







WORKING TOGETHER. ONE MISSION. ONE VISION. ONE NSE

Using Simulation Modeling to Inform Resource Needs

Chuck Carter, Sandia National Laboratories (cmcarte@sandia.gov)

Andrew Romich, PhD, Sandia National Laboratories (aromich@sandia.gov)

August 2022









WORKING TOGETHER. ONE MISSION. ONE VISION. ONE NSE.

Introduction

- The quantity of items needed is typically a substantial factor in acquisition cost
- Determining the acquisition quantity is often difficult and there are significant impacts to an inaccurate quantity
 - Acquiring too few items can impact the mission
 - Acquiring too many can result in significant unnecessary spending
- Traditional spreadsheet analysis may not capture the variability and uncertainty associated with planned usage, and may not reflect the peak usage over an extended period of time
- This presentation will walk through a notional container acquisition analysis modeled after an actual analysis
 - Planned operations were simulated using FlexSim software
 - The analysis highlights key input and output considerations
- Options for incorporating simulation output into cost models are discussed










Example Scenario (Fictitious)

WORKING TOGETHER. ONE MISSION. ONE VISION. ONE NSE.

1) Specialized containers are needed to support future planned operations

Scheduled of Shipments
LANL to UTTR

- 6) KCNSC Reaccepts the container and returns it to inventory
 - 5) The containers are stored at UTTR until needed, then emptied and sent back to KCNSC



2) The containers will be built, stored, and maintained at the Kansas City National Security Complex (KCNSC)

4) The containers are shipped to the Utah Test and Training Range (UTTR)

3) Empty containers will be shipped as needed to Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL) where that are loaded with contents

Month	Quantity	
Jan 2024	3	
Mar 2024	7	
May 2024	10	
Jul 2024	10	
Sep 2024	12	
Nov 2024	12	
Jan 2025	16	
Mar 2025	14	
May 2025	17	
Jul 2025	12	
Sep 2025	12	
Nov 2025	16	
Jan 2026	12	
Mar 2026	12	
May 2026	10	
Jul 2026	6	
Sep 2026	3	
Nov 2026	2	









WORKING TOGETHER. ONE MISSION. ONE VISION. ONE NSE

Schedule Input Considerations

- The provided schedule refers to the shipment of the full container from LANL to UTTR
- Shipping considerations prior to the main shipment
 - What is the process at KCNSC to prep a container for shipping?
 - How long is transportation (KCNSC to LANL)?
 - How many days prior to loading should the container be on site prior to loading?
 - How many days is the loading process?
 - How long does a loaded container sit at LANL awaiting shipping?
- Shipping considerations after to the main shipment
 - How many days is a container stored at UTTR before being emptied
 - How long is transportation (LANL to KCNSC)?
- Returned item processing
 - What is the process at KCNSC to prepare the container for reuse and return it to inventory







WORKING TOGETHER. ONE MISSION. ONE VISION. ONE NSE

Other Model Inputs

- What is the initial build schedule of the containers?
- Is there anticipated attrition due to use?
 - This can be captured as an expected percentage loss over time based on similar containers
 - May be implemented as a inspection fail/pass percentage after each use
- Is there any periodic maintenance required?
 - This is different that maintenance required for each use
 - May be time based such as 1 year or 2 years maintenance, or based on a number of usage cycles
 - What is the maintenance time
- What are the details of the transportation?
 - Are there empty post use containers returned immediately or are they shipped in batches based on a threshold quantity or return schedule?
 - Is there a limit on return container quantity in a shipment?









WORKING TOGETHER. ONE MISSION. ONE VISION. ONE NSE.

Notional Input Used

Step	Location	Duration/Value
Pull Item from Inventory and Prep for Shipping	KCNSC	7
Wait for Transportation	KCNSC	3
Transportation KCNSC to LANL	Transit	3
Store ready for use container until loading	LANL	Normal (45, 4.5)
Load	LANL	1
Store loaded container awaiting transportation	LANL	14
Transportation LANL to UTTR	Transit	2
Receipt Processing	UTTR	2
Store loaded container awaiting emptying	UTTR	Triangular (30, 45, 90)
Post Use Shipping Prep	UTTR	2
Store empty container awaiting shipping	UTTR	Uniform (0,30)
Transportation UTTR to KCNSC	Transit	3
Reacceptance	KCNSC	14
Reacceptance Fail Percentage		0.5%

Analysis assumes all containers are in place at KCNSC prior to first usage Durations are in days









WORKING TOGETHER. ONE MISSION. ONE VISION. ONE NSE.

Simulation















WORKING TOGETHER. ONE MISSION. ONE VISION. ONE NSE.

Dynamic Inventory Plots









- Initial runs performed with more containers in inventory than likely needed, assumed 100 containers
- KSNSC has a "ready-for-use" (RFU) inventory, which is slightly less than the total number on site
 - Make sure that RFU inventory does not run out
 - Chart shows RFU inventory never went below the high 40s.
- LANL and UTTR do not store RFU containers











WORKING TOGETHER. ONE MISSION. ONE VISION. ONE NSE.


Key Results





- Each run will produce a slightly different in-use chart
- The maximum in-use in this run is 51

Multi-Run Output



 Number of runs needed is dependent on variability present










WORKING TOGETHER. ONE MISSION. ONE VISION. ONE NSE.

Data to Decisions

How many containers to acquire?










CNS consolidated nuclear security, llc

WORKING TOGETHER. ONE MISSION. ONE VISION. ONE NSE.

Several Paths Toward Cost Estimate













WORKING TOGETHER. ONE MISSION. ONE VISION. ONE NSE.

Simulation Model to Cost Estimating Process Data Feeding

- Acquisition quantity
 - Total quantity
 - Need dates to meet build schedule (ramped up in-use chart may allow spreading out acquisition)
- Maintenance
 - Number of repairs
 - By FY
- Storage quantities
 - Inventory over time by location
 - Loaded vs Empty storage (may equate to classified vs unclassified storage)
- Transportation
 - Number of transportation events
 - By FY
 - By type (SGT, classified courier, unclassified)
 - Alternate container return strategies can be tested (time based return of empties vs level based)
 - · Changing return strategy may decrease transportation cost but increase quantity needed
 - Optimize cost using simulation









WORKING TOGETHER. ONE MISSION. ONE VISION. ONE NSE.

Summary

- Cost estimations involving acquisition quantities and logistics often involve statistical variability that is not easily captured using equation-based tools
 - Calculations based on point estimates likely will not adequately capture peak usage
- Simulation provides a method to mimic future operations virtually while tracking key system performance metrics over time
- Model can provide key input in several other cost estimation categories including maintenance cost, resource needs, and transportation
- Model data can be used to compute cost directly in the model or used to feed an existing cost model
 - Models can be used to test various operating strategies and their effects on cost