----Original Message----

From: Setian.Kathy@epa.gov [mailto:Setian.Kathy@epa.gov]

Sent: Monday, February 26, 2007 6:23 PM

To: Johnson, Thomas

Cc: Amar, Ravnesh; Schassburger, Richard J

Subject: RE: Current and Future Land Use at SSFL

This is insufficient for the EE/CA and DOE needs to proceed according to EPA Directive "Land Use in the CERCLA Remedy Selection Process", which can be found at

http://www.epa.gov/superfund/resources/landuse.pdf.

That means that DOE needs to consult not only with Boeing but also with local zoning and planning authorities to answer the 2 questions listed below. Thomas and Richard, I understand that DOE does not own the land, but because DOE is the lead agency, it will need to do what EPA does when we are lead agency. Let me know how you want to proceed. Do we need a conference call next week?

Kathy Setian
Superfund Remedial Project Manager
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 9

"Johnson, Thomas" <thomas.johnson@ oak.doe.gov>

Kathy Setian/R9/USEPA/US@EPA

CC

Tο

02/26/2007 05:51

PM

"Schassburger, Richard J" <Richard.Schassburger@oak.doe.gov

>, "Amar, Ravnesh"
<ravnesh.amar@boeing.com>

Subject

RE: Current and Future Land Use

at SSFL

I would like to remind you that since DOE is not the landowner at Area IV of SSFL, any decisions regarding future land use will rest with the Boeing Company.

With that said, below are the responses to your questions regarding the current and future land use at SSFL:However, the responses are as follows:

- 1. What is the current zoning/land use designation for the Site?
- 2. What is the reasonably anticipated future land use for the Site?

Response:

- 1. Current zoning is industrial under a conditional land use permit.
- 2. The future land use decision has not been finalized. However, Area IV (ETEC) is beingcleanedup to a level (suburban residual land use) that is more conservative than the current land use. The clean up levels are also more conservative than any anticipated future land use.