# **Categorical Exclusion Determination**

Western Area Power Administration Department of Energy



Proposed Action: Airport-Cottonwood 230-kV Tower 9-4 Off-ROW Tree Removal

Project No.: 100153025

Project Manager: Ricardo Valerde

Location: Shasta County, California

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B1.3 Routine maintenance

# **Description of the Proposed Action:**

Western Area Power Administration, Sierra Nevada Region (WAPA) is responsible for the operation and maintenance of several federally owned and operated transmission lines throughout California, including the Airport-Cottonwood 230-kV line (AIR-CW), located in Shasta County. Part of this responsibility includes ensuring WAPA maintains reliable access to transmission towers and other facilities to comply with the National Electric Safety Code, Western States Coordinating Council, and WAPA directives for protecting human safety and maintaining the reliable operation of the transmission system.

WAPA proposes to remove one Gray Pine tree on the west side of the AIR-CW line near tower 9/4. The hazard tree is located outside but adjacent to the right-of-way (off-ROW) and has the potential to fall on, grow into, or otherwise compromise the integrity of the transmission lines. WAPA Order 450.3A dictates the removal of hazard trees in compliance with the North American Electric Reliability Council (NERC) Standard FAC-003-1. WAPA has limited discretion on the removal of trees that meet hazard standards. Debris will be chipped and broadcast. Larger wood will be cut into 1-4 foot lengths and stacked/piled in ROW. The cut stump will be treated per WAPA's herbicide recommendations.

# Findings:

In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, Jul. 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), WAPA has determined that the proposed action:

(1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);

(2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and

(3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, WAPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

Latisha M. Signed: Saare Digitally signed by Latisha M. Saare Date: 2021.06.10 11:04:00 -07'00'

Date: 6/10/21

Attachment: Environmental Checklist

# **Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist**

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

Proposed Action: Airport-Cottonwood 230-kV Tower 9-4 Off-ROW Tree Removal

## **Project Site Description**

The proposed project area is in Shasta County, California on an undeveloped parcel adjacent to the ROW.

The dominant vegetation type is annual grassland. The site is located within the Churn Creek Bottom geographic feature and is adjactent to one of the Churn Creek channels and associated riparian area and wetlands.

Equipment staging areas would be on roads and within the ROW whenever possible.

1.

# **Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources**

Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions
. Historic and Cultural Resources <u>Explanation:</u>	$\checkmark$	
Tree removal will not cause significant ground di management at these sites would not impact cul immediately if archeological, paleontological, or	Itural resources. WAPA Enviro	

2.	. Geology and Soils Explanation:	$\checkmark$	
	Ground disturbance is not anticipated in this project; therefor	e, no impacts would occur.	
3.	. <b>Plants</b> (including Federal/state special-status species and habitats)	_	
	Explanation:		$\checkmark$
	There is potential for the site to support the Federally threate California rare plant, Red Bluff dwarf rush (Juncus leiosperm habitat in this region. The plants have a combined bloom sea	us var. leiospermus), associated w	
	Botanical surveys were conducted on June 4, 2021 and dete	rmined these species are not prese	ent at the site.
	<b>Wildlife</b> (including Federal/state special-status species and habitats)		
	Explanation:		$\checkmark$
	Elderberry shrubs, host to the Federally-protected valley eldenests may be located in the vicinity of removal operations.	erberry longhorn beetle and protect	ed migratory bird
	A biological survey conducted on June 4, 2021 determined the nests in the project area. No other federal/state special statu survey.		
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including Federal/state special-status		
	species, ESUs, and habitats) Explanation:	$\checkmark$	
	The project area is on east side of a Churn Creek channel. A existing access roads, mixing of herbicides and open petrole of vegetation will be permitted, and herbicide application will stored and fueled a maximum distance from the creek and ve	eum products will be prohibited, only be limited to direct application. Eq	/ manual clearing uipment will be

# 6 Wetlande

6.	Explanation:	$\checkmark$	
	A biological survey conducted on June 4, 2021 determined t area.	here are no wetlands in the vicini	ty of the project
	<ol> <li>Groundwater and Aquifers</li> <li><u>Explanation:</u></li> </ol>	$\checkmark$	
	The project would not provide a pathway for groundwater co utilized during construction activities.	ntamination. Spill prevention me	asures would be
8.	3. Land Use and Specially-Designated Areas <u>Explanation:</u>	$\checkmark$	
	The land use would not change at the project site. Nor is the areas, such as National Scenic Rivers. There are no landow		ially designated
9.	). Visual Quality Explanation:	$\checkmark$	
	The visual quality would be consistent with the existing use of be no significant change to the visual quality.	of the transmission right-of-way c	orridor. There would
1	10. Air Quality Explanation:		$\checkmark$
	A small amount of dust and vehicle emissions would occur de significant changes to air quality during or after construction.	uring tree removal; however, ther	e would be no
	WAPA has addopted the following procedures to support the quality in the region. The contractor is expected to adopt the		plan to restore air
	AQ-SOP-1: The Contractor will adhere to all applicable require quality matters, and any necessary permits for O&M will be of AQ-SOP-2: Machinery and vehicles will be kept in good oper with equipment meeting applicable emission standards; appr maintained for vehicles and equipment, per EPA and/or WAF AQ-SOP-3: Idle equipment will be shut down when not in act	btained. ating condition and older equipmo opriate emissions-control equipm PA air-emission requirements.	ent will be replaced ent will be
	will be controlled.		

AQ-SOP-4: Dust – control measures will be implemented in road construction and maintenance, as needed. Trucks transporting loose material will be covered or maintain at least two feet of freeboard and will not create any visible dust emissions.

AQ-SOP-5: There will be no open burning of construction trash.

AQ-SOP-6: Grading activities will cease during periods of high winds (as determined by local air quality management districts.

AQ-SOP-7: Major operations will be avoided on days when the local Air Quality Index is expected to exceed 150.

#### 11. Noise

#### Explanation:

No residences are within the immediate vicinity of the project location. Tree removal construction noise would be temporary and would occur during daylight hours. Operational noise would not change.

#### 12. Human Health and Safety

#### **Explanation:**



During project activities, all standard safety protocols would be followed. Project activities would not impact human health or safety. Contractor shall be required to follow the OSHA standards set forth in 29 CFR 1910.269 specifically paragraphs (a)(2), (b), (c), (g), (k), (p), and (r) as required under (a)(E)(2), line clearance tree trimming operations perfromed by line -clearance tree trimmers who are not qualified employees. Contractor shall also adhere to the requirements under Title 8 Cal OSHA Electrical Safety Order specifically Subchapter 5 Article 38 and Subchapter 7 Article 12. Contractor shall maintain a safe working distance from conductors as described in the above OSHA standards. If a safe working distance cannot be maintained, a clearance shall be requested 3 days in advance.

### Evaluation of Other Integral Elements

The proposed project would also meet conditions that are integral elements of the categorical exclusion. The project would not:

Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, or similar requirements of DOE or Executive Orders.

Explanation, if necessary:

Require siting and construction or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities (including incinerators) that are not otherwise categorically excluded.

Explanation, if necessary:

Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA excluded petroleum and natural gas products that preexist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases.

Explanation, if necessary:

Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental Protection Agency, and the National Institutes of Health.

Explanation, if necessary:

#### Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination

**Description:** WAPA would work closely with the landowners to provide adequate notification of construction timing. No resrictions were identified.

Based on the foregoing, this proposed project does not have the potential to cause significant impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.

Signed: Latisha M. Saare Digitally signed by Latisha M. Saare Date: 2021.06.10 11:04:27 -07'00'

Date: 6/10/21