NEPA REVIEW SCREENING FORM (NRSF) 3 Categorically Excluded Actions

Document ID #:

DOE/CX-00119,R8

I. Project Title:

MSA/HMIS Annual Categorical Exclusion (CX) B1.32, Traffic Flow Adjustments for CY 2021

II. Describe the proposed action, including location, time period over which proposed action will occur, project dimension (e.g., acres displaced/disturbed, excavation length/depth), and area/location/number of buildings. Attach narratives, maps and drawings of proposed action. Describe existing environmental conditions and potential for environmental impacts from the proposed action. If the proposed action is not a project, describe the action or plan.

Mission Support Alliance (MSA)/Hanford Mission Integration Solutions (HMIS) and their subcontractors perform traffic flow adjustments to existing roads (including, but not limited to, stop sign or traffic light installation, adjusting direction of traffic flow, and adding turning lanes), and road adjustments (including, but not limited to, widening and realignment) that are within an existing right-of-way and consistent with approved land use or transportation improvement plans.

Actions performed under this Annual CX include those listed in the MSA Mission Support Contract (DE-ACO6-09RL14728) and HMIS Hanford Mission Essential Services Contract (No. 89303320DEM000031) with the Department of Energy (DOE), Richland Operations Office (RL), Section C, "Performance Work Statement." In addition, Section J.3, "Hanford Site Services and Interface Requirements Matrix" and implementing protocols, policies, and procedures apply. The buildings, structures, infrastructures, and equipment covered by this Annual CX include those listed in Sections J.12, "Hanford Structure Responsibility Assignment Matrix" (see Section J.13 in the MSA contract) and J.13, "Hanford Waste Site Responsibility Assignment Matrix" (see Section J.14 in the MSA contract) where MSA/HMIS is the assigned contractor or provides services to other Hanford Site contractors. The MSA contract will transition to the HMIS contract on January 24, 2021.

This Annual CX covers recurring actions that meet the requirements [see 10 CFR 1021.410, "Application of Categorical Exclusions (classes of actions that normally do not require EAs or EISs")] and conditions that are "integral elements" for applying CXs [see 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D, "B. Conditions that are Integral Elements of the Classes of Actions in Appendix B"]. To meet the requirements, actions would not individually or cumulatively have significant effects on the human environment; would fit CX definitions, including any caveats for use of the CX; would not have "extraordinary circumstances" that may affect the significance of environmental effects of the proposal; and would not be divided into smaller actions (segmentation) to meet CX definitions. Section V of this NEPA Review Screening Form provides a summary of integral elements [see 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D, Appendix B for a complete listing]. CXs include foreseeable activities necessary for implementing actions, such as award of grants and contracts, site preparation, purchase and installation of equipment, and associated transportation activities [10 CFR 1021.410(d)].

The MSA/HMIS Environmental Compliance Officers and NEPA Subject Matter Expert would ensure that applicable requirements and conditions are met prior to applying this Annual CX to actions. This includes compliance with the National Historic Preservation Act, Endangered Species Act, Clean Air Act, Clean Water Act, and other applicable laws and regulations; as well as conformance with applicable NEPA Environmental Assessments (EAs) or Environmental Impact Statements (EISs), such as the "Hanford Site Comprehensive Land Use Plan Environmental Impact Statement" (DOE/EIS-0222-F) and "Record of Decision" (ROD), which provides land use maps, designations, policies, and procedures.

This Annual CX is approved pursuant to 10 CFR 1021.410(f) in which proposed recurring actions undertaken during a specified time period, such as routine maintenance for a year, may be addressed in a single CX determination after considering the potential aggregated impacts to ensure no extraordinary circumstances exist. Any changes to this Annual CX require approval by the DOE NEPA Compliance Officer.

II. Existing Evaluations	(Provide with NRSF	to DOE NCO):
--------------------------	--------------------	--------------

Maps:

Other Attachments:

IV. List Applicable CX(s) from Appendix B to Subpart D of 10 CFR 1021:

B1.32, Traffic Flow Adjustments

environment, safety, health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders? Would the proposed action require siting, construction, or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities? Would the proposed action disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or natural gas products already in the environment such that there might be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases? Would the proposed action have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources? See examples in Appendix B(4) to Subpart D of 10 CFR 1021. Would the proposed action involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, such that the action is not contained or confined in a manner designed, operated, and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements to prevent unauthorized release into the environment? If "No" to all questions above, complete Section VI, and provide NRSF and any attachments to DOE NCO for review. If "Yes" to any of the questions above, contact DOE NCO for additional NEPA review. VI. Responsible Organization's Signatures: Initiator: Jerry W. Cammann	V. Integral Elements and Extraordinary Circumstances (See 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D, B. Conditions that are Integral Elements of the Class of Actions in Appendix B; and 10 CFR 1021.410(b)(2) under Application of			nt ID#:	
Integral Elements of the Class of Actions in Appendix B; and 10 CFR 1021.410(b)(2) under Application of Categorical Exclusions) Are there extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposed action? If yes, describe them. Is the proposed action connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts, or that could result in cumulatively significant impacts? If yes, describe them. Would the proposed action threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements related to the environment, safety, health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders? Would the proposed action require siting, construction, or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities? Would the proposed action disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or natural gas products already in the environment such that there might be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases? Would the proposed action have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources? See examples in Appendix B(4) to Subpart D of 10 CPR 1021. Would the proposed action involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, such that the action is not contained or confined in a manner designed, operated, and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements to prevent unauthorized release into the environment? If "No" to all questions above, complete Section VI, and provide NRSF and any attachments to DOE NCO for review. If "Yes" to any of the questions above, contact DOE NCO for additional NEPA review. VI. Responsible Organization's Signatures: Initiator: Jerry W. Cammann				00119	,R8
Is the proposed action connected to other actions with potentially significant impacts, or that could result in cumulatively significant impacts? If yes, describe them. Would the proposed action threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements related to the environment, safety, health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders? Would the proposed action require siting, construction, or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities? Would the proposed action disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or natural gas products already in the environment such that there might be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases? Would the proposed action have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources? See examples in Appendix B(4) to Subpart D of 10 CFR 1021. Would the proposed action have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources? See examples in Appendix B(4) to Subpart D of 10 CFR 1021. Would the proposed action involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated on soxious weeds, or invasive species, such that the action is not contained or confined in a manner designed, operated, and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements to prevent unauthorized release into the environment? If "No" to all questions above, complete Section VI, and provide NRSF and any attachments to DOE NOO for review. If "Yes" to all questions above, contact DOE NOO for additional NEPA review. VI. Responsible Organization's Signatures: Initiator:				Yes	No
Would the proposed action threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements related to the environment, safety, health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders? Would the proposed action require siting, construction, or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities? Would the proposed action disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or natural gas products already in the environment such that there might be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases? Would the proposed action have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources? See examples in Appendix B(4) to Subpart D of 10 CFR 1021. Would the proposed action involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, such that the action is not contained or confined in a manner designed, operated, and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements to prevent unauthorized release into the environment? If "No" to all questions above, complete Section VI, and provide NRSF and any attachments to DOE NCO for review. If "Yes" to any of the questions above, contact DOE NCO for additional NEPA review. VI. Responsible Organization's Signatures: Initiator: Jerry W. Cammann, MSA/HMIS NEPA SME Print First and Last Name Signature / Date VII. DOE NEPA Compliance Officer Approval/Determination: Based on my review of information conveyed to me concerning the proposed action, the proposed action fits within the specified CX(s): Yes \(\) No Print First and Last Name Diori L. Kreske, DOE-RL NCO Print First and Last Name Signature / Date					•
environment, safety, health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders? Would the proposed action require siting, construction, or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities? Would the proposed action disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or natural gas products already in the environment such that there might be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases? Would the proposed action have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources? See examples in Appendix B(4) to Subpart D of 10 CFR 1021. Would the proposed action involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, such that the action is not contained or confined in a manner designed, operated, and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements to prevent unauthorized release into the environment? If "No" to all questions above, complete Section VI, and provide NRSF and any attachments to DOE NCO for review. If "Yes" to any of the questions above, contact DOE NCO for additional NEPA review. VI. Responsible Organization's Signatures: Initiator: Jerry W. Cammann		ificant impacts, or that could result in o	cumulatively	\circ	•
treatment facilities? Would the proposed action disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or natural gas products already in the environment such that there might be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases? Would the proposed action have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources? See examples in Appendix B(4) to Subpart D of 10 CFR 1021. Would the proposed action involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, such that the action is not contained or confined in a manner designed, operated, and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements to prevent unauthorized release into the environment? If "No" to all questions above, complete Section VI, and provide NRSF and any attachments to DOE NCO for review. If "Yes" to any of the questions above, contact DOE NCO for additional NEPA review. VI. Responsible Organization's Signatures: Initiator: Jerry W. Cammann, MSA/HMIS NEPA SME Print First and Last Name Signature / Date VII. DOE NEPA Compliance Officer Approval/Determination: Based on my review of information conveyed to me concerning the proposed action, the proposed action fits within the specified CX(s): Yes \(\subseteq \text{No} \) No Diori L. Kreske, DOE-RL NCO Print First and Last Name Signature / Date	Would the proposed action threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements related to the environment, safety, health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders?				•
in the environment such that there might be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases? Would the proposed action have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources? See examples in Appendix B(4) to Subpart D of 10 CFR 1021. Would the proposed action involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, such that the action is not contained or confined in a manner designed, operated, and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements to prevent unauthorized release into the environment? If "No" to all questions above, complete Section VI, and provide NRSF and any attachments to DOE NCO for review. If "Yes" to any of the questions above, contact DOE NCO for additional NEPA review. VI. Responsible Organization's Signatures: Initiator: Jerry W. Cammann					•
would the proposed action involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious w eeds, or invasive species, such that the action is not contained or confined in a manner designed, operated, and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements to prevent unauthorized release into the environment? If "No" to all questions above, complete Section VI, and provide NRSF and any attachments to DOE NCO for review. If "Yes" to any of the questions above, contact DOE NCO for additional NEPA review. VI. Responsible Organization's Signatures: Initiator: Jerry W. Cammann					•
noxious weeds, or invasive species, such that the action is not contained or confined in a manner designed, operated, and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements to prevent unauthorized release into the environment? If "No" to all questions above, complete Section VI, and provide NRSF and any attachments to DOE NCO for review. If "Yes" to any of the questions above, contact DOE NCO for additional NEPA review. VI. Responsible Organization's Signatures: Initiator:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			0	•
Signature / Date Diori L. Kreske, DOE-RL NCO Diori L. Kreske, DOE-RL NCO Print First and Last Name Diori L. Kreske, DOE-RL NCO Print First and Last Name Diori L. Kreske, DOE-RL NCO Print First and Last Name Diori L. Kreske, Dote Diori L. Kreske Date Date Diori L. Kreske Date Date Date Diori L. Kreske Date Date	noxious weeds, or invasive species, such that the action is not contained or confined in a manner designed, operated,			0	•
Initiator: Jerry W. Cammann, MSA/HMIS NEPA SME Print First and Last Name Signature / Date Print First and Last Name Signature / Date VII. DOE NEPA Compliance Officer Approval/Determination: Based on my review of information conveyed to me concerning the proposed action, the proposed action fits within the specified CX(s): Yes No Diori L. Kreske, DOE-RL NCO Print First and Last Name Diori L. Kreske, DOE-RL NCO Print First and Last Name Signature / Date			or review .		
Jerry W. Cammann, MSA/HMIS NEPA SME Print First and Last Name Cognizant Program/Project Representative: Print First and Last Name Signature / Date VII. DOE NEPA Compliance Officer Approval/Determination: Based on my review of information conveyed to me concerning the proposed action, the proposed action fits within the specified CX(s): Yes No Diori L. Kreske, DOE-RL NCO Print First and Last Name Diori L. Kreske, DOE-RL NCO Print First and Last Name Signature / Date	VI. Responsible Organization's Signatures:				
Print First and Last Name Cognizant Program/Project Representative: Print First and Last Name Signature / Date VII. DOE NEPA Compliance Officer Approval/Determination: Based on my review of information conveyed to me concerning the proposed action, the proposed action fits within the specified CX(s): Yes No Diori L. Kreske, DOE-RL NCO Print First and Last Name Diori L. Kreske (approved by email) 12/12/2020 Signature / Date		Taray M. Cammann	12/12/2	020	
Print First and Last Name VII. DOE NEPA Compliance Officer Approval/Determination: Based on my review of information conveyed to me concerning the proposed action, the proposed action fits within the specified CX(s): Yes No Diori L. Kreske, DOE-RL NCO Print First and Last Name Signature / Date				.020	
VII. DOE NEPA Compliance Officer Approval/Determination: Based on my review of information conveyed to me concerning the proposed action, the proposed action fits within the specified CX(s): Ves	Cognizant Program/Project Representative:	·			
Based on my review of information conveyed to me concerning the proposed action, the proposed action fits within the specified CX(s): Yes No Diori L. Kreske, DOE-RL NCO Print First and Last Name Diori L. Kreske (approved by email) 12/12/2020 Signature / Date	Print First and Last Name Signature / Date				_
CX(s): Yes No Diori L. Kreske, DOE-RL NCO Print First and Last Name Diori L. Kreske (approved by email) 12/12/2020 Signature / Date					
Print First and Last Name Signature / Date		oposed action, the proposed action fits	s w ithin the sp	ecified	
				/2020	
	NCO Comments:	Signature / Date			