ENVIRONMENTAL EVA	LUATION NOTIFICATIO	N FORM	
Grantee/Contractor Laboratory: Princeton Un	niversity/Princeton Plasma	Physics Laboratory (PP)	PL)
Project/Activity Title: Tritium System Demo	olition & Disposal (revised	11/30/2020)	
CH NEPA Tracking No.: Type	of Funding SC	·	
B&R Code:	Total Estimated Cost: <u>\$</u>	33.4 million	
DOE Cognizant Secretarial Officer (CSO):	Marc Jones		
Contractor Project Manager:	Signature:		
	Date:		
Contractor NEPA Reviewer: Dorothy M. Str	auss Signature:		
Conductor religing the view of <u>Dorothy M. Su</u>	Date:		

I. Description of Proposed Action:

The tritium processing systems and neutral beam injection equipment used during the 1990's Deuterium-Tritium (D-T) experiments for the Tokamak Fusion Test Reactor (TFTR) are aging, contain about 19,000 Curies of residual tritium in components, and are not planned for future use. This project would remove and dispose of the components of these tritium systems, including glove boxes, fume hoods, gas holding tanks, tritium purification system (TPS) process piping, (all located in the tritium area in the D-Site basement), one neutral beam box (of three located in the TFTR test cell), contaminated HVAC ductwork (from both locations), and control room components (located separately in the D-Site basement). Excepting the control room components, which would be recycled or disposed of as domestic waste, these components bear low levels of tritium contamination and would be disposed of as low-level radioactive waste at a DOEapproved disposal site. The two remaining neutral beam boxes would be moved out of the TFTR Test Cell and exhausted to the existing monitored D-Site stack. Parts from these retained neutral beam boxes would remain stored within the boxes. Removal activities are anticipated to result in airborne tritium releases to the existing monitored stack that are expected to be less than 100 Curies/year, and tritium releases of less than 1 Curie/year in liquid form through the existing PPPL liquid effluent collection tank (LECT) system to the municipal sanitary sewer system. These releases would result in a peak annual dose to the maximum exposed individual (MEI) of <0.1 mrem/year. A theoretical release of the entire tritium inventory of 19,000 Curies would result in a peak dose to the maximum exposed individual of 30 mrem. These doses are small fractions of the average annual background dose of 600 mrem/year from all radiation sources.

This project would result in a savings of approximately \$350,000/year in operational costs for radiological monitoring, compliance, and oversight while making available approximately 5,000 GSF of high value research space. This work would be conducted by subcontracted industry experts subject to PPPL oversight.

II. **Description of Affected Environment:** D-Site, TFTR Test Cell and Basement (see attached maps and figures).

PPPL is located on Princeton University's James Forrestal Campus in Plainsboro Township, Middlesex County (central New Jersey), adjacent to the municipalities of Princeton, Kingston, East and West Windsor, and Cranbury, NJ. It occupies approximately 90.83 acres in the areas known as "C- and D-Sites." PPPL has operated on the current site since 1959. The closest urban centers are New Brunswick, 14 miles (22.5 km) to the northeast, and Trenton, 12 miles (19 km) to the southwest. Within a 50-mile (80 km) radius are the major urban centers of New York City, Philadelphia, and Newark. Princeton University's main campus is approximately three miles west of the site, primarily located within the borough of Princeton.

The estimated resident population within 10 miles (16 km) of PPPL is approximately 500,000. The total estimated population within a 50-mile radius (80km) of PPPL is approximately 17,735,164.

Surrounding the site are lands of preserved and undisturbed areas including upland forest, wetlands, open grassy areas, and a minor stream, Bee Brook, which flows along PPPL's eastern boundary. These areas are designated as open space in the James Forrestal Campus (JFC) site development plan.

The climate of central New Jersey is classified as mid-latitude, rainy climate with mild winters, hot summers, and no dry season. Temperatures may range from below zero to above 100 degrees Fahrenheit (°F) (-17.8° Celsius (C) to 37.8° C); extreme temperatures typically occur once every five years. Approximately half the year, from late April until mid-October, the days are freeze-free. Normally the climate is moderately humid with a total average precipitation of about 46 inches (116 cm) evenly distributed throughout the year.

III. <u>Potential Environmental Effects:</u> (Attach explanation for each "yes" response, and "no" responses if additional information is available and could be significant in the decision-making process.)

A. Sensitive Resources: Will the proposed action result in changes and/or disturbances to any of the following resources?

		I ES/INU
1.	Threatened/Endangered Species and/or Critical Habitats	1. No
2.	Other Protected Species (e.g. Burros, Migratory Birds)	2. No
3.	Wetlands	3. No
4.	Archaeological/Historic Resources	4. No
5.	Prime, Unique or Important Farmland	5. No
6.	Non-Attainment Areas	6. No
7.	Class I Air Quality Control Region	7. No
8.	Special Sources of Groundwater (e.g. Sole Source Aquifer)	8. No
9.	Navigable Air Space	9. No
10.	Coastal Zones	10. No
11.	Areas w/ Special National Designation	
	(e.g. National Forests, Parks, Trails)	11. No
12.	Floodplain	12. No

Vac/Na

B. Regulated Substances/Activities: Will the proposed action involve any of the following regulated substances or activities?

		Yes/No
13.	Clearing or Excavation (indicate if greater than 1 acre [43,560 sq. ft.]; if more than 5,000 sq. ft., a Soil Erosion / Sediment Control Permit may be required from Freehold Soil Conservation District.) <i>Note: Soil disturbance includes clearing, grading, excavation, storage, and</i> <i>filling. Soil erosion and sediment control permits required if</i> \geq 5,000 sq. ft.	13. No
14	Note: Excavations expected to encounter ground water may require a permit.	
14.	Dredge or Fill (under Clean Water Act section 404; indicate if greater	14. No
15.	than 1 acre) Noise (in excess of regulations)	14. No 15. No
1 <i>5</i> . 16.	Asbestos Removal	15. No 16. Yes
10.	Asbestos containing materials including approx. 1.1 tons of floor tiles from the tritium area would be removed and disposed of by an asbestos-certified contractor.	10. 105
17.	PCBs	17. No
18.	Import, Manufacture or Processing of Toxic Substances	18. No
19.	Chemical Storage/Use	19. Yes
	Standard chemicals (alcohol, lubricating oils to support cutting equipment, etc.) would be used with SDSs provided to Industrial Hygiene at least 24 hours prior to first use.	
20.	Pesticide Use	20. No
21.	Hazardous, Toxic, or Criteria Pollutant Air Emissions Anticipated releases to the monitored stack are expected to be ~ 10-100 Curies/year.	21. Yes
22.	Liquid Effluent	22. Yes
	Liquid effluent collection tank (LECT) releases to the municipal sanitary sewer system would be controlled to remain at less than 1 Curie/year, which is less than allowable limits of the NJ Dept. of Environmental Protection.	22.105
23.	Underground Injection	23. No
24.	Hazardous Waste	24. Yes
	In addition to the floor tiles noted above, installed batteries, oil, and other components removed from the tritium system may be disposed of as hazardous waste according to current procedure.	
25.	Underground Storage Tanks	25. No
26.	Radioactive (AEA) Mixed Waste	26. No
27.	Radioactive Waste	27. Yes
	Approximately 99.5 tons of tritium system components and piping, 80 tons of material from the neutral beam boxes, and .5 tons of galvanized sheet metal ductwork would be disposed of according to current procedure as low-level radioactive waste at a DOE-approved disposal site.	
28.	Radiation Exposures Tritium contamination is present in the tritium system components and piping. The total amount of tritium on-site is estimated to be ~ 19,000 Curies. Work in the TFTR test cell may expose workers to low levels of tritium or contamination. Workers would adhere to provisions in the approved Radiation Protection Program and PPPL Health Physics personnel would provide monitoring and oversight. Radiation exposures would be managed well below the PPPL Administrative Control Level of 1,000 mrem per calendar year per person and 600 mrem per calendar quarter.	

C. Other Relevant Disclosures. Will the proposed action involve the following?

Yes/No

29.	A threatened violation of ES&H regulations/permit requirements	29. No
	The requirements of 10CFR851 (as implemented under the DOE-approved PPPL	
	Worker Safety and Health Program) would be applied to work at PPPL under this	
	proposed action. The subcontractor would be required to provide a Health	
	and Safety Plan and Waste Management Plan for PPPL review and approval.	
30.	Siting/Construction/Major Modification of Waste Recovery, or TSD	30. No
	Facilities	
31.	Disturbance of Pre-existing Contamination	31. No
	Note: Excavations that encounter contaminated ground water require a permit.	
32.	New or Modified Federal/State Permits	32. No
33.	Public controversy	33. No
34.	Action/involvement of Another Federal Agency (e.g. license, funding,	34. No
	approval)	
35.	Action of a State Agency in a State with NEPA-type law.	35. No
	(Does the State Environmental Quality Review Act Apply?)	
36.	Public Utilities/Services	36. No
37.	Depletion of a Non-Renewable Resource	37. No

IV. <u>Section D Determination</u>: Is the project/activity appropriate for a determination under Subpart D of the DOE NEPA Regulations for compliance with NEPA?

DOE-PSO NEPA Compliance Officer (NCO) Review:

Concurrence with Proposed Class of Action RecommendedCXEAEIS

Categories: B1.23 (Demolition and disposal of buildings), B1.16 (Asbestos removal)

For Categorical Exclusions (CXs):

A. The proposed action fits within a class of actions that is listed in Appendix A or B to Subpart D.

For classes of actions listed in Appendix B, the following conditions are integral elements; i.e., to fit within a class, the proposal <u>must not</u>:

- 1) Threaten a violation of applicable statutory, regulatory, or permit requirements for environment, safety, and health, including DOE and/or Executive Orders;
- 2) Require siting, construction, or major expansion of waste storage, disposal, recovery, or treatment facilities, but may include such categorically excluded facilities;
- 3) Disturb hazardous substances, pollutants, contaminants, or CERCLA-excluded petroleum and natural gas products that pre-exist in the environment such that there would be uncontrolled or unpermitted releases; or

4) Adversely affect environmentally sensitive resources.

5) Involve genetically engineered organisms, synthetic biology, governmentally designated noxious weeds, or invasive species, unless the proposed activity would be contained or confined in a manner designed and operated to prevent unauthorized release into the environment and conducted in accordance with applicable requirements, such as those of the Department of Agriculture, the Environmental

Tritium System Demolition and Disposal

Protection Agency, and the National Institutes of Health.

- B. There are no extraordinary circumstances related to the proposal that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- C. The proposal is not "connected" to other actions with potentially significant impacts, is not related to other proposed actions with cumulatively significant impacts, and is not precluded by 40 CFR 1506.1 or 10 CFR 1021.211.

V. **DOE Recommendation Approval:**

PSO Staff: Tracy Estes	Signature:				
	Ι	Date:			
SC GLD: Michael M. McCann	Signature:				
	Γ	Date:			
VI. <u>NEPA Compliance Officer Subpart D CX Determination and Approval:</u> Based on my review of information conveyed to me and in my possession (or attached)					
concerning the proposed action, as NEPA Compliance Officer, I have determined that the proposed action fits within the specified class of actions, the other regulatory requirements set forth above are met, and the proposed action is hereby categorically excluded from further NEPA review.					

PSO NCO: Peter R. Siebach

Signature:_____

Date:_____



Tritium System Demolition and Disposal

Printed copies of this document are considered UNCONTROLLED / Information Only copies. The official document is at http://bp.pppl.gov/PPPL_docs.shtml The Best Practices and Outreach Department maintains the signed originals.





Tritium Area in TFTR Basement



Tritium System Demolition and Disposal Figure 3