



OSS Licensing

Tool to Build Community

Bob Westervelt
License Executive
Sandia National Laboratories

October 12, 2022
SAND2022-13776 PE



Sandia National Laboratories is a multimission laboratory managed and operated by National Technology and Engineering Solutions of Sandia, LLC, a wholly owned subsidiary of Honeywell International, Inc., for the U.S. Department of Energy's National Nuclear Security Administration under contract DE-NA-0003525.



Overview

1 Open Source License Overview

2 Impact of License on Community

3 Contributions

4 Other Related Topics



Open Source License Overview

- Hundreds of accepted open source licenses
- Varying terms and conditions for different purposes
- Two general classes – Permissive or Copyleft
- Copyleft – Requires that the same license applies to derivative works
 - Examples – GNU Public License, Lesser GNU Public License, Affero GNU Public License
- Permissive – Generally put few restrictions on use
 - Examples – MIT License, BSD 3 clause License
- Mixed – Elements of both or additional terms
 - Examples – Apache License, Eclipse License

- License needs to be aligned with the philosophy and goals of the community
- [Choosealicense.com](http://choosealicense.com)



MIT License

Key Features

- Very simple
- Few restrictions
- Simple attribution
 - Does it include binaries?
- No Warranty

Copyright <YEAR> <COPYRIGHT HOLDER>

Permission is hereby granted, free of charge, to any person obtaining a copy of this software and associated documentation files (the "Software"), to deal in the Software without restriction, including without limitation the rights to use, copy, modify, merge, publish, distribute, sublicense, and/or sell copies of the Software, and to permit persons to whom the Software is furnished to do so, subject to the following conditions:

The above copyright notice and this permission notice shall be included in all copies or substantial portions of the Software.

THE SOFTWARE IS PROVIDED "AS IS", WITHOUT WARRANTY OF ANY KIND, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO THE WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY, FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE AND NONINFRINGEMENT. IN NO EVENT SHALL THE AUTHORS OR COPYRIGHT HOLDERS BE LIABLE FOR ANY CLAIM, DAMAGES OR OTHER LIABILITY, WHETHER IN AN ACTION OF CONTRACT, TORT OR OTHERWISE, ARISING FROM, OUT OF OR IN CONNECTION WITH THE SOFTWARE OR THE USE OR OTHER DEALINGS IN THE SOFTWARE.

From: <https://opensource.org/licenses/MIT>



BSD 3 Clause License

Key Features

- Also simple
- Clearer distribution rights
- Attribution – non endorsement
- Warranty

Copyright <YEAR> <COPYRIGHT HOLDER>

Redistribution and use in source and binary forms, with or without modification, are permitted provided that the following conditions are met:

1. Redistributions of source code must retain the above copyright notice, this list of conditions and the following disclaimer.
2. Redistributions in binary form must reproduce the above copyright notice, this list of conditions and the following disclaimer in the documentation and/or other materials provided with the distribution.
3. Neither the name of the copyright holder nor the names of its contributors may be used to endorse or promote products derived from this software without specific prior written permission.

THIS SOFTWARE IS PROVIDED BY THE COPYRIGHT HOLDERS AND CONTRIBUTORS "AS IS" AND ANY EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, THE IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY AND FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE ARE DISCLAIMED. IN NO EVENT SHALL THE COPYRIGHT HOLDER OR CONTRIBUTORS BE LIABLE FOR ANY DIRECT, INDIRECT, INCIDENTAL, SPECIAL, EXEMPLARY, OR CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES (INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, PROCUREMENT OF SUBSTITUTE GOODS OR SERVICES; LOSS OF USE, DATA, OR PROFITS; OR BUSINESS INTERRUPTION) HOWEVER CAUSED AND ON ANY THEORY OF LIABILITY, WHETHER IN CONTRACT, STRICT LIABILITY, OR TORT (INCLUDING NEGLIGENCE OR OTHERWISE) ARISING IN ANY WAY OUT OF THE USE OF THIS SOFTWARE, EVEN IF ADVISED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGE.



Apache License 2.0

Key Features

- More rigorous
- More specific copyright grant
- Includes patent grant
- Includes specific grants from contributors back to Licensor
- No trademark use
- More business friendly warranty and liability

Apache License
Version 2.0, January 2004
<http://www.apache.org/licenses/>

TERMS AND CONDITIONS FOR USE, REPRODUCTION, AND DISTRIBUTION

1. Definitions.

"License" shall mean the terms and conditions for use, reproduction, and distribution as defined by Sections 1 through 9 of this document.

"Licensor" shall mean the copyright owner or entity authorized by the copyright owner that is granting the License.

"Legal Entity" shall mean the union of the acting entity and all other entities that control, are controlled by, or are under common control with that entity. For the purposes of this definition, "control" means (i) the power, direct or indirect, to cause the direction or management of such entity, whether by contract or otherwise, or (ii) ownership of fifty percent (50%) or more of the outstanding shares, or (iii) beneficial ownership of such entity.

"You" (or "Your") shall mean an individual or Legal Entity exercising permissions granted by this License.

"Source" form shall mean the preferred form for making modifications, including but not limited to software source code, documentation source, and configuration files.

"Object" form shall mean any form resulting from mechanical transformation or translation of a Source form, including but not limited to compiled object code, generated documentation, and conversions to other media types.

"Work" shall mean the work of authorship, whether in Source or Object form, made available under the License, as indicated by a copyright notice that is included in or attached to the work (an example is provided in the Appendix below).



GNU Public License 3.0

Key Features

- Freedom of recipients of software
- Key aspects related to distribution
 - Must pass on under same license
- What is a modification?
- Broad patent license
- Termination for violation

■ Select Clauses

- To “modify” a work means to copy from or adapt all or part of the work in a fashion requiring copyright permission, other than the making of an exact copy. The resulting work is called a “modified version” of the earlier work or a work “based on” the earlier work.
- To “convey” a work means any kind of propagation that enables other parties to make or receive copies. Mere interaction with a user through a computer network, with no transfer of a copy, is not conveying.
- A “covered work” means either the unmodified Program or a work based on the Program.
- You may convey a covered work in object code form under the terms of sections 4 and 5, provided that you also convey the machine-readable Corresponding Source under the terms of this License,
- Each contributor grants you a non-exclusive, worldwide, royalty-free patent license under the contributor's essential patent claims, to make, use, sell, offer for sale, import and otherwise run, modify and propagate the contents of its contributor version.



Mixing Licenses

- License choice has implications how software can be used
- License terms can conflict
- Precedence matters
 - Include MIT licensed software in a project licensed under GPL
 - Include GPL licensed software in a project licensed under MIT
- Apache 2.0 License and GPL Licenses
 - Apache 2.0 not compatible with GPL v2
 - Patent grant and Indemnification of contributors viewed as conflicting
 - Apache 2.0 can be included in a project licensed under GPL v3
- License terms will flow to subsequent users





Impact of License on Community

- Community Members
 - Maintainer/Committer/Developer
 - Contributors
 - Users
 - Individual
 - Business
 - Supporters
- License used has different implications for different classes of community members
 - Contributors & Developers – have to agree their contribution will support license terms
 - Patent grant
 - Contributor indemnification
 - Business Users – How does the license impact the business
 - GPL requirements can spread to proprietary code of business
 - Patent grants in some licenses reduce business risk



Accepting Contributions

- Why have contributor agreements?
 - Does the contributor have the right to contribute? – Copyright ownership
 - Contributor didn't have rights to contribute and actual copyright owner asks for code to be removed
 - What happens if the project changes its license?
 - Goes from licensed under MIT License to being under Apache License
- Contributor Agreements
 - Individual
 - Corporate
 - Included in OSS License
- Assigning Ownership or License full rights



Other Topics

- Changing Licenses
- Trademarks/Branding
 - Protecting the project name and community
 - Forks
- Other things developed by the community
 - Documentation, Training, Graphics ...
 - Other types of licenses – Creative Commons
- Governance
 - Formalizing how project runs



Discussion

