

# **DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT**

**FOR**

**Final Rule, 10 CFR Part 433, “Energy Efficiency Standards for New Federal Commercial and Multi-Family High-Rise Residential Buildings’ Baseline Standards Update”**

**(RIN 1904-AF04)**

**(DOE/EA-2165)**

**Prepared by the  
U.S. Department of Energy  
Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy**



**September 2021**

**This page left intentionally blank**

## Abbreviations and Acronyms

|                 |  |
|-----------------|--|
| ACE             | Affordable Clean Energy  |
| ANSI            | American National Standards Institute  |
| ASHRAE          | American Society of Heating, Refrigerating, and Air-Conditioning Engineers, Inc. |
| BECP            | Building Energy Codes Program  |
| CAA             | Clean Air Act  |
| CAIR            | Clean Air Interstate Rule  |
| CEQ             | Council on Environmental Quality   |
| CFC             | chlorofluorocarbons  |
| CFR             | Code of Federal Regulations  |
| CH <sub>4</sub> | methane  |
| CO <sub>2</sub> | carbon dioxide   |
| CO              | carbon monoxide  |
| CSAPR           | Cross-State Air Pollution Rule   |
| D.C.            | District of Columbia   |
| DOE             | Department of Energy   |
| EA              | environmental assessment   |
| ECPA            | Energy Conservation and Production Act   |
| EGU             | electric generating unit   |
| EIS             | Environmental impact statement   |
| EPA             | Environmental Protection Agency  |
| EUI             | Energy use intensity, kBtu/ft <sup>2</sup> -yr                                   |
| FONSI           | Finding of No Significant Impact   |
| FR              | Federal Register   |
| ft <sup>2</sup> | square feet  |
| GHG             | greenhouse gas   |
| HAP             | Hazardous air pollutants   |
| HVAC            | heating, ventilation, and air-conditioning                                       |
| IPCC            | Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change  |
| IES             | Illuminating Engineering Society of North America                                |
| kBtu            | one thousand British thermal units   |
| Hg              | mercury  |
| MATS            | Mercury and Air Toxics Standards   |
| NAAQS           | National Ambient Air Quality Standards   |
| NAS             | National Academy of Sciences   |
| NEMS            | National Energy Modeling System  |

|                  |   |
|------------------|---|
| NEPA             | National Environmental Policy Act of 1969                 |
| NESHAP           | national emissions standards for hazardous air pollutants |
| N <sub>2</sub> O | nitrous oxide   |
| NO <sub>2</sub>  | nitrogen dioxide  |
| NO <sub>x</sub>  | nitrogen oxide  |
| NRC              | National Research Council                                 |
| O <sub>3</sub>   | ozone   |
| PM               | particulate matter  |
| SO <sub>2</sub>  | sulfur dioxide  |
| SO <sub>x</sub>  | sulfur oxide gases  |
| UNEP             | United Nations Environment Programme                      |
| U.S.C.           | United States Code  |
| VOC              | volatile organic compounds                                |
| WMO              | World Meteorological Organization                         |

# Contents

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| Abbreviations and Acronyms.....   | iii |
| Contents.....   | v   |
| Tables.....   | v   |
| 1.0 Introduction.....   | 1   |
| 1.1 NEPA.....   | 1   |
| 1.2 Background.....   | 1   |
| 1.3 Purpose and Need.....   | 2   |
| 1.4 Public Participation and Agency Consultation.....                           | 3   |
| 2.0 Alternatives Including the Proposed Action.....                             | 4   |
| 2.1 Proposed Action.....  | 4   |
| 2.2 No Action Alternative.....  | 4   |
| 3.0 Affected Environment and Impacts.....                                       | 5   |
| 3.1 Environmental Consequences of the No Action Alternative.....                | 5   |
| 3.2 Environmental Resources Evaluated and Dismissed from Detailed Analysis..... | 5   |
| 3.3 Environmental Resources Carried Forward for Analysis.....                   | 5   |
| 3.3.1 Indoor Air.....   | 6   |
| 3.3.2 Outdoor Air.....  | 8   |
| 3.3.3 Global Climate Change.....  | 18  |
| 4.0 Agencies and Persons Consulted During this Rulemaking.....                  | 21  |
| 5.0 References.....   | 22  |

# Tables

|  |    |
|--|----|
| Table 1. Resources Not Carried Forward for Detailed Analysis.....                          | 5  |
| Table 2. Indoor Pollutants in Commercial and High-Rise Residential Buildings.....          | 6  |
| Table 3. Estimated Floor Area Fraction of New Federal Commercial Building Construction.... | 14 |
| Table 4. Mapping of Federal Building Types to BECP Prototypes.....                         | 15 |

# 1.0 Introduction

## 1.1 NEPA

The National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA; 42 U.S. Code [USC] 4321 et seq.), the Council on Environmental Quality's (CEQ's) NEPA regulations (40 Code of Federal Regulations [CFR], 1500 to 1508), and the U.S. Department of Energy's (DOE's) NEPA-implementing procedures (10 CFR Part 1021) require that DOE consider the potential environmental impacts of a major federal action. This requirement applies to DOE's decisions that concern establishing or updating energy efficiency standards.

DOE must meet its obligations under NEPA before making a final decision whether to proceed with any proposed federal action that could cause adverse impacts to human health or the environment. This Environmental Assessment (EA) evaluates the potential individual and cumulative impacts of the Proposed Action and provides DOE the information needed to make an informed decision about the Proposed Action.

In compliance with NEPA and the regulations cited above, this EA evaluates the potential environmental impacts of DOE's Proposed Action to update, by rule, energy efficiency standards for new Federal commercial and high rise residential buildings. The Proposed Action would update the baseline Federal energy efficiency performance standards, found in 10 CFR 433, to the latest current model industry code, based on a finding that it is cost-effective and saves energy compared to the previous version of the model industry code, as required by 42 U.S.C. 6831 et seq. In this EA, DOE also evaluates the impacts that could occur if DOE were not to adopt the latest current model industry code as the energy efficiency baseline standard for new Federal commercial and high rise residential buildings (the No Action Alternative).

## 1.2 Background

DOE is required to establish the building energy efficiency standards for all new Federal buildings pursuant to section 305 of the Energy Conservation and Production Act (ECPA), as amended. (42 U.S.C. 6834 (a)(1)). In turn, each Federal agency and the Architect of the Capitol must adopt procedures to ensure that new Federal buildings will meet or exceed these Federal Building Energy Efficiency Standards. (42 U.S.C. 6835(a)). The head of a Federal agency is barred from expending Federal funds for the construction of a new Federal building unless the building meets or exceeds the applicable baseline Federal building energy standards established under section 305. (42 U.S.C. 6835(b)).

The standards established under section 305(a)(1) of ECPA must contain energy efficiency measures that are technologically feasible and economically justified, and that meet the energy saving and renewable energy specifications in the applicable voluntary consensus energy code specified in section 305(a)(2) (42 U.S.C. 6834(a)(1)-(3)). Under section 305 of ECPA, the referenced voluntary consensus code for Federal commercial and high-rise residential buildings is the American National Standards Institute (ANSI)/American Society of Heating, Refrigerating and Air-Conditioning Engineers, Inc. (ASHRAE) Standard 90.1, hereafter "ASHRAE." DOE codified the referenced code as the baseline Federal building standard in its existing energy efficiency standards found at 10 CFR Part 433.

DOE must also establish, by rule, revised Federal building energy efficiency performance standards for new Federal buildings that require such buildings be designed to achieve energy consumption levels that are at least 30 percent below the levels established in the referenced code (baseline Federal building standard), if life-cycle cost effective. (42 U.S.C. 6834(a)(3)(A)(i)(I)).

The current 10 CFR 433 baseline standard is based on ASHRAE 90.1-2013. ASHRAE has updated the standard twice, first to ASHRAE 90.1-2016 and then to ASHRAE 90.1-2019. Under section 305 of ECPA, not later than one year after the date of approval of each subsequent revision of the ASHRAE Standard 90.1, DOE must determine whether to amend the baseline Federal building standards with the revised voluntary standard based on the cost-effectiveness of the revised voluntary standard. (42 U.S.C. 6834(a)(3)(B)). It is this requirement that the Proposed Action seeks to address.

DOE determined that ASHRAE 90.1-2019 would achieve greater energy efficiency than the ASHRAE 90.1-2016 (see 86 FR 40543; July 28, 2021). DOE also determined that the ASHRAE 90.1 2016 version would achieve greater energy efficiency than the prior version (the ASHRAE 90.1-2013 version that is currently referenced in 10 CFR Part 433) (see 83 FR 8463, February 27, 2018). DOE also determined that the ASHRAE 90.1-2019 would be cost effective if applied to new Federal commercial and multi-family high-rise residential buildings. While not making updates related to ASHRAE 90.1-2016 in 10 CFR part 433, DOE nonetheless determined that ASHRAE 90.1-2016 would be cost effective if applied to new Federal commercial and multi-family high-rise residential buildings. Since the amended ASHRAE 90.1-2019 meets the statutory criteria for DOE to incorporate it as the baseline standard for commercial and multi-family high-rise residential Federal buildings, DOE is considering a rule (the Proposed Action) to update the baselined standard to the ASHRAE 90.1-2019.<sup>1</sup> The Proposed Action, if implemented, would require that Federal agencies design new Federal commercial and high-rise residential buildings to meet ASHRAE 90.1-2019; and, if life-cycle cost effective, achieve energy consumption levels that are at least 30 percent below the levels of ASHRAE 90.1-2019.

### **1.3 Purpose and Need**

It is estimated that future construction of Federal commercial and high-rise residential buildings will be approximately 19.54 million square feet per year.<sup>2</sup> Newly constructed buildings can consume large amounts of energy each year in heating, cooling, ventilating, and providing domestic hot water if they lack adequate energy conservation features. Accordingly, EPCA directs DOE to establish building energy efficiency standards for all new Federal commercial and high-rise residential buildings to make them more energy efficient. The purpose for the Proposed Action is to determine whether to amend the current baseline Federal standards with the revised voluntary standard based on the cost-effectiveness of the revised voluntary standard.

The Proposed Action is needed to reduce energy consumption, manage energy costs for Federal commercial and high-rise residential buildings, reduce outdoor pollutants from the combustion of fossil fuels, and reduce the emissions of greenhouse gases that may lead to climate change. This reduction will prevent waste of energy, can help the U.S. government reduce dependence on imported energy, and strengthen the U.S.'s strategic position.

---

<sup>1</sup> Although ASHRAE published two versions of the ASHRAE 90.1 since 10 CFR Part 433 was last updated, Standard 90.1-2016 and the 90.1-2019, the Proposed Action would update 10 CFR Part 433 to the ASHRAE 90.1-2019 directly, without requiring agencies to comply with the ASHRAE 90.1-2016.

<sup>2</sup> This number is based upon the Federal Real Property Profile Management System (FRPPMS) extraction described in Section 3.3.2.3. The total square footage of Federal buildings (minus the square footage of low-rise residential buildings) was divided by the total number of Federal buildings (minus the number of low-rise residential buildings) to determine that the average size of a new Federal building built during the past 10 years was 29,717 square feet. (Federal low-rise residential buildings are addressed in 10 CFR 435, not 10 CFR 433.)

## **1.4 Public Participation and Agency Consultation**

In accordance with CEQ regulations in 40 CFR 1501.5(c)(2), DOE states that no additional persons/agencies were consulted during the development of this environmental assessment.

Public involvement is an important requirement of the NEPA process. The public review period for the Draft EA is 15 days after its publication after which DOE will review all comments received.

Comments should be sent to:  
Roak Parker  
US Department of Energy  
15013 Denver West Parkway  
Golden, CO 80401

Or

[RulemakingEAs@ee.doe.gov](mailto:RulemakingEAs@ee.doe.gov)



## **2.0 Alternatives Including the Proposed Action**

This section describes the Proposed Action and the No Action Alternative for updating energy efficiency baseline standards for new Federal commercial and high-rise residential buildings. The updated Federal energy efficiency baseline standard establishes the minimum level of energy savings that DOE requires Federal agencies to achieve in new building designs, including design, and performance-based energy efficiency requirements for building envelope; heating, ventilation, and air-conditioning (HVAC) systems and equipment; service water heating systems and equipment; electrical distribution systems and equipment for electric power; and lighting.

### **2.1 Proposed Action**

Under the Proposed Action DOE would revise its building energy efficiency baseline standard for all new Federal commercial and multi-family high-rise residential buildings. The Proposed Action would update 10 CFR 433, “Energy Efficiency Standards for New Federal Commercial and Multi-Family High-Rise Residential Buildings,” by replacing ASHRAE Standard 90.1-2013 with the more energy efficient ASHRAE Standard 90.1-2019.<sup>3</sup> The proposed action would also make edits to the Federal Building Energy Efficiency Standards regarding the specific energy load types that must be included when agencies determine if they are building designs result in energy savings that are 30 percent or more below the ASHRAE 90.1 Standard. The Proposed Action also makes minor technical edits to the Federal Building Energy Efficiency Standards.

DOE examined the potential environmental impacts of the Proposed Action by comparing it with the standards that Federal agencies must achieve under the existing regulations in 10 CFR 433, which require that new Federal commercial and multi-family high-rise residential building designs achieve energy performance levels of ASHRAE Standard 90.1-2013.

### **2.2 No Action Alternative**

The No Action Alternative is defined as a DOE decision not to adopt ASHRAE Standard 90.1-2019 as the energy efficiency baseline standard for new Federal commercial and multi-family high-rise residential buildings. Instead, DOE would retain ASHRAE Standard 90.1-2013, which is the current requirement in 10 CFR 433.

---

<sup>3</sup> Although ASHRAE published the ASHRAE 90.1-2016 version, DOE did not update 10 CFR 433 to incorporate that standard.

### 3.0 Affected Environment and Impacts

This section describes the existing environmental setting for environmental resources with potential to be affected by the Proposed Action, as well as provides the potential environmental impacts that may result from implementing the Proposed Action and the No Action Alternative. The Proposed Action would apply to all new Federal commercial and high-rise residential buildings.

This section includes consequences of the No Action Alternative; a brief description of environmental resource areas not evaluated for potential impacts; analysis of those resources that could potentially be impacted from the Proposed Action and the No Action Alternative; and analysis of cumulative impacts

#### 3.1 Environmental Consequences of the No Action Alternative

Under the No Action Alternative, DOE would not update energy conservation baseline standards for Federal commercial and high-rise residential buildings. Therefore, there would be no impacts to the environment and resources discussed in this EA from activities related to the proposed rule. The expected reductions in fossil fuel generated energy pollutant emissions realized by the Proposed Action would not be realized under the No Action Alternative.

#### 3.2 Environmental Resources Evaluated and Dismissed from Detailed Analysis

Consistent with, DOE focused the analysis in this EA on topics with the greatest potential for significant environmental impacts. Table 1 presents DOE’s evaluations of the environmental resource areas on which the Proposed Action and the No Action Alternative would not be expected to have any measurable effects. These resource areas were not carried forward for detailed analysis.

**Table 1. Resources Not Carried Forward for Detailed Analysis**

| <b>Resource Area</b>                                 | <b>Considerations</b>  |
|--|--|
| Sensitive Ecosystems                                 | Proposed Action is not site specific                                 |
| Geology and Soils                                    | Proposed Action is not site specific                                 |
| Wetlands and Floodplains                             | Proposed Action is not site specific                                 |
| Prime Agricultural Lands                             | Proposed Action is not site specific                                 |
| Historic, Cultural or Archeological Resources        | Proposed Action is not site specific                                 |
| Species, including Threatened and Endangered Species | Proposed Action is not site specific                                 |
| Solid Waste Management                               | Proposed Action does not mandate increased waste generation          |
| Hazardous Materials and Hazardous Waste              | No hazardous materials used or produced as result of Proposed Action |
| Intentionally Destructive Acts                       | Proposed Action is not site specific                                 |
| Environmental Justice                                | Proposed Action does not impact any specific group of persons        |

#### 3.3 Environmental Resources Carried Forward for Analysis

This section of the EA describes the baseline and analyzes the environmental impacts of the Proposed Action on the following resource areas. It is noted that the construction of new Federal commercial and high-rise residential buildings would be subject to a separate NEPA analysis.

- Indoor Air
- Outdoor Air
- Climate Change.

### 3.3.1 Indoor Air

Indoor air quality is a resource area with possible impacts from the Proposed Action.

#### 3.3.1.1 Affected Environment

Energy efficiency baseline standards can affect indoor air quality. Indoor air quality is influenced by sources of pollutants both within and outside of a building, as well as natural and mechanical ventilation of the building. The primary indoor air emissions that can adversely affect human health in typical commercial and high-rise residential buildings are particulate matter (PM), carbon monoxide (CO), carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>), nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>), radon, volatile organic compounds (VOCs) including formaldehyde, and biological contaminants.

Sources of pollutants that affect indoor air quality occur both inside and outside a building. Various emissions can be continuously or intermittently released within buildings. These emissions can originate from furnishings within a building (e.g., carpet, furniture), building materials (e.g., insulation material, particle board), interior finishes (e.g., paints, )from the ground (e.g., radon), the building occupants' indoor activities (e.g., tobacco smoking, painting), fossil fuel appliances (e.g., gas stoves, gas water heaters), or wood stoves and fireplaces. Potential combustion emissions include CO, CO<sub>2</sub>, nitrogen oxide (NO<sub>x</sub>), and sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>). Fossil-fuel-burning appliances and, if allowed, tobacco smoke are the main sources of combustion products.

Pollutants that occur outside the building (particularly vehicle exhaust) may be drawn inside where they affect indoor air quality. These pollutants can enter or be expelled from the commercial and high-rise residential building through natural and/or mechanical ventilation. Natural ventilation includes air that can enter or be expelled from the building through non-mechanical means, often through the building envelope in the form of air leakage, or through intentionally designed openings, and due to differences in air pressure inside the building and outside the building. Natural ventilation rates are significantly influenced by weather. Mechanical ventilation involves a system that actively introduces fresh air into the building and expels indoor air to the outside.

Indoor air quality is thus influenced by pollutant sources inside and outside the building, as well as ventilation rates of the building. Table 2 summarizes the principal indoor air emissions that can be of concern within buildings.

**Table 2. Indoor Pollutants in Commercial and High-Rise Residential Buildings**

| Pollutant                         | Potential Health Impacts   | Sources  |
|-----------------------------------|--|--|
| Particulate Matter (PM)           | Bronchitis and respiratory infections. Eye, nose, and throat irritations. <sup>(a)</sup>   | Combustion, dust, infiltration of outdoor air. <sup>l</sup>  |
| Carbon Monoxide (CO)              | CO is an odorless and colorless gas that is an asphyxiate and disrupts oxygen transport. At high concentration levels, CO causes loss of consciousness and death. <sup>(b)</sup>                   | Unvented kerosene and gas space heaters; leaking chimneys and furnaces; back drafting from furnaces, gas water heaters, wood stoves, and fireplaces; gas stoves; and automobile exhaust. |
| Carbon Dioxide (CO <sub>2</sub> ) | An excessive concentration of CO <sub>2</sub> triggers increased breathing to maintain the proper exchange of oxygen and CO <sub>2</sub> . Exposure to concentrations of CO <sub>2</sub> in air of | Human respiration, tobacco smoking, gas stoves, and gas ovens.   |

| Pollutant                           | Potential Health Impacts   | Sources  |
|-------------------------------------|--|--|
|                                     | 5% for 30 minutes can cause symptoms of intoxication, and exposure to concentrations of 7% to 10% for few minutes can cause loss of consciousness. <sup>(c)</sup>  |  |
| Nitrogen Dioxide (NO <sub>2</sub> ) | Short-term exposure to NO <sub>2</sub> is linked with negative respiratory effects including inflammation of airways and increased symptoms of those with asthma. <sup>(d)</sup>   | Kerosene heaters, gas stoves, ovens, and tobacco smoke.  |
| Radon                               | Radon in breathed air can deposit and stay in the lungs, contributing to lung cancer. Radon is the leading cause of lung cancer in non-smokers. <sup>(e)</sup>   | Radon is a radioactive gas that occurs in nature and comes from the decay of uranium that is found in soil. <sup>(f)</sup> Radon can migrate through cracks and openings in the building foundation.   |
| Formaldehyde                        | The EPA has classified formaldehyde as a probable human carcinogen. In low concentration levels, formaldehyde irritates the eyes and mucous membranes of the nose and throat. Formaldehyde can cause watery eyes; burning sensations in the eyes, nose, and throat; nausea; coughing; chest tightness; wheezing; skin rashes; and allergic reactions. <sup>(g)</sup> | Various pressed-wood products can emit formaldehyde, including particle board, plywood, pressed wood, paneling, some carpeting and backing, some furniture and dyed materials, urea-formaldehyde insulating foam, and pressed textiles. <sup>(h)</sup>   |
| Volatile organic compounds (VOCs)   | VOCs can cause a wide variety of health problems. Some examples of potential health effects include increased cancer risks, depression of the central nervous system, irritation to the eyes and respiratory tract, and liver and kidney damage. <sup>(i)</sup>  | VOCs are emitted from a variety of products including paints and lacquers, paint strippers, cleaning supplies, pesticides, building materials and furnishings, office equipment such as copiers and printers, correction fluids and carbonless copy paper, graphics and craft materials including glues and adhesives, permanent markers, and photographic solutions. <sup>(i)</sup> |
| Biological Contaminants             | Many biological pollutants are small enough to be inhaled and can cause allergic reactions as well as infectious illnesses. Molds and mildews in particular release disease-causing toxins. Symptoms of health problems include sneezing, watery eyes, coughing, shortness of breath, dizziness, lethargy, fever, and digestive problems. <sup>(i)</sup>             | Common biological pollutants include mold; dust mites; pet dander; droppings and body parts from cockroaches, rodents, and other pests; viruses; and bacteria. These contaminants are typically found in damp or wet areas such as humidifiers, condensate pans, or unvented bathrooms as well as in areas where dust accumulates. <sup>(i)</sup>                                    |

(a) EPA – U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 2021g. Particulate Matter (PM) Pollution. <https://www.epa.gov/pm-pollution>.

(b) EPA – U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 2021c. Carbon Monoxide (CO) Pollution in Outdoor Air. <https://www.epa.gov/co-pollution>.

(c) CDC – Center for Disease Control. 2014. Immediately Dangerous to Life or Health Concentrations (IDLH): Carbon dioxide. Available at: <http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/idlh/124389.html>

(d) EPA – U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 2021f. Nitrogen Dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>) Pollution. <https://www.epa.gov/no2-pollution>

(e) EPA – U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 2020a. Health Risk of Radon. <https://www.epa.gov/radon/health-risk-radon>

(f) EPA – U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 2021i. Radon. <https://www.epa.gov/radon>.

(g) EPA – U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 2019. Formaldehyde. <https://www.epa.gov/formaldehyde>

(h) CPSC – Consumer Product Safety Commission. 2016. *An Update on Formaldehyde—2016 Revision*. Washington, D.C. [https://www.cpsc.gov/s3fs-public/An-Update-On-Formaldehyde-725\\_1.pdf?O3CFjmPrIFt\\_ogVb7OhX4ZDPu7fYkv8Q](https://www.cpsc.gov/s3fs-public/An-Update-On-Formaldehyde-725_1.pdf?O3CFjmPrIFt_ogVb7OhX4ZDPu7fYkv8Q)

(i) EPA – U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 2021j. Volatile Organic Compounds' Impact on Indoor Air Quality. <https://www.epa.gov/indoor-air-quality-iaq/volatile-organic-compounds-impact-indoor-air-quality>.

(j) EPA – U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 2020b. Indoor Air Quality, Biological Pollutants' Impact on Indoor Air Quality. <https://www.epa.gov/indoor-air-quality-iaq/biological-pollutants-impact-indoor-air-quality>.

### 3.3.1.2 Impacts of the Proposed Action

The proposed action would not change ventilation rates (natural or mechanical), air leakage into or out of buildings, or affect sources of indoor air pollutants from the No Action Alternative. For commercial and multi-family high-rise residential buildings, ASHRAE Standard 90.1-2013, ASHRAE Standard 90.1-2016, and ASHRAE Standard 90.1-2019 all rely on ASHRAE Standard 62.1 for required ventilation rates.

- ASHRAE Standard 90.1-2013 implicitly assumes use of ASHRAE Standard 62.1-2007.
- ASHRAE Standard 90.1-2016 explicitly requires use of ASHRAE Standard 62.1-2013. There is no mention of changes in ventilation rates for any building type in the technical analysis associated with DOE's determination on ASHRAE Standard 90.1-2016.
- ASHRAE Standard 90.1-2019 explicitly requires the use of ASHRAE Standard 62.1-2016 plus 16 specific addenda<sup>4</sup> to ASHRAE Standard 62.1-2016. There is no mention of changes in ventilation rates for any building type in the technical analysis associated with DOE's determination on ASHRAE Standard 90.1-2019.

DOE's Proposed Action does not change these mechanical ventilation requirements. DOE's Proposed Action would also not change building envelope sealing requirements.

- ASHRAE Standard 90.1-2013 requires the building have a continuous air barrier and that a list of acceptable materials or systems be used for that air barrier.
- ASHRAE Standard 90.1-2016 adds for whole building air leakage testing with a requirement of 0.4 cfm/ft<sup>2</sup> under a differential pressure of 0.3 in. of water, but also allows use of the materials or systems approach from Standard 90.1-2013. The value of 0.4 cfm/ft<sup>2</sup> was and is the modeling value used in DOE's analyses of Standard 90.1, so the addition of this as an option in ASHRAE Standard 90.1-2013 does not impact the building envelope air sealing results. (The testing option was not available in ASHRAE Standard 90.1-2013 due to concerns about the costs of testing large commercial buildings and the availability of contractors to perform such testing in all areas of the US. Once commercial whole building testing services came into existence, an option to use those services was provided.)
- ASHRAE Standard 90.1-2019 requires the use of the same whole building air leakage test from Standard 90.1-2016 and requires that the same approved materials or assemblies be used in addition to the test.. The end result is that the Proposed Action contains the same requirements for sealing of the building envelope that have been in all previous versions of ASHRAE Standard 90.1.

Accordingly, indoor air pollutant levels are not expected to change under the Proposed Action.

### **3.3.2 Outdoor Air**

Outdoor air quality is a resource area with possible impacts from the Proposed Action. Specifically, impacts would include changes in pollutant emissions due to changes in fossil fuel generated energy use associated with operation of the building.

#### **3.3.2.1 Affected Environment**

An air pollutant is any substance in the air that can cause discomfort or harm to humans or the environment. Pollutants may be natural or man-made (*i.e.*, anthropogenic), and may take the form of solid particles (*i.e.*, particulates or particulate matter), liquid droplets, or gases.<sup>5</sup>

---

<sup>4</sup> Addenda b, c, d, e, f, g, h, j, k, o, q, r, u, v, w, and z to ASHRAE Standard 62.1-2016 are listed in the references for ASHRAE Standard 90.1-2019.

<sup>5</sup> More information on air pollution characteristics and regulations is available on EPA's website at [www.epa.gov](http://www.epa.gov).

Improving the efficiency of U.S. buildings by implementing efficient building codes and standards can play a role in reducing the amount of greenhouse gases (GHG) generated by buildings. “Greenhouse gases absorb infrared radiation, thereby trapping heat and making the planet warmer. The most important greenhouse gases directly emitted by humans include CO<sub>2</sub>, methane (CH<sub>4</sub>), nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O), and several other fluorine-containing halogenated substances (HFCs, PFCs, SF<sub>6</sub> and NF<sub>3</sub>)<sup>6</sup>. Although CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, and N<sub>2</sub>O occur naturally in the atmosphere, human activities have changed their atmospheric concentrations” (EPA 2021b, Section ES-1).

The combustion of fossil fuels for electrical generation was the second largest source of U.S. GHG emission in 2019, generating an estimated 24.4 percent of total U.S. emissions expressed in MMT carbon dioxide equivalent (CO<sub>2</sub>e)<sup>7</sup> (EPA 2021b, Table ES-2). In addition, combustion of fossil fuels for residential and commercial usage (for heating, cooking, and hot water) generated another 5.2 percent and 3.6 percent of total U.S. emissions<sup>8</sup> (EPA 2021b, Table ES-2). Because not all electricity generated in the U.S. is consumed in buildings (for example, some is used for electric vehicles or aluminum smelters), EPA also attributes electricity-related emissions to the residential and commercial building sectors. EPA’s analysis (EPA 2021b, Table ES-7) indicates that residential buildings account for 14.9 percent of total U.S. emissions and commercial buildings account for 15.4 percent of total U.S. emissions when emissions associated with electricity generation are attributed properly.<sup>9</sup> This analysis also indicates that U.S. buildings as a whole account for a higher percentage of U.S. emissions (30.4 percent) than the industry, transportation, or agricultural sectors.

According to the EPA, total U.S. GHG emissions rose from 1990 to 2005 and have since declined to levels slightly higher than those found in 1990, with the 2019 level being 2.0 percent higher than the 1990 level (EPA 2021b, Table ES-7). During the same time period, total GHG emission associated with buildings followed a similar trend, with residential and commercial buildings in 2019 each being 2.7 percent higher than the 1990 levels.

The major outdoor air pollutants considered in this EA are described in more detail in this section.

**Carbon Dioxide.** CO<sub>2</sub> is of interest because of its classification as a GHG. GHGs, which trap the sun’s radiation inside the Earth’s atmosphere, either occur naturally in the atmosphere or result from human activities. Naturally occurring GHGs include water vapor, CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O, and ozone (O<sub>3</sub>). Human activities, however, add to the levels of most of these naturally occurring gases. For example, CO<sub>2</sub> is emitted to the atmosphere when solid waste, fossil fuels (oil, natural gas, and coal), wood, and wood products are burned. In 2019, 92.6 percent of anthropogenic (i.e., human-made) CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent emissions resulted from burning fossil fuels (Table 2-1 of EPA 2021b).

Numerous processes, collectively known as the “carbon cycle,” naturally regulate concentrations of CO<sub>2</sub> in the atmosphere. Natural processes, such as plant photosynthesis, dominate the movement of carbon between the atmosphere and the land and oceans. While these natural processes can absorb some of the anthropogenic CO<sub>2</sub> emissions produced each year, billions of metric tons are added to the atmosphere annually. In the United States, CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from electricity generation and fossil fuels burned in commercial buildings accounted for nearly 16.2 percent of total U.S. GHG emissions in 2019 (Table 2-5 of EPA 2021b).

---

<sup>6</sup> HFCs – Hydrofluorocarbons, PFCs – Perfluorinated carbons, SF<sub>6</sub> – Sulfur Hexafluoride, NF<sub>3</sub> – Nitrogen Trifluoride

<sup>7</sup> Percentages based on total emissions.

<sup>8</sup> Percentages based on total emissions.

<sup>9</sup> Percentages based on total emissions.

**Nitrogen Oxides.** Nitrogen oxides is the generic term for a group of highly reactive gases, all of which contain nitrogen and oxygen in varying amounts. Many of the nitrogen oxides are colorless and odorless. Quoting from EPA 2021b, “The primary climate change effects of nitrogen oxides (i.e., NO and NO<sub>2</sub>) are indirect. Warming effects can occur due to reactions leading to the formation of ozone in the troposphere, but cooling effects can occur due to the role of NO<sub>x</sub> as a precursor to nitrate particles (i.e., aerosols) and due to destruction of stratospheric ozone when emitted from very high-altitude aircraft. Additionally, NO<sub>x</sub> emissions are also likely to decrease CH<sub>4</sub> concentrations, thus having a negative radiative forcing effect (IPCC 2013). Nitrogen oxides are created from lightning, soil microbial activity, biomass burning (both natural and anthropogenic fires) fuel combustion, and, in the stratosphere, from the photo-degradation of N<sub>2</sub>O” (EPA 2021b).

Stationary combustion sources, including electric utilities and combustion of fossil fuels in buildings, account for about 33.3 percent of NO<sub>x</sub> emissions in the United States (Table 2-15 of EPA 2021b).

**Mercury.** Coal-fired power plants emit Hg found in coal during the burning process. Coal-fired power plants are the largest remaining source of human-generated Hg emissions in the United States. Airborne Hg is deposited on land, lakes, rivers, and estuaries through rain, snow, and dry deposition. Once there, it can transform into methylmercury and accumulate in fish tissue through bioaccumulation (EPA 2021e).

Methylmercury exposures in the U.S. primarily occur through eating fish and shellfish. Women of childbearing age are regarded as the population of greatest concern because the developing fetus is the most sensitive to the toxic effects of methylmercury. Children exposed to methylmercury before birth may be at increased risk of poor performance on neurobehavioral tasks, such as those measuring attention, fine motor function, language skills, visual-spatial abilities, and verbal memory (EPA 2021e).

**Sulfur Dioxide.** SO<sub>2</sub> belongs to the family of sulfur oxide gases (SO<sub>x</sub>). These gases dissolve easily in water. Sulfur is prevalent in raw materials, including crude oil, coal, and ore that contains common metals like aluminum, copper, zinc, lead, and iron. SO<sub>x</sub> gases are formed when fuel containing sulfur, such as coal and oil, is burned, and when gasoline is extracted from oil or metals are extracted from ore. SO<sub>2</sub> dissolves in water vapor to form acid, and interacts with other gases and particles in the air to form sulfates and other products that can be harmful to people and their environment (EPA 2021h).

SO<sub>2</sub> is primarily emitted from coal combustion for electric power generation and the metals industry. Sulfur-containing compounds emitted into the atmosphere tend to exert a negative radiative forcing (i.e., cooling) and therefore are discussed separately (EPA 2021b). Stationary combustion sources, including electric utilities and combustion of fossil fuels in buildings, account for about 66.3 percent of SO<sub>2</sub> emissions in the United States (Table 2-15 of EPA 2021b).

Quoting from EPA 2021b, “The indirect effect of sulfur-derived aerosols on radiative forcing can be considered in two parts. The first indirect effect is the aerosols’ tendency to decrease water droplet size and increase water droplet concentration in the atmosphere. The second indirect effect is the tendency of the reduction in cloud droplet size to affect precipitation by increasing cloud lifetime and thickness. Although still highly uncertain, the radiative forcing estimates from both the first and the second indirect effect are believed to be negative, as is the combined radiative forcing of the two (IPCC 2013).

“Sulfur dioxide is also a major contributor to the formation of regional haze, which can cause significant increases in acute and chronic respiratory diseases. Once SO<sub>2</sub> is emitted, it is chemically transformed in the atmosphere and returns to the Earth as the primary source of acid rain. Because of these harmful effects, the United States has regulated SO<sub>2</sub> emissions in the Clean Air Act.

“Electric power is the largest anthropogenic source of SO<sub>2</sub> emissions in the United States, accounting for 46.9 percent in 2019. Coal combustion contributes nearly all of those emissions (approximately 92 percent). Sulfur dioxide emissions have decreased in recent years, primarily as a result of electric power generators switching from high-sulfur to low-sulfur coal and installing flue gas desulfurization equipment.”

**Methane.** Just over half of CH<sub>4</sub> emissions are primarily from human-related sources activities including fossil fuel production and use, agriculture, and waste disposal (IPCC 2007). The CH<sub>4</sub> emitted from energy sources occurs primarily during the production and processing of natural gas, coal, and oil; not in the actual use (combustion) of these fuels. CH<sub>4</sub> is the primary ingredient in natural gas, and production, processing, storage, and transmission of natural gas account for 58.4 percent of the energy-related CH<sub>4</sub> emissions in 2019 (Table 3-2 of EPA 2021b). Natural gas distribution systems also account for 23.7 percent of all CH<sub>4</sub> emissions (Table 2-2 of EPA 2021b).

**Nitrous Oxide.** N<sub>2</sub>O emission rates are more uncertain than those for CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub>, with nitrogen fertilization of agricultural soils being the primary human-related source. In 2019, N<sub>2</sub>O emissions from stationary combustion accounted for 56.7 percent of N<sub>2</sub>O emissions (Table 3-1 of EPA 2021b). In addition, electric power generation accounted for 48.1 percent of N<sub>2</sub>O emissions in 2019, with residential and commercial buildings accounting for 2.1 percent and 0.7 percent, respectively (Table 3-7 of EPA 2021b).

**Halocarbons and Other Gases.** Halocarbons and other engineered gases not usually found in nature are another group of human-made greenhouse gases. Four of these gases are hydrofluorocarbons (HFC), perfluorocarbons (PFC), sulfur hexafluoride (SF<sub>6</sub>), and nitrogen trifluoride (NF<sub>3</sub>). HFCs are compounds containing carbon, hydrogen, and fluorine. HFCs do not reach the stratosphere to destroy ozone so are, therefore, considered more environmentally benign than ozone-depleting substances such as chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs), even though HFCs are greenhouse gases. HFCs are used as refrigerants and are becoming more common as ozone-depleting refrigerants are phased out. PFCs, SF<sub>6</sub>, and NF<sub>3</sub> are primarily emitted as byproducts from industrial processes such as electric power transmission and distribution, aluminum smelting, semiconductor manufacturing, and magnesium casting. Quoting from EPA 2021b, “Currently, the radiative forcing impact of PFCs, SF<sub>6</sub>, and NF<sub>3</sub> is also small, but they have a significant growth rate, extremely long atmospheric lifetimes, and are strong absorbers of infrared radiation, and therefore have the potential to influence climate far into the future (IPCC 2013).” In 2019, total emissions of HFCs, PFCs, SF<sub>6</sub>, and NF<sub>3</sub> were negligible (Table 2-2 of EPA 2021b).

**Carbon Monoxide.** The main source of CO is the incomplete burning of fossil fuels such as gasoline. Per IPCC guidelines it is assumed that all of the carbon in fossil fuels, including CO, used to produce energy eventually becomes atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>. Exhaust from mobile combustion sources contributed about 46 percent of all CO emissions in 2017, (Table 2-3 of EPA 2021a). Fuel combustion in residential, commercial, and industrial buildings and electric generation accounted for 5.9 percent of CO emissions with most coming from wood burning in residential buildings (Table 2-3 of EPA 2021a). Almost 15 percent of fuel combustion CO emissions, or less than one percent of total CO emissions, came from fuel combustion for electrical generation by utilities in 2017 (Table 2-3 of EPA 2021a).

**Particulate Matter.** PM, also known as particle pollution, is a complex mixture of extremely small particles and liquid droplets. PM pollution consists of a number of components, including acids (such as nitrates and sulfates), organic chemicals, metals, and soil or dust particles (EPA 2021g).

PM impacts are a concern because human exposures can adversely affect respiratory and cardiac health. Particle pollution - especially fine particles - contains microscopic solids or liquid droplets that are so small that they can get deep into the lungs and cause serious health problems. Numerous scientific studies



have linked particle pollution exposure to a variety of problems, including, for example, increased respiratory symptoms, such as irritation of the airways, coughing, or difficulty breathing; decreased lung function; aggravated asthma; development of chronic bronchitis; irregular heartbeat; nonfatal heart attacks; and, premature death in people with heart or lung disease (EPA 2021g).

Power plant emissions can have either direct or indirect impacts on PM. A portion of the pollutants emitted by a power plant leave the smoke stack in the form of particulates. These are direct, or primary, PM emissions. However, the great majority of PM emissions associated with power plants are in the form of secondary sulfates, which are produced at a significant distance from power plants by complex atmospheric chemical reactions that often involve the gaseous (non-particulate) emissions of power plants, mainly SO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub>. The quantity of the secondary sulfates produced is determined by a very complex set of factors including the atmospheric quantities of SO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub>, and other atmospheric constituents and conditions. Because these highly complex chemical reactions produce PM comprised of different constituents from different sources, EPA does not distinguish direct PM emissions from power plants from the secondary sulfate particulates in its ambient air quality requirements, PM monitoring of ambient air quality, or PM emissions inventories.

**Lead.** Exposure to lead can cause a variety of health problems. Lead can adversely affect the brain, kidneys, liver, nervous system, and other organs. Sources of lead emissions vary from one area to another. At the national level, major sources of lead in the air are ore and metals processing and piston-engine aircraft operating on leaded aviation fuel. Other sources are waste incinerators, utilities, and lead-acid battery manufacturers. The highest air concentrations of lead are usually found near lead smelters. As a result of EPA's regulatory efforts including the removal of lead from motor vehicle gasoline, levels of lead in the air decreased by 98 percent between 1980 and 2014 (EPA 2021d).

### **3.3.2.2 Outdoor Air Quality Regulation**

As required by the Clean Air Act (CAA) (EPA 1990), EPA has set national air quality standards, known as the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS), for six common pollutants (also referred to as “criteria” pollutants). 42 U.S.C. §7409. The standards are set to protect public health and welfare. Pollutants for which standards have been set include carbon monoxide (CO), nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>), particulate matter less than 10 or 2.5 microns in aerodynamic diameter (PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub>), ozone (O<sub>3</sub>), sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>), and lead. VOCs can cause or contribute to ozone levels that violate the NAAQS for ozone, so EPA has taken several actions to reduce VOC emissions. 40 CFR Part 59.

To reduce acid rain, the CAA requires emission reductions of SO<sub>2</sub> and nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>), the primary precursors of acid rain, from the power sector. 42 U.S.C. §7651 et seq. There is also an annual emissions cap on SO<sub>2</sub> for affected electric generating units (EGUs) in the 48 contiguous States and the District of Columbia (D.C.). Additionally, emissions of nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>) and SO<sub>2</sub>, which contribute to harmful levels of PM<sub>2.5</sub> and ozone, from numerous States in the eastern half of the United States are limited under the Cross-State Air Pollution Rule (CSAPR). 76 FR 48208 (Aug. 8, 2011). CSAPR requires these States to reduce SO<sub>2</sub>, annual NO<sub>x</sub>, and ozone season NO<sub>x</sub> emissions from EGUs. Compliance with CSAPR is flexible among EGUs and is enforced through the use of state-level caps on emissions and an interstate tradable emissions program.

The CAA also requires EPA to control the emissions of hazardous air pollutants (HAPs). 42 U.S.C. §7412. EPA issued national emissions standards for hazardous air pollutants (NESHAPs) for mercury (Hg) and certain other pollutants emitted from EGUs, which are also known as the Mercury and Air Toxics Standards (MATS) for power plants. 77 FR 9304 (Feb. 16, 2012).

### 3.3.2.3 Impacts of Proposed Action

To compare estimated outdoor emissions, it is necessary to determine differences in building energy use by fuel type. This section provides the differences in potential building energy use that may result from implementing the proposed action. Energy use is evaluated at the ASHRAE Standard 90.1-2019 level, then compared to the No Action Alternative, which is ASHRAE Standard 90.1-2013. The proposed action energy savings were assessed for five common buildings types in 15 cities, representing 15 climate regions within the United States. Energy savings from the proposed action were estimated using the EnergyPlus whole building energy simulation program (DOE 2018). Assumptions used in this analysis are described below.

#### **New Commercial and Multi-Family High-Rise Construction**

Government Services Administration (GSA) data were used to find the distribution of existing Federal building types (GSA 2021).<sup>10</sup> A database query was run on the Federal Real Property Profile Management System (FRPP MS) for Federally-owned buildings 100 square feet and greater in March 2021 to determine the characteristics of new commercial and multi-family high-rise construction added to the database from 2011 through 2020. These buildings were aggregated to the Federal building types used in the FRPP MS.<sup>11</sup> It was assumed that new Federal construction would have a similar distribution between building types. As discussed in the next sections, the Federal building types in the FRPP MS were mapped to DOE building prototypes to calculate energy savings and emissions reductions. In order to better map Federal buildings into the DOE building prototypes, additional FRPP MS building characteristic data on Asset Height Range and Reporting Agency were utilized.

Asset Height Range was used to disaggregate Federal Dormitories and Barracks, Family Housing, and Office building types. Because not all buildings in the FRPP MS included Asset Height Range data, the fractions of square footage with that data included were applied to all buildings of that building type. The Asset Height Range of 0 to 30 feet was assumed to represent three stories or less, and therefore delineates between low-rise residential construction and multi-family high-rise construction for the Dormitories and Barracks and Family Housing building types; since the Proposed Action covers only four stories or greater, only buildings estimated to be greater than 30 feet in height were included in the floorspace estimates for this Proposed Action. Additionally, there are three DOE office building types defined by number of stories, so the Asset Height Range was used to disaggregate the Federal Office building type to better align with the DOE building prototypes.

Reporting Agency data were used to disaggregate Federal Dormitories and Barracks to estimate new construction of dormitories, which are predominantly residential in nature, and training barracks, which include non-residential spaces. Department of Defense (DoD) agencies were assumed to construct training barracks, while non-DoD agencies were assumed to construct dormitories. Non-DoD dormitory buildings less than 30 feet in height (using the Asset Height Range data) were assumed to be outside the scope of this Proposed Action as they would be considered low-rise residential buildings.

---

<sup>10</sup> The current Federal Real Property Profile Management System (FRPP MS) data for Federal buildings was used. Buildings less than 100 square feet, buildings marked as “Report of Excess Submitted” or “Report of Excess Accepted,” buildings outside the United States and Territories, and buildings not owned by the Federal Government were not included in the database query. The FRPP MS was accessed on March 2, 2021.

<sup>11</sup> See the FRPP MS Data Dictionary at [https://www.gsa.gov/cdnstatic/FY\\_2020\\_FRPP\\_DATA\\_DICTIONARY\\_v2\\_final2.pdf](https://www.gsa.gov/cdnstatic/FY_2020_FRPP_DATA_DICTIONARY_v2_final2.pdf) for description of Federal building types used.

Additionally, DoD data were used to provide an estimate of high-rise privatized housing. This estimate was combined with an estimate of the average turnover of DoD housing stock of 50 years to develop an annual estimate and was combined with the FRPP MS Family Housing numbers.<sup>12</sup>

A total of 19.54 million square feet of new Federal buildings are assumed to be constructed each year. This assumption is based on the GSA FRPP MS data (and DoD privatized high-rise housing data) and represents the annual average of the square footage extracted during the search described above. The distribution shown in Table 3 was used for new Federal commercial and multi-family high-rise construction.

**Table 3. Estimated Floor Area Fraction of New Federal Commercial Building Construction**

| <b>Facility Type</b>           | <b>Percent</b> |
|--------------------------------|----------------|
| Office                         | 20.74%         |
| Dormitories and Barracks       | 14.85%         |
| School                         | 14.33%         |
| Service                        | 13.31%         |
| Other Institutional Uses       | 5.90%          |
| Hospital                       | 5.57%          |
| Warehouses                     | 5.37%          |
| Laboratories                   | 4.37%          |
| All Other                      | 3.45%          |
| Outpatient Healthcare Facility | 3.35%          |
| Industrial                     | 2.36%          |
| Child Care Center              | 1.18%          |
| Communications Systems         | 1.11%          |
| Prisons and Detention Centers  | 1.01%          |
| Family Housing                 | 0.68%          |
| Navigation and Traffic Aids    | 0.53%          |
| Land Port of Entry             | 0.53%          |
| Border/Inspection Station      | 0.49%          |
| Facility Security              | 0.31%          |
| Data Centers                   | 0.23%          |
| Museum                         | 0.19%          |
| Comfort Station/Restrooms      | 0.07%          |
| Public Facing Facility         | 0.05%          |
| Aviation Security Related      | 0.01%          |
| Post Office                    | 0.01%          |

<sup>12</sup> Facilities Investment and Management (FIM) Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Energy, Installations and Environment The Pentagon, Room 5C646 Washington, DC 20301. Estimate prepared by Patricia Coury, Deputy to the DASD for that office. Estimate confirmed total DoD privatized family housing units of 12 high-rise privatized unaccompanied housing buildings. The high-rise buildings were converted to housing units using an average of 311 units per building based on the DoD data. Additional discussions between DoD and DOE confirmed that for purposes of estimating annual construction, a turnover of 50 years was appropriate. DoD does not estimate housing construction more than a year in advance, so no better numbers are available.

|                    |               |
|--------------------|---------------|
| <b>Grand Total</b> | <b>100.0%</b> |
|--------------------|---------------|

## Energy Use

Energy Use Intensity (EUI) is the energy consumed by a building per square foot per year. The national average EUIs were calculated using a weighted average of EUIs for the types of buildings that the Federal government is expected to construct shown in Table 3. Site energy includes energy used only at the building site, while source energy includes energy used at the building site and energy lost in producing and delivering the energy to the site. To determine the EUI of the Federal buildings listed in Table 3, DOE mapped the Federal building stock to various building prototypes used in DOE’s Building Energy Codes Program (BECP) determination of energy savings for ANSI/ASHRAE/IES Standard 90.1-2019 (Lei et al. 2020). The mapping used for this EA is shown in Table 4.

**Table 4. Mapping of Federal Building Types to BECP Prototypes**

| <b>Federal Building Type</b>   | <b>Match to BECP Prototypes</b>  |
|--------------------------------|--|
| Office                         | Small Office, Medium Office, Large Office (weighted by estimated percentages in FRPP MS data)            |
| Dormitories and Barracks *     | Small Hotel, Mid-rise Apartment, High-Rise Apartment (weighted by estimated percentages in FRPP MS data) |
| School                         | Secondary School   |
| Service                        | 50% Stand-alone Retail, 50% Non-refrigerated Warehouse   |
| Other Institutional Uses       | None   |
| Hospital                       | Hospital   |
| Laboratories                   | 25% Medium Office, 75% Hospital  |
| Warehouses                     | Non-refrigerated Warehouse   |
| Outpatient Healthcare Facility | Outpatient Health Care   |
| All Other                      | None   |
| Industrial                     | None   |
| Child Care Center              | Primary School   |
| Prisons and Detention Centers  | None   |
| Communications Systems         | None   |
| Land Port of Entry             | Non-refrigerated Warehouse   |
| Family Housing *               | Mid-rise Apartment   |
| Border/Inspection Station      | 75% Small Office, 25% Non-refrigerated Warehouse   |
| Navigation and Traffic Aids    | None   |
| Museum                         | None   |
| Facility Security              | Small Office   |
| Data Centers                   | None   |
| Family Housing *               | Mid-rise Apartment   |
| Aviation Security Related      | Small Office   |
| Public Facing Facility         | Stand-alone Retail   |
| Post Office                    | Stand-alone Retail   |
| Comfort Station/Restrooms      | Non-refrigerated Warehouse   |

---

\* Dormitories and Family Housing less than three stories are assumed to be constructed under 10 CFR 435; Training Barracks are assumed to be constructed under 10 CFR 433.

As can be seen in Table 4, a number of Federal building types have no specific match to BECP prototype buildings. These Federal building types, including Other Institutional Uses, All Other, and Industrial (to name the three largest by percentage) are assumed to have EUIs equal to the average of all mapped Federal building types. It also can be seen in Table 4 that a large number of Federal building types are mapped to the BECP Small Office (for buildings assumed to be more administrative in function with a consistent workforce) and Stand-Alone Retail (for buildings assumed to have “customers” entering and exiting the building throughout the day, in addition to a consistent workforce), which are assumed to be the most plausible match. As noted in the previous section, DOE used Asset Height Range information within the FRPP MS to estimate the percentage of Federal Family Housing buildings built subject to this Proposed Action.

It should also be noted that five Federal building types - Offices, Dormitories and Barracks, Service, Border and Inspection Stations, and Laboratories, are mapped to multiple BECP prototypes. For Offices, DOE utilized the Asset Height Range information in the FRPP MS to estimate the BECP category (Small, Medium, or Large Office) that each building would fall into and weighted the Federal Offices using those percentage weights. Because the Asset Height Range of “greater than 30 and less than or equal to 100 feet” would encompass both Medium Office (four to six stories) and Large Office (seven or more stories), the fraction of floorspace assigned to that height range was divided equally between the two categories. Similarly, DOE utilized the Reporting Agency and Asset Height Range information for Dormitories and Barracks to distinguish between dormitories and training barracks, and to determine the percent of floorspace built under 10 CFR 433 (the Proposed Action discussed herein) versus those more likely be built under 10 CFR 435 (New Federal Low-rise Residential). The resulting percentages were used to weight Federal Dormitories and Barracks into BECP prototype categories Small Hotel, Mid-rise Apartment, and High-rise Apartment. As with the office building types, the Asset Height Range of “greater than 30 and less than or equal to 100 feet” would encompass both Mid-rise Apartment (four to seven stories) and High-rise Apartment (eight or more stories), the fraction of floorspace assigned to that height range was divided equally between the two categories. For Federal Service, DOE assumed an average of the BECP Stand-alone Retail and Non-refrigerated Warehouse to represent customer-facing and storage areas. Similarly, Federal Border and Inspection Stations were represented by 75 percent BECP Small Office and 25 percent Non-refrigerated Warehouse to represent administrative functions and storage spaces. DOE assumed that Federal Laboratories would best be represented by 25 percent BECP Medium Office for office spaces, and 75 percent Hospital for more intensive laboratory energy loads.

DOE cannot determine precisely the degree of energy use impact associated with updating the Federal energy efficiency baseline standard to ASHRAE Standard 90.1-2019 because exact energy use will depend on the specific level of energy efficiency that is cost effective for each future building design. However, it is possible to establish a range of changes in energy use.

Under the proposed action, annual site energy use reductions can be estimated to reach up to 5.4 EUI (kBtu/ ft<sup>2</sup>-yr), and annual source energy use reductions can be estimated to reach up to 13.8 EUI (kBtu/ ft<sup>2</sup>-yr). Under no scenario would annual site or annual source energy use increase.

## **Emission Reductions**

Under the proposed action, CO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub>, and Hg emissions would be reduced because more energy efficient buildings consume less fossil fuel, either directly as fossil fuel consumed on site or indirectly as fossil fuel used to generate electricity that is consumed on site.

DOE cannot provide an exact determination of emissions impacts associated with updating the Federal energy efficiency baseline standard to ASHRAE Standard 90.1-2019 because emissions will depend on the specific level of energy efficiency that is cost effective for each future building design and actual emission factors associated with the grid at each individual site's location. However, it is possible to determine the range of changes in emissions reductions.

Electricity production ultimately used in Federal residential buildings is assumed to have the same distribution of fuel/energy sources (e.g., coal, nuclear) as overall national electricity production. The emissions analysis for the proposed action consists of two components. The first component estimates the effect of potential energy conservation standards on power sector emissions and, if present, site combustion emissions, of carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>), nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>), sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) and mercury (Hg). The second component estimates the impacts of potential standards on emissions of two additional greenhouse gases, methane (CH<sub>4</sub>) and nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O), as well as the impacts to emissions of all species due to "upstream" activities in the fuel production chain. These upstream activities comprise extraction, processing, and transporting fuels to the site of combustion. The associated emissions are referred to as upstream emissions.

The analysis of power sector emissions uses emissions intensity factors intended to represent the marginal impacts of the change in electricity consumption associated with revised efficiency standards. The methodology is based on results published for the Annual Energy Outlook (AEO) prepared by the Energy Information Administration, including a set of side cases that implement a variety of efficiency-related policies. The methodology is described in the report "Utility Sector Impacts of Reduced Electricity Demand: Updates to Methodology and Results" (Coughlin, 2019). The analysis presented herein uses projections from the *Annual Energy Outlook 2021* (DOE 2021). Because the emissions intensity factors are calculated for each end use, a simple average was calculated using the factors for the end uses estimated to be affected by residential building energy codes: space heating, space cooling, water heating, and lighting. Because the AEO only includes projections through 2050, the 2050 factors were used for 2051 for this analysis.

For site combustion of natural gas or petroleum fuels, the emissions of CO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub> are estimated using emissions intensity factors from a publication of the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA 1998). Combustion emissions of CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O are estimated using emissions intensity factors published by the EPA.<sup>13</sup>

The emissions intensity factors are expressed in terms of physical units per MWh or MMBtu of site energy savings. Total emissions reductions are estimated by multiplying the emissions intensity factor by the energy savings.

Air emission reductions for the first year of construction for which the new rule is in effect (2022) were estimated at up to 13,731 metric tons of CO<sub>2</sub>, up to 17.3 tons of NO<sub>x</sub>, up to 72.1 metric tons of CH<sub>4</sub>, and up to 0.14 metric tons of N<sub>2</sub>O.<sup>14</sup> Emissions reductions for halocarbons, CO, PM, Hg, and lead are

---

<sup>13</sup> [https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2016-09/documents/emission-factors\\_nov\\_2015\\_v2.pdf](https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2016-09/documents/emission-factors_nov_2015_v2.pdf).

<sup>14</sup> Actual reductions would depend on the level of energy efficiency that is life cycle cost effective for each new building design. For example, under the no action alternative, agencies are required to design all new Federal commercial and multi-family high-rise residential buildings at 30 percent more efficient than ASHRAE Standard 90.1-2013, if life cycle cost effective. Under the proposed action, agencies would be required to design buildings that are 30 percent more efficient than ASHRAE Standard 90.1-2019, if life cycle cost effective. A comparison of the no-action alternative to the proposed action yields an estimated first year emissions reduction for CO<sub>2</sub> of 9,612 metric tons. The values shown in the text correspond to buildings that just meet ASHRAE 90.1-2013 and ASHRAE 90.1-2019.

negligible. Under no scenario of future construction would emissions of any of the listed compounds increase when compared to the no-action alternative.

Cumulative emission reductions for 30 years of construction (2022 through 2051) and operation under the reduced energy usage associated with the proposed action depend on both the building fuel mix and the energy generation mix used in future years, as well as a forecast of new construction. The emissions factors and energy savings used in the calculations for this EA represent the current building fuel use (by building type) and the AEO 2021 reference case energy generation mix and therefore do not account for trends such as electrification within buildings or decarbonization of the electrical grid beyond what is assumed in the AEO 2021. Cumulative emission reductions for 30 years of construction and operation for Federal buildings built during the analysis period (2022 through 2051) were estimated at up to 4,472,870 metric tons of CO<sub>2</sub>, up to 6,250 metric tons of NO<sub>x</sub>, up to 0.009 metric tons of Hg, up to 30,410 metric tons of CH<sub>4</sub>, and up to 38 metric tons of N<sub>2</sub>O.<sup>15</sup> Emission reductions for halocarbons, CO, PM, and lead are negligible. Under no scenario of future construction would emissions of any of the listed compounds increase.

It should be noted that certain emission reductions would remain uncertain for pollutants, such as SO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub> that are regulated by cap and trade systems, as well as PM which forms, in part, from those pollutants. For example, SO<sub>2</sub> emissions from affected EGUs are subject to nationwide and regional emissions cap and trade programs, which create uncertainty about the impact of energy efficiency standards on SO<sub>2</sub> emissions. The attainment of emissions caps is typically flexible among EGUs and is enforced through the use of emissions allowances and tradable permits. Under existing EPA regulations, any excess SO<sub>2</sub> emissions allowances resulting from the lower electricity demand caused by the imposition of an efficiency standard could be used to permit offsetting increases in SO<sub>2</sub> emissions by any regulated EGU. However, if the standard resulted in a permanent increase in the quantity of unused emissions allowances, there would be an overall reduction in SO<sub>2</sub> emissions from the standards. While there remains some uncertainty about the ultimate effects of efficiency standards on SO<sub>2</sub> emissions covered by the existing cap and trade system, the National Energy Modeling System (NEMS) model that DOE uses to forecast emissions reductions for many other analyses indicates that at the national (aggregate) level, no physical reductions in power sector emissions would occur for SO<sub>2</sub> (EIA 2019). Therefore, no reductions in SO<sub>2</sub> emissions are assumed for this analysis.

### **3.3.3 Global Climate Change**

Climate change has evolved into a matter of global concern because it is expected to have widespread, adverse effects on natural resources and systems. A growing body of evidence points to anthropogenic sources of GHGs, such as CO<sub>2</sub>, as major contributors to climate change. Climate change is a resource area with possible impacts from the Proposed Action and No Action Alternative.

---

<sup>15</sup> Actual reductions would depend on the level of energy efficiency that is life cycle cost effective for each new building design. For example, under the no action alternative, agencies are required to design all new Federal commercial and multi-family high-rise residential buildings at 30 percent more efficient than ASHRAE Standard 90.1-2013, if life cycle cost effective. Under the proposed action, agencies would be required to design buildings that are 30 percent more efficient than ASHRAE Standard 90.1-2019, if life cycle cost effective. A comparison of the no-action alternative to the proposed action yields an estimated 30-year emissions reduction for carbon dioxide of 3,131,010 metric tons. The values shown in the text correspond to buildings that just meet ASHRAE 90.1-2013 and ASHRAE 90.1-2019.

### 3.3.3.1 Affected Environment

Climate is defined as the average weather, over a period ranging from months to many years. Climate change refers to a change in the state of the climate, which is identifiable through changes in the mean and/or the variability of its properties (e.g., temperature or precipitation) over an extended period, typically decades or longer. The World Meteorological Organization (WMO) and United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) established the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) to provide an objective source of information about climate change. According to the series of IPCC Fifth Assessment Reports (IPCC Reports), published in 2013 and 2014,<sup>16</sup> “The [Synthesis Report] SYR confirms that human influence on the climate system is clear and growing, with impacts observed across all continents and oceans. Many of the observed changes since the 1950s are unprecedented over decades to millennia. The IPCC is now 95 percent certain that humans are the main cause of current global warming” (Foreword to IPCC 2014).

IPCC (2014) states that the world has warmed by about 0.85°C in the last 132 years (Summary for Policy Makers (SPM) 1.1 of IPCC 2014). Additionally, IPCC (2014) finds that it is extremely likely that most of the temperature increase since the mid-20th century is very likely caused by the increase in anthropogenic concentrations of CO<sub>2</sub> and other long-lived greenhouse gases such as CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O in the atmosphere, rather than from natural causes (SPM 1.2 of IPCC 2014). Increasing the CO<sub>2</sub> concentration partially blocks the Earth’s re-radiation of captured solar energy in the infrared band, inhibits the radiant cooling of the Earth, and thereby alters the energy balance of the planet, which gradually increases its average temperature. IPCC (2014) estimates that currently, CO<sub>2</sub> makes up about 72 percent of the total CO<sub>2</sub>-equivalent global warming potential in GHGs emitted from human activities, with the vast majority (62 percent) of the CO<sub>2</sub> attributable to fossil fuel use (Figure SPM 2 of IPCC 2014).<sup>17</sup> Globally, 49 billion metric tons of CO<sub>2</sub>-equivalent of anthropogenic (man-made) greenhouse gases are emitted every year (Figure SPM 2 of IPCC 2014).<sup>18</sup> For the future, IPCC (2014) describes a wide range of GHG emissions scenarios, but “cumulative emissions of CO<sub>2</sub> largely determine global mean surface warming by the late 21st century and beyond” (SPM 2.1 of IPCC 2014).

Researchers have focused on considering atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations that likely will result in some level of global climate stabilization, and the emissions rates associated with achieving the “stabilizing” concentrations by particular dates. They associate these stabilized CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations with temperature increases that plateau in a defined range. For example, at the low end, IPCC (2014) scenarios target CO<sub>2</sub> stabilized concentrations that would *likely* keep projected temperature rises below 2°C. To achieve this goal, the IPCC scenarios present that there would have to be a rapid downward trend in total annual global emissions of greenhouse gases to levels that are 40 to 71 percent below today’s annual emissions rates by no later than 2050 (Table 3.1, Scenario RCP2.6 of IPCC 2014).

---

<sup>16</sup> The 5<sup>th</sup> IPCC Assessment Report was published in four volumes over the course of 2013 and 2014. The complete set of reports may be found at [www.ipcc.ch/reports/](http://www.ipcc.ch/reports/). The first three volumes are the reports of Working Groups I, II, and III, while the fourth volume is the Synthesis Report for Policy Makers. This section of the EA focuses on results presented in the Synthesis Report (IPCC 2014).

<sup>17</sup> GHGs differ in their warming influence (radiative forcing) on a global climate system due to their different radiative properties and lifetimes in the atmosphere. These warming influences may be expressed through a common metric based on the radiative forcing of CO<sub>2</sub>, i.e., CO<sub>2</sub>-equivalent. CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent emission is the amount of CO<sub>2</sub> emission that would cause the same-time integrated radiative forcing, over a given time horizon, as an emitted amount of other long-lived GHG or mixture of GHGs.

<sup>18</sup> Other non-fossil fuel contributors include CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from deforestation and decay from agriculture biomass; agricultural and industrial emissions of CH<sub>4</sub>; and emissions of nitrous oxide and fluorocarbons.



### **3.3.3.2 Impacts of Proposed Action**

It is difficult to correlate specific emissions rates with atmospheric concentrations of CO<sub>2</sub> and specific atmospheric concentrations with future temperatures because IPCC (2014) describes a clear lag in the climate system between any given concentration of CO<sub>2</sub> (even if maintained for long periods) and the subsequent average worldwide and regional temperature, precipitation, and extreme weather regimes. For example, a major determinant of climate response is “equilibrium climate sensitivity”, a measure of the climate system response to sustained radiative forcing. It is defined as the global average surface warming following a doubling of carbon dioxide concentrations. IPCC (2014) describes its estimated, numeric value as about 3°C, but the likely range of that value is 1.5°C to 4.5°C, with cloud feedback and vapor feedback providing the largest sources of uncertainty (Box 1.1 of IPCC 2014). Further, as illustrated above, IPCC (2014) scenarios for stabilization rates are presented in terms of a range of concentrations, which then correlates to a range of temperature changes. Thus, climate sensitivity is a key uncertainty for CO<sub>2</sub> mitigation scenarios that aim to meet specific temperature levels.

## **4.0 Agencies and Persons Consulted During this Rulemaking**

In accordance with CEQ regulations in 40 CFR 1508.9(b), a list of persons/agencies consulted during the development of this rulemaking and environmental assessment is provided below.

### *DOE and Contractor Staff*

US Department of Energy – Nicolas Baker, Matthew Ring, Roak Parker, Nicholas Rising

Pacific Northwest National Laboratory (DOE contractor) – Mark Halverson, Jennifer Williamson, Donna Hostick, Samuel Rosenburg, Scott Morris, Erik Mets

## 5.0 References

10 CFR 433. 2010. U.S. Department of Energy, "Energy Efficiency Standards for New Federal Commercial and Multi-Family High Rise Residential Buildings." U.S. Code of Federal Regulations.

10 CFR 1021. 2000. U.S. Department of Energy, "National Environmental Policy Act Implementing Procedures." U.S. Code of Federal Regulations.

40 CFR 1500-1508. July 1, 1986. Council on Environmental Quality, "Regulations for Implementing the Procedural Provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act." U.S. Code of Federal Regulations.

42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq. National Environmental Policy Act. Available at <http://energy.gov/nepa/downloads/national-environmental-policy-act-1969>,

42 USC 6831. Congressional Findings and Purpose. Available at <http://us-code.vlex.com/vid/congressional-findings-and-purpose-19247711>.

42 USC 6834. Federal Building Energy Efficiency Standards. Available at <http://us-code.vlex.com/vid/building-energy-efficiency-standards-19247704>.

76 FR 48208. Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; South Carolina; Regional Haze State Implementation Plan. Available at <https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2017/08/17/2017-17222/approval-and-promulgation-of-implementation-plans-south-carolina-regional-haze-state-implementation>.

77 FR 9304. National Emission Standards for Hazardous Air Pollutants From Coal- and Oil-Fired Electric Utility Steam Generating Units and Standards of Performance for Fossil-Fuel-Fired Electric Utility, Industrial-Commercial-Institutional, and Small Industrial-Commercial-Institutional Steam Generating Units; Final Rule. Available at <http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/pkg/FR-2012-02-16/html/2012-806.htm>.

80 FR 64662. Carbon Pollution Emission Guidelines for Existing Stationary Sources: Electric Utility Generating Units. Available at <https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2015/10/23/2015-22842/carbon-pollution-emission-guidelines-for-existing-stationary-sources-electric-utility-generating>.

82 FR 48035. Repeal of Carbon Pollution Emission Guidelines for Existing Stationary Sources: Electric Utility Generating Units. Oct. 16, 2017. Available at <https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2017/10/16/2017-22349/repeal-of-carbon-pollution-emission-guidelines-for-existing-stationary-sources-electric-utility>.

83 FR 8463. Building Energy Standards Program: Final Determination Regarding Energy Efficiency Improvements in the Energy Standard for Buildings, Except Low-Rise Residential Buildings, ANSI/ASHRAE/IESNA Standard 90.1-2016. February 27, 2018. Available at <https://www.regulations.gov/docket?D=EERE-2017-BT-DET-0046>.

86 FR 20674. Preliminary Analysis Regarding Energy Efficiency Improvements in ANSI/ASHRAE/IES Standard 90.1-2019. April 21, 2021. Available at <https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2021/04/21/2021-08203/preliminary-analysis-regarding-energy-efficiency-improvements-in-ansiashraeies-standard-901-2019>.

86 FR 40543. Final Determination Regarding Energy Efficiency Improvements in ANSI/ASHRAE/IES Standard 90.1-2019. July 28, 2021. Available at <https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2021/07/28/2021-15971/final-determination-regarding-energy-efficiency-improvements-in-ansiashraeies-standard-901-2019>.

ASHRAE – American Society of Heating, Refrigerating and Air-Conditioning Engineers, Inc. 2009. “Indoor Air Quality Guide: Best Practices for Design, Construction and Commissioning.” Atlanta, Georgia.

ASHRAE – American Society of Heating, Refrigerating and Air-Conditioning Engineers, Inc. 2007. ANSI/ASHRAE Standard 62.1-2007, “Ventilation for Acceptable Indoor Air Quality” Atlanta, Georgia.

ASHRAE – American Society of Heating, Refrigerating and Air-Conditioning Engineers, Inc. 2013. ANSI/ASHRAE/IESNA Standard 90.1-2013, “Energy Standard for Buildings Except Low-Rise Residential Buildings.” Atlanta, Georgia.

ASHRAE – American Society of Heating, Refrigerating and Air-Conditioning Engineers, Inc. 2013. ANSI/ASHRAE Standard 62.1-2013, “Ventilation for Acceptable Indoor Air Quality” Atlanta, Georgia.

ASHRAE – American Society of Heating, Refrigerating and Air-Conditioning Engineers, Inc. 2016. ANSI/ASHRAE/IESNA Standard 90.1-2016, “Energy Standard for Buildings Except Low-Rise Residential Buildings.” Atlanta, Georgia.

ASHRAE – American Society of Heating, Refrigerating and Air-Conditioning Engineers, Inc. 2016. ANSI/ASHRAE Standard 62.1-2016, “Ventilation for Acceptable Indoor Air Quality” Atlanta, Georgia.

ASHRAE – American Society of Heating, Refrigerating and Air-Conditioning Engineers, Inc. 2019. ANSI/ASHRAE/IES Standard 90.1-2019, “Energy Standard for Buildings Except Low-Rise Residential Buildings.” Atlanta, Georgia.

CDC – Center for Disease Control. 2014. Immediately Dangerous to Life or Health Concentrations (IDLH): Carbon dioxide. Available at: <http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/idlh/124389.html>

Coughlin, K. 2019. Utility Sector Impacts of Reduced Electricity Demand: Updates to Methodology and Results. LBNL-2001256. Available at <https://eta-publications.lbl.gov/sites/default/files/lbnl-2001256.pdf>.

CPSC – Consumer Product Safety Commission. 2016. An Update on Formaldehyde—2013 Revision. Washington, D.C. Available at [https://www.cpsc.gov/s3fs-public/An-Update-On-Formaldehyde-725\\_1.pdf](https://www.cpsc.gov/s3fs-public/An-Update-On-Formaldehyde-725_1.pdf)

DOE – U.S. Department of Energy. 2018. EnergyPlus Energy Simulation Software, Version 9.0.1. U.S. Department of Energy, Washington, D.C. Accessed August 29, 2019 at <https://energyplus.net/downloads>.

DOE – U.S. Department of Energy. 2021. Annual Energy Outlook 2021 with Projections to 2050. Washington, D.C. Available at <https://www.eia.gov/outlooks/aeo/>.

ECPA – Energy Conservation and Production Act. 42 U.S.C. 6834 et seq., as amended.

EIA – U.S. Energy Information Administration. 2019. The National Energy Modeling System: An Overview 2018. DOE/EIA-0581(2018).  
[https://www.eia.gov/outlooks/aeo/nems/overview/pdf/0581\(2018\).pdf](https://www.eia.gov/outlooks/aeo/nems/overview/pdf/0581(2018).pdf).

EPA – U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 1989. Report to Congress on Indoor Air Quality, Volume II: Assessment and Control of Indoor Air Pollution. EPA-400-I-89-001C, Washington, D.C.

EPA – U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 1990. *Clean Air Act. 1990*. <http://www.epa.gov/air/caa/>.

EPA – U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 1994. Indoor Air Pollution--An Update for Health Professionals. Washington, D.C.

EPA – U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 1998. AP-42: Compilation of Air Pollutant Emissions Factors. Washington, D.C. <https://www.epa.gov/air-emissions-factors-and-quantification/ap-42-compilation-air-emission-factors>.

EPA – U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 2019. Formaldehyde. Available at <https://www.epa.gov/formaldehyde>.

EPA – U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 2020a. Health Risk of Radon. Available at <https://www.epa.gov/radon/health-risk-radon>.

EPA – U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 2020b. Indoor Air Quality, Biological Pollutants' Impact on Indoor Air Quality. Available at <https://www.epa.gov/indoor-air-quality-iaq/biological-pollutants-impact-indoor-air-quality>.

EPA – U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 2021a. 2017 National Emissions Inventory: January 2021 Updated Release, Technical Support Document. EPA-454/R-21-001. Available at [https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2021-02/documents/nei2017\\_tsd\\_full\\_jan2021.pdf](https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2021-02/documents/nei2017_tsd_full_jan2021.pdf).

EPA – U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 2021b. DRAFT Inventory of U.S. Greenhouse Gas Emissions and Sinks: 1990 – 2017. Available at <https://www.epa.gov/sites/production/files/2021-02/documents/us-ghg-inventory-2021-main-text.pdf>.

EPA – U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 2021c. *Carbon Monoxide (CO) Pollution in Outdoor Air*. Available at <https://www.epa.gov/co-pollution>.

EPA – U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 2021d. *Lead (Pb) Air Pollution*. Available at <https://www.epa.gov/lead-air-pollution>.

EPA – U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 2021e. *Mercury*. Available at <http://www.epa.gov/mercury/>.

EPA – U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 2021f. *Nitrogen Dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>) Pollution*. Available at <https://www.epa.gov/no2-pollution>.

EPA – U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 2021g. *Particulate Matter (PM) Pollution*. Available at <https://www.epa.gov/pm-pollution>.

EPA – U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 2021h. *Sulfur Dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) Pollution*. Available at <https://www.epa.gov/so2-pollution>.

EPA – U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 2021i. Radon. Available at <https://www.epa.gov/radon>.

EPA – U.S. Environmental Protection Agency. 2021j. Volatile Organic Compounds' Impact on Indoor Air Quality. Available at <https://www.epa.gov/indoor-air-quality-iaq/volatile-organic-compounds-impact-indoor-air-quality>.

GSA – U.S. General Services Administration. 2021. Federal Real Property Profile Management System. Accessed March 2, 2021. [https://www.realpropertyprofile.gov/FRPPMS/FRPP\\_Login](https://www.realpropertyprofile.gov/FRPPMS/FRPP_Login).

IPCC – Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. 2007. *Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis*. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. [S. Solomon, D. Qin, M. Manning, Z. Chen, M. Marquis, K.B. Averyt, M. Tignor and H.L. Miller (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press. Cambridge, United Kingdom. <https://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar4/wg1/>.

IPCC – Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. 2013. *Climate Change 2013 – The Physical Science Basis*. IPCC Fifth Assessment Report. Geneva, Switzerland. <http://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar5/wg1/>.

IPCC – Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. 2014. *Climate Change 2014: Synthesis Report*. Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Geneva, Switzerland. [https://www.ipcc.ch/pdf/assessment-report/ar5/syr/SYR\\_AR5\\_FINAL\\_full.pdf](https://www.ipcc.ch/pdf/assessment-report/ar5/syr/SYR_AR5_FINAL_full.pdf).

Lei X, JB Butzbaugh, Y Chen, J Zhang, and MI Rosenberg. 2020. *Development of National New Construction Weighting Factors for the Commercial Building Prototype Analyses (2003-2018)*. PNNL-29787. Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, WA. Available at [https://www.pnnl.gov/main/publications/external/technical\\_reports/PNNL-23269.pdf](https://www.pnnl.gov/main/publications/external/technical_reports/PNNL-23269.pdf).

NRC – National Research Council. 1981. *Indoor Pollutants*. National Academy Press, Washington, D.C. Available at [http://www.nap.edu/openbook.php?record\\_id=1711](http://www.nap.edu/openbook.php?record_id=1711).