PMC-ND

(1.08.09.13)

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY OFFICE OF ENERGY EFFICIENCY AND RENEWABLE ENERGY NEPA DETERMINATION



RECIPIENT:	Littoral	Power	Sy	vstems,	Inc.
-------------------	----------	-------	----	---------	------

STATE: MA

PROJECT TITLE : Prefabricated ZAO Omnispecies modular fish passage modules using advanced manufacturing techniques

Funding Opportunity Announcement NumberProcurement Instrument NumberNEPA Control NumberCID NumberDE-FOA-0002080DE-EE0008969GFO-0008969-002GO8969

Based on my review of the information concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer (authorized under DOE Policy 451.1), I have made the following determination:

CX, EA, EIS APPENDIX AND NUMBER:

Description:

A9 Information gathering, analysis, and dissemination	Information gathering (including, but not limited to, literature surveys, inventories, site visits, and audits), data analysis (including, but not limited to, computer modeling), document preparation (including, but not limited to, conceptual design, feasibility studies, and analytical energy supply and demand studies), and information dissemination (including, but not limited to, document publication and distribution, and classroom training and informational programs), but not including site characterization or environmental monitoring. (See also B3.1 of appendix B to this subpart.)
B3.3 Research related to conservation of fish, wildlife, and cultural resources	Field and laboratory research, inventory, and information collection activities that are directly related to the conservation of fish and wildlife resources or to the protection of cultural resources, provided that such activities would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on fish and wildlife habitat or populations or to cultural resources.
B3.6 Small- scale research and development, laboratory operations, and pilot projects	Siting, construction, modification, operation, and decommissioning of facilities for smallscale research and development projects; conventional laboratory operations (such as preparation of chemical standards and sample analysis); and small-scale pilot projects (generally less than 2 years) frequently conducted to verify a concept before demonstration actions, provided that construction or modification would be within or contiguous to a previously disturbed or developed area (where active utilities and currently used roads are readily accessible). Not included in this category are demonstration actions, meaning actions that are undertaken at a scale to show whether a technology would be viable on a larger scale and suitable for commercial deployment.

Rationale for determination:

The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) is proposing to provide funding to Littoral Power Systems, Inc. (LPS) to design, fabricate, and test the exterior structure (hull) of a fish passage attraction module (i.e., the receiving end) of a fish passage system. The module would be designed to incorporate commercially available fish passage technology at full scale, but fabrication and testing activities would not involve incorporation of such technology as such activities would be completed at a smaller scale.

DOE previously completed one NEPA Determination (ND) (GFO-0008969-001; A9, B3.3, B3.6; 07/28/2020), which applied to Budget Period 1 activities. This ND (GFO-0008969-002) applies to remaining activities (i.e., Budget Period 2).

LPS would complete detailed designs for an adjustable subscale hull (approximately 8' x 10' x 3'), which would be fabricated using metals, resins, and composite materials. Fabrication and assembly of the hull would be completed by RayBar Machine Company, LLC (Hebron, OH) and Custom Composite Technologies, Inc. (Bath, ME). The hull would be tested at the U.S. Geological Survey's S.O. Conte Anadromous Fish Research Laboratory (Conte Lab) (Turner Falls, MA) flume facilities using live fish (American shad). Tests would use monitoring equipment (e.g., flow meter) to collect data on water flow and fish behavior over the course of approximately one week.

Approximately 200 fish would be collected from the Holyoke Dam fish lift (Connecticut River, Holyoke, MA) to use for testing activities. Fish would be released back into the Connecticut River after testing. Fish collection and handling activities would follow established Conte Lab procedures. All required permits for collection and testing with live fish would be obtained prior to initiating such activities.

All facilities are preexisting purpose-built facilities for the type of work to be conducted for this award. While Conte Lab would require temporary installation of equipment and materials for testing, facility modifications would not be required. Award activities would involve typical hazards associated with equipment fabrication and flume testing activities, including handling and use of hazardous materials, operation of potentially hazardous equipment, and site-specific environmental hazards. Existing health, safety, and environmental policies and procedures would be followed to mitigate hazards to acceptable levels. Mitigated hazards would pose negligible risks to the public and environment. All activities would comply with existing federal, state, and local laws and regulations.

DOE has considered the scale, duration, and nature of proposed activities to determine potential impacts on resources, including those of an ecological, historical, cultural, and socioeconomic nature. DOE does not anticipate impacts on these resources which would be considered significant or require DOE to consult with other agencies or stakeholders.

Any work proposed to be conducted at a federal facility may be subject to additional NEPA review by the cognizant federal official and must meet the applicable health and safety requirements of the facility.

NEPA PROVISION

DOE has made a final NEPA determination.

Notes:

Water Power Technologies Office (WPTO) NEPA review completed by Dan Cahill, 01/30/2023.

FOR CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION DETERMINATIONS

The proposed action (or the part of the proposal defined in the Rationale above) fits within a class of actions that is listed in Appendix A or B to 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D. To fit within the classes of actions listed in 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B, a proposal must be one that would not: (1) threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders; (2) require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators), but the proposal may include categorically excluded waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment actions or facilities; (3) disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases; (4) have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources, including, but not limited to, those listed in paragraph B(4) of 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B; (5) involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those listed in paragraph B(5) of 10 CFR Part 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B.

There are no extraordinary circumstances related to the proposed action that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal.

The proposed action has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion. This proposal is not connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.25(a)(1)), is not related to other actions with individually insignificant but cumulatively significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.27(b)(7)), and is not precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211 concerning limitations on actions during preparation of an environmental impact statement.

The proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

SIGNATURE OF THIS MEMORANDUM CONSTITUTES A RECORD OF THIS DECISION.

NEPA Compliance Officer Signature:

Signed By: Andrew Montano

Date: 1/30/2023

NEPA Compliance Officer

FIELD OFFICE MANAGER DETERMINATION

Field Office Manager review not required

☐ Field Office Manager review required

BASED ON MY REVIEW I CONCUR WITH THE DETERMINATION OF THE NCO :