ORIGINAL

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF INTERIOR

NATIONAL PETROLEUM COUNCIL

September 10, 1974

ORA

1 2

PROCEEDINGS

MR. : We have been engaged for sometime now on studies which impact on the nation's goal of relative energy self-sufficiency.

The issues involved are understandably complex and I would like to put a few of them before you for reflection prior to hearing from our guest speakers and receiving for appropriate action, the committee reports.

In the aftermath of the oil embargo, the American public was jolted into an awareness of the affects of energy shortages on their personal livestyles and on other national goals and priorities.

We all realize that increased domestic supplies alone will not bridge the widening gap between domestic energy supplies and demand.

The NPC Committee on Energy Conservation, which will report to you today, has determined that more efficient energy management must be utilized at all levels in conjunction with such national goals as economic growth, social well-being and environmental cleanup.

This is no easy task. Several potential constraints could block the progress possible in the area of energy conservation.

They are limitation on the availability of capital

and technical manpower and the restrictiveness of certain environmental standards.

The actual magnitude of the energy conservation will depend upon prices and rate of development of additional supplies and their substitutability, which will in turn depend upon policies, laws, regulations and government actions at all levels, particularly that of the federal government.

In addition to the new conservation ethic, which resulted from the embargo, the domestic search for supplies is increasing.

The Emergency Preparedness Subcommittee on Materials and Manpower Requirements for Petroleum Exploration, Drilling and Production has estimated that drilling activity in 1974 will represent a 15 percent increase over 1973.

There has been much discussion here in Washington and down in the oil country about shortages of materials required to develop our domestic oil and gas resources.

It is the finding of the NPC Committee on Emergency Preparedness that while oil country tubular goods were in short supply in the first half of this year, this situation is expected to be eased in the latter part of 1974.

_

This does not mean the materials picture is rosy.

On the contrary, rigs are now becoming the important constraint in shortterm drilling acceleration.

In addition to tubular goods and drilling rigs, basic steel output and many other commodities required for drilling and producing activities are also in short supply, but none of these is expected to seriously restrain drilling activity.

The increased domestic supplies resulting from the improved drilling outlook, combined with the potential saving from improved energy conservation, will unfortunately not be sufficient to solve the nation's import dependence problem for at least ten to 15 years.

The NPC Committee on Emergency Preparedness has evaluated the recent embargo and its effects and will advise the Federal Government to develop emergency standby programs to reduce the demand and increased useage of less scarce energy supplies in an emergency situation.

The Committee will also recommend that a coupon rationing system be carefully prepared so that its implementation in a time of crisis will be both orderly and equitable.

Lastly, but most important, the Committee will recommend that emergency petroleum storage programs be

instituted with provisions for careful use of the storage supplies in an emergency.

The Committee believes a combination of these measures will afford the nation the greatest degree of security possible in the next tenuto 15 years while the domestic dependence on imports will continue to be substantial.

The Committee on Ocean Petroleum Resources completed its interim report in early July and the copy of that report was sent to you at that time.

The Committee is continuing its examination of the offshore resources which are of vital importance to the future domestic energy supply, demand balance.

Before we turn to the items on the agenda,
let me stress one point, events in late 1973 and early
1974 as related to the energy posture of the United
States, have again demonstrated the necessity for a
national energy policy, which must include balancing
energy conservation and other national interests.

Government has been active in many areas relating to energy policy, however, there still is no national energy policy relating the various government energy actions one to another.

The development of a balanced national energy policy by the Federal Government, including conservation

as a major component remains urgent.

Other components of such a policy include the continued development of domestic supplies, the resolution of environmental cleanup and the distribution of total energy costs.

These should be balanced against the nation's policy of 'growth, full employment, social well-being and foreign policy.

One person who spoke out a long time ago regarding the energy situation, we see developing today, and called, at that time, for the kind of national policy I just mentioned, was John McLaine, then Chairman of the Board of Continental Oil Company.

It was my pleasure to have been Chairman of the Council during the final stretch of the U.S. energy outlook study of which he was Chairman.

I would like to pause at this time and present for your approval, a memorial resolution for John McLaine.

I know all of you knew him well and were deeply saddened by the news of his death.

The resolution reads as follows.

"The members of the National Petroleum Council were profoundly sorrowed by the death of their fellow member, John G. McLaine on May 20, 1974 at the age of 56.

"Mr. McLaine, a member of the Council since July 1,

1970, undertook with great espirit, the Herculean task of guiding the industry's 200 dedicated experts who served on the most exhaustive of the NPC study efforts, the U.S. Energy Outlook.

"This group produced a 20 volume study which forms the keystone of information and analysis regarding the nation's domestic energy posture.

"The impact of the study upon the nation's commitment to energy self-sufficiency gives testimony to his accomplishments and those of the men who assisted him.

"The Honorable Roger C. B. Morton, Secretary of the Interior, has said of this study, 'I believe it is the most comprehensive study of its kind and will have far-reaching impact on decisions, not only in your industry, in the marketplace, but in government. The study itself is a landmark and without parallel'."

"The Council is duly proud of both John McLaine, the man, and his work.

"John G. McLaine was born in Portland, Oregon on June 29, 1917 and was graduated from the California Institute of Technology in 1938 with a B.S. degree in Physics.

"In 1970, he was presented with that School's Distinguished Service Award and was elected a Trustee in

∥ ir

in 1971.

The earned his Masters and Doctorate degrees at Harvard Business School, where he served on the faculty for 14 years, simultaneously acting as Full Professor and Consultant to business and government.

"During that time, he co-authored a book entitled "The Growth of Integrated Oil Companies" and was a contributing editor of over 15 texts on business and management.

"Mr. McLaine joined the Continental Oil Company in 1954 and was named Vice President for Coordination and Planning in 1956.

"He served successively as Senior Vice President, Executive Vice President, President and Chief Executive Officer and then, of course, Chairman of the Board.

"On May 2, 1972, he was elected as Chairman of the Board.

"In addition to his inestimable service to the National Petroleum Council, he was a member of the Board of Directors and the Executive Committee of the American Petroleum Institute and served, since 1951, as a member of the Executive Advisory Committee of the Natural Gas Survey formed by the Chairman of the Federal Power Commission and also of the Energy Advisory Committee on Natural Gas.

"The philosophy he stated in 1970 may well serve as a guide to us all.

"He said: "We face three great challenges today.

We need to narrow the gap between our capacity to define

the ills of society and our capacity to do something

constructive about them.

"We need to narrow the gap between the living standards of the developed and the less developed countries and particularly in the business world, we need to narrow the gap between our ability to act and our ability to think creatively about the present and future import of our actions."

"John McLaine dedicated himself to the industry and the nation and both have benefited by his outstanding statesmanship, scholarship and personal integrity.

"Therefore, be it resolved, be it resolved by the members of the National Petroleum Council, in meeting assembled in Washington, D.C., this tenth day of September, 1974, that this resolution be entered upon the permanent records of the Council and appropriate topy thereof, be delivered to the family of John G. McLaine as a testimony of the respect and admiration with which we shall always remember him."

I would suggest the unanimous adoption of this resolution by all of us standing for a moment of silence and

.22

prayer in concurrence.

Thank you.

Now, it is a pleasure for me to introduce a man we all know and respect, the distinguished Co-Chairman of the Council, the Honorable Rogers C. B. Morton.

Mr. Secretary?

SECRETARY MORTON: Thank you, very much, Dave.

I want to welcome all of the members here and we have a rather full program of guest speakers for you, so I am going to take a very minimum of time and I do not know whether you will meet him later, but you know Duke Ligon, John Sawhill is down at the end, Mr. Scott and I am expecting both Allen Greenspan and Bill Simon to show up momentarily.

It is hard to really thank the members of this organization for the work they have done over the years.

I think we have been organized in the National Petroleum Council for about 20 years and that, I think, speaks well for the continuity and the effort and all of the work that has been done.

We are launching, here at Interior, on an accelerated program for the development of energy resources.

We have onboard, following in the footsteps of a very distinguished Assistant Secretary, Holace Dole, whom nearly all of you knew personally, a young man who has

7.

·

assumed that responsibility and whom you will meet later, who is the Assistant Secretary for Energy and Mineral Resources of the Department.

He comes to us with a large amount of government experience and background which I think fits very well into the work and effort of this Council, particularly in its desire to help government form a working energy policy that will project helpfully us into the next century.

He is Jack Carlson, whom you will meet later, sitting there in the center of the table, and I hope that he will have the opportunity to meet many of the members individually and will have the opportunity to work, not only with Vince Brown and his staff, but with all of you in the efforts that we are pretty sure that will be forthcoming.

I think the government needs to consider the viewpoints of many segments of the society. There is no question of that and forthcoming economic summit meetings, are moves in the right direction.

As you know, President Ford has taken the economy on as the number one mission and we are all throughout the government dedicated to help in this any possible way that we can.

I think the broadest of viewpoints are necessary, the depth of creativity that is also necessary to bring forth

ideas which can result in constructive actions by the Administration and by the President himself, of course, are exceedingly valuable.

We are going to hold the Natural Resources and Recreational Resources and Power Resources, Timber Resources Meeting in Dallas on the 16th.

This will be one of about eight or nine presummit meetings and hopefully, we will be able to condense some ideas there in Dallas as will be done in Pittsburgh, Washignton and other cities by the preliminary meetings so that the summit meeting which will be attended by the President himself, will be as valuable as two can possibly make it in terms of input from the various points of view throughout the nation.

Last March, we pointed out that the short term opportunity for additional production was on the OCS and I asked for your technical views on our leasing policies and we proceeded with our examination of this promising area.

A great technical challenge to you exists if we are going to make the decisions to move into such frontier OCS areas as the Gulf of Alaska or the Atlantic.

We will not make such decisions, however, unless we are convinced that the resources in these areas can be developed safely and with a minimum of disruption to the

environment in the sea as well as on the land.

We recently approved a plan for development unit in the Outter Santa Barbara

We did so after a very lengthy study of the questions involved and particularly of the technology available and the likely costs and benefits.

We made a part of this approval a commitment on our part with the cooperation of the operators to closely monitor the environmental effects before, during and after commencement of development.

Similar studies were instituted in the area and you may be sure that intensive and continuing studies will be commenced when, as and if we move into new

I ask you to work with us to develop comprehensive, detailed monitoring programs that will help evaluate the risks and if they are acceptable, to convince those who can be reasonably convinced, at any such development may be done without significant harm to the human

Your industry has taken the challenge for development of its drilling and production technology seriously and the Santa platform will be one of the deeper production facilities operating in the world.

24

22

23

25

_

However, it appears that any decision to advance our resource development into deeper oceans will require rapid acceleration of subsurface production systems technology.

Your industry has the incentive and the ability, and hopefully the financial capacity, to make the technology safe and a practical reality.

I ask you, working with us, to shift the agears on this effort and make it more moveable more rapidly.

I think the recent storm in the Gulf also should be a motivation to accelerate subsurface completion technology, though I was frankly amazed that the damage was as light as it was, and the forethought of removing all affected personnel from the area before it got to violent was a great step and everyone involved should be congratulated.

I think, out of the list of the damage that I got, only one tersh remark was made dealing with one platform and it just said it simply disappeared.

I am sure we can find it. The Geological Survey will help you.

We will be holding an experimental royalty sale on ten tracks in the Gulf of September sale and we will be studying the results of the experiment closely

-

to determine whether it means, whether it is a means of getting more oil with less financial burden to the industry.

Remember that we asked you for your ideas. We published several alternatives in the Federal Register.

What we have practically done is gone a complete circle and we believe that although there still should be some exploration of various methods by which we should expose the public land so that it is done very equitably, we are anxious to see how this particular experiment goes, but we have generated a great deal more confidence in the system that already existed by reason of the fact that we explored in-depth other systems of leasing and other arrangements for the relationship between government and industry in connection with leasing on public lands.

The serious problem, as I said at the beginning, is inflation and we would like this Council to really give some thought to the effect of inflation on the industry and conversely, a great deal of thought as to the effect of the industry and its policies on inflation.

We will be seeking the views of all segments of the economy, as I said, but I want to take this opportunity to request, from your technical perspective, a -- on a greatly accelerated basis, an industry discussion and I think we can do that within the framework of the expertise

li

__

of this Council in short order.

I would like to know the extent of our shortages in capital, manpower and material available for developing domestic resources at a rate that may reduce inflationary imports of high cost energy and mineral resources.

If we can complete a study of that kind, it may give us a guideline for policy development in this area.

The immediate steps necessary to assure an adequate supply of such resources and products derived from them to the consumers of this country at a reasonable price.

An updating of the Energy Outlook Study to reflect recent changes in the cost of imported crude oil is necessary and could form the basis for technical overview of the effect of inflation on our energy costs as well as the reverse, that of energy costs on inflation.

I am pleased to observe the activity on energy conservation by your Committee. We must place a high priority on the efforts to conserve energy useage.

The best way to do this, in my opinion, is to rely on price that really truly reflects the scarcity of energy.

Prices that are artificially held down and thereby signal that energy is cheap, serve conservation poorly. This

is the problem with the continuation of the ceiling on old crude oil at about one-half the market price.

Perhaps as many as more than one-half million barrels of oil consumed, would not be consumed if the old price of crude oil were determined in the marketplace.

Many other issues involving the economy must be developed and hopefully sharpened at the economic summit meetings.

As a result of this process, I may request additional technical industry studies from the Council.

Frankly, I would like to point out the delicate nature of the challenge facing us. We must find ways to fight inflation that is plaguing our economy and to do so without pushing the balance of the economy over into recession and unemployment that many people think we are staring in the face.

This is one of the problems of dealing with this sensitive problem. There is probably some very good argument politically for not removing the control price on old oil because of the secondary and primary effects that it would have both politically and economically on the economy.

However, I think, that we will rue the day if we artificially hold down the price of oil in the marketplace because there will inevitably be, I believe, a failure on the

Ų

part of domestic production to backoff in any substantial amount imported crude or imported products and the supply side is not flexible enough so that we are going to have any remarkable change in barrels per day, levels of production that we can see between now and 1980 and in fact, the only impact that we see on that is the amount of oil that might be backed off of the country through the delivery system from the Artic, through Alaska.

Therefore, it would seem to me that we have got to put more emphasis on the conservation side and I realize that this also has an economic impact on this industry, but nevertheless, we cannot afford to get too thin at the end of the line before other technologies, for example, the technologies that will bring coal more into the center of gravity of the energy mix, technologies that will bring onboard a much broader application of nuclear fusion and of nuclear power through the liquid-cool reactor system, more technology that is involved in the development of the more sophisticated energy sources, such as solar energy, geo-thermal, oil from shale and all the rest.

We cannot afford to let our depletion get down to the point where we are heavily involved in and heavily dependent on foreign sources of oil before these systems, other alternative systems, really become maningful in terms

of their contribution to the country's energy.

It is for that reason and the general welfare reasons that we should put a tremendous amount of emphasis on the conservation side.

I think a lot of times people are not properly thanked for what they do in this Council.

This has been a strong pillar of strength to the Secretaries of Interior throughout the history of this organization and we receive these reports sometimes, study and look over them without full understanding of the number of hours that top flight people in this industry have put into them in giving their service.

I am looking forward to receiving all of the reports that I know are going to be forthcoming, but I must thank Mike Wright, as Vice-Chairman of the Committee, for the report on Emergency Preparedness for Interruption of Petroleum Imports, together with Jim Cross.

The work they have done, I am sure, has been demanding and we are delighted that both gentlemen could be here.

Mike is the Vice Chairman of that Committee. Carol Bennett, unfortunately has been ill and cannot be with us.

I would like to thank you for the work that has been done by Ken Hill for the Availability of Materials, Manpower and Equipment for Exploration that was

9 !

referred to by Dave; Charley Murphy, who is here and
Bob McKay for the work they have done on energy conservation
and Earl Unruh and Bill Scott, Cecil Homestead and all
of the others who have worked on these reports.

I know that it is a chore because I work on a few myself and I know what is involved. We are fortunate,
I think, at this point in time, to have some mutual agreement in the government on energy.

I want to be very candid. I believe that the method that we have followed that was brought by crisis of fragmenting the energy effort into a rather heterogenus group of responsibilities to me is a little bit frightening, because I believe, in substance it moves away from the very thing we were trying to do in carrying out the recommendations of the ASH Council for the consolidation of energy and natural resource management into a Department of Energy and Natural Resources.

There seems to be now a trend back toward that direction. We will, I hope, see in the next Congress, some very lively discussion, hearings and hopefully, actual marking up of bills that will go toward the creation of a Department of Energy and Natural Resources so that we can put together the machinery in better order that is charged with the responsibility of policy development in this area.

0

Hopefully, this can be achieved early on in the next Congress.

In the meantime, we have a very fine working relationship between the Chairman of our Cabinet level Energy Committee, Bill Simon, Secretary of the Treasury, John Sawhill, who is the head of the Federal Energy Administration and the Department of Interior and other organizations which have some impact on energy policy development and on the government's role actually in the resource management, such as the Department of Commerce and others.

It is a good working relationship. We are all dedicated to the same proposition. We have a good deal of bureaucratic in-fighting going on down the line without which I would feel that we had become completely neutralized.

Whenever the government departments stop competing with each other you are in a hell of a fix because there really is not anyone else to compete with and I think a little competition is good for the soul.

Thank you very much.

(Applause.)

MR. : Thank you, Mr. Secretary. The Council is most proud of its long association with you and looks forward to continued cooperation.

Secretary Morton has expressed his willingness to respond to questions from Council members. Please identify yourself for the record and speak up so that everyone is able to hear your question.

Are there questions?

Mr. Secretary, you are getting off very easy this morning.

SECRETARY MORTON: Well, you never get off easy but do not press them.

(Laughter.)

MR. ____: Our next speaker is charged with assisting in the formulation of energy policy and implementing such policy, the Administrator of the Federal Energy Administration, John C. Sawhill.

John?

DR. SAWHILL: Thank you very much, Dave. I appreciate the opportunity to get a chance to address this Council and talk a little bit about some of the things that we are doing in the Federal Energy Administration and to have an opportunity to discuss with you the progress that we are making in