## **Categorical Exclusion Determination**

Western Area Power Administration
Department of Energy



Proposed Action: Captain Jack-Olinda 500-kV Tower 479-480 Off ROW Tree Removal

Project No.: 100153025

**Project Manager:** Ricardo Velarde

**Location**: Shasta County

Categorical Exclusion Applied (from Subpart D, 10 C.F.R. Part 1021): B1.3 Routine maintenance

## **Description of the Proposed Action:**

Western Area Power Administration, Sierra Nevada Region (WAPA) is responsible for the operation and maintenance of several federally owned and operated transmission lines throughout California, including Captain Jack-Olinda line (CPJ- ODA), located in Shasta County. Part of this responsibility includes ensuring WAPA maintains reliable access to transmission towers and other facilities to comply with the National Electric Safety Code, Western States Coordinating Council, and WAPA directives for protecting human safety and maintaining the reliable operation of the transmission system.

WAPA proposes to remove one White Fir tree on the east side of the ROW between towers 479 and 480. The hazard tree is located outside but adjacent to the right-of-way (off-ROW) and has the potential to fall on, grow into, or otherwise compromise the integrity of the transmission lines. After removal, chipped debris will be broadcast on site provided there are no biological restrictions. Lop and scatter will not exceed height of 12 inches and is subject to WAPA project manager authorization. Wood 12" diameter and larger will be cut to 1 foot to 4 foot length and stacked/piled in ROW. Cut stumps will be treated per WAPA's herbicide recommendations.

WAPA Order 450.3A dictates the removal of hazard trees in compliance with the North American Electric Reliability Council (NERC) Standard FAC-003-1. WAPA has limited discretion on the removal of trees that meet hazard standards.

## **Findings:**

In accordance with Section 1021.410(b) of the Department of Energy's (DOE) National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) Regulations (57 FR 15144, Apr. 24, 1992, as amended at 61 FR 36221-36243, Jul. 9, 1996; 61 FR 64608, Dec. 6, 1996, 76 FR 63764, Nov. 14, 2011), WAPA has determined that the proposed action:

- (1) fits within a class of actions listed in Appendix B of 10 CFR 1021, Subpart D (see attached Environmental Checklist);
- (2) does not present any extraordinary circumstances that may affect the significance of the environmental effects of the proposal; and
- (3) has not been segmented to meet the definition of a categorical exclusion.

Based on these determinations, WAPA finds that the proposed action is categorically excluded from further NEPA review.

Latisha M. Signed: Saare

Digitally signed by Latisha M. Saare Date: 2021.06.02

Date: 6/2/21

Attachment: Environmental Checklist

## **Categorical Exclusion Environmental Checklist**

This checklist documents environmental considerations for the proposed project and explains why the project would not have the potential to cause significant impacts on environmentally sensitive resources and would meet other integral elements of the applied categorical exclusion.

exclusion.					
Proposed Action: Captain Jack-Olino	da 500-kV Tower 479-4	180 Off ROW Tree Removal			
Proje	ect Site Description				
The proposed project area is in Shasta County, California, at 18516 Forest Home St, Round Mountain, California, 2 miles northwest of the Round Mountain substation. The dominant habitat consists of mixed conifer secondary growth forest.					
Equipment staging areas would be on roads and within the ROW whenever possible.					
Evaluation of Potential Impacts to Environmental Resources					
Environmental Resource Impacts	No Potential for Significance	No Potential for Significance, with Conditions			
Historic and Cultural Resources     Explanation:	<b>V</b>				
Tree removal will not cause significant ground di management at these sites would not impact cul if archeological, paleontological, or historic evide	Itural resources. Natural Reso				

	Geology and Soils <u>Explanation:</u>	<b>✓</b>	
	Ground disturbance is not anticipated in this project; therefor	e, no impacts would occur.	
	<b>Plants</b> (including Federal/state special-status species and habitats) <b>Explanation:</b>	<b>✓</b>	
	No special-status plants are known or expected in the project be required to be clean before entering the project location to		
	<b>Wildlife</b> (including Federal/state special-status species and habitats)		
	Explanation:		lacksquare
	No special status wildlife is known or expected in the project	area.	
	For the protection of migratory birds, if planned activities occ northern areas, nesting bird surveys will be required prior to buffer will be marked in which all O&M activities and herbicid September 15, or until nestlings have fledged. A standard ne indicated by the surveying biologist. A standard buffer of 250 indicated by the surveying biologist. Nesting surveys can be	project activities. If a nest is detecte e applications will be prohibited fro est buffer of 50 feet will be used, un feet will be used for raptor nests, u	ed, an appropriate m January 1 and less otherwise ınless otherwise
5.	Water Bodies, Floodplains, and Fish (including Federal/state special-status		
	species, ESUs, and habitats)	7	
	Explanation:	<u> </u>	Ш
	The project area and adjacent areas do not have any water I would occur.	oodies, floodplains, or fish; therefor	e, no impacts

6.	Wetlands <u>Explanation:</u>	$\checkmark$	
	The project area does not have wetlands; therefore, no impa	icts would occur.	
	Groundwater and Aquifers <u>Explanation:</u>	$\checkmark$	
	Spill prevention measures would be utilized during construct pathway for groundwater contamination.	ion activities. The project would not	: provide a
8.	Land Use and Specially-Designated Areas Explanation:	$\checkmark$	
	The land use would not change at the project site. Nor is the areas, such as National Scenic Rivers.	project within, or near, any special	ly designated
9.	Visual Quality <u>Explanation:</u>	<b>✓</b>	
	The visual quality would be consistent with the existing use of be no significant change to the visual quality.	of the transmission right-of-way cor	ridor. There would
1	0. Air Quality <u>Explanation:</u>		<b>✓</b>
	A small amount of dust and vehicle emissions would occur d significant changes to air quality during or after construction.	uring tree removal; however, there	would be no
	WAPA has adopted procedures to support the state impleme contractor is expected to adopt the procedures during the pro-		the region. The
	AQ-SOP-1: The Contractor will adhere to all applicable requi quality matters, and any necessary permits for O&M will be cAQ-SOP-2: Machinery and vehicles will be kept in good oper with equipment meeting applicable emission standards; appr maintained for vehicles and equipment, per EPA and/or WAFAQ-SOP-3: Idle equipment will be shut down when not in act will be controlled.	obtained. rating condition and older equipmer copriate emissions-control equipmer PA air-emission requirements.	nt will be replaced nt will be
	AQ-SOP-4: Dust –control measures will be implemented in re Trucks transporting loose material will be covered or maintain visible dust emissions. AQ-SOP-5: There will be no open burning of construction tra	n at least two feet of freeboard and sh.	will not create any
	AQ-SOP-6: Grading activities will cease during periods of hig management districts.  AQ-SOP-7: Major operations will be avoided on days when the support of	·	

11. Noise						
Explanation:		<b>▼</b>	Ш			
Tree removal construction no would not change.	oise would be temporary and wo	uld occur during dayligh	nt hours. Operational noise			
12. Human Health and Sa	ıfety	$\Box$	П			
Explanation:						
health or safety. Contractor si 1910.269 specifically paragra trimming operations performe also adhere to the requirement and Subchapter 7 Article 12.	tandard safety protocols would be hall be required to follow the OS aphs (a)(2), (b), (c), (g), (k), (p), and by line-clearance tree trimmer nts under Title 8 Cal OSHA Elec Contractor shall maintain a safe safe working distance cannot be	HA standards set forth in and (r) as required under some of the set of the se	n 29 CFR r (a)(E)(2). Line-clearance tree employees. Contractor shall ifically Subchapter 5 Article 38 conductors as described in the			
	<b>Evaluation of Other</b>	Integral Elements				
The proposed project would The project would not:	d also meet conditions that a	re integral elements c	of the categorical exclusion.			
Threaten a violation of appl similar requirements of DO		or permit requirement	s for environment, safety, and he	alth, oi		
Explanation, if necessary:						
	ction or major expansion of w t are not otherwise categorica		ll, recovery, or treatment facilities	i		
Explanation, if necessary:						
	ces, pollutants, contaminants ment such that there would b		ed petroleum and natural gas pro- ermitted releases.	ducts		
Explanation, if necessary:						
species, unless the propose unauthorized release into the	ed activity would be containe he environment and conducte	d or confined in a maned in accordance with	designated noxious weeds, or inv nner designed and operated to pe applicable requirements, such a he National Institutes of Health.	revent		
Explanation, if necessary:						
Landowner Notification, Involvement, or Coordination						
<b>Description:</b> WAPA would timing.	d work closely with the landowne	ers to provide adequate	notification of construction			
Based on the foregoing,	this proposed project does	s not have the pote	ntial to cause significant			

impacts to any environmentally sensitive resource.

Signed: Latisha M.

Saare

Digitally signed by Latisha M. Saare Date: 2021.06.02 12:01:32 -07'00'

Date: 6/2/21