

Novel Lithium-Iron and Aluminum Nickelate (NFA) as Advanced Cobalt-Free Cathode Materials

PI: Ilias Belharouak (belharouaki@ornl.gov)

N. Muralidharan, R. Essehli, E. Self, Z. Du, J. Nanda (All ORNL) J. Camardese (Xalt Energy) and D. Atienza, K. Dhindsa (Nissan Technical Center)

Oak Ridge National Laboratory

2021 U.S. DOE Vehicle Technologies Office Annual Merit Review

June 25, 2021

Project ID: BAT412

ORNL is managed by UT-Battelle, LLC for the US Department of Energy

This presentation does not contain any proprietary, confidential, or otherwise restricted information

Timeline

- Project start date: Oct. 1, 2018
- Project end date: Sept. 30, 2021
- Percent complete: 80%

Budget

- FY19 Funding: \$710,000
- FY20 Funding: \$710,000
- FY21 Funding: \$710,000

Overview

Barriers

- <u>Cost:</u> DOE's ultimate goal for EV batteries includes reducing production cost of a Battery-EV to \$80/kWh.
- Energy density: High energy density ≥ 650-750 Wh/kg at the material level.
- <u>Performance</u>: 1000 deep charge/discharge cycles with less than 20% capacity fade.

Partners/Collaborators

ORNL – Project Lead





NISSAN Technical Center



Energy

XALT Energy XALT

a brand of **FREUDENBERG**



Relevance

Cobalt is the key limiting factor in the production of next generation electric vehicles

Project Objectives:

3

- □ Zero (0) cobalt loading as NFA cathodes only have nickel (80-90%), and the balance (10-20%) is a combination of iron and aluminum.
- □ 650-750 Wh/kg usable specific energy at C/3 rate at the material level at the beginning of life.
- □ Thousand (1000) deep charge and discharge cycles at the C/3 rate with less than 20% capacity fade in 2-Ah cells.
- Less than \$100 per kWh at the cell level.



Formulation	Specific Capacity (mAh/g)	V _{avg} vs. Graphite (V)	Material Cost (\$/kg _{ore})	Wt% Co	Status
NCM-622	170	3.68	\$ 22.17	12.2	Currently used
NCM-811	190	3.68	\$ 19.00	6.1	Emerging Material
NCA (80:15:5)	195	3.75	\$ 21.58	9.2	Used in niche applications
NFA Gen I (80:10:10)	180	3.7	\$ 14.63	0	Project Year 1 Goal
NFA Gen II (90:x:y) (x + y = 10)	200	3.7	\$ 15.26	0	Project Year 2 Goal

Comparing the NFA class to mainstream NCM and NCA cathodes

BatPaC Model highlighting the potential of the NFA Cathode



NFA Gen-2 potentially offers 20% cost reduction when compared to NMC 333

Milestones for FY 20-21

Due Date	Description	Status
03/30/2020 (Q6)	 Electrochemical Performance of NFA-Gen-2 in Coin Cells Down-selection of NFA-Gen-2 Composition 	Complete
06/30/2020 (Q7)	Scale-up of NFA-Gen-2 to 1kg	Complete
09/30/2020 (Q8)	 Fabrication of NFA Gen-2 Single Layer Pouch Cells (Mark-1 Cells) Assessment of electrochemical performance of Mark-1 NFA Gen-2 Cells 	Complete
12/31/2020 (Q9)	 Fabrication of NFA-Gen-2 Single Layer Pouch Cells with Fluorinated Electrolyte (Mark-2 Cells) Assessment of Electrochemical Performance of Mark-2 NFA Gen-2 Cells 	Complete
03/31/2021 (Q10)	 Fabrication of NFA-Gen-2 Single Layer Pouch Cells with Optimized Electrode Design (Mark-3 Cells) Assessment of Electrochemical Performance of Mark-3 NFA Gen-2 Cells 	Complete
06/30/2021 (Q11)	Fabrication of (2Ah) NFA-Gen-2 Project Completion Cells	In Progress
09/30/2021 (Q12)	Assessment of Cycle and Calendar Lives Performance of (2Ah) NFA-Gen-2 Project Completion Cells	In Progress



4

Approach and Strategy Overall Project Approach FY 19 to FY 21

Novel Lithium Iron and Aluminum Nickelate (NFA) as Advanced Cobalt-Free Cathode Materials for Next-Generation Lithium-Ion Batteries (2.3 Ah) PPC Cell Delivery (100 mAh) Interim cell Delivery (2.3 Ah) PCC Cell Delivery Synthesis Synthesis Mark-2 cells build PPC cells Mark-1 cells PCC cells Characterization Characterization testing testing Mark-2 cells testing testing NFA NFA **Electrolyte and** Composition Gen-1 Gen-2 **Cell Design** PPC cells selection NFA-Gen2* build Mark-1 cells Mark-3 cells PCC cells build build build NFA-Gen-1* Scale up Scale up selection Mark-3 cells testing Budget Period 2 Budget Period 1 **Budget Period 3**



Li_{1+a}Ni_{0.9}Fe_xAl_yO₂ (NFA Gen-2) compositional landscape was successfully characterized and electrochemically evaluated



CAK RIDGE National Laboratory

6

Best Performing NFA Gen-2 Variant was identified through electrochemical and material evaluations following which the variant was down selected for upscaling

Systematic process optimizations to achieve NFA Gen-2 precursors with desired compositions and morphological homogeneity



Li_{1+a}Ni_{0.9}Fe_xAl_yO₂ (NFA Gen-2) was successfully synthesized, and upscaled to kg levels using CSTR



OAK RIDGE

National Laboratory

 ICP Compositional Analysis

 Composition
 Li
 Ni
 Fe
 Al

 NFA(OH)₂
 0.89
 0.051
 0.054

 LiNFAO₂
 1.0
 0.89
 0.051
 0.054





Li_{1+a}Ni_{0.9}Fe_xAl_yO₂ (NFA Gen-2) – Advanced Characterization using Mössbauer Spectroscopy and X-ray Diffraction



National Laboratory

Time (hours)

Li_{1+a}Ni_{0.9}Fe_xAl_vO₂ (NFA Gen-2) cathode material was slot-die coated and the electrodes were assembled and evaluated in single layer pouch cells (Mark-1 Cell) 270 NFA Gen-2 Half Cells



Any proposed future work is subject to change based on funding levels

National Laboratory

10



Response to Previous Year Reviewer's Comments

Reviewer Comments

Reviewer-1: The approach the team has taken to making a Co-free nickelate material has been great. The team has addressed technical barriers and overcome them. The one question from the reviewer is if the team is going to improve the electrode loading, percent active in formulation, and porosity during the electrode development.

<u>Response:</u> We thank the reviewer for the positive feedback. Electrode loading, active material optimization and porosity tailoring would be part of Mark-3 NFA Cell designs that is presently being evaluated in the current budget period. Thank you!

Reviewer-2: The work takes on the interesting task of developing and evaluating the potential of a nanostructured ferritic alloy (NFA)-based, metal-oxide cathode system. This is done in a generally credible way, the reviewer said, and that synthesis aspect of the work appears more accomplished than the performance-evaluation part of the work. To be fair, this is not a high-dollar project and comprehensive evaluation is a very costly endeavor. As with other projects in this subset, there is little benchmarking available at this stage of the work.

<u>Response</u>: We thank the reviewer for the positive comments regarding our work. With regards to benchmarking we are in the process of comparing the performance of our NFA Gen-2 material with both commercial NMC variants as well as other NMC chemistries developed in other VTO programs through our collaborations during the current budget period. Thank you!

Reviewer-3: Overall, this is a very well managed research project. The reviewer was curious about why Fe was used as a substitute. The amount seems to be very low and since there is no benchmarking versus commercially available materials with the same Ni+Co content, the claim that Fe improves rate capability is not supported. Provided industry "scare" of any Fe in the material, it will be interesting to know the OEM feedback. There have been many publications for the LiNiO2 with AI, Mg, Ti, etc., doping. Why Fe? Is it the mentioned high sensitivity to moisture due to Ni, not Fe? Or both? Why the volumetric energy density is low? Is it due to the synthesis route?

<u>Response</u>: We thank the reviewer for their positive comment on our work. With regards to Fe, our hypothesis for incorporation lies in the low cost and earth abundance of iron when compared to other elements that are potential candidates. We are in the process of benchmarking our NFA Gen-2 cathode material against both commercial NMC variants as well as other NMC chemistries developed in other VTO programs through our collaborations during the current budget period. Additionally, with regards to volumetric energy densities, we expect to see improvements through our synthesis optimizations as well as using optimized electrode designs which would be incorporated in the Mark-3 NFA cells are being evaluated during the current budget period. Thank you!



Any proposed future work is subject to change based on funding levels

Collaboration and Coordination with other institutions





CENTER FOR NANOPHASE MATERIALS SCIENCES



Nissan Technical Center



Overall project lead, electrochemical, spectroscopic and material characterization

Contributors and Collaborators: Dr. Nitin Muralidharan, Dr. Rachid Essehli, Dr. Zhijia Du, Dr. Ethan Self, Dr. Hermann Raphael Participation in Bi-Weekly Update Meetings and Quarterly Update Meetings

Microstructural and Crystallographic characterization

Independent Battery Performance Evaluation Collaborator: Dr. Sergiy Sazhin

Li-ion battery performance assessment and validation Participation in Bi-Weekly Update Meetings and Quarterly Update Meetings



Electrochemical benchmarking, process feasibility and cost modeling of materials for Li-ion batteries Participation in Bi-Weekly Update Meetings and Quarterly Update Meetings

Remaining Challenges and Barriers in FY-21

Technical Challenges

- □ Li source and stoichiometry, mixing conditions, pretreatment temperatures and profiles, oxygen flow rates and powder packing during annealing are among the most important synthesis conditions and processes that still require optimization.
- Slurry formulation and electrode engineering, choice of conductive carbon and binders, choice of suitable graphite anode, N/P ratio and added electrolyte amount in nickel rich cathodes need to be further explored and optimized.
- Stabilizing the NFA nickel rich, cobalt free system at high voltages (>4.3V vs Li⁺/Li) requires systematic investigations into surface coating and electrolyte additive approaches. Such studies would enable accessing additional capacities from these novel cathodes
- The storage conditions and stability of high nickel rich cathode materials during the slurry preparation and coating (humidity, Li₂CO₃ formation)



Any proposed future work is subject to change based on funding levels

Proposed Future Research

- □ Selection of the NFA-Gen-2 cathode that will be scaled up
- □ Scale up of NFA-Gen-2 to 1 kg by the process selected in budget year 1
- Build of Mark-1 cells with NFA-Gen-2*, and inhouse testing of Mark-1 cells
- □ Build of Mark-2 cells with NFA-Gen-2 and 3 FEC-based electrolytes, and inhouse testing of Mark-2 cells
- □ Selection of the best electrolyte that will be used in the build of Mark-3 cells
- □ Build of Mark-3 cells with NFA-Gen-2, selected electrolyte and 3 cell designs, inhouse testing of Mark-3 cells
- □ Selection of the best cell design that will be used in the build of the Project Completion Cells

Build of 2.3 Ah Project Completion Cells with the NFA-Gen-2* optimized cell chemistry, and inhouse testing of 15 PCC cells

Delivery of 2.3 Ah PCC cells to DOE

Completed

Ongoing

DAK RIDGE

FY 20-21

Any proposed future work is subject to change based on funding levels

Summary

Accomplishments:

- Successfully <u>investigated the NFA Gen-2</u> cathode compositional landscape using advanced material and electrochemical in-situ, ex-situ and operando characterization techniques and down selected the best compositional variant for upscaling
- Successfully <u>scaled-up</u> the down selected NFA Gen-2 cathode composition to kg levels and <u>evaluated material and</u> <u>electrochemical characteristics and performance</u>
- Fabricated electrodes of <u>NFA Gen-2 cathode material</u>, <u>assembled single layer Mark-1 full pouch cells</u> and performed <u>electrochemical assessment</u>

Ongoing Work:

OAK RIDGE

National Laboratory

Build of 2.3 Ah Project Completion Cells with the NFA-Gen-2 cathode followed by in-house testing

