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Project Overview

Project Summary

- Hydropower facilities are often crucial in responding to extreme grid events due to their agility and flexibility. They can quickly change both their real and reactive power outputs to provide voltage support, inertial response, primary frequency response, and operating reserves. However, no standard practices exist to quantify the contributions of hydropower resources, especially for non-market and non-monetized grid services. The project was designed to identify tools, methods, models, and datasets to quantify hydropower’s contributions to grid resilience.

Intended Outcomes

- The key outcomes of this project includes a framework to quantify hydropower’s contribution to grid resiliency in the Western Interconnection and application for the current generation mix. Models and tools used in this study are accessible to the hydropower community to support decision making for future operations. The longer-term outcome are an improved understanding and quantification of hydropower’s value to the power system, and overall improved grid security through utilizing hydropower’s flexibility.
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Project Objectives: Relevance

• Challenge:
  – “Untapped potential for hydro and pumped storage to support a rapidly evolving grid” – Grid reliability, resilience, and integration (HydroWIRES)

• Approaches and Activities:
  – Understand the evolving needs of the rapidly changing grid
  – Investigate hydropower’s full range of capabilities to provide grid services, accounting for the machine, hydrologic, and institutional constraints

• Intermediate Outcomes:
  – Accurate representation and system value of hydropower and PSH capabilities in power system models

• Long-term Outcomes:
  – Increase in U.S. hydropower and PSH fleet flexibility and greater value provided to the power system

• Impact:
  – Energy Affordability
  – Energy Security
Project Objectives: Approach

**Approach:**
Quantified hydropower’s response to frequency response using,

1. Historical data: Collected and analyzed PMU data for parts of the WI from past events
2. Simulation tools: Identified and assembled simulation tools to quantify voltage support and frequency response, for
   - Combinations of extreme events
   - Different seasonal loading conditions
   - Variations in water availability
   - Weather-driven wind and solar profiles

- **Weather Driven Resource Modeling**
  - Outcome: Wind, Solar, and Load Profiles
  - Outcome: Generator and Line Ratings
  - Outcome: Monthly and Weekly Water Budgets

- **Production Cost Modeling**
  - Input: Current and Future Grid Models
  - Process: Hourly Simulations During Stress Conditions
  - Outcomes: LMPs, Unserved Energy, Dispatch.

- **PCM to Power Flow Models**
  - Process: Automated Creation of Operations Scenarios
  - Outcome: Power Flow Models for Contingency Analysis

- **Contingency Analysis**
  - Input: Contingencies – Generation Outages
  - Outcome: Voltage and Flow Violations
  - Outcome: Quantification of Hydropower Role
Outputs:

- **Identified and assembled different simulation capabilities**, leveraging existing laboratory and commercial tools.
- **Identified modeling gaps** that result in inaccurate representation of hydropower’s attributes in power system models.
  - Led to WPTO-led workshop and follow-on research.
- **Published a report** in October 2021, presenting results and modeling framework.

Outcomes:

- This study systematically quantified hydropower’s contributions to grid resilience.
  - There was anecdotal evidence in the past on the role of hydropower in maintaining grid resilience.
- The study quantified hydropower’s contributions in the Western Interconnection:
  - **Hydropower constitutes 20-25% of capacity but contributes 30-60% of frequency response.**
  - **Hydropower is also the largest contributor of voltage support.**
- Ability to quantify grid needs and hydropower’s contributions will inform grid operators and generation/transmission planners on 1) grid needs in future, and 2) hydropower (and other resources’) contributions under a range of extreme events in future.
The following activities were performed in FY20:
1) Literature review of past extreme events
2) Selected and analyzed scenarios of interest
4) Prepared final report

The following activities were performed in FY21:
1) Finalized report
2) Disseminated results
## Project Budget

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FY19</th>
<th>FY20</th>
<th>FY21</th>
<th>Total Actual Costs FY19–FY21</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Costed</td>
<td>Costed</td>
<td>Costed</td>
<td>Costed</td>
<td>Total Costed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$0K</td>
<td>$1,000K</td>
<td>$0K</td>
<td>$1,000K</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
End-User Engagement and Dissemination

• Project team engaged multiple stakeholders (CAISO, WECC, NERC, etc.) through the project to:
  – Identify specific scenarios of interest to the industry
  – Discuss data needs and modeling assumptions
  – Presentation and validation of intermediate results
• Results from the study have been discussed with various stakeholders, including but not limited to:
  – USACE Walla Walla District:
   • In discussions to use framework from report to assess contribution of their dams to grid resiliency
   • Graphics produced from the presentation material being used by USACE’s communications team
  – Staffers of US Senators Risch (ID), Crapo (ID), Cantwell (WA), and Murray (WA):
   • Staffers acknowledged the results as first to quantify hydropower’s contributions to grid resilience
   • Report cited in a letter to DOE Sec. Granholm w.r.t Lower Snake River dams
  – Western Electricity Coordinating Council (WECC): In discussions with the WECC to augment their studies on the impacts of extreme events on system planning requirements.
• Results have been highlighted in numerous independent web-features: NHA, USCE, Utility Dive
• Publication selected for best paper award at Resilience Week 2020:
• Project team identified gaps in modeling of hydropower in power systems models. The gaps are now being addressed in a separate project, in close coordination with industry
Hydropower has been a major source of frequency response in WI

- In 2018, hydropower constituted ~25% of installed capacity in the WI
- ...hydro in the PNW contributed 30-60% of primary frequency response
- Hydropower in the PNW responded to events originating other parts of the Western US
- Results from simulation studies were very similar
  - Simulation tools can be used to assess a variety of new scenarios

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event Timeline</th>
<th>Generation Outage</th>
<th>Northwest Hydropower Contribution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Apr 20, 2018</td>
<td>700 MW</td>
<td>&gt; 61%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event Timeline</th>
<th>Generation Outage</th>
<th>Northwest Hydropower Contribution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jul 29, 2017</td>
<td>925 MW</td>
<td>&gt; 30%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mar 3, 2017</td>
<td>680 MW</td>
<td>&gt; 43%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feb 15, 2018</td>
<td>1340 MW</td>
<td>&gt; 39%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Simulation studies were carried out to investigate additional scenarios:
- Scenario 1: Single largest contingency in WI – outage of Palo Verde units in AZ
- Scenario 2: Outage of multiple natural gas plants following pipeline outage

Study involved simulation of the entire WI (WECC model), including BC, for the years 2018 and 2028

Investigated hydropower contributions under different seasons and water availability conditions

Hydropower is the largest contributor to:
- Primary frequency response
- Reactive power (voltage support)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event Type</th>
<th>Hydropower contribution towards primary frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Historical Events in WI</td>
<td>30-61%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Scenario 1) Largest contingency in WI</td>
<td>47-56%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Scenario 2) Widespread natural gas plant outages in WI</td>
<td>32-38%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Evolving conditions are changing reliability and resilience needs

• Higher penetration of renewables
• Increased incidences of extreme weather events
  – Heat waves, cold waves
• Increasing common mode failure events
  – Weather impacts resources, infrastructure, and loads simultaneously
• Different set of resource attributes required to deal with these events

- Wind output down to zero
- More exports needed for California
  → Increased hydropower production in PNW
In the follow-up to original work, we are now analyzing the role of hydropower under a compounding set of extreme events. The following set of scenarios are being currently being analyzed, with active engagement from industry stakeholders.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>Event 1</th>
<th>Event 2</th>
<th>Simulation Plan</th>
<th>Test System</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1a       | Drought (PCM)                    | Thermal unit outage and/or transmission outage in power flow and transient stability study | • Event 1: Simulate drought conditions in summer based on historical data.  
• Event 2: During the drought, perform contingency analysis/reliability studies. |                                   |
| 1b       | Drought + Heatwave (PCM)         | Thermal unit outage and/or transmission outage in power flow and transient stability study | • Event 1: Simulate drought conditions in summer and simultaneously add a heatwave event for 1-2 week by increasing load based on historical data.  
• Event 2: During the heatwave, perform contingency analysis/reliability studies | Base case: WECC 2030  
Variations: WECC 2030 w/ coal retirement |
| 2a       | Heatwave (PCM)                   | Transmission outage (PCM) - e.g., COI outage due to wildfire | • Event 1: Heatwave event for 1-2 week in summer by increasing load based on historical data.  
• Event 2: During the heatwave, a compounding transmission outage is modeled that derates COI flow |                                   |
| 2b       | Heatwave #1 in July (PCM)        | Heatwave #2 in Aug (PCM)                          | • Event 1: Heatwave event for 1-2 week in summer by increasing load based on historical data.  
• Event 2: After the first heatwave, a second heatwave is simulated after a month. |                                   |