	0.066	0.34	0.34	91.18
p,p-DDE	SL-050-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.29 J	0.066	0.34	0.33	87.88
p,p-DDT	SL-050-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.33 J	0.066	0.34	98.0	91.67
Delta BHC	SL-050-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.14 J	0.036	0.17	0.16	87.50
Dieldrin	SL-050-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.17 J	0.066	0.34	0.34	50.00 *
Endosulfan Sulfate	SL-050-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.31 J	0.066	0.34	0.33	93.94
Endrin	SL-050-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.29 J	0.066	0.34	0.34	85.29
Endrin Aldehyde	SL-050-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.22 J	0.066	0.34	0.33	66.67
Endrin Ketone	SL-050-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.32 J	0.066	0.34	0.33	96.97
Heptachlor	SL-050-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.12 J	0.06	0.17		70.59
Heptachlor Epoxide	SL-050-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.18	0.034	0.17	0.17	105.88
Methoxychlor	SL-050-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	1.7	0.34	1.7	1.75	97.14
Endrin Aldehyde	SL-003-SA8S-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.12 J	0.066	0.34	0.33	36.36 *
Endrin Ketone	SL-003-SA8S-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.14 J	0.066	0.34	0.33	42.42 *
Alpha BHC	SL-003-SA8S-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.088 J	0.034	0.17	0.17	51.76
Beta BHC	SL-003-SA8S-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.12 J	0.06	0.17	0.16	75.00
Gamma BHC - Lindane	SL-003-SA8S-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.093 J	0.034	0.17	0.17	54.71
Delta BHC	SL-003-SA8S-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.11 J	0.036	0.17	0.16	68.75
Heptachlor	SL-003-SA8S-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.094 J	90.0	0.17	0.17	55.29
Aldrin	SL-003-SA8S-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.066 U	0.066	0.17	0.17	* 00.0
Heptachlor Epoxide	SL-003-SA8S-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.068 J	0.034	0.17	0.17	40.00
p,p-DDE	SL-003-SA8S-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.16 J	0.066	0.34	0.33	48.48 *
p,p-DDD	SL-003-SA8S-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.18 J	0.066	0.34	0.34	52.94 *
p,p-DDT	SL-003-SA8S-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.16 J	0.066	0.34	0.33	48.48 *
Methoxychlor	SL-003-SA8S-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.78 J	0.34	1.7	1.75	44.57 *
Dieldrin	SL-003-SA8S-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.12 J	0.066	0.34	0.34	35.29 *
Endrin	SL-003-SA8S-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.14 J	0.066	0.34	0.34	41.18 *
Endosulfan Sulfate	SL-003-SA8S-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.12 J	0.066	0.34	0.33	36.36 *

Table 1 - Summary of Sample RL-LCS Results

		Result	MDL	Reporting Limit	Spiking Value	
Compound	Sample ID	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	Recovery (%)
Endrin Aldehyde	SL-034-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.29 J	990.0	0.34	0.33	87.88
Endrin Ketone	SL-034-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.26 J	0.066	0.34	0.33	78.79
Alpha BHC	SL-034-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.12 J	0.034	0.17	0.17	70.59
Beta BHC	SL-034-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.19	0.06	0.17	0.16	118.75
Gamma BHC - Lindane	SL-034-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.14 J	0.034	0.17	0.17	82.35
Delta BHC	SL-034-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.16 J	0.036	0.17	0.16	100.00
Heptachlor	SL-034-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.14 J	0.06	0.17	0.17	82.35
Aldrin	SL-034-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.14 J	0.066	0.17	0.17	82.35
Heptachlor Epoxide	SL-034-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.12 J	0.034	0.17	0.17	70.59
p,p-DDE	SL-034-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.28 J	0.066	0.34	0.33	84.85
ddd-d'd	SL-034-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.29 J	0.066	0.34	0.34	85.29
p,p-DDT	SL-034-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.32 J	0.066	0.34	0.33	96.97
Methoxychlor	SL-034-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.82 J	0.34	1.7	1.75	46.86 *
Dieldrin	SL-034-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.15 J	0.066	0.34	0.34	44.12 *
Endrin	SL-034-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.25 J	0.066	0.34	0.34	73.53
Endosulfan Sulfate	SL-034-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.17 J	0.066	0.34	0.33	51.52
Endrin Aldehyde	SL-120-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.18 J	0.066	0.34	0.33	54.55 *
Endrin Ketone	SL-120-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.28 J	0.066	0.34	0.33	84.85
Alpha BHC	SL-120-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.086 J	0.034	0.17	0.17	50.59
Beta BHC	SL-120-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.11 J	90.0	0.17	0.16	68.75
Gamma BHC - Lindane	SL-120-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.095 J	0.034	0.17	0.17	55.88
Delta BHC	SL-120-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.092	0.036	0.17	0.16	57.50
Heptachlor	SL-120-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.073 J	0.06	0.17	0.17	42.94 *
Aldrin	SL-120-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.071 J	0.066	0.17	0.17	41.76 *
Heptachlor Epoxide	SL-120-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.09 J	0.034	0.17	0.17	52.94
p,p-DDE	SL-120-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.13 J	990'0	0.34	0.33	39.39 *
DDD-d'd	SL-120-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.16 J	0.066	0.34	0.34	47.06 *
p,p-DDT	SL-120-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.21 J	0.066	0.34	0.33	63.64
Methoxychlor	SL-120-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	1.1 J	0.34	1.7	1.75	62.86
Dieldrin	SL-120-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.19 J	0.066	0.34	0.34	55.88 *
Endrin	SL-120-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.16 J	0.066	0.34	0.34	47.06 *
Endosulfan Sulfate	SL-120-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.21 J	0.066	0.34	0.33	63.64

SSFL Table 1 - Summary of Sample RL-LCS Results

Recovery (%) 26.97 * 27.50 * * 00.0 24.71 * * 00.0 * 00'0 28.18 * 33.53 * * 00.0 26.47 * 36.36 * 29.71 * 32.35 * 21.52 * 27.65 * 66.67 (ng/kg) Spiking Value 0.16 0.16 0.34 0.33 0.34 0.33 0.33 1.75 0.34 0.33 0.17 0.17 0.17 0.17 0.17 0.33 0.17 0.17 0.34 0.34 0.34 0.34 0.34 0.34 0.34 0.34 Reporting Limit 0.17 0.17 0.17 0.17 0.17 1.7 (ug/kg) 90.0 0.066 0.066 0.034 0.06 0.036 0.066 0.066 990.0 990.0 0.066 0.034 0.034 0.34 0.066 0.066 (ug/kg) MDL 0.06 U 0.066 U 0.06 U 0.066 U 0.047 J 0.044 J 0.09 0.12 J 0.089 0.071 J 0.042 0.057 J 0.093 0.22 0.52 0.11 (ug/kg) Result SL-283-SA6-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS Sample ID Gamma BHC - Lindane Heptachlor Epoxide **Endosulfan Sulfate** Endrin Aldehyde Endrin Ketone Methoxychlor Compound Heptachlor Alpha BHC Delta BHC Beta BHC D,p-DDT DDD-d,q p,p-DDE Dieldrin Endrin Aldrin

^{* -} Result below lower control limit

^{** -} Result above upper control limit

SSFL Table 1a - Summary of Sample RL-LCS Results

		4	2		Spiking	
Compound	Sample ID	resuit (ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	repolung Limit (ug/kg)	value (ug/kg)	Recovery (%)
Aldrin	SL-001-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5 RL LCS	0.16 J	0.066	0.17	0.17	94.12
Alpha BHC	SL-001-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5 RL LCS	0.13 J	0.034	0.17	0.17	76.47
Beta BHC	SL-001-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5 RL LCS	0.18	0.06	0.17	0.16	112.50
Gamma BHC - Lindane	SL-001-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5 RL LCS	0.15 J	0.034	0.17	0.17	88.24
ddd-q,q	SL-001-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5 RL LCS	0.37	990'0	0.34	0.34	108.82
p,p-DDE	SL-001-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5 RL LCS	0.4	0.066	0.34	0.33	121.21
p,p-DDT	SL-001-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5 RL LCS	0.2 J	0.066	0.34	0.33	60.61
Delta BHC	SL-001-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5 RL LCS	0.18	0.036	0.17	0.16	112.50
Dieldrin	SL-001-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5 RL LCS	0.18 J	0.066	0.34	0.34	52.94 *
Endosulfan Sulfate	SL-001-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5 RL LCS	0.18 J	0.066	0.34	0.33	54.55
Endrin	SL-001-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5 RL LCS	0.29 J	0.066		0.34	85.29
Endrin Aldehyde	SL-001-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5 RL LCS	0.27 J	0.066	0.34	0.33	81.82
Endrin Ketone	SL-001-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5 RL LCS	0.25 J	0.066	0.34	0.33	75.76
Heptachlor	SL-001-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5 RL LCS	0.17	90.0	0.17	0.17	100.00
Heptachlor Epoxide	SL-001-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5 RL LCS	0.18	0.034	0.17	0.17	105.88
Methoxychlor	SL-001-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5 RL LCS	ſ 98·0	0.34	1.7	1.75	49.14 *
Aldrin	SL-008-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.072 J	0.066	0.17	0.17	42.35 *
Alpha BHC	SL-008-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.078 J	0.034	0.17	0.17	45.88
Beta BHC	SL-008-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.15 J	90.0	0.17	0.16	93.75
Gamma BHC - Lindane	SL-008-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.091	0.034	0.17	0.17	53.53
p,p-DDD	SL-008-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.25 J	0.066	0.34	0.34	73.53
p,p-DDE	SL-008-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.23 J	0.066	0.34	0.33	69.70
p,p-DDT	SL-008-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.24 J	0.066	0.34	0.36	66.67
Delta BHC	SL-008-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.13 J	0.036	0.17	0.16	81.25
Dieldrin	SL-008-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.18 J	990.0	0.34	0.34	52.94 *
Endosulfan Sulfate	SL-008-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.23 J	0.066	0.34	0.33	69.70
Endrin	SL-008-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.21 J	0.066	0.34	0.34	61.76 *
Endrin Aldehyde	SL-008-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.18 J	0.066	0.34	0.33	54.55 *
Endrin Ketone	SL-008-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.27 J	0.066	0.34	0.33	81.82
Heptachlor	SL-008-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.083 J	0.06	0.17	0.17	48.82
Heptachlor Epoxide	SL-008-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.094 J	0.034	0.17	0.17	55.29
Methoxychlor	SL-008-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	1.3 J	0.34	1.7	1.75	74.29

SSFL
Table 1a - Summary of Sample RL-LCS Results

		Result	MDL	Reporting Limit	Spiking Value	
Compound	Sample ID	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ng/kg)	(ug/kg)	Recovery (%)
Aldrin	SL-015-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.12 J	0.066	0.17	0.17	70.59
Alpha BHC	SL-015-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.11 J	0.034	0.17	0.17	64.71
Beta BHC	SL-015-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.17	90.0	0.17	0.16	106.25
Gamma BHC - Lindane	SL-015-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.13 J	0.034	0.17	0.17	76.47
DOD-d'd	SL-015-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.41	0.066	0.34	0.34	120.59
p,p-DDE	SL-015-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.45	0.066	0.34	0.33	136.36
p,p-DDT	SL-015-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.43	0.066	0.34	0.36	119.44
Delta BHC	SL-015-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.17	0.036	0.17	0.16	106.25
Dieldrin	SL-015-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.34 J	0.066	0.34	0.34	100.00
Endosulfan Sulfate	SL-015-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.4	0.066	0.34	0.33	121.21
Endrin	SL-015-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.35	0.066	0.34	0.34	102.94
Endrin Aldehyde	SL-015-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.3 J	0.066	0.34	0.33	90.91
Endrin Ketone	SL-015-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.41	0.066	0.34	0.33	124.24
Heptachlor	SL-015-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.12 J	0.06	0.17	0.17	70.59
Heptachlor Epoxide	SL-015-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.16 J	0.034	0.17	0.17	94.12
Methoxychlor	SL-015-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	2.7	0.34	1.7	1.75	154.29 **
Aldrin	SL-042-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.092 J	0.066	0.17	0.17	54.12
Alpha BHC	SL-042-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.091 J	0.034	0.17	0.17	53.53
Beta BHC	SL-042-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.15 J	0.06	0.17	0.16	93.75
Gamma BHC - Lindane	SL-042-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.1 J	0.034	0.17	0.17	58.82
p,p-DDD	SL-042-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.3 J	0.066	0.34	0.34	88.24
p,p-DDE	SL-042-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.28 J	0.066	0.34	0.33	84.85
p,p-DDT	SL-042-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.31 J	0.066	0.34	0.36	86.11
Delta BHC	SL-042-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.14 J	0.036	0.17	0.16	87.50
Dieldrin	SL-042-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.24 J	0.066	0.34	0.34	70.59
Endosulfan Sulfate	SL-042-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.25 J	990'0	0.34	0.33	75.76
Endrin	SL-042-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.27 J	0.066	0.34	0.34	79.41
Endrin Aldehyde	SL-042-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.19 J	0.066	0.34	0.33	57.58
Endrin Ketone	SL-042-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.39	0.066	0.34	0.33	118.18
Heptachlor	SL-042-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.1 J	0.06	0.17	0.17	58.82
Heptachlor Epoxide	SL-042-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.12 J	0.034	0.17	0.17	70.59
Methoxychlor	SL-042-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	1.5 J	0.34	1.7	1.75	85.71

SSFL
Table 1a - Summary of Sample RL-LCS Results

		Result	MDL	Reporting Limit	Spiking Value	
Compound	Sample ID	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ng/kg)	(ug/kg)	Recovery (%)
Aldrin	SL-050-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.17 J	0.066	0.17	0.17	100.00
Alpha BHC	SL-050-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.092 J	0.034	0.17	0.17	54.12
Beta BHC	SL-050-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.15 J	90.0	0.17	0.16	93.75
Gamma BHC - Lindane	SL-050-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.11 J	0.034	0.17	0.17	64.71
DOD-d'd	SL-050-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.31 J	0.066	0.34	0.34	91.18
p,p-DDE	SL-050-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.29 J	0.066	0.34	0.33	87.88
p,p-DDT	SL-050-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.33 J	0.066	0.34	0.36	91.67
Delta BHC	SL-050-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.14 J	0.036	0.17	0.16	87.50
Dieldrin	SL-050-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.17 J	0.066	0.34	0.34	* 50.00
Endosulfan Sulfate	SL-050-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.31 J	0.066	0.34	0.33	93.94
Endrin	SL-050-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.29 J	0.066	0.34	0.34	85.29
Endrin Aldehyde	SL-050-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.22 J	0.066	0.34	0.33	66.67
Endrin Ketone	SL-050-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.32 J	0.066	0.34	0.33	96.97
Heptachlor	SL-050-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.12 J	0.06	0.17	0.17	70.59
Heptachlor Epoxide	SL-050-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.18	0.034	0.17	0.17	105.88
Methoxychlor	SL-050-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	1.7	0.34	1.7	1.75	97.14
Endrin Aldehyde	SL-003-SA8S-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.12 J	0.066	0.34	0.33	36.36 *
Endrin Ketone	SL-003-SA8S-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.14 J	0.066	0.34	0.33	42.42 *
Alpha BHC	SL-003-SA8S-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.088 J	0.034	0.17	0.17	51.76
Beta BHC	SL-003-SA8S-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.12 J	0.06	0.17	0.16	75.00
Gamma BHC - Lindane	SL-003-SA8S-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.093 J	0.034	0.17	0.17	54.71
Delta BHC	SL-003-SA8S-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.11 J	0.036	0.17	0.16	68.75
Heptachlor	SL-003-SA8S-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.094 J	90.0	21.0	0.17	55.29
Aldrin	SL-003-SA8S-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.066 U	0.066	0.17	0.17	* 00.0
Heptachlor Epoxide	SL-003-SA8S-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.068 J	0.034	0.17	0.17	40.00
p,p-DDE	SL-003-SA8S-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.16 J	0.066	0.34	0.33	48.48 *
p,p-DDD	SL-003-SA8S-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.18 J	0.066	0.34	0.34	52.94 *
p,p-DDT	SL-003-SA8S-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.16 J	0.066	0.34	0.33	48.48 *
Methoxychlor	SL-003-SA8S-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.78 J	0.34	1.7	1.75	44.57 *
Dieldrin	SL-003-SA8S-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.12 J	0.066	0.34	0.34	35.29 *
Endrin	SL-003-SA8S-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.14 J	0.066	0.34	0.34	41.18 *
Endosulfan Sulfate	SL-003-SA8S-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.12 J	0.066	0.34	0.33	36.36 *

SSFL
Table 1a - Summary of Sample RL-LCS Results

					Spiking	
		Result	MDL	Reporting Limit	Value	·
Compound	Sample ID	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ng/kg)	Recovery (%)
Endrin Aldehyde	SL-034-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.29 J	0.066	0.34	0.33	87.88
Endrin Ketone	SL-034-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.26 J	0.066	0.34	0.33	78.79
Alpha BHC	SL-034-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.12 J	0.034	0.17	0.17	70.59
Beta BHC	SL-034-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.19	0.06	0.17	0.16	118.75
Gamma BHC - Lindane	SL-034-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.14 J	0.034	0.17	0.17	82.35
Delta BHC	SL-034-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.16 J	0.036	0.17	0.16	100.00
Heptachlor	SL-034-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.14 J	0.06	0.17	0.17	82.35
Aldrin	SL-034-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.14 J	0.066	0.17	0.17	82.35
Heptachlor Epoxide	SL-034-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.12 J	0.034	0.17	0.17	70.59
p,p-DDE	SL-034-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.28 J	0.066	0.34	0.33	84.85
p,p-DDD	SL-034-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.29 J	0.066	0.34	0.34	85.29
p,p-DDT	SL-034-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.32 J	990.0	0.34	0.33	96.97
Methoxychlor	SL-034-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.82 J	0.34	1.7	1.75	46.86 *
Dieldrin	SL-034-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.15 J	0.066	0.34	0.34	44.12 *
Endrin	SL-034-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.25 J	0.066	0.34	0.34	73.53
Endosulfan Sulfate	SL-034-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.17 J	0.066	0.34	0.33	51.52
Endrin Aldehyde	SL-120-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.18 J	0.066	0.34	0.33	54.55 *
Endrin Ketone	SL-120-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.28 J	0.066	0.34	0.33	84.85
Alpha BHC	SL-120-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.086	0.034	0.17	0.17	50.59
Beta BHC	SL-120-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.11 J	0.06	0.17	0.16	68.75
Gamma BHC - Lindane	SL-120-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.095 J	0.034	0.17	0.17	55.88
Delta BHC	SL-120-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.092 J	0.036	0.17	0.16	57.50
Heptachlor	SL-120-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.073 J	0.06	0.17	0.17	42.94 *
Aldrin	SL-120-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.071 J	0.066	0.17	0.17	41.76 *
Heptachlor Epoxide	SL-120-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.09 J	0.034	0.17	0.17	52.94
p,p-DDE	SL-120-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.13 J	0.066	0.34	0.33	39.39 *
p,p-DDD	SL-120-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.16 J	0.066	0.34	0.34	47.06 *
p,p-DDT	SL-120-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.21 J	0.066	0.34	0.33	63.64
Methoxychlor	SL-120-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	1.1	0.34	1.7	1.75	62.86
Dieldrin	SL-120-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.19 J	0.066	0.34	0.34	55.88 *
Endrin	SL-120-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.16 J	0.066	0.34	0.34	* 90.74
Endosulfan Sulfate	SL-120-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.21 J	0.066	0.34	0.33	63.64

Table 1a - Summary of Sample RL-LCS Results

					Spiking	
		Result	MDL	Reporting Limit	Value	
Compound	Sample ID	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	Recovery (%)
Aldrin	SL-283-SA6-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.066 U	0.066	0.17	0.17	* 00.0
Alpha BHC	SL-283-SA6-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.047	0.034	0.17	0.17	27.65 *
Beta BHC	SL-283-SA6-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.06 U	90.0	0.17	0.16	* 00.0
Delta BHC	SL-283-SA6-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.044	0.036	0.17	0.16	27.50 *
Dieldrin	SL-283-SA6-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	l 60.0	0.066	0.34	0.34	26.47 *
Endosulfan Sulfate	SL-283-SA6-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.12 J	0.066	0.34	0.33	36.36 *
Endrin	SL-283-SA6-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	U 990.0	0.066	0.34	0.34	* 00.0
Endrin Aldehyde	SL-283-SA6-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.22 J	0.066	0.34	0.33	66.67
Endrin Ketone	SL-283-SA6-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	L £60.0	990.0	0.34	0.33	28.18 *
Gamma BHC - Lindane	SL-283-SA6-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.042 J	0.034	0.17	0.17	24.71 *
Heptachlor	SL-283-SA6-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.06 U	90.0	0.17	0.17	* 00.0
Heptachlor Epoxide	SL-283-SA6-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	U 20.0	0.034	0.17	0.17	33.53 *
Methoxychlor	SL-283-SA6-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.52 J	0.34	1.7	1.75	29.71 *
DDD-d'd	SL-283-SA6-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.11	0.066	0.34	0.34	32.35 *
p,p-DDE	SL-283-SA6-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	ſ 680.0	0.066	0.34	0.33	26.97 *
p,p-DDT	SL-283-SA6-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	0.071 J	0.066	0.34	0.33	21.52 *
* - Result below lower control limit	ontrol limit					

** - Result above upper control limit

SSFL

Table 2 - Summary of RL-MS Results

	7	 												
		Reporting	Spiking	Lower	Upper	MS 1	MS 2	MS 3	MS 4	MS 5	MS 6	MS 7	MS 8	MS 9
	MDL	Limit	Value	Control	Control	Recovery	Recovery	Recovery	Recovery	Recovery	Recovery	Recovery	Recovery	Recovery
Compound	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	Limit (%)	Limit (%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
Aldrin	0.066	0.17	0.17	16	126	76.5	51.2	57.1	105.9	76.5	82.4	45.9	76.5	0.0 *
Alpha BHC	0.034	0.17	0.17	10	129	82.4	49.4	57.6	76.5	64.7	82.4	43.5	70.6	0.0 *
Beta BHC	0.06	0.17	0.16	14	147	45.0	62.5	56.9	112.5	59.4	118.8	51.9	48.8	0.0 *
Delta BHC	0.036	0.17	0.16	23	140	112.5	87.5	100.0	118.8	100.0	150.0 **	75.0	81.3	0.0 *
Dieldrin	0.066	0.34	0.34	19	154	117.6	47.1	88.2	111.8	91.2	88.2	0.0 *	0.0 *	0.0 *
Endosulfan Sulfate	0.066	0.34	0.33	16	137	130.3	0.0 *	333.3 **	133.3	109.1	103.0	57.6	0.0 *	0.0 *
Endrin	0.066	0.34	0.34	11	149	91.2	58.8	105.9	100.0	97.1	97.1	0.0 *	0.0 *	0.0 *
Endrin Aldehyde	0.066	0.34	0.33	10	148	157.6 **	87.9	278.8 **	109.1	87.9	75.8	0.0 *	757.6 **	0.0 *
Endrin Ketone	0.066	0.34	0.33	22	165	87.9	66.7	281.8 **	118.2	103.0	60.6	0.0 *	0.0 *	0.0 *
Gamma BHC - Lindane	0.034	0.17	0.17	10	140	123.5	55.3	64.7	88.2	88.2	94.1	49.4	52.9	32.4
Heptachlor	0.06	0.17	0.17	13	126	94.1	58.8	82.4	94.1	94.1	94.1	58.8	141.2 **	0.0 *
Heptachlor Epoxide	0.034	0.17	0.17	13	157	129.4	43.5	141.2	117.6	105.9	88.2	88.2	0.0 *	38.8
Methoxychlor	0.34	1.7	1.75	32	147	125.7	57.1	165.7 **	160.0 **	120.0	97.1	68.6	0.0 *	0.0 *
p,p-DDD	0.066	0.34	0.34	16	163	0.0 *	67.6	323.5 **	211.8 **	120.6	111.8	211.8 **	0.0 *	0.0 *
p,p-DDE	0.066	0.34	0.33	18	161	251.5 **	193.9 **	151.5	1787.9 **	130.3	97.0	97.0	515.2 **	48.5
p,p-DDT	0.066	0.34	0.36	10	176	472.2 **	138.9	333.3 **	305.6 **	166.7	222.2 **	388.9 **	6388.9 **	0.0 *

^{* -} Result below lower control limit

^{** -} Result above upper control limit

SSFL Table 2a - Summary of Sample RL-MS Results

				Reporting	Spiking	
		Result	MDL	Limit	Value	
Compound	Sample ID	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ng/kg)	(ug/kg)	Recovery (%)
Aldrin	SL-001-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5 RL MS	0.13 J	0.066	0.17	0.17	76.5
Alpha BHC	SL-001-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5 RL MS	0.14 J	0.034	0.17	0.17	82.4
Beta BHC	SL-001-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5 RL MS	0.072	90.0	0.17	0.16	45.0
Gamma BHC - Lindane	SL-001-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5 RL MS	0.21	0.034	0.17	0.17	123.5
ddd-d'd	SL-001-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5 RL MS	0.066 U	990.0	0.34	0.34	* 0.0
p,p-DDE	SL-001-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5 RL MS	0.83	0.066	0.34	0.33	251.5 **
p,p-DDT	SL-001-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5 RL MS	1.7	990.0	0.34	0.36	472.2 **
Delta BHC	SL-001-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5 RL MS	0.18	0.036	0.17	0.16	112.5
Dieldrin	SL-001-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5 RL MS	0.4	0.066	0.34	0.34	117.6
Endosulfan Sulfate	SL-001-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5 RL MS	0.43	990.0	0.34	0.33	130.3
Endrin	SL-001-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5 RL MS	0.31 J	0.066	0.34	0.34	91.2
Endrin Aldehyde	SL-001-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5 RL MS	0.52	0.066	0.34	0.33	157.6 **
Endrin Ketone	SL-001-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5 RL MS	0.29 J	0.066	0.34	0.33	87.9
Heptachlor	SL-001-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5 RL MS	0.16 J	90'0	0.17	0.17	94.1
Heptachlor Epoxide	SL-001-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5 RL MS	0.22	0.034	0.17	0.17	129.4
Methoxychlor	SL-001-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5 RL MS	2.2	0.34	1.7	1.75	125.7
Aldrin	SL-008-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.097 J	0.067	0.17	0.17	57.1
Alpha BHC	SL-008-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.098 J	0.034	0.17	0.17	57.6
Beta BHC	SL-008-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.091 J	0.061	0.17	0.16	56.9
Gamma BHC - Lindane	SL-008-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.11 J	0.034	0.17	0.17	64.7
DDD-d'd	SL-008-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	1.1	0.067	0.34	0.34	323.5 **
p,p-DDE	SL-008-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.5	0.067	0.34	0.33	151.5
p,p-DDT	SL-008-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	1.2	0.067	0.34	0.36	333.3 **
Delta BHC	SL-008-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.16 J	0.036	0.17	0.16	100.0
Dieldrin	SL-008-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.3 J	0.067	0.34	0.34	88.2
Endosulfan Sulfate	SL-008-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	1.1	0.067	0.34	0.33	333,3 **
Endrin	SL-008-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.36	0.067	0.34	0.34	105.9
Endrin Aldehyde	SL-008-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.92	0.067	0.34	0.33	278.8 **
Endrin Ketone	SL-008-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.93	0.067	0.34	0.33	281.8 **
Heptachlor	SL-008-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.14 J	0.061	0.17	0.17	82.4
Heptachlor Epoxide	SL-008-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.24	0.034	0.17	0.17	141.2
Methoxychlor	SL-008-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	2.9	0.34	1.7	1.75	165.7 **

SSFL
Table 2a - Summary of Sample RL-MS Results

				Reporting	Spiking	
		Result	MDL	Limit	Value	
Compound	Sample ID	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ng/kg)	(ug/kg)	Recovery (%)
Aldrin	SL-015-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.18	0.067	0.17	0.17	105.9
Alpha BHC	SL-015-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.13 J	0.034	0.17	0.17	76.5
Beta BHC	SL-015-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.18	0.061	0.17	0.16	112.5
Gamma BHC - Lindane	SL-015-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.15 J	0.034	0.17	0.17	88.2
DDD-d'd	SL-015-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.72	0.067	0.34	0.34	211.8 **
p,p-DDE	SL-015-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	5.9	0.067	0.34	0.33	1787.9 **
p,p-DDT	SL-015-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	1.1	0.067	0.34	0.36	305.6 **
Delta BHC	SL-015-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.19	0.036	0.17	0.16	118.8
Dieldrin	SL-015-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.38	0.067	0.34	0.34	111.8
Endosulfan Sulfate	SL-015-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.44	0.067	0.34	0.33	133.3
Endrin	SL-015-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.34 J	0.067	0.34	0.34	100.0
Endrin Aldehyde	SL-015-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.36	0.067	0.34	0.33	109.1
Endrin Ketone	SL-015-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.39	0.067	0.34	0.33	118.2
Heptachlor	SL-015-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.16 J	0.061	0.17	0.17	94.1
Heptachlor Epoxide	SL-015-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.2	0.034	0.17	0.17	117.6
xychlor	SL-015-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	2.8	0.34	1.7	1.75	160.0 **
	SL-042-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.14 J	0.066	0.17	0.17	82.4
Alpha BHC	SL-042-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.14 J	0.034	0.17	0.17	82.4
Beta BHC	SL-042-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.19	90.0	0.17	0.16	118.8
BHC - Lindane	SL-042-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.16 J	0.034	0.17	0.17	94.1
	SL-042-SA7~SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.38	990.0	0.34	0.34	111.8
	SL-042-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.32 J	0.066	0.34	0.33	97.0
	SL-042-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.8	0.066	0.34	0.36	222.2 **
JC	SL-042-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.24	0.036	0.17	0.16	150.0 **
	SL-042-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.3 J	0.066	0.34	0.34	88.2
ılfan Sulfate	SL-042-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.34	0.066	0.34	0.33	103.0
	SL-042-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.33 J	0.066	0.34	0.34	97.1
de	SL-042-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.25 J	0.066	0.34	0.33	75.8
ne	SL-042-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.2 J	0.066	0.34	0.33	9.09
Heptachlor	SL-042-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.16 J	90'0	0.17	0.17	94.1
Heptachlor Epoxide	SL-042-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.15 J	0.034	0.17	0.17	88.2
Methoxychlor	SL-042-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	1.7	0.34	1.7	1.75	97.1

SSFL Table 2a - Summary of Sample RL-MS Results

				Reporting	Snibing	
		Result	MDL	Limit	Value	
Compound	Sample ID	(ug/kg)	(ng/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	Recovery (%)
Aldrin	SL-050-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.078 J	0.067	0.17	0.17	45.9
Alpha BHC	SL-050-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.074 J	0.035	0.17	0.17	43.5
Beta BHC	SL-050-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.083 J	0.061	0.17	0.16	51.9
Gamma BHC - Lindane	SL-050-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.084 J	0.035	0.17	0.17	49.4
DQD-d'd	SL-050-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.72	0.067	0.35	0.34	211.8 **
p,p-DDE	SL-050-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.32 J	0.067	0.35	0.33	97.0
p,p-DDT	SL-050-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	1.4	0.067	0.35	0.36	388.9 **
Delta BHC	SL-050-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.12 J	0.037	0.17	0.16	75.0
Dieldrin	SL-050-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.067 U	0.067	0.35	0.34	* 0.0
Endosulfan Sulfate	SL-050-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.19 J	0.067	0.35	0.33	57.6
Endrin	SL-050-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.16 U	0.16	0.35	0.34	* 0.0
Endrin Aldehyde	SL-050-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.44 U	0.44	0.44	0.33	* 0.0
Endrin Ketone	SL-050-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.57 U	0.57	0.57	0.33	* 0.0
Heptachlor	SL-050-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.1 J	0.061	0.17	0.17	58.8
Heptachlor Epoxide	SL-050-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.15 J	0.035	0.17	0.17	88.2
Methoxychlor	SL-050-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	1.2 J	0.35	1.7	1.75	68.6
Endrin Aldehyde	SL-003-SA8S-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.29 J	0.068	0.35	0.33	87.9
Endrin Ketone	SL-003-SA8S-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.22 J	0.068	0.35	0.33	66.7
Alpha BHC	SL-003-SA8S-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.084 J	0.035	0.17	0.17	49.4
Beta BHC	SL-003-SA8S-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.1 J	0.062	0.17	0.16	62.5
Gamma BHC - Lindane	SL-003-SA8S-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.094 J	0.035	0.17	0.17	55.3
Delta BHC	SL-003-SA8S-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.14 J	0.037	0.17	0.16	87.5
Heptachlor	SL-003-SA8S-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.1 J	0.062	0.17	0.17	58.8
Aldrin	SL-003-SA8S-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.087 J	0.068	0.17	0.17	51.2
Heptachlor Epoxide	SL-003-SA8S-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.074 J	0.035	0.17	0.17	43.5
p,p-DDE	SL-003-SA8S-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.64	0.068	0.35	0.33	193.9 **
DOD-d'd	SL-003-SA8S-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.23 J	0.068	0.35	0.34	67.6
p,p-DDT	SL-003-SA8S-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.5	0.068	0.35	0.36	138.9
Methoxychlor	SL-003-SA8S-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	1]	0.35	1.7	1.75	57.1
Dieldrin	SL-003-SA8S-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.16 J	0.068	0.35	0.34	47.1
Endrin	SL-003-SA8S-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.2 J	0.068	0.35	0.34	58.8
Endosulfan Sulfate	SL-003-SA8S-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.068 U	0.068	0.35	0.33	* 0.0

SSFL Table 2a - Summary of Sample RL-MS Results

		<u>-</u>	į.	Keporting	Spiking	
		Result	MDL	Limit	Value	
Compound	Sample ID	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ng/kg)	(ng/kg)	Recovery (%)
Endrin Aldehyde	SL-034-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.29 J	0.068	0.35	0.33	87.9
Endrin Ketone	SL-034-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.34 J	0.068	0.35	0.33	103.0
Alpha BHC	SL-034-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.11 J	0.035	0.17	0.17	64.7
Beta BHC	SL-034-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.095 J	0.062	0.17	0.16	59.4
Gamma BHC - Lindane	SL-034-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.15 J	0.035	0.17	0.17	88.2
Delta BHC	SL-034-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.16 J	0.037	0.17	0.16	100.0
Heptachlor	SL-034-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.16 J	0.062	0.17	0.17	94.1
Aldrin	SL-034-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.13 J	0.068	0.17	0.17	76.5
Heptachlor Epoxide	SL-034-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.18	0.035	0.17	0.17	105.9
p,p-DDE	SL-034-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.43	0.068	0.35	0.33	130.3
DOD-d'd	SL-034-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.41	0.068	0.35	0.34	120.6
p,p-DDT	SL-034-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	9.0	0.068	0.35	0.36	166.7
Methoxychlor	SL-034-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	2.1	0.35	1.7	1.75	120.0
Dieldrin	SL-034-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.31 J	0.068	0.35	0.34	91.2
Endrin	SL-034-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.33 J	0.068	0.35	0.34	97.1
Endosulfan Sulfate	SL-034-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.36	0.068	0.35	0.33	109.1
Endrin Aldehyde	SL-120-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	2.5	0.068	0.35	0.33	757.6 **
Endrin Ketone	SL-120-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.068 U	0.068	0.35	0.33	* 0.0
Alpha BHC	SL-120-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.12 J	0.035	0.17	0.17	70.6
Beta BHC	SL-120-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.078 J	0.062	0.17	0.16	48.8
Gamma BHC - Lindane	SL-120-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	f 60:0	0.035	0.17	0.17	52.9
Delta BHC	SL-120-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.13 J	0.037	0.17	0.16	81.3
Heptachlor	SL-120-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.24	0.062	0.17	0.17	141.2 **
Aldrin	SL-120-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.13 J	0.068	0.17	0.17	76.5
Heptachlor Epoxide	SL-120-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.87 U	0.87	0.87	0.17	* 0.0
p,p-DDE	SL-120-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	1.7	0.068	0.35	0.33	515.2 **
p,p-DDD	SL-120-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.068 U	0.068	0.35	0.34	* 0.0
p,p-DDT	SL-120-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	23	0.68	3.5	0.36	6388.9 **
Methoxychlor	SL-120-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.35 U	0.35	1.7	1.75	* 0.0
Dieldrin	SL-120-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	1.6 U	1.6	1.6	0.34	* 0.0
Endrin	SL-120-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	1.6 U	1.6	1.6	0.34	* 0.0
Endosulfan Sulfate	SL-120-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.068 U	0.068	0.35	0.33	* 0.0

Table 2a - Summary of Sample RL-MS Results

				Reporting	Spiking	
		Result	MDL	Limit	Value	
Compound	Sample ID	(ng/kg)	(ng/kg)	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	Recovery (%)
Aldrin	SL-283-SA6-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.07 U	0.07	0.18	0.17	* 0.0
Alpha BHC	SL-283-SA6-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.036 U	0.036	0.18	0.17	* 0.0
Beta BHC	SL-283-SA6-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.064 U	0.064	0.18	0.16	* 0.0
Delta BHC	SL-283-SA6-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.038 U	0.038	0.18	0.16	* 0.0
Dieldrin	SL-283-SA6-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.07 U	0.07	0.36	0.34	* 0.0
Endosulfan Sulfate	SL-283-SA6-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.07 U	0.07	0.36	0.33	* 0.0
Endrin	SL-283-SA6-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.19 U	0.19	0.36	0.34	* 0.0
Endrin Aldehyde	SL-283-SA6-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.32 U	0.32	0.36	0.33	* 0.0
Endrin Ketone	SL-283-SA6-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	U 20.0	0.07	0.36	0.33	* 0.0
Gamma BHC - Lindane	SL-283-SA6-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.055 J	0.036	0.18	0.17	32.4
Heptachlor	SL-283-SA6-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.064 U	0.064	0.18	0.17	* 0.0
Heptachlor Epoxide	SL-283-SA6-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.066 J	0.036	0.18	0.17	38.8
Methoxychlor	SL-283-SA6-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.36 U	0.36	1.8	1.75	* 0.0
DDD-d'd	SL-283-SA6-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	U 70.0	0.07	0.36	0.34	* 0.0
p,p-DDE	SL-283-SA6-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.16	0.07	0.36	0.33	48.5
p,p-DDT	SL-283-SA6-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.97 U	0.97	0.97	0.36	* 0.0
* - Result below lower control	ontrol limit					

** - Result above upper control limit

SSFL
Table 3 - Summary of Control Limit Exceedences

Table 3 - Sammary or contro			i .		[
		Number	Number	% Outside	Lower	Upper
	Number of		Above the	of Control	Control	Control
Compound	Samples		Control Limit	l	Limit (%)	Limit (%)
	1	RL-LCS	<u> </u>			
Aldrin	9	4	0	44.4	44	135
Alpha BHC	9	1	0	11.1	38	130
Beta BHC	9	1	0	11.1	56	134
Gamma BHC - Lindane	9	1	0	11.1	46	127
p,p-DDD	9	3	0	33.3	60	137
p,p-DDE	9	3	0	33.3	59	141
p,p-DDT	9	2	0	22.2	54	130
Delta BHC	9	1	0	11.1	55	144
Dieldrin	9	7	0	77.8	65	129
Endosulfan Sulfate	9	2	0	22.2	45	123
Endrin	9	4	0	44.4	62	129
Endrin Aldehyde	9	3	0	33.3	55	132
Endrin Ketone	9	2	0	22.2	69	139
Heptachlor	9	2	0	22.2	43	124
Heptachlor Epoxide	9	1	0	11.1	35	131
Methoxychlor	9	4	1	55.6	59	125
		RL-MS				
Aldrin	9	1	0	11.1	16	126
Alpha BHC	9	1	0	11.1	10	129
Beta BHC	9	1	0	11.1	14	147
Gamma BHC - Lindane	9	0	0	0.0	10	140
p,p-DDD	9	3	3	66.7	16	163
p,p-DDE	9	0	4	44.4	18	161
p,p-DDT	9	1	6	77.8	10	176
Delta BHC	9	1	1	22.2	23	140
Dieldrin	9	3	0	33.3	19	154
Endosulfan Sulfate	9	3	1	44.4	16	137
Endrin	9	3	0	33.3	11	149
Endrin Aldehyde	9	2	3	55.6	10	148
Endrin Ketone	9	3	1	44.4	22	165
Heptachlor	9	1	1	22.2	13	126
Heptachlor Epoxide	9	1	0	11.1	13	157
Methoxychlor	9	2	2	44.4	32	147

Attachment E Results of MRL LCS and MRL MS Study for PCBs

ş
Saul
RL-LCS R
푸
쮼
þ
ъ
Ĕ
Ę
Ň
<u>.</u>
ns.
*

		1	Spiking	Lower	Upper	20,000			į	: }	(
Compound	MDL (ug/kg)	Limit (ug/kg) (ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	_	Control Limit (%)	(%) Recovery LCS 4	ery (%)	Recovery (%)	LCS 4 Recovery (%)	Recovery (%) Recovery (%) Recovery (%)	LCS 6 Recovery (%)
PCB-1016	0.33	1.7	1.67	65	137	137.72	107.78	107.78	101.80	101.80	101.80
PCB-1260	0.39	1.7	1.67	65	137	137.72	113.77	107.78	113.77	125.75	
			Spiking	Lower	Upper				*		
	•••	Reporting	Value	Control	Control	LCS 7 Recovery LCS 8	LCS 8	6 SD7	LCS 10	LCS 11	LCS 12
Compound	MDL (ug/kg)	Limit (ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	Limit (%) Limit (%)	Limit (%)	(%)	Recovery (%)	Recovery (%)	Recovery (%)	Recovery (%) Recovery (%) Recovery (%) Recovery (%) Recovery (%)	Recovery (%)
	(blank)	(blank)	(blank)								
PCB-1016	0.33	1.7	1.67	65	137	95.81	119.76	113.77	119.76	89.82	101.80
PCB-1260	0.39	1.7	1.67	92	137	107.78	131.74	131.74	125.75	107.78	95.81
			Soiking	Lower	Upper				l own		
		Reporting	Value	~	Control	LCS 13	LCS 14	LCS 15	LCS 16	LCS 17	LCS 18
Compound	MDL (ug/kg)	Limit (ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	Limit (%) Limit (%)	Limit (%)	Recovery (%)	Recovery (%)	Recovery (%)	Recovery (%)	Recovery (%) Recovery (%) Recovery (%) Recovery (%) Recovery (%)	Recovery (%)
	(blank)	(blank)	(blank)								
PCB-1016	0.33	1.7	1.67	65	137	137.72	101.80	89.82	83.83	101.80	83.83
PCB-1260	0.39	1.7	1.67	9	137	155.69	107.78	107.78	95.81	119.76	101.80

SSFL Table 2 - RL-LCS Results

	Sample		Result		MDL	Reporting	Spiking Value	
Compound	Туре		ug/kg	Qualifier	(ug/kg)	Limit (ug/kg)	(ng/kg)	Recovery (%)
PCB-1016	SOI	SL-001-SA6-SB-0.0-1.0RL LCS	2.3		0.33	1.7	1.67	137.72
PCB-1016	SSI	SL-008-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	1.8		0.33	1.7	1.67	107.78
PCB-1016	CCS	SL-042-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	1.8		0.33	1.7	1.67	107.78
PCB-1016	CCS	SL-001-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5 RL LCS	1.7		0.33	1.7	1.67	101.80
PCB-1016	S	SL-102-SA7-SB-4.0-5.0 RL LCS	1.7	-	0.33	1.7	1.67	101.80
PCB-1016	S	SL-125-SA7-SB-4.0-5.0 RL LCS	1.7		0.33	1.7	1.67	101.80
PCB-1016	SJ	SL-050-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	1.6		0.33	1.7	1.67	95.81
PCB-1016	SSI	SL-015-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	2		0.33	1.7	1.67	119.76
PCB-1016	CS	SL-103-SA7-SB-4.0-5.0RL LCS	1.9		0.33	1.7	1.67	113.77
PCB-1016	CCS	SL-319-SA6-SB-4.0-5.0RL LCS	2		0.33	1.7	1.67	119.76
PCB-1016	CCS	SL-034-SA5DS-SB-4.0-5.0RL LCS	1.5		0.33	1.7	1.67	89.82
PCB-1016	SSI	SL-120-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	1.7		88.0	1.7	1.67	101.80
PCB-1016	SSI	SL-034-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	2.3		0.33	1.7	1.67	137.72
PCB-1016	CCS	SL-011-SA3-SB-4.0-5.0RL LCS	1.7		0.33	1.7	1.67	101.80
PCB-1016	SJ	SL-034-SA5DS-SB-4.0-5.0RL LCS	1.5		0.33	1.7	1.67	89.82
PCB-1016	CS	SL-003-5A8S-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	1.4		68.0	1.7	1.67	83.83
PCB-1016	SSI	SL-089-SA7-SB-3.5-4.5RL LCS	1.7		0.33	1.7	1.67	101.80
PCB-1016	CCS	SL-018-SA8S-SB-4.0-5.0RL LCS	1.4		0.33	1.7	1.67	83.83
PCB-1260	LCS		2.3		0.39	1.7	1.67	137.72
PCB-1260	CCS	SL-008-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	1.9		0.39	1.7	1.67	113.77
PCB-1260	SOI	SL-042-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	1.8		68.0	1.7	1.67	107.78
PCB-1260	SSI	SL-001-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5 RL LCS	1.9		0.39	1.7	1.67	113.77
PCB-1260	SJ	SL-102-SA7-SB-4.0-5.0 RL LCS	2.1		0.39	1.7	1.67	125.75
PCB-1260	LCS	SL-125-SA7-SB-4.0-5.0 RL LCS	0.97		0.39	1.7	1.67	58.08
PCB-1260	SJI	SL-050-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	1.8		0.39	1.7	1.67	107.78
PCB-1260	LCS	SL-015-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	2.2		0.39	1.7	1.67	131.74
PCB-1260	ICS	SL-103-SA7-SB-4.0-5.0RL LCS	2.2		0.39	1.7	1.67	131.74
PCB-1260	CS	SL-319-SA6-SB-4.0-5.0RL LCS	2.1		0.39	1.7	1.67	125.75
PCB-1260	LCS	SL-034-SA5DS-SB-4.0-5.0RL LCS	1.8		0.39	1.7	1.67	107.78
PCB-1260	LCS	SL-120-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	1.6		0.39	1.7	1.67	95.81
PCB-1260	SSI	SL-034-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	2.6		0.39	1.7	1.67	155.69 *
PCB-1260	SST	SL-011-SA3-SB-4.0-5.0RL LCS	1.8		0.39	1.7	1.67	107.78
PCB-1260	TCS	SL-034-SA5DS-SB-4.0-5.0RL LCS	1.8		0.39	1.7	1.67	107.78
PCB-1260	SSI	SL-003-SA8S-SS-0.0-0.5RL LCS	1.6		0.39	1.7	1.67	95.81
	<u>გ</u>	SL-089-SA7-SB-3.5-4.5RL LCS	2		0.39	1.7	1.67	119.76
PCB-1260	TCS	SL-018-SA8S-SB-4.0-5.0RL LCS	1.7		0.39	1.7	1.67	101.80

* - Outside control limits of 65% - 137%

SSFL Table 3 - Summary MS Results

			Lower	Upper	MS1	MS 2	MS 3	MS 4	MS 5	MS 6
	MDL	Reporting	Control Limit Control	Control	Recover	Recovery	Recovery	Recovery	Recovery	Recovery
Compound	(ug/kg)	Limit (ug/kg)	(%)	Limit (%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
PCB-1016	0.33	1.7	32	150	173 *	132	132	96	108	06
PCB-1260	0.39	1.7	35	150	437 *	* 569	161*	329 *	120	78
			Lower	Upper	MS 7	MS 8	MS 9	MS 10	MS 11	MS 12
	MDL	Reporting	Control Limit Control	Control	Recovery	Recovery	Recovery	Recovery	Recovery	Recovery
Compound	(ug/kg)	Limit (ug/kg)	(%)	Limit (%)	(%)	(%)	%	(%)	(%)	(%)
PCB-1016	0.33	1.7	38	150	96	114	144	126	108	90
PCB-1260	0.39	1.7	35	150	287 *	246 *	162 *	144	120	658 *
			Lower	Upper	MS 13	MS 14	MS 15	MS 16	MS 17	MS 18
	MDL	Reporting	Control Limit Control	Control	Recovery	Recovery	Recovery	Recovery	Recovery	Recovery
Compound	(ug/kg)	Limit (ug/kg)	(%)	Limit (%)	(%)	(%	(%	(%)	(%)	(%)
PCB-1016	0.33	1.7	35	150	* 0	114 *	108	102	90	179 *
PCB-1260	0.39	1.7	35	150	192 *	150	120	192	96	168 *

						במש		
,	Sample		Result	MDL	Reporting	Value	;	
Compound	lype	Sample ID	(ug/kg)	(ug/kg)	Limit (ug/kg)	(ug/kg)		Detected Aroclor
PCB-1016	MS	SL-001-5A6-5B-0.0-1.0RL MS	2.9	0.33	1.7	1.67	173.65 *	
PCB-1016	MS	SL-008-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	2.2	0.33	1.7	1.67	131.74	PCB-5460
PCB-1016	MS	SL-042-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	2.2	0.33	1.7	1.67	131.74	none
PCB-1016	MS	SL-001-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5 RL MS	1.6 J	0.33	1.7	1.67	95.81	PCB 1254
PCB-1016	MS	SL-102-SA7-SB-4.0-5.0 RL MS	1.8	0.35	1.8	1.67	107.78	none
PCB-1016	MS	SL-125-SA7-SB-4.0-5.0 RL MS	1.5 J	0.34	1.7	1.67	89.82	none
PCB-1016	MS	SL-015-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	1.6 J	0.34	1.7	1.67	95.81	PCB-5460
PCB-1016	MS	SL-015-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	1.9	0.33	1.7	1.67	113.77	
PCB-1016	MS	SL-103-SA7-SB-4.0-5.0RL MS	2.4	0.35	1.8		143.71	none
PCB-1016	MS	SL-319-SA6-SB-4.0-5.0RL MS	2.1	0.36			125.75	none
PCB-1016	MS	SL-034-SA5DS-SB-4.0-5.0RL MS	1.8]	0.38	1.9	1.67	107.78	none
PCB-1016	MS	SL-120-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	1.5 J	0.34			89.82	
PCB-1016	MS	SL-034-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	0.3 U	0.34		1.67	* 00.0	
PCB-1016	MS	SL-011-SA3-SB-4.0-5.0RL MS	1.9	0.34	1.7	1.67	113.77	
PCB-1016	MS	SL-034-SA5DS-SB-4.0-5.0RL MS	1.8 J	0.38	1.9		107.78	
PCB-1016	MS	SL-003-SA8S-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	1.7 J	0.34	1.8		101.80	PCB 5460
PCB-1016	MS	SL-089-SA7-SB-3.5-4.5RL MS	1.5 J	0.37	1.9	1.67	89.82	
PCB-1016	MS	SL-018-SA8S-SB-4.0-5.0RL MS	3	0.37	1.9	1.67	179.64 *	
PCB-1260	MS	SL-001-SA6-SB-0.0-1.0RL MS	7.3	0.4	1.8		437.13 *	
PCB-1260	MS	SL-008-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	4.5	0.39	1.7	1.67	269.46 *	PCB5460
PCB-1260	MS	SL-042-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	2.7	0.39	1.7	1.67	161.68 *	none
PCB-1260	MS	SL-001-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5 RL MS	5.5	0.39	1.7		329.34 *	PCB 1254
PCB-1260	MS	SL-102-SA7-SB-4.0-5.0 RL MS	2	0.41	1.8	1.67	119.76	none
PCB-1260	MS	SL-125-SA7-SB-4.0-5.0 RL MS	1.3 J	0.4	1.7	1.67	77.84	none
PCB-1260	MS	SL-015-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	4.8	0.4	1.7	1.67	287.43 *	Aroclor 5460
PCB-1260	MS	SL-015-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	4.1	0.4	1.7	1.67	245.51 *	
PCB-1260	MS	SL-103-SA7-SB-4.0-5.0RL MS	2.7	0.41	1.8	1.67	161.68 *	none
PCB-1260	MS	SL-319-SA6-SB-4.0-5.0RL MS	2.4	0.42	1.8	1.67	143.71	none
PCB-1260	MS	SL-034-SA5DS-SB-4.0-5.0RL MS	2	0.44	1.9	1.67	119.76	none
PCB-1260	MS	SL-120-SA7-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	11	0.4	1.7	1.67	658.68 *	
PCB-1260	MS	SL-034-SA5DS-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	3.2	0.41	1.8	1.67	191.62 *	
PCB-1260	MS	SL-011-SA3-SB-4.0-5.0RL MS	2.5	0.4	1.7	1.67	149.70	
PCB-1260	MS	SL-034-SA5DS-SB-4.0-5.0RL MS	2	0.44	1.9	1.67	119.76	none
PCB-1260	MS	SL-003-SA8S-SS-0.0-0.5RL MS	3.2	0.4	1.8	1.67	191.62 *	
PCB-1260	MS	SL-089-SA7-SB-3.5-4.5RL MS	1.6 J	0.44	1.9	1.67	95.81	
PCB-1260	MS	SL-018-SA8S-SB-4.0-5.0RL MS	2.8	LT U	19	1 67	167.66 *	