EMCBC/SLA

Environmental Checklist

Project/Activity Title:	NEPA ID Number: Rev. #: Date:	NEPA ID Number: Rev. #:0 Date:			
Contractor Project Manager:	Phone:	Phone:			
Contractor NEPA Coordinator:	Phone:	Phone:			
DOE EMCBC NEPA Compliance Officer:	Phone:	Phone:			
A: BRIEF PROJECT/ACTIVITY DESCRIPTION Statement of Work, if necessary)	: (Attach detailed description	or			
B. SOURCES OF IMPACTS: Would the proper in changes to any of the following?	osed action involve, generate,	or resul	.t		
1. Air emissions 2. Liquid effluents 3. Solid waste 4. Radioactive waste/soil 5. Hazardous waste 6. Mixed waste 7. Chemical storage/use 8. Petroleum storage/use 9. Asbestos 10. Utilities 11. Clearing or excavation Explanation and Qualification of spec	NO 12. Water Use/Diversion 13. Water Treatment 14. Waterway modificatio 15. Radiation/toxic chem exposures 16. Pesticide/herbicide 17. High energy source/explosives 18. Transportation 19. Noise levels 20. Workforce adjustment 21. OTHER: 22. OTHER:	use	YES NO		
C. EVALUATION CRITERIA: 1. (10 CFR 1021.410 [b] [1]) Does the a class of actions listed in Appear 10 CFR 1021?		yes	 NO □		
2. (10 CFR 1021.410 [b] [2]) Are the circumstances related to the prop significance of the environmental Extraordinary circumstances are uspecific proposals, such as scient environmental effects of the propeffects involving unique or unknown conflicts concerning alternative within the meaning of Section 102	osal that may affect the effects of the proposal? nique situations presented by tific controversy about the osal; uncertain effects or wn risks; or unresolved uses of available resources				

3.	(10 CFR 1021.410 [b] [3]) Is the proposal "connected" (40 CFR 1508.25 [a] [1]) to other actions with potentially significant impacts; or is it related to other proposed actions with cumulatively significant impacts (40 CFR 1508.25 [a] [2)]; or is it precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211?	
4.	(10 CFR 1021, Appendix B to Subpart D, B[1] through B [4][vii]) For a proposed action to be categorically excluded, certain integral elements must be included. For example, would the	
	proposed action: Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory or permit requirements for ES&H, including requirements of	
	DOE Orders? Require siting, construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery or treatment facilities? NOTE: proposed action may include categorically excluded	
	<pre>facilities. Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants or CERCLA-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that pre-exist in the environment, such that there would be</pre>	
	uncontrolled or unpermitted releases? Adversely affect environmentally sensitive resources including, but not limited to: structures of historic, archaeological or architectural significance; threatened or endangered species, or migratory birds, amphibians, floodplains or wetlands; wildlife refuges, prime agricultural lands or special sources of water (e.g., sole-source aquifer)? NOTE: A "No" response indicates that all reviews and discussions supporting the Agency's determination that the proposed action would not have an adverse effect on the resource will be completed before the proposed action is allowed to proceed.	
5.	Additional impacts that should be considered during the NEPA evaluation of the proposed action include the following six items. Would the action:	
	a. Take place in an area of previous or ongoing disturbance?b. Require any federal, state or local permits, approvals,	
	<pre>Etc.? c. Create hazardous, radioactive or mixed waste for which</pre>	
	no disposal is available? d. Impact a RCRA-regulated unit or facility?	
	Force a low-income or ethnic minority population to e. shoulder a disproportionate share of the negative environmental impacts of pollution or environmental hazards because of a lack of political or economic	
	strength (i.e., an issue of "Environmental Justice")? f. [for those actions that would involve air emissions] be located within an air pollutant non-attainment or maintenance area for any of the Criteria pollutants? NOTE: If "Yes," then additional analysis may be required to determine if emissions would be above de minimus thresholds and/or if emissions would be regionally significant. Pending completion of this analysis, requirements stated in 40 CFR 93.158 may be imposed before the proposed action could proceed.	

Explanation and Qualification of specific "YES" responses:					
Number	Explanation				
D.	RECOMMENDATION AND DETERMINATION				
	The proposed action described in this checklist (EMCBC-2007-01) involves the land transfer of approximately 3953.03 acres from the U.S. Department of Energy to the Department of Interior, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. Specifically, this land transfer action for formal establishment of the Rocky Flats National Wildlife Refuge falls within the bounds of the categorized exclusion B1.25 (10 CFR 1021.410):				
Signat	ure: Pote Genace Date: Date:				

Moab UMTRA Site Soils: Background Radium-226 Investigation

The purpose of the Radium-226 background soil investigation is to determine the radioactivity of naturally occurring radioactive elements in the soils unaffected by the operations of the former uranium mill. The soils need to be of similar type as the soils at the mill site. Consequently samples from the alluvial sediments from Moab Canyon and the Colorado River canyon are desired. The results will be used to determine the remediation standards at the DOE/EM (Department of Energy Environmental Management) Moab UMTRA (Uranium Mill Tailings Remedial Action) Project. The determination will satisfy the Multi-Agency Survey and Site Investigation Manual (MARSSIM) Final Status Survey (FSS) for radium.

It is proposed that five 8-oz containers of soil will be collected from the upper 6-inches of soil from undisturbed or slightly disturbed soils near Moab Wash where it flows through the Arches National Park, on nearby BLM property, and on Utah Forest, Fire, and State Lands (FFSL) property. The samples collected on FFSL property are from the Colorado River canyon up-river from the Moab site.

A team of up to five individuals will collect the samples with a hand trowel and record with notes and photographs. Sampling personnel will follow internal standardized procedure for sampling, packaging, and transporting soil samples as described in the Moab UMTRA Project Field Services Manual. The samples will be analyzed by the Moab UMTRA Project Radiological Controls Department and DOECAP Laboratory Accreditation Program, ALS Laboratory in Fort Collins, CO to determine radiological activity of Radium-226, Thorium-230, and Natural Uranium. All samples will be disposed of by ALS (in compliance with State and Federal Regulations) after analysis.

Two vehicles will be used, but limited to paved roads and the parking area. A manager will be available to oversee and provide an explanation to any Park Services observer. The activity will be coordinated with the Park Service representative and will take less than two hours. The preference will be to collect the samples during Monday through Thursday.

A draft and final report will be prepared that follows a form consistent with MARSSIM.