Categorical Exclusion Determination

Western Area Power Administration
Department of Energy



Proposed Action: Trinity-Weaverville and Trinity-Carr Off-ROW Expedited Eight Hazard

Tree Removals

Project No.: 100153025

Project Manager: Ricardo Velarde

Location: Trinity County, CA

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B1.3 Routine maintenance

Description of the Proposed Action:

Western Area Power Administration, Sierra Nevada Region (WAPA) is responsible for the operation and maintenance of several federally owned and operated transmission lines throughout California, including the Trinity-Weaverville 60-kilovolt (kV) (TNI-WEA) and Trinity-Carr 230-kV (TNI-CAR) transmission lines located in Trinity County, California. Part of this responsibility includes ensuring WAPA maintains reliable access to transmission towers and other facilities to comply with the National Electric Safety Code, Western States Coordinating Council, and WAPA directives for protecting human safety and maintaining the reliable operation of the transmission system.

WAPA proposes to remove a total of eight dead hazard trees located within three spans on the TNI-WEA line (12/5-12/6, 12/10-12/10A, and 2/1-2/2) and one span on the TNI-CAR line (1/2-1/3). The hazard trees are located outside but adjacent to the right-of-way (off-ROW) and have the potential to fall on or otherwise compromise the integrity of the transmission lines. WAPA Order 450.3A dictates the removal of hazard trees in compliance with the North American Electric Reliability Council (NERC) Standard FAC-003-1. WAPA has limited discretion on the removal of trees that meet hazard standards. All stumps will be cut no higher than 6-inches above ground with a chainsaw. Trees will be felled and left whole length on site. Limbs will be chipped and broadcast where chipper access is possible. For areas not accessible by a chipper, limbs will be lopped and scattered with debris not exceeding 12-inches in height. Trees include the following:

TNI-WEA 12/5-12/6: Douglas Fir 27"DBH 125'HT TNI-WEA 12/5-12/6: Douglas Fir 26"DBH 76'HT TNI-WEA 12/5-12/6: Douglas Fir 20"DBH 103'HT TNI-WEA 12/10-12/10A: Douglas Fir 15"DBH 62'HT

TNI-WEA 2/1: Douglas Fir 19"DBH 67'HT TNI-WEA 2/1: Douglas Fir 28"DBH 64'HT TNI-CAR 1/2: Douglas Fir 33"DBH 96'HT TNI-CAR 1/2: Douglas Fir 50"DBH 99'HT

Several downed trees have fallen across a one-mile stretch of access road leading to hazard tree removal sites. The downed trees will be cut by chainsaw and removed to enable vehicle and equipment access to tree removal sites.

Findings:

In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, Jul. 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), WAPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, WAPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

Latisha M. Signed: Saare

Digitally signed by Latisha M. Saare Date: 2021.06.08

Date: 6/8/21

Attachment: Environmental Checklist

Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: Trinity-Weaverville and Trinity-Carr Off-ROW Expedited Eight Hazard Tree Removals

Project Site Description

The proposed project area is within the Trinity Mountain Range in Trinity County, California. Tree removal sites range in elevation between 2,700 and 3,000 feet and the vegetation type is predominantly mixed conifer. Land is owned by the U.S. Forest Service, Bureau of Land Management, and private landowners. Equipment staging areas would be within the transmission line and access road ROWs whenever possible.

Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources

| Environmental Resource Impacts | No Potential for Significance | No Potential for Significance, with Conditions |
|--|----------------------------------|---|
| Historic and Cultural Resources Explanation: | \checkmark | |
| Most of the area near or around the off-ROW tree the trees as described in this document does no | | <u> </u> |

| 2. | Geology and Soils Explanation: | V | |
|----|--|---|--|
| | Ground disturbance is not anticipated in this project; therefore | e, no impacts would occur. | |
| | Plants (including Federal/state special-status species and habitats) Explanation: | \checkmark | |
| | No special-status plants are known or expected in the project be required to be clean before entering the project location to | | |
| | Wildlife (including Federal/state special-status species and habitats) | | |
| | Explanation: | | \checkmark |
| | Due to the imminent threat the eight hazard trees pose to the Northern Spotted Owl (NSO) breeding and nesting season (Fish and Wildlife Service and received concurrence with the are not likely adversely affect NSO and NSO Critical Habitat implement the following protective measures: - Conduct nest surveys in advance of tree removal - Reduce crew size to the minimum number needed to fell the Minimize the number of vehicles drive to the site - After completing felling operations, return to address slash A biological resource survey, including nesting bird survey, wactivities. No biological resources of concern were observed Eurasian collared-dove nest located 36-feet from the two tre Biologist determined it is unlikely tree removal activities will aduration of the work. | February 1 - July 31). WAPA considetermination that project activities. To reduce impacts to NSO, WAP at trees safely after NSO restrictions have lifted was completed the week prior to trewith the exception of one active me cluster at 3/4 span along TNI-WEA | e removal ourning dove or 12/5-12/6. The with the U.S. |
| 5. | Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including Federal/state special-status species, ESUs, and habitats) | | |
| | Explanation: | ✓ | |
| ı | The tree removal sites are not in proximity to water bodies o | r floodplains; therefore, no impacts | would occur. |
| | | | |

| 6. | Wetlands <u>Explanation:</u> | ✓ | |
|----|---|---|------------------------------------|
| | The project area does not have wetlands; therefore, no in | pacts would occur. | |
| | Groundwater and Aquifers <u>Explanation:</u> | ✓ | |
| | Spill prevention measures would be utilized during construpathway for groundwater contamination. | uction activities. The project would no | t provide a |
| 8. | Land Use and Specially-Designated Areas Explanation: | ✓ | |
| | The land use would not change at the project site. Nor is a areas, such as National Scenic Rivers. | he project within, or near, any specia | lly designated |
| 9. | Visual Quality Explanation: | ✓ | |
| | The visual quality would be consistent with the existing us be no significant change to the visual quality. | e of the transmission right-of-way cor | ridor. There would |
| 1 | 0. Air Quality <u>Explanation:</u> | | ✓ |
| | A small amount of dust and vehicle emissions would occu significant changes to air quality during or after construction | | would be no |
| | This section describes procedures adopted by WAPA to s quality in the region. The contractor is expected to adopt the section of the contractor is expected to adopt the section of the contractor is expected to adopt the section of the contractor is expected to adopt the contractor | | to restore air |
| | AQ-SOP-1: The Contractor will adhere to all applicable requality matters, and any necessary permits for O&M will b AQ-SOP-2: Machinery and vehicles will be kept in good of with equipment meeting applicable emission standards; as maintained for vehicles and equipment, per EPA and/or WAQ-SOP-3: Idle equipment will be shut down when not in a | e obtained. Derating condition and older equipment opropriate emissions-control equipment APA air-emission requirements. | nt will be replaced ent will be |
| | will be controlled. AQ-SOP-4: Dust –control measures will be implemented in Trucks transporting loose material will be covered or main visible dust emissions. | | |
| | AQ-SOP-5: There will be no open burning of construction AQ-SOP-6: Grading activities will cease during periods of management districts. | high winds (as determined by local ai | |
| | AQ-SOP-7: Major operations will be avoided on days whe | n the local Air Quality Index is expect | ed to exceed 150. |

| | | Noise Explanation: | | \checkmark | | |
|----------|--|--|---|---|---|------------------------|
| | | | nin the project location. Tree remours. Operational noise would r | | ould be temporary and | |
| | | Human Health and Sa Explanation: | ıfety | / | | |
| | spe ope also 38 a the | alth or safety. Contractor socifically paragraphs (a)(2) erations performed by line to adhere to the requirement of Subchapter 7 Article | tandard safety protocols would be shall be required to follow the OS (b), (b), (c), (g), (k), (p), and (r) as a clearance tree trimmers who are this under Title 8 Cal OSHA Elect 12. Contractor shall maintain a solf a safe working distance cannot | SHA standards set forth in required under (a)(E)(2), li e not qualified electrical waterical Safety Order specificale working distance from | 29 CFR 1910.269 ne-clearance tree trimming orkers. Contractor shall cally Subchapter 5 Article conductors as described in | |
| | | | Evaluation of Other | Integral Elements | | |
| | | proposed project would project would not: | d also meet conditions that ar | re integral elements of t | he categorical exclusion. | |
| ✓ | | | licable statutory, regulatory, c E or Executive Orders. | or permit requirements f | or environment, safety, an | d health, or |
| | Expl | lanation, if necessary: | | | | |
| √ | | | ction or major expansion of water are not otherwise categorical | | recovery, or treatment faci | lities |
| | Expl | lanation, if necessary: | | | | |
| √ | | | ces, pollutants, contaminants ment such that there would be | | | products |
| | Expl | lanation, if necessary: | | | | |
| √ | spec unac | cies, unless the propos uthorized release into t | red organisms, synthetic bioled ed activity would be contained the environment and conducted fulture, the Environmental Prof | d or confined in a mann ed in accordance with a | er designed and operated oplicable requirements, su | to prevent ch as those |
| | Expl | lanation, if necessary: | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination | | | | | |
| | Des | timing. | d work closely with the landowne | ers to provide adequate no | tification of tree removal | |
| - | Bas | sed on the foregoing, | this proposed project does | s not have the potenti | al to cause significant | _ |

impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.

Signed: Latisha M.

Saare

Digitally signed by Latisha M. Saare Date: 2021.06.08 11:27:20 -07'00'

Date: 6/8/21