

From: Ginger Pollack [<mailto:Ginger.Pollack@ventura.org>]
Sent: Monday, April 09, 2007 3:56 PM
To: Energy Technology Engineering Center; Anne.Wickham@emcbc.doe.gov
Subject: Letter from Ventura County Supervisor Linda Parks

Good afternoon,

Attached, please find a letter to Thomas Johnson, ETEC Project Manager, from Ventura County Supervisor Linda Parks commenting on the Building 4024 Decontamination and Decommissioning Engineering Evaluation /Cost Analysis (EE/CA) document.

Please do not hesitate to contact our office should you have any questions.
Thank you,

Ginger Pollack
Senior Executive Assistant
Ventura County Supervisor Linda Parks
805-373-2564



**BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF VENTURA**

GOVERNMENT CENTER, HALL OF ADMINISTRATION
800 SOUTH VICTORIA AVENUE, VENTURA, CALIFORNIA 93009
2100 E. THOUSAND OAKS BLVD., STE. C, THOUSAND OAKS, CA 91362 (Location Address)

MEMBERS OF THE BOARD
LINDA PARKS
Chair
STEVE BENNETT
KATHY I. LONG
PETER C. FOY
JOHN K. FLYNN

LINDA PARKS
SUPERVISOR, SECOND DISTRICT
(805) 373-2564
FAX: (805) 373-8396
E-mail: Linda.Parks@ventura.org

April 9, 2007

Thomas Johnson
ETEC Project Manager
U.S. Department of Energy
c/o The Boeing Company
Santa Susana Field Laboratory
5800 Woolsey Canyon Road
Canoga Park, California 91304-1148

**RE: Building 4024 Decontamination and Decommissioning Engineering
Evaluation/ Cost Analysis**

Dear Mr. Johnson:

I appreciate the opportunity to comment on the Building 4024 Decontamination and Decommissioning Engineering Evaluation/Cost Analysis (EE/CA) document. In reviewing the information I would like to request consideration of the following actions:

- 1) The EE/CA is basing its cleanup efforts on residential use standards, however I would point out that current zoning includes RA 5, rural agricultural. Using a rural agricultural land use standard instead of suburban residential would be more appropriate because it is the actual zoning of a portion of the site. Additionally, the agricultural standard errs on the side of safety by reducing risk to the public, and is in line with EPA guidance that calls for using the prospective land use that leads to the lowest permissible remaining contamination, and relies on factors such as current zoning in determining prospective land use.
- 2) Additionally, I urge a full site characterization study be done as called for by the EPA and for which I understand the DOE initially agreed to comply. The characterization

April 9, 2007

Thomas Johnson, U.S. Department of Energy

Page 2

study should be done prior to final cleanup so that there is a full understanding of the scope of contamination to ensure cleanup is complete.

3) Finally, the EE/CA proposes two alternatives to meet CERCLA requirements: the No Action alternative, and the alternative for Demolition and Removal based on lower cleanup standards. Another alternative should include higher cleanup standards (i.e. based on rural residential scenario and ending up as close as possible to the one in a million cancer risk standard rather than the one in ten thousand standard) with an opportunity for the public to comment.

Thank you for your consideration of these three requests,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Linda Parks".

Linda Parks

Supervisor, 2nd District